22. An Abstract Analyticity in Time for Solutions of a Diffusion Equation

By Kôsaku Yosida

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., March 12, 1959)

1. Introduction and the result. Consider an equation of evolution

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Au, \quad t > 0,$$

where the differential operator

(1.2)
$$A = a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + b^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + c(x)$$

is elliptic in a connected domain G of an m-dimensional euclidean space E^m . Under certain conditions upon the coefficients a, b and c of A, we can specify a linear subspace D of $L_2(G)$ with the following three properties.

- (i) The functions $\in D$ are C^{∞} in G, and D is $L_2(G)$ -dense in $L_2(G)$ such that $Af \in L_2(G)$ for $f \in D$.
- (ii) If we consider A as an operator on $D \subseteq L_2(G)$ into $L_2(G)$, then A admits, in $L_2(G)$, the smallest closed extension \widehat{A} .
- (iii) \widehat{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group T_t of normal type in $L_2(G)$ such that, for any $f \in L_2(G)$, $u(t,x) = (T_t f)(x)$ is a solution of (1.1) with the initial condition

(1.1)'
$$L_2(G)$$
- $\lim_{t \to 0} u(t, x) = f(x)$

satisfying the "forward and backward unique continuation property": (1.3) If, for a fixed $t_0>0$, $u(t_0,x)\equiv 0$ on an open set $G_0\subseteq G$, then

u(t,x)=0 for every t>0 and every $x\in G_0$.

The proof of (1.3) is based upon the fact that $T_t f$ is an $L_2(G)$ -valued abstract analytic function of t in a certain sector of the complex plane which contains the positive t-axis in its interior and with t=0 as its vertex. Such abstract analyticity in time is implied by the estimate (2.11) below of the resolvent of \widehat{A} .

Our result (1.3) gives a partial answer to a conjecture proposed by S. Ito and H. Yamabe [2]. Actually, our solution $u(t, x) = (T_t f)(x)$ enjoys the "unique continuation property":

(1.3)' If, for a fixed $t_0 > 0$, $u(t_0, x) \equiv 0$ on an open set $G_0 \subseteq G$, then u(t, x) = 0 for every t > 0 and every $x \in G$.

¹⁾ This estimate was given in the author's lecture at Yale University in the fall of 1958.

This may be proved by combining (1.3) with the "space-like unique continuation theorem for solutions of parabolic equations" obtained recently by S. Mizohata [3]. Thus we obtain another proof of the unique continuation theorem of S. Ito and H. Yamabe [2].²⁾

- 2. The proof of the result. For the sake of simplicity of exposition, we shall be concerned with the case³⁾ $G=E^m$. We assume that the real-valued coefficients a, b and c are C^{∞} in E^m and that
- (2.1) $a^{ij}(x)$ and its first and second partials, $b^i(x)$ and its first partials and c(x) are, in absolute values, all bounded on E^m by a positive constant β .

Thus the strict ellipticity of A implies the existence of two positive constants γ and δ such that

(2.2)
$$\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi_{j}^{2} \ge a^{ij}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \ge \delta \sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi_{j}^{2} \quad \text{on } E^{m}$$

for any real vector $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$.

Let $H_1 = H_1(E^m)$ be the space of complex-valued C^{∞} functions $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in E^m for which

(2.3)
$$||f||_1 = \left(\int_{xm} |f(x)|^2 dx + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{xm} |f_{x_j}(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

and let $\hat{H_1} = L_2(E^m) = L_2$ be the completion of H_1 with respect to the norm

(2.4)
$$||f|| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

We denote by RH_1 (and RL_2) the totality of real-valued functions belonging to H_1 (and to L_2).

Lemma. There exist two positive constants α_0 and β_0 such that, for any $f \in RH_1$, the equation

(2.5)
$$\alpha u - Au = f, \quad \alpha > \max(\alpha_0, \delta + \beta_0),$$

admits a uniquely determined solution $u(x) = u_f(x) \in RH_1$, and we have the estimate

(2.6)
$$||u_f|| \leq (\alpha - \delta - \beta_0)^{-1} ||f||.$$

Proof. The existence of the solution $u_f \in RH_1$ for sufficiently large α is proved in K. Yosida [4]. If we denote by (f,g) the inner product $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$, then for any $u \in RH_1$,

(2.7)
$$||(\alpha I - A)u|| \cdot ||u|| \ge |((\alpha I - A)u, u)|$$

by Schwarz inequality. By partial integration, we have (see K. Yosida [4])

²⁾ For, these two authors treat the case where \hat{A} is self-adjoint with its spectrum lying on negative real axis, and the estimate (2.11) is clear for such operator \hat{A} .

