No. 10] 731

## 167. A Remark on Fundamental Exact Sequences in Cohomology of Finite Groups

## By Tadasi NAKAYAMA

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University (Comm. by K. Shoda, M.J.A., Dec. 13, 1956)

The purpose of the present short note is to establish two exact sequences, and their duals, which form a bridge between the well-known series of so-called fundamental exact sequences in cohomology and homology of finite groups, and one of which has been made use of, if not in a way of absolute necessity, in a recent note [7] by the writer. Thus, we prove: Let G be a finite group, H an invariant subgroup of G, and M a G-module. Then the sequence

$$(2'_0) \qquad \qquad 0 \longleftarrow H^0(G/H, M^H) \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longleftarrow} H^0(G, M) \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftarrow} H^0(H, M)_G$$

$$\stackrel{\tau'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-1}(G/H, M^H) \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-1}(G, M)$$

is exact.<sup>1)</sup> Further, if  $H^0(H, M) = 0$ , then the sequence

$$(2'_{1}) \qquad 0 \longleftarrow H^{-1}(G/H, M^{H}) \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-1}(G, M) \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftarrow} H^{-1}(H, M)_{G}$$

$$\stackrel{\tau'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-2}(G/H, M) \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-2}(G, M)$$

is exact.2) Dually, the sequence

$$(1'_{-1}) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow H^{-1}(G/H, M_H) \stackrel{\lambda'}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1}(G, M) \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1}(H, M)^G$$

$$\stackrel{\tau'}{\longrightarrow} H^0(G/H, M_H) \stackrel{\lambda'}{\longrightarrow} H^0(G, M)$$

is exact. If  $H^{-1}(H, M) = 0$ , then the sequence

$$(1') \qquad 0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(G/H, M_{H}) \xrightarrow{\lambda'} H^{0}(G, M) \xrightarrow{P} H^{0}(H, M)^{G}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\tau'} H^{1}(G/H, M_{H}) \xrightarrow{\lambda'} H^{1}(G, M)$$

is exact. The significance of the maps in these sequences will be given in the sequel.

To begin with, we consider a not necessarily finite group G and an invariant subgroup H of G. Let M be a G-module. Then (Hochschild-Nakayama  $\lceil 5 \rceil$ , Hochschild-Serre  $\lceil 6 \rceil$ ):

I. If  $m \ge 1$  and if  $H^n(H, M) = 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , then the sequence of cohomology groups

$$(1) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow H^{m}(G/H, M^{H}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^{m}(G, M) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^{m}(H, M)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\tau} H^{m+1}(G/H, M^{H}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^{m+1}(G, M)$$

is exact, where  $\lambda$  is a lifting map,  $\rho$  is a restriction map, and  $\tau$  is a so-called transgression; that the transgression maps precisely

<sup>1)</sup> The first half of this exact sequence has been given in Artin-Tate [1].

<sup>2)</sup> The first half of this exact sequence has independently been obtained by Y. Kawada.

 $H^m(H,M)^g$  into  $H^{m+1}(G/H,M^H)$  (and not into its proper residue-group) depends on the hypothesis  $H^n(H,M)=0$   $(n=1,\cdots,m-1)$ . Dually

II. If  $m \ge 1$  and if  $H_n(H, M) = 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , then the sequence of homology groups

$$(2) \qquad 0 \longleftarrow H_m(G/H, M_H) \stackrel{\varphi}{\longleftarrow} H_m(G, M) \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftarrow} H_m(H, M)_G$$

$$\stackrel{\tau}{\longleftarrow} H_{m+1}(G/H, M_H) \stackrel{\varphi}{\longleftarrow} H_{m+1}(G, M)$$

