

## 185. $\delta_p$ and Countably Paracompact Spaces

By J. M. BOYTE

Appalachian State University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., May 12, 1971)

In [3], Mack defines the term  $\delta$ -normal, and proves that if  $I$  is the closed unit interval, then a space  $X$  is countably paracompact if and only if  $X \times I$  is  $\delta$ -normal. In this paper we define the term  $\delta_p$  which is stronger than  $\delta$ -normal, but is strictly weaker than countable paracompactness, and is strictly weaker than normality; and we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$*

- (i) *The space  $X$  is countably paracompact.*
- (ii) *The space  $X$  is  $\delta_p$  and countably metacompact.*
- (iii) *The space  $X$  is  $\delta_p$  and every countable open cover of  $X$  has a countable semi-refinement of closed sets.*
- (iv) *If  $C$  is a countable open cover of  $X$ , then there exists a countable collection  $L = \{L_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of open refinements of  $C$  such that for each  $x \in X$  there is some  $L_i$  that is locally finite with respect to  $x$ .*
- (v) *If  $I$  is the closed unit interval, then  $X \times I$  is  $\delta_p$ .*

We observe that (ii) of the above theorem is a slight generalization of a condition proven by Dowker [2]; further; we point out that Theorem 1 in [3] is used in proving (v) of the above theorem.

**Definition.** If  $X$  is a space and  $C$  is an open cover of  $X$ , then  $L$  is a semi-refinement of  $C$  if each member of  $L$  is contained in the union of a finite subset of  $C$ .

**Definition.** If  $X$  is a space and  $L$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , then  $L$  is locally finite with respect to a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an open set  $V$ ,  $x \in V$ , such that  $V$  intersects only finitely many members of  $L$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a space and let  $N$  be a cardinal number. Then  $X$  is called an  $N_p$  space, if for each open cover  $C$ , cardinality of  $C$  less than or equal  $N$ , there exists for each closed set  $F$  contained in any member of  $C$ , an open refinement of  $C$  that is locally finite with respect to  $F$ . In the special case when  $N = \aleph_0$ , we will denote  $N_p$  by  $\delta_p$ .

For an infinite cardinal  $N$ , a topological space is  $N$ -normal if each pair of disjoint closed sets, one of which is a regular  $G_N$ -set, have disjoint neighborhoods [3]. A set  $B$  is called a regular  $G_N$ -set if it is the intersection of at most  $N$  closed sets whose interiors contain  $B$  [3].

For  $N = \aleph_0$ ,  $N$ -normal is denoted by  $\delta$ -normal and a regular  $G_N$  set by regular  $G_\delta$  set.

A space  $X$  is called countably metacompact if every countable open cover of  $X$  has a point finite open refinement. The terminology of [4] is followed except that we shall use  $V(x)$ ,  $N(x)$ , etc. (resp.,  $V(A)$ ,  $N(A)$ , etc.) to denote open sets containing the point  $x$  (resp., the subset  $A$ ).

At the end of the paper, we give an example of a  $\delta$ -normal countably metacompact space that is not countably paracompact, thus, by Theorem 1, a  $\delta$ -normal space that is not  $\delta_p$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $N$  is a cardinal number, then we have the following:*

- (i) *Every paracompact space is  $N_p$ .*
- (ii) *Each normal space is  $N_p$ .*
- (iii) *Each  $N_p$  space is  $N$ -normal.*

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The proof of (i) is clear by the definition. To show (ii), let  $C = \{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  be an open cover of a normal space  $X$ , and suppose a closed set  $F_\lambda \subset G_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in A$ . Then there exists  $V(F_\lambda)$  such that  $\overline{V(F_\lambda)} \subset G_\lambda$ . If we let  $C_1 = \{G_\lambda\} \cup \{(X - \overline{V(F_\lambda)}) \cap G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ , then  $C_1$  is an open refinement of  $C$  that is locally finite with respect to  $F_\lambda$ . Thus,  $X$  is an  $N_p$  space for all cardinal  $N$ .

Suppose  $N$  is a cardinal number, and  $X$  is an  $N_p$  space. Assume  $F$  and  $K$  are disjoint closed sets with  $F$  a regular  $G_N$ -set. By definition,  $F = \bigcap \{\overline{W_\alpha(F)} \mid \alpha \in A, \text{ card. } A \leq N\}$ . Since  $F \subset X - K$  and since  $C = \{X - K\} \cup \{X - \overline{W_\alpha(F)} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ , there exists an open refinement  $\{V_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}$  of  $C$  that is locally finite with respect to  $F$ . For each  $x \in F$ , there exists  $N(x)$  such that  $N(x) \cap V_\lambda \neq \emptyset$  for only finitely many  $\lambda \in A$ . By letting  $L_x = \{V_\lambda \mid V_\lambda \cap N(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } V_\lambda \subset X - \overline{W_\alpha(F)} \text{ for some } \alpha \in A\}$ , there exists  $H(x)$  such that  $H(x) \cap (\cup L_x) = \emptyset$ . Put  $M(x) = N(x) \cap H(x)$ ; then  $M(x) \cap (\cup \{V_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A \text{ and } V_\lambda \subset X - \overline{W_\alpha(F)} \text{ for some } \alpha \in A\}) = \emptyset$ . If we let  $O(F) = \cup \{M(x) \mid x \in F\}$ , then  $O(F)$  is open and contains  $F$ . Further, if  $V_\lambda \subset X - \overline{W_\alpha(F)}$ ,  $\lambda \in A$  and  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $O(F) \cap V_\lambda = \emptyset$ . Hence  $O(F) \cap (\cup \{V_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A \text{ and } V_\lambda \subset X - \overline{W_\alpha(F)}\}) = \emptyset$ ; and therefore,  $\overline{O(F)} \subset X - K$ . It follows that  $X$  is  $N$ -normal.

