## 113. The Initial Value Problem for Linear Partial Differential Equations with Variable Coefficients. III

By Taira Shirota

(Comm. by K. Kunugi, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1957)
In the present paper we consider mixed problems of linear parabolic equations with boundary conditions formulated by J. L. Lions [4] such that, using his notations, $V$ is independent of the time variables, but $N_{t}$ depends on them.

Our methods (§2), are also applicable to mixed problem of linear equations of many other types with above-mentioned boundary conditions, with which it seems interesting to me to compare Kato's methods [2].

As an illustlation of our considerations we consider in §3 the Fokker-Planck's equations formulated by K. Yosida [7].

Only a sketch of this proof will be given, however, the details with further investigations will be published elsewhere.

1. Preliminary. Let $\Omega$ be a domain of the Euclidean space. Let $((u, v))_{t}$ be real bilinear forms defined on a real separable Hilbert space $V$ with following conditions, where $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega) \subset V \subset L_{2}(\Omega)$ and the injections $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow V, V \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)$ are both continuous: there are positive constants $a, b, c$ such that for any $t(-\infty<t<\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
((u, u))_{t} \geqq a\|u\|_{V}^{2} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
b\|u\|_{V}\|v\|_{V} \geqq\left|((u, v))_{t}\right|
$$

(II) for fixed $u, v \in V$,

$$
c\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\|u\|_{V}\|v\|_{V} \geqq\left|((u, v))_{t}-((u, v))_{t^{\prime}}\right| .
$$

Furthermore let $\bar{A}_{t}$ be an operator in $L_{2}(\Omega)$ into itself such that $f \in D\left(\bar{A}_{t}\right)$ if and only if $((f, v))_{t}=\left(\bar{A}_{t} f, v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$ for every $v \in V$, where $\left(\bar{A}_{t} f, v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$ $=A_{t} f(v)$ for the distribution $A_{t} f$ defined by the relation: $((f, v))_{t}=A_{t} f(v)$ for every $v \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$. Then $\bar{A}_{t}$ is a densely defined, closed operator in $L_{2}(\Omega)$ into itself whose adjoint coincides with operator $\bar{A}_{t}^{*}$ defined as above from $((u, v))_{t}^{*}=((v, u))_{t}[3,4]$. Let $G_{t}^{*}$ be the Green operators with respect to the form $((u, v))_{t}^{*}$. Then from (II) we see the following

Lemma 1. For any $u \in L_{2}(\Omega), G_{t}^{*} u$ is differentiable in $V$ (a.e.t) and $\frac{d}{d t} G_{t} u$ is measurable with respect to $V$ such that

$$
\left\|\frac{d}{d t} G_{t} u\right\|_{V} \leqq c\|u\|_{V} \quad \text { (a.e.t). }
$$

Definition. Let $E$ be a real separable Hilbert space. Then we denote by $\mathfrak{Q}^{n}(E)$ the completion of the real linear space $\mathscr{D}_{t}(E)$ with
the following norm:

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left(q^{n} u(t), u(t)\right)\right)_{E} d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{n}\|\mathfrak{F}(u)(\xi)\|_{E}^{2} d \xi,
$$

where $q=\alpha-\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}(\alpha>0), \mathscr{F}(u)$ is the Fourier transform of $u$ and where $\|\mathscr{F}(u)(\xi)\|_{E}$ is the complex Hilbert space-norm extended from the real norm of $E$.

Lemma 2. For any integers $s, m, q^{s}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{Q}^{m+s}(E)$ onto $\mathfrak{Q}^{m-s}(E)$. Furthermore $\frac{d}{d t}$ is a continuous operator from $\mathfrak{Q}^{m}(E)$ into $\mathbb{Q}^{m-1}(E)$.
2. Parabolic equations. Let $(((u, v)))_{t}$ be a real bilinear form defined on $V$ with the following properties: there are positive constants $\alpha$ such that the bilinear form

$$
(((u, v)))_{t}+\alpha(u, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and in §1. Then for sufficiently large $\alpha$ and $\beta, q=\beta-\frac{d}{d t^{2}}$,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(((q u, u)))_{t} d t \geqq \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(q u, u)_{\left.L_{2}, \Omega\right)} d t \quad(\gamma>0)
$$

where $u=u(t) \in \mathscr{D}_{t}(V)$. Therefore setting

$$
((u, v))_{t}=(((u, v)))_{t}+\alpha(u, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

we see the following
Lemma 3. For any $u(t) \in q^{-1} G_{t}^{*}(\mathfrak{D}((-\infty, \infty) \times \Omega)$ ) and for sufficiently large $\beta$, and for some $\gamma(\beta)>0$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(-\frac{d}{d t}+\bar{A}_{t}^{*}\right) q u(t) \cdot u(t) d x d t \geqq \gamma(\beta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} q u \cdot u d x d t
$$

