

## 61. On the Numerically Fixed Parts of Line Bundles

By Katsumi MATSUDA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1985)

The purpose of this paper is to study the base loci of line bundles. Details will appear elsewhere.

By  $V$  we denote a non-singular projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . For a line bundle  $L$  on  $V$ , we have the base locus  $\text{Bs}|L|$  of the complete linear system and the stable base locus  $\text{SBs}(L) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Bs}|mL|$  (Fujita [1]). In this paper, by  $\kappa_{\text{num}}(L, V) \geq 0$ , we mean that there exist a birational morphism  $f: W \rightarrow V$ , a positive integer  $m$  and a nef line bundle  $S$  on  $W$  such that  $H^0(W, mf^*L - S) \neq 0$ .

**§0. Pseudo-effectivity.** Let  $K$  stand for a field  $\mathbf{Q}$  or  $\mathbf{R}$ . A  $K$ -1-cycle on  $V$  is an element of  $Z_1(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K$ , where  $Z_1(V)$  is a free abelian group generated by irreducible curves on  $V$ . A  $K$ -1-cycle  $C$  is said to be *nef* if  $(D, C) \geq 0$  for any irreducible divisor  $D$  on  $V$ . A  $K$ -line bundle  $L$  is said to be *pseudo-effective* if  $(L, C) \geq 0$  for any  $K$ -1-cycle  $C$  on  $V$ .

**Proposition 0.** *For any  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle  $L$  on  $V$ , the following conditions are equivalent to each other:*

- (1)  $L$  is pseudo-effective.
- (2) For any ample line bundle  $A$  on  $V$ , and for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\kappa(A + nL, V) \geq 0$ .

**§1. The numerical base locus of  $L$ .** We shall introduce the set  $\text{NBs}(L)$ , which may be a numerical analog of  $\text{SBs}(L)$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $L$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle and let  $A$  an ample  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle. Then*

- (1)  $\text{SBs}(A + nL) \subset \text{SBs}(A + (n+1)L)$ .
- (2)  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{SBs}(A + nL)$  does not depend on the choice of  $A$ , depending only on  $L$ .

*Proof.* (1) We take a sufficiently large  $m$ . Then  $mA$  is very ample and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SBs}(A + nL) &= \text{Bs}|m(n-1)(A + nL)| \supset \text{Bs}|mA + m(n-1)(A + nL)| \\ &= \text{Bs}|nm(A + (n-1)L)| = \text{SBs}(A + (n-1)L). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Given two ample  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundles  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , we choose  $p \gg 0$  such that  $pA_2 - A_1$  is very ample. For any  $n \geq 1$  and a sufficiently large  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SBs}(A_1 + pnL) &= \text{Bs}|m(A_1 + pnL)| \supset \text{Bs}|m(pA_2 - A_1) + m(A_1 + pnL)| \\ &= \text{Bs}|mp(A_2 + nL)| = \text{SBs}(A_2 + nL). \end{aligned}$$

By this,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{SBs}(A_1+nL) \supset \text{SBs}(A_2+nL).$$

Exchanging  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , we complete the proof.

**Definition.** Noting the last proposition, we set

$$\text{NBs}(L) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{SBs}(A+nL)$$

for an ample line bundle  $A$ , which is called a *numerical base locus*.

**Proposition 2.** (1)  $\text{NBs}(L)$  is determined only by the numerical equivalence class of  $L$ .

(2)  $\text{NBs}(L) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $L$  is nef.

(3)  $\text{NBs}(L) = V$  if and only if  $L$  is not pseudo-effective.

(4)  $\text{NBs}(L) \subset \text{SBs}(L)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundles such that  $L_1$  is numerically equivalent to  $L_2$ . For an ample line bundle  $A$ ,  $A_1 = A + n(L_1 - L_2)$  is an ample  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle,  $n$  being an arbitrary integer. Thus

$$\text{SBs}(A+nL_1) = \text{SBs}(A_1+nL_2) \subset \text{NBs}(L_2)$$

by the last proposition.

Proofs of (2), (3), and (4) are easy.

§2. The numerical fixed part of  $L$ . We shall introduce the notion of the numerical fixed part of a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle  $L$ .

