

151. On Spaces Having the Weak Topology with Respect to Closed Coverings. II

By Kiiti MORITA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Education

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1954)

In the first paper under this title [4] we have introduced the following notion. Let X be a topological space and $\{A_\alpha\}$ a closed covering of X . Then X is said to *have the weak topology with respect to* $\{A_\alpha\}$, if the union of any subcollection $\{A_\beta\}$ of $\{A_\alpha\}$ is closed in X and any subset of $\bigcup_\beta A_\beta$ whose intersection with each A_β is open relative to the subspace topology of A_β is necessarily open in the subspace $\bigcup_\beta A_\beta$.

Any CW-complex (cf. [5]) has the weak topology with respect to the closed covering which consists of the closures¹⁾ of all the cells. As another example we remark that a topological space has always the weak topology with respect to any locally finite closed covering.²⁾

The purpose of this paper is to establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a topological space having the weak topology with respect to a closed covering $\{A_\alpha\}$. Then X is paracompact and normal if and only if each subspace A_α is paracompact and normal.*

Thus if X has the weak topology with respect to a closed covering $\{A_\alpha\}$, each of the following properties for all subspaces A_α implies the same property for X : (1) normality, (2) complete normality, (3) perfect normality, (4) collectionwise normality, (5) paracompactness and normality, (6) countable paracompactness and normality. On the other hand, local compactness or metrizability³⁾ for all A_α does not necessarily imply the same property for X .

§1. Lemmas

Lemma 1. *Let A be a closed subset of a paracompact and normal space X . If $\{G_\alpha\}$ is a locally finite system in A which consists of open F_σ -sets G_α of A , then there exists a locally finite system $\{H_\alpha\}$ of open F_σ -sets of X with the following properties:*

1) The closure of a cell e should be understood here as that in the complex, that is, as the intersection of all subcomplexes containing e .

2) From Theorem 1 below it follows immediately that a topological space which is the union of a locally finite collection of closed, paracompact, normal subspaces is paracompact and normal; this proposition is remarked also by E. Michael [2].

3) We have learned that the latter proposition given in the remark at the end of [4] was already proved by J. Nagata in his paper: On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizability, Jour. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ., Ser. A, **1**, 93-100 (1950).

- (a) $G_\alpha = H_\alpha \cap A$ for each α ,
- (b) $\{H_\alpha\}$ is similar to $\{G_\alpha\}$; i.e. $\bigcap_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i} = 0$ implies $\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_{\alpha_i} = 0$.

Proof. By assumption for each α there exists a countable collection of closed sets F_{α_n} of A (and hence of X) such that $G_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_{\alpha_n}$. Since X is paracompact and $\{F_{\alpha_1}\}$ is locally finite in X , by [3, Theorem 1.3] there exists a system $\{H_{\alpha_1}\}$ of open F_σ -sets of X such that

- (a₁) $F_{\beta_1} \subset H_{\alpha_1}, \bar{H}_{\alpha_1} \subset (G_\alpha \cup (X - A)) \cap V_\alpha,$
- (b₁) $\{\bar{H}_{\alpha_1}\}$ is similar to $\{F_{\alpha_1}\}.$

Here $\{V_\alpha\}$ is a locally finite system of open sets of X such that $\bar{G}_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ for each α ; the existence of such a system $\{V_\alpha\}$ is assured by [3, Lemma in §3] since $\{\bar{G}_\alpha\}$ is locally finite in X .

By induction we can construct successively systems $\{H_{\alpha_i}\}, i=2, 3, \dots$ of open F_σ -sets of X such that

- (a_i) $F_{\alpha_i} \cup \bar{H}_{\alpha, i-1} \subset H_{\alpha_i}, \bar{H}_{\alpha_i} \subset (G_\alpha \cup (X - A)) \cap V_\alpha,$
- (b_i) $\{\bar{H}_{\alpha_i}\}$ is similar to $\{F_{\alpha_i} \cup \bar{H}_{\alpha, i-1}\}.$

Let us put

$$H_\alpha = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty H_{\alpha_i}.$$

Then these H_α are open F_σ -sets of X and satisfy (a). It is also obvious that $\{H_\alpha\}$ is locally finite in X . To prove (b), let