³⁾ If G is a bounded domain of E^m , the method of the following proof may be modified so as to apply to the case where A is an elliptic differential operator of 2n-order (n>1).

(2.8)
$$((\alpha I - A)u, u) = \alpha ||u||^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \frac{\partial a^{ij}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} b^{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} c u u dx.$$

Hence we have, by (2.1)-(2.2) and the inequality $|\epsilon\eta| \leq 2^{-1}(|\epsilon|^2 + |\eta|^2)$, $((\alpha I - A)u, u) \geq \alpha ||u||^2 + \delta(||u||_1^2 - ||u||^2)$

(2.9)
$$-m\beta [\nu(||u||_{1}^{2}-||u||^{2})+\nu^{-1}m||u||^{2}+m^{-1}||u||^{2}]$$

$$= [\alpha-\delta-m\beta(m\nu^{-1}-\nu+m^{-1})]||u||^{2}+(\delta-m\beta\nu)||u||_{1}^{2}$$

for any $\nu > 0$. Thus we have (2.6) from (2.7), by taking $\nu > 0$ so small that $(\delta - m\beta\nu) > 0$ and $\beta_0 = m\beta(m\nu^{-1} - \nu + m^{-1}) > 0$.

Corollary. Let us consider A as an operator defined on $\{f; f \in RH_1\}$, $Af \in RH_1\} \subseteq RL_2$ into RL_2 . Then the smallest closed extension \widetilde{A} , in RL_2 , of A satisfies the condition that, for $\alpha > \max{(\alpha_0, \delta + \beta_0)}$, the inverse $(\alpha I - \widetilde{A})^{-1}$ exists as a bounded linear operator defined on RL_2 into RL_2 with the estimate

(2.10)
$$\|(\alpha I - \widetilde{A})^{-1}\| \leq (\alpha - \delta - \beta_0)^{-1}.$$

Theorem 1. If we consider A as an operator on $\{f; f \in H_1, Af \in H_1\}$ $\subseteq L_2$ into L_2 , then the smallest closed extension \widehat{A} , in L_2 , of A is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group T_t in L_2 which is strongly continuous in t, $||T_t|| \leq \exp((\delta + \beta_0)t)$ and such that

(2.11)
$$\overline{\lim}_{|\tau| \to \infty} |\tau| \cdot ||((\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\tau)I - A)^{-1}|| < \infty.$$

Proof. By the lemma and the reality of the coefficients of A, we see that the range $(\alpha I - A) \cdot H_1$ is, for $\alpha > \max(\alpha_0, \delta + \beta_0)$, L_2 -dense in L_2 . Moreover we have, for $(u + \sqrt{-1}v) \in H_1$,

$$||(\alpha I - A)(u + \sqrt{-1}v)||^{2} = ||(\alpha I - A)u||^{2} + ||(\alpha I - A)v||^{2}$$

$$\geq (\alpha - \delta - \beta_{0})^{2} ||u||^{2} + (\alpha - \delta - \beta_{0})^{2} ||v||^{2}.$$

Thus $(\alpha I - \hat{A})^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator on L_2 into L_2 satisfying (2.12) $||(\alpha I - \hat{A})^{-1}|| \leq (\alpha - \delta - \beta_0)^{-1}$.

Hence the first part of the theorem is proved (see E. Hille-R. S. Phillips [1] or K. Yosida [5]). We have to show that (2.11) holds good. We have, for $w \in H_1$, $\alpha > \max(\alpha_0, \delta + \beta_0)$,

$$\|((\alpha+\sqrt{-1}\tau)I-A)w\|\cdot\|w\| \ge |(((\alpha+\sqrt{-1}\tau)I-A)w,w)|.$$

As in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\text{Real Part } (&((\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\tau)I - A)w, \, w)| \\ = &\alpha \, ||\, w\,||^2 + \, \text{Real Part } \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}^m} a^{ij} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \, \frac{\partial \overline{w}}{\partial x_j} \, dx + \int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}^m} \frac{\partial a^{ij}}{\partial x_i} \, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \, \overline{w} \, dx - \int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}^m} c \, w \, \overline{w} \, dx \right) \Big| \\ & = &\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}^m} b^i \, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \, \overline{w} \, dx - \int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}^m} c \, w \, \overline{w} \, dx \right) \Big| \\ & \ge &\left(\alpha - \delta - \beta_0 \right) ||\, w \,||^2 + (\delta - m\beta \nu) ||\, w \,||_1^2. \end{split}$$