is exact, where  $\tau$  is a transgression while  $\iota$  and  $\varphi$  are defined as follows and may be called injection and residuation respectively: the element of  $H_n(H, M)_G$  represented by the (n-homology) class  $\in H_n(H,M)$  of an n-cycle  $\sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n\in H} (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n) \otimes u(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)(u(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n))$  $(\alpha_n) \in M$ ) on H in M is mapped by  $\iota$  to the class  $\in H_n(G, M)$  of the n-cycle on G represented by the same expression, i.e. the n-cycle  $\sum_{\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n \in \mathcal{G}} (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n) \bigotimes v(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n) \ \text{where} \ v(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n) = u(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n) \ \text{or}$ 0 according as all  $\sigma_i$  belong to H or not; the class  $\in H_n(G, M)$  of an  $n\text{-cycle }\sum_{\sigma_1,\,\cdots,\,\sigma_n\in G}(\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_n)\bigotimes u(\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_n)$  on G in M is mapped by  $\varphi$  on the class  $\in H_n(G/H, M_H)$  of the *n*-cycle  $\sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in G} (\overline{\sigma}_1, \dots, \overline{\sigma}_n) \otimes$  $\bar{u}(\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_n)$  on G/H in  $M_H$  where  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  denotes the coset of  $\sigma_i$  modulo H and  $\bar{u}(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n)$  denotes the image of  $u(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n)$  by the canonical homomorphism  $M \longrightarrow M_H$ . Our terminology "injection" is in accord with Chevalley [2]; it corresponds to "transfer" in Artin-Tate [1] and Eckmann [3]. That the transgression  $\tau$  maps  $H_{m+1}(G/H, M_H)$ (and not its proper subgroup) into  $H_m(H,M)_G$  depends on the hypothesis  $H_n(H, M) = 0$   $(n=1, \dots, m-1)$ .

If in particular G is finite, then (2) implies:

II'. If  $m \ge 2$  and if  $H^{-n}(H, M) = 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , then the sequences of negative-dimensional cohomology groups

$$(2') \qquad 0 \longleftarrow H^{-m}(G/H, M^H) \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-m}(G, M) \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftarrow} H^{-m}(H, M)_G$$

$$\stackrel{\tau'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-(m+1)}(G/H, M^H) \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-(m+1)}(G, M)$$

is exact, where  $\varphi'$ , a modified residuation, maps the class  $\in H^{-n}(G,M)$   $(n \geq 1)$  of an (n-1)-cycle  $\sum_{\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n \in G} (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_{n-1}) \otimes u(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_{n-1})$  on G in M to the class  $\in H^{-n}(G/H, M^H)$  of the (n-1)-cycle  $\sum_{\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n \in G} (\overline{\sigma}_1, \cdots, \overline{\sigma}_n) \otimes S_H u(\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_{n-1})$  on G/H in  $M^H$ ,  $S_H$  being the trace map with respect to H, and where  $\tau'$ , a modified transgression, is defined by the transgression  $\tau$  following the inverse of the isomorphism  $H^{-n}(G/H, M_H) \longrightarrow H^{-n}(G/H, M^H)$  induced by the trace map  $S_H: M_H \longrightarrow M^H$ ; indeed, our assumption includes  $H^0(H, M) = H^{-1}(H, M) = 0$  which implies that the G- (or G/H-)homomorphism  $M_H \longrightarrow M^H$  effected by  $S_H$  is an isomorphism.

Now, we contend that II' may be supplemented with two predecessors given in  $(2'_0)$ ,  $(2'_1)$  at the opening. The maps in  $(2'_1)$  except  $\tau'$  are explained in the same manner as in II', while the map  $\tau'$  in  $(2'_1)$  is defined as follows under the assumption  $H^0(H, M) = 0$ : Let

 $\{\rho\}$  be a representative system of H-cosets in G, and let  $\sum_{\rho \in \{\rho\}} (\overline{\rho}) \otimes u(\rho)$   $(\overline{\rho} = (\rho \mod H))$  be a 1-cycle on G/H in  $M^H$ . By the assumption  $H^0(H, M) = 0$ , take  $v(\overline{\rho}) \in M$  for each  $\rho$  such that  $S_H(v(\overline{\rho})) = u(\rho)$ . Then  $\tau'$  maps the class  $\in H^{-2}(G/H, M^H)$  of our 1-cycle to the element of  $H^{-1}(H, M)_G$  represented by the class  $\in H^{-1}(H, M)$  of the 0-cycle  $\sum_{\rho} (\rho^{-1} - 1)v(\overline{\rho})$ ; that the definition is independent of the choice of  $v(\overline{\rho})$  can readily be seen. As for  $(2'_0)$ , the first  $\varphi'$ , in  $(2'_0)$ , is induced by the identity map  $M^G \longrightarrow (M^H)^{G/H}$ , while  $\iota$  is induced by the trace map  $S_{G/H}: M^H \longrightarrow M^G$  and is essentially the injection in the sense of Chevalley [2], or the transfer of Artin-Tate [1]. Further,  $\tau'$  in  $(2'_0)$  sends the class  $\in H^{-1}(G/H, M^H)$  of the 0-cycle u  $(u \in M^H, S_{G/H}(u) = 0)$  on G/H in  $M^H$  to the element of  $H^0(H, M)_G$  represented by the class  $\in H^0(H, M)$  of the 0-cocycle u on H in M. The second  $\varphi'$  in  $(2'_0)$  is defined in the same manner as in II', i.e. by the residuation  $\varphi$  in combination with  $S_H$ . So,  $(2'_0)$  is nothing but