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i} = 0.$$

Then for any $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r (F_{\alpha_i}^m \cup \bar{H}_{\alpha_i, m-1}) = \bigcup_{\Delta} (\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} F_{\alpha_i}^m \cap (\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \bar{H}_{\alpha_i, m-1})) \cup (\bigcap_{i=1}^r \bar{H}_{\alpha_i, m-1}),$$

where Δ ranges over non-empty subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Since

$$\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} F_{\alpha_i}^m \cap (\bigcap_{j \in \Delta} \bar{H}_{\alpha_j, m-1}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i} = 0,$$

we see that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r (F_{\alpha_i}^m \cup \bar{H}_{\alpha_i, m-1}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \bar{H}_{\alpha_i, m-1}.$$

By induction with respect to m we can easily verify by virtue of (b_m) that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r \bar{H}_{\alpha_i}^m = 0, \text{ for every } m \geq 1.$$

Thus we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\tau} H_i = 0$. This proves (b).

Remark. From the above proof it is seen that if A is a closed set of a paracompact normal space X and $\{G_\alpha\}$ is a locally finite open covering of A , there exists a locally finite open covering $\{H_\alpha\}$ of X satisfying only (a).⁴⁾

Lemma 2. *Let $\{A, B\}$ be a closed covering of a topological space X and $\{U_\alpha\}$ a locally finite system in A which consists of open F_σ -sets U_α of A . If B is paracompact and normal, there exists a locally finite system $\{V_\alpha\}$ of open F_σ -sets of X such that*

- (a) $U_\alpha = V_\alpha \cap A,$
- (b) $\{V_\alpha\}$ is similar to $\{U_\alpha\}.$

Proof. If we put $G_\alpha = U_\alpha \cap B$, then $\{G_\alpha\}$ is a locally finite system which consists of open F_σ -sets of $A \cap B$. Applying Lemma 1, we can find a locally finite system $\{H_\alpha\}$ such that H_α are open F_σ -sets of B and $G_\alpha = H_\alpha \cap A \cap B$ for each α and $\{H_\alpha\}$ is similar to $\{G_\alpha\}$. Let us put

$$V_\alpha = U_\alpha \cup H_\alpha.$$

Then these V_α are open F_σ -sets of X since $V_\alpha \cap A = U_\alpha$, $V_\alpha \cap B = H_\alpha$, and satisfy the conditions (a) and (b).

§2. Proof of Theorem 1. Since the “only if” part is obvious, we have only to prove the “if” part. Our proof is obtained from an elaboration of the method given in [4].

Let X be a topological space having the weak topology with respect to a closed covering $\{A_\alpha\}$. Let each A_α be paracompact and normal. Then by [4, Theorem 2] X is normal. Hence it is sufficient to prove the paracompactness of X .

Let us assume that the set of indices α consists of all ordinals less than a fixed ordinal η , and put for each $\tau < \eta$

$$P_\tau = \cup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \tau\}, \quad Q_\tau = \cup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be any open covering of X . We shall prove the existence of a locally finite refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{G} . The construction of \mathcal{B} will be performed by transfinite induction. For this purpose let us assume that for each α less than τ ($< \eta$) there exists a countable collection of locally finite open coverings

$$\mathcal{U}(\alpha, i) = \{U(\lambda, \alpha, i) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}(\alpha, i)\}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

4) R. Arens has shown that a weaker assertion than this is essential for the validity of a generalization of Tietze’s extension theorem. Cf. R. Arens: Extension of coverings, of pseudometrics, and of linear-space-valued mappings, Canadian Jour. Math., **5**, 211–215 (1953).

of P_α with the following properties:

(a _{α}) $\mathfrak{U}(\alpha, 0)$ is a refinement of $\mathfrak{G} \cap P_\alpha = \{G \cap P_\alpha \mid G \in \mathfrak{G}\}$:

$$U(\lambda, \alpha, 0) \subset G(\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

(b _{α}) In case $\beta < \alpha$ we have $\Omega(\beta, i) \subset \Omega(\alpha, i)$, $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$.

(c _{α}) In case $\beta < \alpha$ we have $G(\lambda, \beta) = G(\lambda, \alpha)$ for $\lambda \in \Omega(\beta, 0)$.

