

12. On Riemannian Spaces Admitting a Family of Totally Umbilical Hypersurfaces. II.

By Tyuzi ADATI.

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1951.)

§ 4. When orthogonal trajectories of the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are geodesics, (1.2) reduces to the form

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma_{\lambda; \mu} = \rho g_{\lambda\mu} + \eta \sigma_\lambda \sigma_\mu,$$

namely σ_λ is a torse-forming vector field. In this case, since v_λ is proportional to σ_λ and consequently $v_i = v_\lambda B_i^\lambda = 0$, (1.6) becomes

$$R_{ijk} = B_j^\mu B_k^\nu R_{\mu\nu} + \beta g_{jk}.$$

Thus we have

Theorem 4.1. When orthogonal trajectories of the totally umbilical hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are geodesics, in order that the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are Einstein spaces, it is necessary and sufficient that the tensor $\Pi_{\lambda\mu}$ takes the form

$$\Pi_{\lambda\mu} = u g_{\lambda\mu} + \zeta_\lambda \sigma_\mu + \zeta_\mu \sigma_\lambda.$$

Cor. 1. If σ_λ is a torse-forming vector field and $\Pi_{\lambda\mu} = u g_{\lambda\mu} + \kappa \sigma_\lambda \sigma_\mu$, then the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are Einstein spaces.

Cor. 2. If an Einstein space admits a torse-forming vector field σ_λ , then the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are also Einstein spaces.

We consider next a conformally flat space admitting a torse-forming vector field.

Differentiating (4.1) and substituting the resulted equations in Ricci identities $\sigma_{\lambda; \mu\nu} - \sigma_{\lambda; \nu\mu} = -\sigma_\omega R^{\omega}_{\lambda\mu\nu}$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad -\sigma_\omega R^{\omega}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = (\rho_\nu - \rho\eta\sigma_\nu)g_{\lambda\mu} - (\rho_\mu - \rho\eta\sigma_\mu)g_{\lambda\nu} + \sigma_\lambda(\eta_\nu\sigma_\mu - \eta_\mu\sigma_\nu).$$

Multiplying by σ^λ and summing for λ , we have

$$(\rho_\nu + \sigma^\lambda \sigma_\lambda \eta_\nu) \sigma_\mu - (\rho_\mu + \sigma^\lambda \sigma_\lambda \eta_\mu) \sigma_\nu = 0,$$

from which follows that $\rho_\nu + \sigma^\lambda \sigma_\lambda \eta_\nu$ is proportional to σ_ν , that is, $\sigma^\lambda \sigma_\lambda \eta_\nu = a \sigma_\nu - \rho_\nu$, where a is a certain scalar. On the other hand, multiplying (4.2) by $g^{\lambda\mu}$ and summing for λ and μ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma_\omega R^{\omega}_{\lambda\mu\nu} &= (n-1)(\rho_\nu - \rho\eta\sigma_\nu) + \sigma^\lambda \sigma_\lambda \eta_\nu - \sigma^\lambda \eta_\lambda \sigma_\nu \\ &= (n-2)\rho_\nu + \{a - (n-1)\rho\eta - \sigma^\lambda \eta_\lambda\} \sigma_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the equations of the form

$$\sigma_\omega \Pi^\omega_{\cdot\nu} = \rho_\nu + b\sigma_\nu,$$

$$\text{where } b = \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \frac{R}{2(n-1)} + a - (n-1)\rho\eta - \sigma^\lambda \eta_\lambda \right\}.$$

However, by virtue of $C^\lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu\omega} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma_\omega R^\omega_{\cdot\lambda\mu\nu} &= \Pi_{\lambda\mu}\sigma_\nu - \Pi_{\lambda\nu}\sigma_\mu + g_{\lambda\mu}\sigma_\omega \Pi^\omega_{\cdot\nu} - g_{\lambda\nu}\sigma_\omega \Pi^\omega_{\cdot\mu} \\ &= (\Pi_{\lambda\mu} + bg_{\lambda\mu})\sigma_\nu - (\Pi_{\lambda\nu} + bg_{\lambda\nu})\sigma_\mu \\ &\quad + \rho_\nu g_{\lambda\mu} - \rho_\mu g_{\lambda\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \Pi_{\lambda\mu} + (b + \rho\eta)g_{\lambda\mu} - \frac{1}{\sigma^\omega \sigma_\omega} \sigma_\lambda \rho_\mu \right\} \sigma_\nu \\ &\quad - \left\{ \Pi_{\lambda\nu} + (b + \rho\eta)g_{\lambda\nu} - \frac{1}{\sigma^\omega \sigma_\omega} \sigma_\lambda \rho_\nu \right\} \sigma_\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\Pi_{\lambda\mu}$ takes the form

$$\Pi_{\lambda\mu} = ug_{\lambda\mu} + \zeta_\lambda \sigma_\mu + \zeta_\mu \sigma_\lambda.$$

Hence from the theorem 4.1 we know that the hypersurfaces $\sigma = \text{const.}$ are Einstein spaces. However since the totally umbilical surface in a conformally flat space is also conformally flat, the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are conformally flat and consequently of constant Riemann curvature. Thus we have

Theorem 4.2. When a conformally flat space admits a torse-forming vector field σ_λ , the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are of constant Riemann curvature ($n > 3$).

