

31. On the Unique Maximal Idempotent Ideals of Non-Idempotent Multiplication Rings

By Takasaburo UKEGAWA

Faculty of General Education, Kobe University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., April 12, 1979)

In the preceding paper [5], we have defined multiplication rings, shortly M -rings, as rings s.t. for any ideals a, b , with $a < b$, there exist ideals c, c' , s.t. $a = bc = c'b$; here " $<$ " means a proper inclusion. An M -ring is called non-idempotent, if $R > R^2$. We have proved that the unique maximal idempotent ideal δ of a non-idempotent M -ring can be obtained as an intersection of some ideal sequence $\{\delta_\alpha\}_\wedge$, where δ_α are defined inductively ([5], Theorem 5): $\delta = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \delta_\alpha$. In § 1, we shall prove that δ is an essential submodule of R , both as a left and also as a right R -module, and at the end of the section we shall give an example of a non-idempotent M -ring with $\delta \neq \{0\}$. If moreover R is left Noetherian, and let N denote the Jacobson radical of R , then by Theorem 5 (i) [5], $N \subseteq \delta$ or $N = \delta_\alpha^j$ for some ordinal α and some positive integer j . If $N = \delta$ or $N = \delta_\alpha^j$, then by Theorem 5 (ii) [5] and Nakayama's lemma $\delta = \{0\}$, so we have to consider the case $N < \delta$ only; so in § 2 we consider left Noetherian non-idempotent M -rings, and prove that any ideal, which is maximal in the set of ideals properly contained in δ , is a prime ideal of R .

1. Non-idempotent M -rings. Lemma 1. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let α be any ideal, s.t. $\alpha \subseteq \delta$ then $\delta\alpha = \alpha\delta = \alpha$; furthermore for an ideal δ' s.t. $\delta \subseteq \delta'$, $\alpha\delta' = \delta'\alpha = \alpha$.*

Proof. If $\alpha = \delta$, there is nothing to prove. If $\alpha < \delta$, then $\alpha = \delta b = b'\delta$ for some ideals b, b' , therefore $\alpha\delta = b'\delta \cdot \delta = b'\delta = \alpha$. Similarly $\delta\alpha = \alpha$.

Lemma 2. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let $N < \delta$, then $N = \bigcap_{I \in \mathfrak{M}} I = \bigcap_{J \in \mathfrak{N}} J$, where \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} denote the set of maximal left ideals of R , and all maximal right ideals of R respectively.*

Proof. In general, $NR \subseteq \bigcap_{I \in \mathfrak{M}} I \subseteq N$, and $\bigcap_{I \in \mathfrak{M}} I$ is an ideal of R . By Lemma 1 $N = NR$, hence equality holds.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring. If $R \neq N$, then $N = \bigcap_{I \in \mathfrak{M}} I = \bigcap_{J \in \mathfrak{N}} J$, where $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}$ is the same as Lemma 2.*

Proof. By Proposition 4 [5], $N = R$ or $N \subseteq \delta$. If $N = \delta$, then $\delta = \delta R = NR \subseteq \bigcap_{I \in \mathfrak{M}} I \subseteq \delta$, therefore $\delta = N = \bigcap_{I \in \mathfrak{M}} I$. If $N < \delta$, the results follow by Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let I be any maximal left ideal of R , then $I\delta = \delta$. The similar results hold for right*

ideals.

Proof. Assume that $\delta \not\subseteq I$. If $IR \not\subseteq I$, then $(IR, I) = R$ since I is a maximal left ideal of R , therefore $\delta = R\delta = (IR, I)\delta = (I\delta, I\delta) = I\delta$, i.e. $I\delta = \delta$. If $IR \subseteq I$, then I is an ideal, hence $I \subseteq \delta$ or $I = \delta_\alpha^l$ for some ordinal α and some positive integer ρ , since I is a maximal left ideal, it follows that $I = R^2 \supseteq \delta$, a contradiction. Next let $\delta \subseteq I$, then $\delta = \delta\delta \subseteq I\delta$, i.e. $\delta \subseteq I\delta$, hence $\delta = I\delta$. In either case, we have $\delta = I\delta$.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let I be any maximal left ideal of R , then for any ideal α , s.t. $\alpha \subseteq \delta$, $I\alpha = \alpha$. The similar results hold for right ideals.*

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 3, $I\alpha = I \cdot \delta\alpha = I\delta \cdot \alpha = \delta\alpha = \alpha$.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let $\delta \neq \{0\}$, then $l\text{-ann } \delta = r\text{-ann } \delta = \{0\}$ and $\delta \subseteq^e R$, i.e. δ is essential as left R -module and also as a right R -module.*

Proof. Let n denote $l\text{-ann } \delta = \{x \in R \mid x\delta = \{0\}\}$. If $n = \delta_\alpha^l$ for some ordinal α and some positive integer j , $\{0\} = n\delta = \delta_\alpha^l \delta = \delta$, a contradiction; if $n = \delta$, then $\{0\} = n\delta = \delta\delta = \delta$, also a contradiction. If $n < \delta$, then by Lemma 1 $\{0\} = n\delta = n$. Similarly $r\text{-ann } \delta = \{0\}$.