(d _{α}) There exists for each $\lambda \in \Omega(\alpha, i)$ a continuous mapping $\varphi_{\lambda, \alpha, i}$ of P_α into a closed unit interval $I = \{t \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ such that

$$U(\lambda, \alpha, i) = \{x \mid \varphi_{\lambda, \alpha, i}(x) > 0\}.$$

(e _{α}) In case $\beta < \alpha$ we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda, \beta, i} = \varphi_{\lambda, \alpha, i} \mid P_\beta \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega(\beta, i).$$

(f _{α}) For any $\lambda \in \Omega(\alpha, i)$ the set

$$F(\lambda, \alpha, i) = \{\mu \mid U(\lambda, \alpha, i) \cap U(\mu, \alpha, i-1) \neq \emptyset, \mu \in \Omega(\alpha, i-1)\}$$

is finite, $i=1, 2, \dots$.

(g _{α}) In case $\beta < \alpha$ we have

$$F(\lambda, \beta, i) = F(\lambda, \alpha, i) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega(\beta, i).$$

Let us put

$$(1) \quad \Omega_*(i) = \cup \{\Omega(\alpha, i) \mid \alpha < \tau\},$$

$$(2) \quad \Gamma_*(\lambda, i) = \cup \{\Gamma(\lambda, \alpha, i) \mid \alpha < \tau\},$$

$$(3) \quad U_*(\lambda, i) = \cup \{U(\lambda, \alpha, i) \mid \alpha < \tau\},$$

where $\Gamma(\lambda, \alpha, i)$ and $U(\lambda, \alpha, i)$ mean the empty set for $\lambda \in \Omega_*(i) - \Omega(\alpha, i)$.

Then by (g _{α}) we have $\Gamma_*(\lambda, i) = \Gamma(\lambda, \alpha, i)$ for $\lambda \in \Omega(\alpha, i)$ and $\Gamma_*(\lambda, i)$ is finite. Since by (e _{α})

$$(4) \quad U_*(\lambda, i) \cap P_\alpha = U(\lambda, \alpha, i),$$

$U_*(\lambda, i)$ are open sets of Q_τ by the property of weak topology.

For $\lambda \in \Omega_*(i)$, the map $\psi_{\lambda, i} : Q_\tau \rightarrow I$ defined by

$$\psi_{\lambda, i}(x) = \varphi_{\lambda, \alpha, i}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in P_\alpha$$

is single-valued and continuous by (e _{α}) and the property of weak topology of X , and

$$(5) \quad U_*(\lambda, i) = \{x \mid \psi_{\lambda, i}(x) > 0\}.$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that

$$(6) \quad U_*(\lambda, i) \cap U_*(\mu, i-1) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } \mu \in \Omega_*(i-1) - \Gamma_*(\lambda, i),$$

since in case $\beta \leq \alpha$, $U(\lambda, \beta, i) \cap U(\mu, \alpha, i-1) = U(\lambda, \alpha, i) \cap U(\mu, \beta, i-1) = \emptyset$.

Therefore $\{U_*(\lambda, i) \mid \lambda \in \Omega_*(i)\}$ ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots$) are locally finite open coverings of Q_τ .

Since A_τ is paracompact we can apply Lemma 2 to our case in view of (5); there exists a locally finite system $\{L^{(i)}(\lambda, i), L^{(i)}(\mu, i+1) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i), \mu \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i+1)\}$ of open sets of P_τ which is similar to $\{U_*(\lambda, i), U_*(\mu, i+1) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i), \mu \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i+1)\}$ and satisfies

$$U_*(\lambda, i) = L^{(i)}(\lambda, i) \cap Q_\tau, \quad U_*(\mu, i+1) = L^{(i)}(\mu, i+1) \cap Q_\tau.$$

We put

$$H(\lambda, 0) = L^{(0)}(\lambda, 0) \cap G(\lambda),$$

$$H(\lambda, i) = L^{(i-1)}(\lambda, i) \cap L^{(i)}(\lambda, i), \quad i=1, 2, \dots,$$

where $G(\lambda)$ means $G(\lambda, \alpha)$ (with a suitable α) in (α_α) which is determined uniquely by (c_α) , and hence $U_*(\lambda, i) \subset G(\lambda) \in \mathcal{G}$. Here we note that