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{combination with } S_H. \quad \text{So, } (2_0') \text{ is nothing but} \\ 0 \longleftarrow \frac{M^G}{S_{G/H}(M^H)} \stackrel{\varphi',1}{\longrightarrow} \frac{M^G}{S_G(M)} \stackrel{\iota,S_{G/H}}{\longleftarrow} \\ \frac{M^H}{S(M) + \{\sum_{\mathsf{Pmod}\,H}(\rho-1)u_{\mathsf{P}}|u_{\mathsf{P}} \in M^H\}} \stackrel{\tau',1}{\longleftarrow} \\ \frac{\{u \in M^H \left| S_{G/H}(u) = 0 \right\}}{\{\sum_{\mathsf{Pmod}\,H}(\rho-1)u_{\mathsf{P}} \right|u_{\mathsf{P}} \in M^H\}} \stackrel{\{u \in M \left| S_G(u) = 0 \right\}}{\{\sum_{\sigma \in G}(\sigma-1)u_{\sigma} \left|u_{\sigma} \in M \right\}}, \end{array}$$

where inducing maps are indicated besides the maps themselves. The exactness at the 2nd and the 3rd terms is given in Artin-Tate [1]. The verification of the exactness at the 4th and 5th terms is also straightforward.

We turn to  $(2'_1)$ . Though it is not difficult to achieve our aim also by direct verification, we had perhaps better to apply the method which has been utilized by Hattori [4] in proving I. Thus, with a G-module M, let  $\widetilde{M}$  be a free G-module of which M is a G-homomorphic image and N be the kernel of the homomorphism:

$$(*) \hspace{1cm} 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \hspace{1cm} (exact).$$

Let H be a subgroup of G. Then we have the exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow N^H \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}^H \longrightarrow M^H \longrightarrow H^1(H,N) \longrightarrow 0$ . If H is an invariant subgroup, as we shall assume, then this is an exact sequence of G/H-modules. If  $H^1(H,N)=0$ , then

$$(**) \hspace{1cm} 0 \longrightarrow N^H \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}^H \longrightarrow M^H \longrightarrow 0 \hspace{3mm} (\text{exact}).$$

Provided that H is finite, the condition  $H^1(H,N)=0$  is equivalent, because of (\*), to  $H^0(H,M)=0$ , which we shall assume together with the finiteness of G itself. Since  $\widetilde{M}^H$  is G/H-free, we have canonical isomorphisms  $H^0(G/H,N^H)\cong H^{-1}(G/H,M^H)$ ,  $H^{-1}(G/H,N^H)\cong H^{-2}(G/H,M^H)$ . We have also canonical isomorphisms  $H^0(G,N)\cong H^{-1}(G,M)$ ,  $H^{-1}(G,N)\cong H^{-2}(G,M)$  and  $H^0(H,N)\cong H^{-1}(H,M)$  (which are independent

of the assumption  $H^0(H, M) = 0$ ). The last isomorphism commutes with the operation of G, and therefore, we have  $H^0(H, N)_G \cong H^{-1}(H, M)_G$ . Thus the terms of the sequence (2') are isomorphic to the corresponding terms of the sequence which we obtain on replacing M in  $(2'_0)$  by N. This sequence is exact. So if we can show the commutativity of the diagram consisting of this sequence (i.e.  $(2'_0)$  with M replaced by N) and  $(2'_1)$  with corresponding terms connected by the arrows representing their canonical isomorphisms, then the exactness of  $(2'_1)$  will follow. But the verification of the commutativity is rather immediate. We shall consider the central square

$$(\not q) \qquad \begin{array}{c} H^0(H,N)_G & \stackrel{\tau'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-1}(G/H,N^H) \\ & \uparrow^{\mathfrak{s}} \qquad & \uparrow^{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & H^{-1}(H,M)_G \stackrel{\tau'}{\longleftarrow} H^{-2}(G/H,M^H) \end{array}$$