Proposition 4. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let \mathfrak{p} be any prime ideal of R , s.t. $\mathfrak{p} < \alpha$, then $\mathfrak{p} < \alpha^n$ for any positive integer n .*

Theorem 4. *Let R be a non-idempotent M -ring, and let δ be the unique maximal idempotent ideal of R . If α, \mathfrak{b} are ideals of R , s.t. $\alpha < \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \delta$, then there exist ideals of R $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}'$, both contained in δ , s.t. $\alpha = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}'\mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof. It's obvious by Theorem 5 (i) [5] and Lemma 1.

Example. The following is an example of a non-idempotent M -ring with $\delta \neq \{0\}$. Let S be a matrix-ring of a countable degree, generated by countable matrix units $e_{i,j}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots$) over the rational field. Then S is a simple ring, and $S^2 = S$, but does not have an identity. Let $A = pZ_p$, where p is a prime, then ideals of A are $p^i Z_p$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) only. Now we define a ring R as follows: Let $R = (A, S)$, and $(a, s) = (a', s')$, $a, a' \in A$, $s, s' \in S$, if and only if $a = a'$ and $s = s'$; $(a, s) + (a', s') = (a + a', s + s')$, and $(a, s)(a', s') = (aa', as' + sa' + ss')$. Then R is a ring, and $(0, S)$ is an ideal of R , s.t. $(0, S)^2 = (0, S)$. Now, let J_i denote $p^i Z_p$, then (J_i, S) $i \geq 1$ are ideals of R . Let $I = (I_1, I_2)'$ be any ideal of R , where “'” means a subdirect sum, and $I_1 \subseteq A$, $I_2 \subseteq S$, both are projections of I respectively into A and S , and I_1 is an ideal of A , so $I_1 = J_i$ for some positive integer i . We assume that $I \neq \{0\}$, then $I \cap S$ is an ideal of S , therefore $I \cap S = S$ or $I \cap S = \{0\}$, since S is a simple ring. In case $I \cap S = S$, $I = (I_1, I_2)' \supseteq (0, S)$, therefore $I_2 = S$, hence $I = (J_i, S)' \supseteq (0, S)$ for some integer $i > 0$, so $I = (J_i, S)$. In case $I \cap S = \{0\}$, I can not contain any element $(0, x)$, $x \neq 0$. So, let (a_1, s_1) $a_1 \neq 0$

be any element of I , then $I \ni (a_1, s_1)(0, t) = (0, a_1t + s_1t)$ for any $t \in S$, therefore $a_1t = -s_1t$ for any $t \in S$. We set $-s_1 = (\beta_{ij})$, and $t = e_{pq}$, then $a_1 = \beta_{pp}$ for any p , a contradiction. Since $R^n = (A^n, S)$, $\delta_1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (A^n, S) = (0, S) \neq 0$, $\delta_1^2 = \delta_1 = \delta \neq \{0\}$.

2. Left Noetherian non-idempotent M-ring. Proposition 5. *Let R be a left Noetherian non-idempotent M-ring, and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal, s.t. $\mathfrak{p} < N$ then $\mathfrak{p} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1 [5] $\mathfrak{p} = N\mathfrak{p}$, so by Nakayama's lemma $\mathfrak{p} = \{0\}$.

Theorem 5. *Let R be a left Noetherian non-idempotent M-ring, and also a semi-prime ring. Suppose $N < \delta$, then for any maximal left ideal I of R , $l\text{-ann}(I) = \{0\}$, i.e. I is a faithful left R -module.*