From Lemma 3 it follows that for any $\left.u(t) \in q^{-1} G_{t}^{*}(\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty) \times \Omega)\right)$

$$
\left\|q^{-1}\left(-\frac{d}{d t}+\bar{A}_{t}^{*}\right) q u\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)} \geqq \gamma^{\prime}\|u\|_{\mathbb{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)} \quad\left(\gamma^{\prime}>0\right)
$$

Therefore by a limit process we see the following
Theorem 1. For any $g(t) \in \mathfrak{P}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$ there is a solution $f(t)$ $\in \mathfrak{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right) \frown \mathfrak{R}^{10}(V)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(t) \in D\left(\bar{A}_{t}\right) \quad(\text { a.e.t }) \\
\left(\frac{d}{d t}+\bar{A}_{t}\right) f(t)=g(t) \quad(\text { a.e.t }) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore such a solution $f(t)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\left\|\left(\frac{d}{d t}+\bar{A}_{t}\right) f\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{0}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)} \geqq \gamma^{\prime \prime}\|f\|_{\mathbb{R}^{0}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)} \quad\left(\gamma^{\prime \prime}>0\right)
$$

which implies the uniqueness of solution in Theorem 1. Moreover, since $\mathfrak{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right) \subset C_{t}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$, we see that for such a solution $f(t)$, and for $b, a(b>a)$

$$
\|f(b)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leqq 2\left\{\int_{a}^{b}\|g(t)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{a}^{b}\|f(t)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\|f(a)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

Thus from the above inequalities and Theorem 1, by a limit process analogous as one used in my former paper [6] we see the following

Theorem 2. For any $g \in \mathfrak{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $g(t)=0 \quad t<a$ and for any $b>a$, there is a unique solution $f(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)[a, b] \frown \mathfrak{R}^{0}(V)[a, b]$ $\frown C_{t}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.f(t) \in D\left(\bar{A}_{t}\right) \quad \text { a.e.t }\right) \\
& \left(\frac{d}{d t}+\bar{A}_{t}\right) f(t)=g(t) \quad \text { a.e.t } \text { in } L_{2}(\Omega) \\
& f(a)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad L_{2}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we remark that if $(((u, v)))_{t}$ satisfy furthermore a condition with respect to perturbations (see §3), then Theorem $2 \cdot$ can be strengthened.
3. Example (Fokker-Planck's equations). For the sake of simplicity let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $S$ in the Euclidean space $R^{N}(N \geqq 2)$. Let $A_{t}$ be the following differential operator: for any sufficiently smooth function $f$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{t} f=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}\left(b^{i j}(t, x) f(x)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(-a^{i}(t, x) f(x)\right) \text { on } \Omega \\
f \in D\left(A_{t}\right) \text { if and only if } \\
b^{i j}(t, x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{j}} \pi^{i}(x)+\left(\frac{\partial b^{i j}}{\partial x^{j}}(t, x)-a^{i}(t, x)\right) \pi^{i}(x) f(x)(=B(f, 1))=0 \text { on } S,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b^{i j}(t, x), a^{i}(t, x)$ are sufficiently smooth real functions defined on $[0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}, \pi^{i}(x)=\cos \left(n(x), x^{i}\right)$ on $S$.
Furthermore we assume that