(7)
$$U_*(\lambda, i) = H(\lambda, i) \cap Q_\tau,$$

(8)
$$\{H(\lambda, i), H(\mu, i+1) \mid \lambda, \mu\}$$
 is similar to
$$\{U_*(\lambda, i), U_*(\mu, i+1) \mid \lambda, \mu\}.$$

By the normality of P_τ we can construct a continuous mapping $\varphi_{\lambda, \tau, 0} : P_\tau \rightarrow I$ such that

$$\varphi_{\lambda, \tau, 0}(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_{\lambda, 0}(x), & \text{for } x \in Q_\tau, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in P_\tau - H(\lambda, 0). \end{cases}$$

Let us put

$$U(\lambda, \tau, 0) = \{x \mid \varphi_{\lambda, \tau, 0}(x) > 0\}.$$

Then $U(\lambda, \tau, 0) \subset H(\lambda, 0)$ and hence $\{U(\lambda, \tau, 0) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(0)\}$ is locally finite in P_τ and we have $U(\lambda, \tau, 0) \subset G(\lambda) \in \mathcal{G}$. By (8) we have

(9)
$$U(\mu, \tau, 0) \cap H(\lambda, 1) = 0, \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathcal{Q}_*(0) - \Gamma_*(\lambda, 1).$$

Since P_τ is normal there exists an open set N_0 of P_τ such that

$$Q_\tau \subset N_0, \quad \bar{N}_0 \subset M_0, \quad M_0 = \cup \{U(\lambda, \tau, 0) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(0)\}.$$

Since A_τ is paracompact and $P_\tau - M_0 = A_\tau - M_0$, there exists a locally finite system $\{U(\nu, \tau, 0) \mid \nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{**}(0)\}$ in A_τ such that $U(\nu, \tau, 0)$ are open F'_σ -sets of A_τ (and hence of P_τ by (10)) and

(10)
$$P_\tau - M_0 \subset \cup \{U(\nu, \tau, 0) \mid \nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{**}(0)\} \subset A_\tau - \bar{N}_0 = P_\tau - \bar{N}_0,$$

(11)
$$\{U(\nu, \tau, 0) \mid \nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{**}(0)\}$$
 is a refinement of $\mathcal{G} \cap A_\tau$.

It is obvious that there exists for each $\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{**}(0)$ a continuous mapping $\varphi_{\nu, \tau, 0} : P_\tau \rightarrow I$ such that

$$U(\nu, \tau, 0) = \{x \mid \varphi_{\nu, \tau, 0}(x) > 0\}.$$

Let us put

$$\mathfrak{U}(\tau, 0) = \{U(\lambda, \tau, 0) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}(\tau, 0)\}, \quad \mathcal{Q}(\tau, 0) = \mathcal{Q}_*(0) \cup \mathcal{Q}_{**}(0).$$

Then $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, 0)$ is a locally finite open covering of P_τ and a refinement of $\mathcal{G} \cap P_\tau$. We shall next construct locally finite open coverings

$$\mathfrak{U}(\tau, i) = \{U(\lambda, \tau, i) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}(\tau, i)\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

of P_τ satisfying the conditions:

- (a_i^{*}) $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, i)$ is the sum of two disjoint sets $\mathcal{Q}_*(i)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{**}(i)$.
- (b_i^{*}) There exists for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}(\tau, i)$ a continuous mapping $\varphi_{\lambda, \tau, i}: P_\tau \rightarrow I$ such that

$$U(\lambda, \tau, i) = \{x \mid \varphi_{\lambda, \tau, i}(x) > 0\}.$$

- (c_i^{*}) For $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i)$ we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda, \tau, i}(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_{\lambda, i}(x), & \text{for } x \in Q_\tau, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in P_\tau - H(\lambda, i) \cap N_{i-1}, \end{cases}$$

where N_{i-1} is an open set of P_τ such that

$$Q_\tau \subset N_{i-1} \subset \overline{N_{i-1}} \subset \cup \{U(\lambda, \tau, i-1) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i-1)\}.$$

- (d_i^{*}) $A_\tau - \cup \{U(\lambda, \tau, i) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i)\} \subset \cup \{U(\nu, \tau, i) \mid \nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{**}(i)\} \subset A_\tau - \overline{N_i}$.
- (e_i^{*}) $\Gamma(\lambda, \tau, i) = \{\mu \mid U(\lambda, \tau, i) \cap U(\mu, \tau, i-1) \neq \emptyset, \mu \in \mathcal{Q}(\tau, i-1)\}$ is a finite set and $\Gamma(\lambda, \tau, i) = \Gamma_*(\lambda, i)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i)$.