§5. The fundamental quadratic differential form of the space admitting a torse-forming vector field is given by the form²⁾

$$(5.1) \quad ds^2 = \rho(x^\lambda)^{-2} f_{ij}(x^k) dx^i dx^j + (dx^n)^2 \\ (i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

for a suitable coordinate system. Consequently the fundamental tensor becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= \rho^{-2} f_{ij}, & g_{in} &= 0, & g_{nn} &= 1, \\ g^{ij} &= \rho^2 f^{ij}, & g^{in} &= 0, & g^{nn} &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $f^{ij} f_{jk} = \delta_k^i$.

The fundamental tensor \bar{g}_{ij} of the hypersurfaces $x^n = \text{const.}$ is equal to g_{ij} . If we denote the Christoffel symbols of the second kind, curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature with

respect to \bar{g}_{ij} by $\{\bar{g}_{jk}^i\}$, $\bar{R}^i{}_{jkl}$, \bar{R}_{jk} and \bar{R} respectively, we have from (5.1) the next equations:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ nn \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ nn \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ i n \end{Bmatrix} = 0, \\ \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ jk \end{Bmatrix} = \rho_n g_{jk}, & \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ j n \end{Bmatrix} = -\rho_n \delta_j^i, \\ \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ jk \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \bar{i} \\ jk \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ jk \end{Bmatrix}^* - \delta_j^i \rho_k - \delta_k^i \rho_j + f^{il} f_{jk} \rho_l, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho_\lambda = \frac{\partial \log \rho}{\partial x^\lambda}$ and $\begin{Bmatrix} i \\ jk \end{Bmatrix}^*$ are Christoffel symbols with respect to f_{ij} ;

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} R^n{}_{nml} = R^n{}_{nkl} = 0, \\ R^n{}_{jnt} = \rho \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nm} g_{jt}, & R^n{}_{.nml} = -\rho \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nm} \delta_l^t, \\ R^n{}_{jkl} = \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^i} g_{jk} - \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^k} g_{jl}, \\ R^t{}_{.nkl} = -\frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^i} \delta_k^t + \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^k} \delta_i^t, \\ R^i{}_{jnt} = (\delta_i^t \delta_j^k - g^{ik} g_{jt}) \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^k}, \\ R^t{}_{.jkl} = \bar{R}^t{}_{.jkl} - \rho_n^2 (g_{jk} \delta_i^t - g_{jl} \delta_k^t), \end{cases}$$

where $\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)$, $\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nm} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_m$;

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} R_{nn} = -(n-1) \rho \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nn}, \\ R_{jn} = (n-2) \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^j}, \\ R_{jk} = \bar{R}_{jk} - g_{jk} \left\{ \rho \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nn} + (n-2) (\rho_n)^2 \right\}; \end{cases}$$

$$(5.5) \quad R = \bar{R} - (n-1) \left\{ 2\rho \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nn} + (n-2) (\rho_n)^2 \right\};$$

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \Pi_{nn} = \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)(n-2)} + \rho \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_{nn} - \frac{1}{2} (\rho_n)^2, \\ \Pi_{jn} = -\frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial x^j}, \\ \Pi_{jk} = -\frac{1}{n-2} \left(\bar{R}_{jk} - \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{jk} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\rho_n)^2 g_{jk}; \end{cases}$$

$$(5.7) \left\{ \begin{aligned} C^{n \cdot ml} &= C^{n \cdot nkl} = C^{n \cdot jkl} = C^{i \cdot nkl} = C^{i \cdot jml} = 0, \\ C^{n \cdot jml} &= \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\bar{R}_{jl} - \frac{\bar{R}}{n-1} g_{jl} \right), \\ C^{i \cdot nml} &= -\frac{1}{n-2} \left(\bar{R}^i \cdot l - \frac{\bar{R}}{n-1} \delta_l^i \right), \\ C^{i \cdot jkl} &= \bar{R}^i \cdot jkl - \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ (\bar{R}^i \cdot l g_{jk} - \bar{R}^l \cdot k g_{jl} + \bar{R}_{jk} \delta_l^i - \bar{R}_{jl} \delta_k^i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_l^i g_{jk} - \delta_k^i g_{jl}) \right\}; \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$(5.8) \left\{ \begin{aligned} \Pi_{mi;j} - \Pi_{nj;i} &= 0, \\ \Pi_{mi;i} - \Pi_{ni;n} &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial x^i}, \\ \Pi_{ij;n} - \Pi_{in;j} &= -\frac{\rho_n}{n-2} \left(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{\bar{R}}{n-1} g_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\rho_{i|j} - \rho_i \rho_j + \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \rho_k \rho_l g_{ij} \right), \\ \Pi_{ij;k} - \Pi_{ik;j} &= -\frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} \right\}_{|k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \bar{R}_{ik} - \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{ik} \right\}_{|j}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $\rho_{i|j}$ are covariant derivatives with respect to \bar{g}_{ij} .