$$
b^{i j}(t, x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}>0
$$

for any real $\xi_{i}: \sqrt{\overline{\xi_{i}^{2}}} \neq 0$ and for $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}$. Then we see that for any $f \in D\left(A_{t}\right) \frown C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}), v \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{t} f, v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}= & -\left(b^{i j}(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} v(x)\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\left(a^{i}(t, x) f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} v(x)\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \\
& -\left(\frac{\partial b^{i j}}{\partial x^{j}}(t, x) f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} v(x)\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \left(+(B(f, 1), v)_{\left.L_{L_{2}(s)}\right) .}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(((u, v)))_{t}$ be the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b^{i j}(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} u(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} v(x)\right)_{\Sigma_{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \quad+\left(u(x),\left(-a^{i}(t, x)+\frac{\partial b^{i j}}{\partial x^{j}}(t, x)\right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using some extending $b^{i j}, a^{i}$, we see that the bilinear form
$(((u, v)))_{t}$ satisfies the condition in $\S 2$, in fact, that in the end of §2, with $V=H^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$.
Furthermore we see the following
Lemma 4. Let $u(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$ be a solution such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-A_{t}\right) u(t)=0 \quad \text { a.e.t }\right) \\
u(t) \in D\left(\bar{A}_{t}\right) \quad \text { (a.e.t) }
\end{gathered}
$$

then $u(s) \geqq 0$ whenever $u(t) \geqq 0$ for some $t(s>t)$.
For, let $h(t, x)$ be the following function such that $h(t, x)=1,-1$ and 0 when $u(t, x)>0,<0$ and $=0$ respectively.
Then for $b>a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}\left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+A\right) u\right\|_{L_{1}(\Omega)} & d t \geqq \int_{a}^{b} \int_{\Omega} h(t, x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) d x d t \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} \int_{\Omega} h(t, x) \cdot A(t, x) u(t, x) d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore by the regularity of solutions of elliptic equations on the boundary [1,5] and by Yosida's lemma [8] we see that

$$
\int_{\Omega} h(t, x) \cdot A(t, x) f(t, x) d x \leqq 0
$$

Therefore $0 \geqq \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} h(t, x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) d t d x=\|f(b)\|_{L_{1}(\Omega)}-\|f(a)\|_{L_{1}(\Omega)}$.
Furthermore from the type of $A$ we see that

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(b) d x=\int_{\Omega} f(a) d x
$$

Thus we see that the mapping $u(t) \rightarrow u(s)(s>t)$ is norm preserving with respect to $L_{1}(\Omega)$ and $u(s) \geqq 0$, whenever $u(t) \geqq 0$.

Now let $T_{s, t}(u)=u(s)(s>t)$ when $u(s)$ is a solution of our equation such that $u(t)=u$ and $u(s) \in \mathcal{B}^{1}\left(L_{2}(\Omega)\right)(t, T)$. Then from Lemma 4 and Theorem 2, we see that these $T_{s, t}$ are extended over $L_{1}(\Omega)$ into itself and that these extensions are transition operators on $L_{1}(\Omega)$. Therefore by the hypoellipticity of parabolic equations [6] and the regularity of the solutions of elliptic equations on the boundary [1, 5] we see the following

Theorem 3. The diffusion problem with the differential operators $A_{t}$ as generating operators can be solved, i.e. there is a transition density $p(t, s, x, y)$ such that for $s>t$ and for $f \in L_{1}(\Omega)$ setting

$$
T_{s, t} f(y)=\int_{\Omega} p(t, s, x, y) f(x) d x
$$

$T_{s, t}$ is a transition operator $[0<t<s<T)$ and such that for any $\tilde{f} \in D\left(\bar{A}_{t}\right)$

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-A_{s}\right) T_{s, t} \tilde{f}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad[0, T) \times \Omega
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{t, t} \tilde{f}=\tilde{f} & \text { on } L_{2}(\Omega) \\
B_{s}\left(T_{s, t} \tilde{f}, 1\right)=0 & (\text { a.e. } x \in S) \text { for all } s \in[0, T)
\end{array}
$$

Finally we remark that our consideration can be applicable to other diffusion problems.

## References

[1] F. E. Browder: Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 9 (1956).
[2] T. Kato: Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 9 (1956).
[3] Lax and Milgram: Contributions to the Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Princeton Univ. Press (1954).
[4] J. L. Lions: Acta Math., 94 (1955).
[5] L. Nierenberg: Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 8 (1955).
[6] T. Shirota: Osaka Math. J., 9 (1957).
[7] K. Yosida: Proc. Inter. Congress Math., 1 (1954).
[8] K. Yosida: J. Math. Soc. Japan, 3 (1951).