If we put $N_{-1} = P_\tau$, then $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, 0)$ defined above satisfies these conditions except (e_i^{*}) with $i=0$. Let us assume that $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, i-1)$ satisfying conditions (a_{i-1}^{*}) to (e_{i-1}^{*}) is constructed. Then $\{U(\lambda, \tau, i) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i)\}$ defined by (b_i^{*}), (c_i^{*}) is locally finite in P_τ since $U(\lambda, \tau, i) \subset H(\lambda, i)$ and $\{H(\lambda, i) \mid \lambda\}$ is locally finite in P_τ . Since $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, i-1)$ is locally finite and A_τ is paracompact we can construct a locally finite system $\{U(\nu, \tau, i) \mid \nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{**}(i)\}$ which is locally finite in A_τ and hence in P_τ and satisfies (b_i^{*}), (d_i^{*}) and (e_i^{*}). The validity of (e_i^{*}) for $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_*(i)$ now follows from (d_{i-1}^{*}) and (8) since $U(\lambda, \tau, i) \subset N_{i-1}$ by (c_i^{*}). Thus the existence of a locally finite open covering $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, i)$ of P_τ satisfying the conditions (a_i^{*}) to (e_i^{*}) is verified.

Therefore by induction we see the existence of $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, i)$ satisfying conditions (a_i^{*}) to (e_i^{*}) for $i=1, 2, \dots$.

Then the coverings $\mathfrak{U}(\tau, i)$, $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ clearly satisfy the conditions (a_τ) to (g_τ).

Thus by transfinite induction we can find locally finite open coverings $\mathfrak{U}(\alpha, i)$, $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ satisfying the conditions (a_α) to (g_α) for any $\alpha < \eta$.

Let us put finally

$$\mathfrak{B}(i) = \{V(\lambda, i) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}(i)\},$$

where

$$V(\lambda, i) = \cup \{U(\lambda, \alpha, i) \mid \alpha < \eta\}, \quad \Omega(i) = \cup \{\Omega(\alpha, i) \mid \alpha < \eta\},$$

and $U(\lambda, \alpha, i)$ means the empty set for $\lambda \bar{\in} \Omega(\alpha, i)$. Clearly each $\mathfrak{B}(i)$ is an open covering of X because of the weak topology of X . By the same argument as that for $\{U_*(\lambda, i) \mid \lambda \in \Omega_*(i)\}$ (cf. (6)) we see that each element of $\mathfrak{B}(i)$ intersects only a finite number of sets of $\mathfrak{B}(i-1)$. Hence each $\mathfrak{B}(i)$ is a locally finite covering of X . In particular, $\mathfrak{B}(0)$ is a locally finite open covering of X and a refinement of \mathfrak{G} . Thus Theorem 1 is completely proved.

§3. **Some Remarks.** From the above proof of Theorem 1 we have

Corollary. *Let $\{A_i\}$ be a countable closed covering of a topological space X such that a subset of X is closed if its intersection with each A_i is closed.⁵⁾ If each A_i is normal, then X is normal, and moreover if each A_i is paracompact, then X is paracompact.*

By [1, Theorem 4] and [4, Lemma 3 and Theorem 2] we can easily prove

Theorem 2. *In Theorem 1 the word "paracompact" can be replaced by "countably paracompact" throughout.*

References

- [1] C. H. Dowker: On countably paracompact spaces, *Canadian Jour. Math.*, **3**, 219-224 (1951).
- [2] E. Michael: Local properties of topological spaces, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **21**, 163-172 (1954).
- [3] K. Morita: On the dimension of normal spaces. II, *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan*, **2**, 16-33 (1950).
- [4] K. Morita: On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **29**, 537-543 (1953).
- [5] J. H. C. Whitehead: Combinatorial homotopy. I, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **55**, 213-245 (1949).

5) For instance, in case the interiors of A_i cover X this condition is satisfied. (But in this case if we put $C_i = A_i - \cup_{j < i} \text{Int } A_j$, $\{C_i\}$ is a locally finite closed covering.)