## 68. Upper Semicontinuity of Eigenvalues of Selfadjoint Operators Defined on Moving Domains

By Satoshi KAIZU Department of Information Mathematics, University of Electro-Communications

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Oct. 14, 1985)

1. Introduction. We are interested in "wild" perturbations in the sense of J. Rauch and M. Taylor [6], on eigenvalue problems for the Laplacian. We show the upper semicontinuity of each k-th eigenvalue of the minus Laplacian with respect to a domain perturbation belonging to a certain class. This class contains a perturbation argued by the author [5]. Hereafter we describe all statements only in an abstract fashion.

Let X and  $V_{\varepsilon}$  be real, separable and infinitely dimensional Hilbert spaces with  $X \supset V_{\varepsilon}$ . We assume that the injection  $V_{\varepsilon} \to X$  is compact. We denote by | | and (,) the norm and inner product on X, respectively. Here  $\varepsilon$  means the value zero or the values of a sequence decreasing to zero. Let  $a_{\varepsilon}: V_{\varepsilon} \times V_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric continuous bilinear form such that  $a_{\varepsilon}(v) \ge c_{\varepsilon} ||v||_{V}^{2}$  for all  $v \in V_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $a_{\varepsilon}(v) = a_{\varepsilon}(v, v)$  and  $c_{\varepsilon}$  is a positive constant. We denote by  $H_{\varepsilon}$  the closure of  $V_{\varepsilon}$  in X and denote by  $P_{\varepsilon}$  the orthogonal projection from X onto  $H_{\varepsilon}$ . We set  $\Sigma = \{x \in X \mid |x| = 1\}$ . We define a positive selfadjoint operator  $A_{\varepsilon}: D(A_{\varepsilon}) \to H_{\varepsilon}$  by  $a_{\varepsilon}(u, v) = (A_{\varepsilon}u, v)$ for all  $u \in D(A_{\varepsilon})$  and  $v \in V_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $D(A_{\varepsilon}) = \{u \in V_{\varepsilon} \mid \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } \mid a_{\varepsilon}(u, v) \mid$  $\leq c \mid v \mid$  for all  $v \in V_{\varepsilon}\}$ . We consider the equation  $: A_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} = \mu_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}, \ \mu_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u_{\varepsilon} \in \Sigma$ . Let  $\mu_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}$  be the k-th eigenvalue of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  counting with its multiplicity ;  $0 \leq \mu_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \leq \mu_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \leq \cdots$  and  $\mu_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ . We have

(1)  
$$\mu_{\epsilon}^{(1)} = \inf_{\substack{V_{\epsilon} \cap \Sigma \ni x \\ 1 \leq \epsilon \leq k-1}} a_{\epsilon}(x)$$
$$\mu_{\epsilon}^{(k)} = \sup_{\substack{H_{\epsilon} \ni x_i \\ 1 \leq \epsilon \leq k-1}} \inf_{\substack{V \in \Omega \ni x \\ 1 \leq \epsilon \leq k-1}} a_{\epsilon}(x) \quad k \ge 2$$

(cf. R. Courant and D. Hilbert [4]). If  $\varepsilon = 0$  then we drop from  $V_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $H_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $A_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $P_{\varepsilon}$  and so on. Next we describe our result.

Theorem 1. If

(2) 
$$\operatorname{s-lim}_{\varepsilon=0} (1+\lambda A_{\varepsilon})^{-1} P_{\varepsilon} = (1+\lambda A)^{-1} P$$

for a certain  $\lambda > 0$ . Then we have  $\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \mu_{\epsilon}^{(k)} \leq \mu^{(k)}$  for each  $k \in N$ .

Remark 2. Rauch and Taylor [6] discussed in detail various concrete domain perturbations for the Laplacian, which assure (2), although the domain perturbation of [5] is not treated by [6]; theorem 4.1 of L. Boccardo and P. Marcellini [3] also describes the asymptotic properties of eigenvalues of the Laplacian (cf. theorem 3.71 of H. Attouch [1]), but we can not apply this theorem to the perturbation of [5]. However, the S. KAIZU

same method as in [5] shows that the perturbation of [5] fills the assumption (2).