Proof. Let I be a maximal left ideal of R , and set $\alpha = l\text{-ann}(I) = \{x \in R \mid xI = \{0\}\}$. Suppose $\alpha \not\subseteq \delta$, then $\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\rho$ for some ordinal α and some positive integer ρ , by Theorem 5 (i) [5]. Since R is left Noetherian, $I = Ru_1 + \dots + Ru_r + Zu_1 + \dots + Zu_r$, $u_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where Z denotes the ring of integers. Therefore $\{0\} = \alpha I = \alpha Ru_1 + \dots + \alpha Ru_r + \alpha u_1 + \dots + \alpha u_r = \alpha u_1 + \dots + \alpha u_r$, since $\alpha R = \delta_\alpha^\rho R = \delta_\alpha^\rho = \alpha$. If every $u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ belong to R^2 , then $I \subseteq R^2$, therefore by the maximality of I $I = R^2$, hence $\{0\} = \alpha I = \delta_\alpha^\rho R^2 = \delta_\alpha^\rho = \alpha$, i.e. $\alpha \subseteq \delta$, a contradiction. Therefore, some $u_i \notin R^2$. Then $\{0\} = \alpha u_i = \delta_\alpha^\rho u_i \supseteq \delta u_i$, i.e. $\delta u_i = \{0\}$, by Theorem 3 $u_i = 0$, a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $\alpha \subseteq \delta$, hence by Theorem 2 $I\alpha = \alpha$, therefore $\alpha^2 = I\alpha \cdot I\alpha = I \cdot \alpha I \cdot \alpha = \{0\}$. Since R is a semi-prime ring, $\alpha = \{0\}$.

Proposition 6. *Let R be a left Noetherian non-idempotent M-ring, and let $N < \delta$. Assume that α is an ideal of R , properly contained in δ . Let \mathfrak{p} be maximal in the set of ideals of R , s.t. $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p} < \delta$, then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R .*

Proof. We assume that \mathfrak{p} is not a prime ideal of R , then there exist ideals of R α, \mathfrak{b} , s.t. $\alpha\mathfrak{b} \equiv 0, \alpha \not\equiv 0, \mathfrak{b} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. We set $(\alpha, \mathfrak{p}) = \alpha_1, (\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{b}_1$, then $\alpha_1\mathfrak{b}_1 = (\alpha, \mathfrak{p})(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, and also $\mathfrak{p} < \alpha_1, \mathfrak{p} < \mathfrak{b}_1$; of course $\alpha \subseteq \alpha_1$, therefore by the maximality of \mathfrak{p} $\alpha_1 \not\subseteq \delta$, and similarly $\mathfrak{b}_1 \not\subseteq \delta$. Hence by Theorem 5 (i) [5], $\alpha = \delta_\alpha^i > \delta, \mathfrak{b}_1 = \delta_{\mathfrak{b}_1}^j > \delta$ for some ordinals α, \mathfrak{b} and some positive integers i, j . Therefore $\alpha_1\mathfrak{b}_1 \supseteq \delta\delta = \delta > \mathfrak{p}$, hence $\alpha_1\mathfrak{b}_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, a contradiction. Thus \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R .

Proposition 7. *Under the same assumptions as Proposition 6, let \mathfrak{p} be maximal in the set of ideals of R , s.t. $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p} < \delta$, and let I be any left ideal of R s.t. $\mathfrak{p} < I \subseteq \delta$. Then the following statements hold:*

- i) $IR = \delta$
- ii) $I^2 = \delta I$
- iii) $\delta = I\delta$
- iv) $I\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$
- v) I^2 is an idempotent left ideal of R

vi) $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq I^n$ for any positive integer n .

Proof. i) Since $\mathfrak{p} < I \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}R \subseteq IR \subseteq \mathfrak{b}R = \mathfrak{b}$. By the maximality of \mathfrak{p} , $\mathfrak{p} = IR$ or $IR = \mathfrak{b}$. But the former does not occur.

ii) Using i) $I^2 \supseteq I \cdot RI = IR \cdot I = \mathfrak{b}I \supseteq I^2$, therefore $I^2 = \mathfrak{b}I$.

iii) Using the results i), ii), $I\mathfrak{b} \equiv I \cdot IR = I^2 \cdot R = \mathfrak{b}I \cdot R = \mathfrak{b} \cdot IR = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}$.

iv) By iii) $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I\mathfrak{p} = I \cdot \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p} = I\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$, therefore $I\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$.

v) By the results ii), iii), $I^3 = I \cdot I^2 = I \cdot \mathfrak{b}I = I\mathfrak{b} \cdot I = \mathfrak{b}I = I^2$, i.e. $I^3 = I^2$, therefore I^2 is an idempotent left ideal of R .

vi) By iv) $\mathfrak{p} = I\mathfrak{p} \subseteq I \cdot I = I^2$, so $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq I^n$ for any positive integer n .

References

- [1] K. Asano: The Theory of Rings and Ideals, Kyoritsu-Shuppan (1949) (in Japanese).
- [2] T. Nakayama and G. Azumaya: Algebra. vol. 2, Iwanami (1954) (in Japanese).
- [3] S. Mori: Über Idealtheorie der Multiplikationsringe. Jour. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., ser. A, **19**(3), 429–437 (1956).
- [4] —: Struktur der Multiplikationsringe. Ibid., **16**, 1–11 (1952).
- [5] T. Ukegawa: Some properties of non-commutative multiplication rings. Proc. Japan Acad., **54A**, 279–284 (1978).