Similarly we have

| Imaginary Part $(((\alpha+\sqrt{-1}\tau)I-A)w, w)$ |

 $\geq ||\tau| \cdot ||w||^2 - m\beta\{||w||_1^2 + m||w||^2\}| = |(|\tau| - m^2\beta)||w||^2 - m\beta||w||_1^2|.$

If we assume that there exists $w \in H_1$, $||w|| \neq 0$, such that

| Imaginary Part $(((\alpha+\sqrt{-1}\tau)I-A)w,w)|\leq 2^{-1}(|\tau|-m^2\beta)||w||^2$ for sufficiently large τ (or for sufficiently large $-\tau$), then, for such large τ (or $-\tau$),

$$m\beta ||w||_1^2 \ge 2^{-1}(|\tau| - m^2\beta)||w||^2$$
.

Hence, for such large τ (or $-\tau$),

$$|\operatorname{Real\ Part\ }(((lpha+\sqrt{-1}\, au)I-A)w,w)|\!\ge\!(\delta-meta
u)rac{(| au|-m^2eta)}{2meta}||w||^2.$$

Thus (2.11) is proved.

Theorem 2. The semi-group T_t is, for t>0, strongly differentiable in t any number of times. Actually, if we denote by $T_t^{(k)}$ the k-th strong derivative of T_t with respect to t, then there exists a positive constant ε such that, for any t>0, the sequence of operators

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (k!)^{-1} (\lambda - t)^{k} T_{t}^{(k)}$$

is, as $n \uparrow \infty$, convergent in the sense of the norm of operators when (2.12) $|\lambda - t| < \varepsilon t$.

Proof. See K. Yosida [6].49

Corollary. For any $f \in L_2$, u(t, x) = (Tf)(x) is infinitely differentiable in t > 0 and $x \in E^m$ and satisfies the Cauchy problem (1.1) - (1.1)'.

Proof. If we apply, in the sense of the distribution of L. Schwartz, the elliptic differential operator

$$\left(rac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + A
ight)$$

any number of times to u(t,x), then the result is locally square integrable in the product space $(0 < t < \infty) \times E^m$. Thus u(t,x) is equivalent to a function which is C^{∞} in $(0 < t < \infty) \times E^m$. See, for the details, K. Yosida [4].

Proof of 1.3. Since $T_t^{(k)} = A^k T_t$, we have, by Theorem 2,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} || T_{t_0+h} f - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k!)^{-1} h^k A^k T_{t_0} f || = 0$$

for sufficiently small h. Hence there exists a sequence $\{n'\}$ of natural numbers such that

$$u(t_0+h,x)=\lim_{n'\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n'}(k!)^{-1}h^kA^ku(t_0,x)$$
 for almost all $x\in E^m$.

By the hypothesis in (1.3), we have $A^k u(t_0, x) \equiv 0$ in G_0 , and hence $u(t_0 + h, x) \equiv 0$ in G_0 . Repeating the process we see that u(t, x) = 0 for every t > 0 and every $x \in G_0$.

⁴⁾ The "if" part of Theorem 2 in K. Yosida [6] must be corrected as: if $\lim_{t \to \infty} \log |\tau| \cdot ||R(1+i\tau, A)|| = 0$, then T_t' exists for every t > 0.

References

- [1] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips: Functional Analysis and Semi-groups, New York (1958).
- [2] S. Ito and H. Yamabe: A unique continuation theorem for solutions of a parabolic equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 10, no. 3, 314-321 (1958).
- [3] S. Mizohata: Unicité du prolongement des solutions pour quelques opérateurs différentiels paraboliques, Mem. Colleg. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, sect. A, 31, no. 3, 219-239 (1958).
- [4] K. Yosida: An operator-theoretical integration of wave equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 8, no. 1, 79-92 (1956).
- [5] K. Yosida: On Semi-group Theory and Its Application to Cauchy's Problem in Partial Differential Equations, Bombay (1957).
- [6] K. Yosida: On the differentiability of semi-groups of linear operators, Proc. Japan Acad., 34, 337-340 (1958).