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Using a monotone theory, more specifically, the theory of subdifferentials (cf. H. Brezis [2]) and the Borsuk-Ulam theorem we prove theorem 1. We define a convex lower semicontinuous function  $\varphi^{\epsilon} \colon X \to [0, \infty]$  by (i)  $\varphi^{\epsilon}(x) = a_{\epsilon}(x)/2$ ,  $x \in V_{\epsilon}$ , (ii)  $\varphi^{\epsilon}(x) = \infty$ ,  $x \in X \setminus V_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\partial \varphi^{\epsilon}$  be the subdifferential of  $\varphi^{\epsilon}$ . Then we have (iii)  $\partial \varphi^{\epsilon}(x) = A_{\epsilon}x + H_{\epsilon}^{\perp}$ ,  $x \in D(\partial \varphi^{\epsilon}), \text{ (iv) } (1 + \lambda \partial \varphi^{\epsilon})^{-1} = (1 + \lambda A_{\epsilon})^{-1} P_{\epsilon}, \lambda > 0, \text{ where } D(\partial \varphi^{\epsilon}) = D(A_{\epsilon}) \text{ and } H_{\epsilon}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of  $H_{\varepsilon}$ . We write  $J_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} = (1 + \lambda \partial \varphi^{\varepsilon})^{-1}$ . Next we convert (1) to a min-max form. For a linear subspace M of X set  $g^{(k)}(M)$  $=\{F=\tilde{F}\cap\Sigma|\tilde{F} \text{ is a } k \text{ dimensional linear subspace of } M\}$  and  $g_{\pm}^{(k)}(M)$  $= \bigcup \{g^{(m)}(M) | m \ge k\}$ . If M = X we write  $g^{(k)}$  and  $g^{(k)}_+$  instead of  $g^{(k)}(X)$  and  $g_{+}^{(k)}(X)$ , respectively. Then we have  $\mu_{\epsilon}^{(k)} = \inf \{ \sup a_{\epsilon}(x) | g^{(k)}(V_{\epsilon}) \ni F \}$ = inf {sup  $a_{\varepsilon}(x) | g_{+}^{(k)}(V_{\varepsilon}) \ni F$ }. Thus we have the lemma below.

Lemma 3.  $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}^{(k)}/2 = \inf \{ \sup \varphi^{\mathfrak{s}}(x) | g_{+}^{(k)} \ni F \} \text{ for each } k.$ 

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** We assume (2). Then, for any  $F \in g_{+}^{(k)}$ , we have  $\varepsilon_{F}$  and  $F_{s} \in g_{+}^{(k)}, \ 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{F}, \ such \ that$ 

 $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{F_{\varepsilon} \ni x} \varphi^{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \sup_{F \ni x} \varphi(x).$ Theorem 1 follows from lemmas 3, 4. Actually, by lemma 3 we have  $F_n \in g_+^{(k)}$  such that  $\mu^{(k)}/2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{\varphi(x) | F_n \ni x\}$ . Thus we obtain  $\mu_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}/2$  $\leq \sup \left\{ \varphi^{\varepsilon}(x) | F_{n,\varepsilon} \ni x \right\} \leq \sup \left\{ \varphi(x) | F_n \ni x \right\} + n^{-1}, \ 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_n \ \text{with} \ g_+^{(k)} \ni F_{n,\varepsilon}, \ \varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ by lemmas 3, 4. Therefore theorem 1 is proved.

To see lemma 4 it suffices to prove the next lemma because of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem : If B is a bounded open symmetric neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and T is an odd, continuous map from  $\partial B$  into a proper subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  then there is  $x \in \partial B$  such that Tx = 0.

Lemma 5. We assume (2). Then, for any  $F \in g_{+}^{(k)}$ , there is a sequence of odd, continuous maps  $T_{\varepsilon}: F \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying (3) with  $F_{\varepsilon} = T_{\varepsilon}F$ .