When $n > 3$, we get from (5.7) readily

Theorem 5.1. In order that the space admitting a torse-forming vector field σ_λ is conformally flat, it is necessary and sufficient that the hypersurfaces $\sigma(x^\lambda) = \text{const.}$ are of constant Riemann curvature ($n > 3$).

Now we consider (5.8). Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\rho(x^i, x^0) = 1$ for some value x^0 of x^n . Consequently the fundamental tensor of the hypersurface $x^n = x^0$ is given by f_{ij} . By a conformal transformation $g_{ij} = \rho^{-2} f_{ij}$, we have

$$(5.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} &= \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}^* - \delta_j^i \rho_k - \delta_k^j \rho_i + f^{il} \rho_l f_{jk}, \\ \bar{R}^i \cdot jkl &= R^{*i \cdot jkl} + \rho_{jk}^* \delta_l^i - \rho_{ji}^* \delta_k^l + f_{jk} f^{lm} \rho_{ml}^* - f_{jl} f^{im} \rho_{mk}^*, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $R^{*i \cdot jkl}$ is a curvature tensor with respect to f_{ij} and

$$\rho_{jk}^* = \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial x^k} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}^* \rho_l + \rho_j \rho_k - \frac{1}{2} f^{il} \rho_i \rho_l f_{jk} .$$

Hence we have

$$(5.10) \quad \bar{R}_{jk} = R_{jk}^* + (n-3)\rho_{jk}^* + f^{lm} \rho_{im}^* f_{jk} ,$$

$$(5.11) \quad \bar{R} \rho^{-2} = R^* + 2(n-2)f^{lm} \rho_{im}^* ,$$

where $R_{jk}^* = R^{*i}{}_{.jki}$, $R^* = f^{jk} R_{jk}^*$. Furthermore, from (5.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{i|j} &\equiv \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x^j} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\} \rho_l \\ &= \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x^j} - \left(\left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* - \delta_i^l \rho_j - \delta_j^l \rho_i + f^{lm} \rho_m f_{ij} \right) \rho_l , \end{aligned}$$

from which follows

$$(5.12) \quad \rho_{i|j} - \rho_i \rho_j + \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \rho_k \rho_l g_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^* .$$

Let us suppose that V_n is conformally flat. Since the hyper surfaces $x^n = \text{const.}$ are Einstein spaces, we have

$$\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} = \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} = \frac{\bar{R} \rho^{-2}}{2(n-1)} f_{ij} .$$

Differentiating with respect to x^n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{\bar{R}}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} \right) &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} (\bar{R} \rho^{-2} f_{ij}) \\ (5.13) \quad &= (n-2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} f^{lm} \rho_{im}^* f_{ij} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.12) and (5.13) in (5.8), we obtain

$$\Pi_{ij;n} - \Pi_{in;j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \left(\rho_{ij}^* - \frac{1}{n-1} f^{lm} \rho_{im}^* f_{ij} \right) = 0 ,$$

from which follows the equations of the form

$$(5.14) \quad \rho_{ij}^* - \frac{1}{n-1} f^{lm} \rho_{im}^* f_{ij} = F(x^i) ,$$

where $F(x^i)$ is a certain function of x^i . However, when $x^n = x_0^n$, by virtue of $\rho_i = 0$ and $\rho_{ij}^* = 0$, the left-hand member of (5.14) vanishes and the right-hand member does not involve x^n . Consequently (5.14) becomes

$$(5.15) \quad \rho_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{n-1} f^{lm} \rho_{im}^* f_{lj}.$$

In this case, the vector field ρ_i of the hypersurfaces $x^n = x_0^n$ is a concircular one¹⁾.

Moreover, we note that when $n > 3$ and the hypersurfaces $x^n = \text{const.}$ are all Einstein spaces, (5.15) may be reduced from (5.10).

In the case when $n = 3$, if V_3 is conformally flat, from (5.8) we know that \bar{R} is a function of x^3 alone and consequently the hypersurfaces $x^3 = \text{const.}$ are of constant Riemann curvature. Furthermore we get the relations (5.15). Hence we have

Theorem 5.2. In order that V_3 which admits a torse-forming vector field and whose fundamental quadratic differential form is given by (5.1) is conformally flat, it is necessary and sufficient that the hypersurfaces $x^3 = \text{const.}$ are of constant Riemann curvature and the relations (5.15) hold, assuming $\rho(x^3, x_0^3) = 1$.

Furthermore from (5.8) we have

Theorem 5.3. In a space admitting a torse-forming vector field σ_λ , if the hypersurfaces $\sigma = \text{const.}$ are all Einstein spaces, then we have

$$\Pi_{\lambda\mu; \nu} - \Pi_{\lambda\nu; \mu} = 0 \quad (n > 3).$$

References.

- 1) K. Yano: Concircular Geometry I. Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **16** (1940), 195-200.
- 2) K. Yano: On the Torse-forming Directions in Riemannian Spaces. Ibid. **20** (1944), 340-345.