for an example. Let  $\{\rho\}$  be a representative system of H-cosets in G, and let  $\sum_{\rho} (\bar{\rho}) \otimes u(\rho)$  be a 1-cycle on G/H in  $M^H$ . Its class is mapped by  $\delta$  to the class  $\in H^{-1}(G/H, N^H)$  of the 0-cycle  $\sum_{\rho} (\rho^{-1} - 1) \tilde{u}(\rho)$ on G/H in  $N^H$ , where  $\tilde{u}(\rho)$  is, for each  $\rho$ , an element of  $\tilde{M}^H$  whose image in  $M^H$  is  $u(\rho)$ . This class is in turn mapped by  $\tau'$  to the element of  $H^0(H,N)_G$  represented by the class  $\in H^0(H,N)$  of the 0cocycle  $\sum_{\rho} (\rho^{-1} - 1) \tilde{u}(\rho)$  on H in N. On the other hand, the class of the 1-cycle  $\sum_{\rho} (\bar{\rho}) \otimes u(\rho)$  is mapped by  $\tau'$  to the element of  $H^{-1}(H, M)_G$ represented by the class of the 0-cycle  $\sum_{\rho} (\rho^{-1}-1)v(\rho)$  on H in M, where  $S_H(v(\rho)) = u(\rho)$ . Let  $\tilde{v}(\rho)$  be, for each  $\rho$ , an element of  $\tilde{M}$  whose image in M is  $v(\rho)$ . Then our element of  $H^{-1}(H,M)_{G}$  is mapped by  $\delta$  to the element of  $\mathit{H^{0}(H,N)_{\scriptscriptstyle{G}}}$  represented by the class of the 0-cocycle  $S_H(\sum_{\mathbf{p}}(\rho^{-1}-1)\tilde{v}(\rho)) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}}(\rho^{-1}-1)S_H(\tilde{v}(\rho))$  on H in N. Here  $S_H(\tilde{v}(\rho))$  is mapped to  $S_H(v(\rho)) = u(\rho)$  by the homomorphism  $\widetilde{M}^H \longrightarrow M^H$ . Hence, as the above element  $\tilde{u}(\rho)$  we may take this element  $S_H(\tilde{v}(\rho))$ , for each  $\rho$ , having thus  $\sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\rho^{-1} - 1) \tilde{u}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\rho^{-1} - 1) S_{H}(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{p}))$ . Then the commutativity of the above square is thus proved.

Duals to  $(2'_0)$ ,  $(2'_1)$  are  $(1'_{-1})$ ,  $(1'_0)$  which are given also at the opening of the note. The maps  $\lambda'$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\tau'$ ,  $\lambda'$  in  $(1'_{-1})$  are induced, in the sense at hand, by the maps 1,  $S_{G/H}$ , 1,  $S_H$  respectively. As for  $(1'_0)$ , which is valid and exact under the assumption  $H^{-1}(H,M)=0$ , it is perhaps sufficient to explain the map  $\tau'$  in it. Thus, let u be an element of  $M^H$  such that for each  $\rho \in G$  the element  $(\rho-1)u$  lies in  $S_H(M)$ . As a 0-cocycle on H in M, it determines an element of  $H^0(H,M)^G$  and this latter element is, by definition, mapped by  $\tau'$  to the class of the 1-cocycle f on G/H in  $M_H$  such that  $f(\bar{\rho})$  is the unique counter image in  $M_H$  of  $(\rho-1)u$  by  $S_H$ ; observe that  $M_H$  is mapped into M menomorphically because of the assumption  $H^{-1}(H,M)=0$ .  $(1'_1)$ , (1') are two predecessors of a series of exact sequences (1') derived from (1)

under the additional assumption  $H^{-1}(H,M) = H^0(H,M) = 0$  by virtue of the then valid isomorphisms  $M^H \cong M_H$ .

Remark. In a sense it is more natural to define  $\tau'$  in  $(2'_0)$  or  $(1'_{-1})$  to be the negative of the above defined map, which would make the diagram  $(1'_{-1})$ , or the corresponding diagram for the transition from  $(1'_{-1})$  to  $(1'_0)$ , anti-commutative.

## References

- [1] E. Artin-J. Tate: Algebraic Numbers and Functions.
- [2] C. Chevalley: Class field theory, Lecture notes, Nagoya University (1953-4).
- [3] B. Eckmann: Cohomology of groups and transfer, Ann. Math., 58, 481-493 (1953).
- [4] A. Hattori: On exact sequences of Hochschild and Serre, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 7, 312-321 (1955).
- [5] G. Hochschild, T. Nakayama: Cohomology of class field theory, Ann. Math., 55, 348-372 (1952).
- [6] G. Hochschild-J-P. Serre: Cohomology of group extensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 74, 110-134 (1953).
- [7] T. Nakayama: A theorem on modules of trivial cohomology over a finite group, Proc. Japan Acad., 373-376 (1956).