For a line bundle  $L$  and for an integer  $m \geq 1$ , we denote by  $F(m, L)$  the fixed part of  $|mL|$  and a general member of  $|mL| - F(m, L)$  is indicated by  $M(m, L)$ . Then

$$|mL| = |M(m, L)| + F(m, L).$$

For any integer  $m$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we have the inequality  $pF(m, L) \geq F(mp, L)$ . So in  $\text{Div}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$  we can consider the lower bound of the sequence  $(F(m, L)/m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ . Actually, this lower bound is given by

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(m!, L)/m!,$$

which is denoted by  $F(L)$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $L$  be a pseudo-effective line bundle and let  $A$  be an ample line bundle.

(1)  $F(A+nL)/n \leq F(A+(n+1)L)/(n+1)$ .

(2) Let  $F(A+nL) = \sum_r a_r(n; A, L)\Gamma$  be an irreducible decomposition, where  $a(n; A, L) \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\Gamma$  is a prime divisor. Then

$$a_r(A, L) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_r(n; A, L)/n < \infty$$

and  $\sum_r a_r(A, L) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (1) Similar to the Proposition 1 (1).

(2) Since  $(A+nL, H^{d-1}) \geq \sum_r a_r(n; A, L)(\Gamma, H^{d-1})$  where  $d = \dim V$  and  $H$  is an ample line bundle, we have the required results. Q.E.D.

We consider a divisor with countably many components

$$\sum_r a_r(A, L)\Gamma \in \prod_r \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma.$$

**Proposition 4.**  $\sum_r a_r(A, L)\Gamma$  depends only on the numerical equivalence class of  $L$ .

Proof is similar to Proposition 1 (2).

**Definition.** Using the above notation, we set  $NF(L) = \sum_r a_r(A, L)\Gamma$  for an ample line bundle  $A$ , which is called a *numerical fixed part* of  $L$ .

**Remark.** 1) Symbolically, we may write

$$NF(L) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(A + nL)/n.$$

2)  $NF(L)$  can be defined for any  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle  $L$ .

**Proposition 5.**  $NF(L)$  is numerically fixed by  $L$  in Fujita's sense (cf. [2]), i.e. for any birational morphism  $f: W \rightarrow V$  from a non-singular projective variety  $W$  over  $k$  and any effective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $E$  on  $W$  such that  $f^*L - E$  is nef, we have  $E - f^*NF(L)$  is an effective  $\mathbf{R}$ -divisor. In particular, if  $\kappa_{num}(L) \geq 0$ , then  $NF(L) \in \text{Div}(v) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $m!(A + nf^*L) = m!(A + n(f^*L - E)) + m!nE$ , we have  $m!nE \geq F(m!, A + nf^*L)$ . Thus  $E \geq NF(f^*L)$ . Since  $NF(f^*L) \geq f^*NF(L)$  is easily checked, we obtain the required result. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 6.** If  $L$  is a pseudo-effective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle on a non-singular algebraic surface, then  $NF(L)$  coincides with the negative part of the Zariski decomposition of  $L$  (cf. [4]).

**Proposition 7.** Let  $L$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle with  $\kappa_{num}(L) \geq 0$  on a projective variety  $V$ . Then for any irreducible curve  $C$ , if  $(L - NF(L), C) < 0$ , then  $C \subset \text{NBS}(L)$ .

**Theorem 8.** Assume that the characteristic of  $k$  is 0. If  $L$  is a pseudo-effective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -line bundle with  $\kappa_{num}(L) \geq 0$  on a non-singular projective 3-fold  $V$ , then there exists a birational morphism  $f: W \rightarrow V$  from a non-singular projective variety  $W$ , such that  $f^*L - NF(f^*L)$  is a pseudo-effective  $\mathbf{R}$ -divisor and nef in codimension 1, i.e.  $\{C \text{ an irreducible curve; } (f^*L - NF(f^*L), C) < 0\}$  is a finite set.

## References

- [1] T. Fujita: Semipositive line bundles. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA, **30**, 353–378 (1983).
- [2] —: Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds (preprint).
- [3] S. Iitaka: Algebraic Geometry. Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 76, Springer-Verlag (1982).
- [4] M. Miyanishi: Non-complete algebraic surfaces. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 857, Springer-Verlag (1981).
- [5] O. Zariski: The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface. Ann. of Math., **76**, 560–615 (1962).