To construct  $T_{\epsilon}$  we recall properties of the Yosida approximation  $\varphi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}$ of  $\varphi^{\varepsilon}$ : (v)  $\varphi^{\varepsilon}_{i}$  is of class  $C^{1}$  on X and  $(\varphi^{\varepsilon}_{i})' = \lambda^{-1}(1-J^{\varepsilon}_{i}), \lambda > 0$  (we write  $A^{\varepsilon}_{i}$  $=(\varphi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon})')$ , (vi)  $A_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $\lambda^{-1}$ , (vii)  $\varphi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(x)$  $= \lambda |A_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} x|^{2}/2 + \varphi^{\epsilon} (J_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} x) \leq \varphi^{\epsilon} (x) \text{ for all } x \in X, \text{ (viii) } P_{\epsilon} = \text{s-lim}_{\lambda \to 0} J_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}.$ 

Proposition 6.  $\varphi^{\varepsilon} J_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \varphi J_{\lambda}$  uniformly on F as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi^{\epsilon}(0)=0$ , we have  $\varphi^{\epsilon}_{i}(0)=0$ . By (v) and (vii) we obtain

(4) 
$$\varphi^{\epsilon} J_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} x = \int_{0}^{1} (A_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(tx), x) dt - \lambda |A_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} x|^{2}/2.$$

The sequence  $\{(A_{\mathfrak{z}}(tx), x)\}_{\mathfrak{z}}$  is uniformly bounded on (0, 1) by (vi). The pointwise convergence of  $\varphi^{\epsilon} J_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}$  follows from (2), (v) and the Lebesgue convergence theorem. By (vi)  $\{\varphi^{\epsilon}J_{i}\}_{\epsilon}$  is uniformly bounded and equi-continuous on F. Thus the lemma follows from the Ascoli-Arzela theorem.

Since (vii), (viii) and proposition 6 hold, it is natural to set  $T_{\epsilon}x$  $=|J_{\lambda_0}^{\varepsilon}x|^{-1}J_{\lambda_0}^{\varepsilon}x$  for  $x \in F$  with sufficiently small  $\lambda_0$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon(\lambda_0, F)$ . If this map  $T_{\epsilon}$  is actually well defined then  $T_{\epsilon}$  is odd, continuous; we have

(3)

$$\varphi^{\epsilon}T_{\epsilon}x \leq \inf_{F \ni y} |J_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}y|^{-2} \sup_{F \ni z} \varphi^{\epsilon}J_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}z$$

for all  $x \in F$ . For (3) with  $F_{\varepsilon} = T_{\varepsilon}F$  and the well definedness of  $T_{\varepsilon}$  we need (ix)  $\inf_{F \ni x} |J_{\lambda}x| \to 1$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ , (x)  $\inf_{F \ni x} |J_{\lambda}x| \to \inf_{F \ni x} |J_{\lambda}x|$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  for each  $\lambda > 0$ . Both of (ix) and (x) follow from the next lemma, because  $J_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $J_{\lambda}$  are contractive.

**Lemma 7.** If U = s-lim  $U_n$  on X and  $U_n$  is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $c_0$ , where  $c_0$  is independent of n. Then  $U_n$  converges to U uniformly on any compact set.

Now we have lemma 5 and the proof of theorem 1 is completed.

## References

- H. Attouch: Variational Convergence for Functions and Operators. Pitman, London (1984).
- [2] H. Brezis: Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert. North-Holland, Amsterdam, London (1973).
- [3] L. Boccardo and P. Marcellini: Sulla convergenza delle soluzioni di disequazioni variazionali. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 110, 137-159 (1976).
- [4] R. Courant and D. Hilbert: Methods of Mathematical Physics. vol. 1. Interscience, New York (1953).
- [5] S. Kaizu: The Robin problems on domains with many tiny holes. Proc. Japan Acad., 61A, 39-42 (1985).
- [6] J. Rauch and M. Taylor: Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains. J. Funct. Anal., 18, 27-59 (1975).

No. 8]