**Definition.** For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $L_i$  be a collection of sets. Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n (V_{(i,\alpha)} \mid V_{(i,\alpha)} \in L_i)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a space, and let  $C$  be a countable open cover of  $X$ . If there exists a countable collection  $L = \{L_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of open refinements of  $C$  such that for each  $x \in X$ , there exists some  $L_i$  that is locally finite with respect to  $x$ , then there exists an open locally finite refinement of  $C$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C = \{G_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable open cover of  $X$ , and let  $L = \{L_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable collection of open refinements

of  $C$  such that for each  $x \in X$  there exists some  $L_i$  that is locally finite with respect to  $x$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $L^n = \{V \mid V \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i, V \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (G_i)\}$ ; and let  $A_n = \{x \mid x \in X \text{ and there exists } M(x), M(x) \cap (\bigcup L^n) = \emptyset\}$ . To show that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty (A_i) = X$ , let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $N(x)$  and there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $N(x)$  intersects only finitely many members of  $L_k$ ; hence, there exists a positive integer  $K$  such that  $V \in L_k, N(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  implies  $V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^K (G_i)$ . Thus,  $N(x) \cap (\bigcup L^K) = \emptyset$ , and therefore,  $x \in A_K$ . If  $n$  is a positive integer, there exists  $W(A_n)$  such that  $\overline{W(A_n)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (G_i)$ . Now  $H = \{G_1\} \cup \{G_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (\overline{W(A_i)}) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is an open locally finite refinement of  $C$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The proof that (i) implies (ii) is clear, (ii) implies (iii) is not very difficult; and (iv) implies (i) follows from Lemma 3.

To see that (iii) implies (iv), let  $C = \{G_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable open cover of  $X$ , and let  $\{F_j \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable closed semi-refinement of  $C$ . Then for each positive integer  $j$ , there exists a finite union  $M_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (G_{(k,j)})$  of subsets of  $C$  such that  $F_j \subset M_j$ . If we let  $C_j = \{M_j\} \cup C$ , then there exists an open refinement  $H$  of  $C_j$  that is locally finite with respect to  $F_j$ . By letting  $L_j = \{V \mid V \in H \text{ and } V \subset G_i \text{ for some } G_i \in C\} \cup \{V \cap G_{(k,j)} \mid k=1, \dots, n, M_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_{(k,j)}, V \subset M_j \text{ and } V \in H\}$ ,  $L_j$  is an open refinement of  $C$  that is locally finite with respect to  $F_j$ . Therefore, a desired collection is  $L = \{L_j \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$ .

That (i) implies (v) follows from Theorem 1 [2], and (v) implies (i) follows from Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 [3].

**Comment.** That every regular Lindelof space is paracompact follows from (iv) of Theorem 1.

A space  $X$  is defined to be point (countably) paracompact if for each (countable) open cover  $C$  of  $X$ , there exists for each  $x \in X$  an open refinement of  $C$  that is locally finite with respect to  $x$ .

**Remark.** It is clear that each  $T_1 \delta_p$  space is point countably paracompact, and that if  $X$  is a  $T_1$  space that is an  $N_p$  space for each cardinal  $N$ , then  $X$  is point paracompact. By Theorem 2 [1], each  $T_2$  point paracompact space is regular. Thus, if  $X$  is a  $T_2$  space that is an  $N_p$  space for each infinite cardinal  $N$ , then  $X$  is regular, and the following proposition is obtained.

**Proposition 4.** *A  $T_2$  space is normal if and only if it is an  $N_p$  space for every infinite cardinal  $N$ .*

**Corollary 5.** *Each  $T_2$  paracompact space is normal.*

**Example.** There exists a  $\delta$ -normal countably metacompact space that is not countably paracompact.

Let  $X = \{(1/n, 0) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{(1, 0)\} \cup \{(1/n, 1) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ . The basic open sets are  $\{(1/n, 1), (1/n, 0)\}, \{(1/n, 0)\}$ , and  $\{(1/n, 0) \mid n=1, 2,$

$\dots\} \cup \{(1, 0)\}$ . It is easy to see that the space is countably metacompact but not countably paracompact. The only regular  $G_\delta$  subsets of  $X$  are  $X$  and the empty set. Therefore  $x$  is  $\delta$ -normal.

### References

- [1] J. M. Boyte: Point (countable) paracompactness. J. Australian Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [2] C. H. Dowker: On countably paracompact spaces. Canad. J. Math., **3**, 219–224 (1951).
- [3] J. Mack: On countable paracompactness and weak normality properties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **148**, 265–271 (1970).
- [4] J. Dugundji: Topology. Boston (1965).