## 51. A Remark on a Paper of Greub and Rheinboldt

By Masahiro Nakamura<br>Osaka Gakugei Daigaku<br>(Comm. by K. Kunugi, m.J.A., April 12, 1960)

1. In the first place, it will be shown by an elementary inspection the following

Theorem 1. For $0<m<M$, the following inequality holds true;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{m}^{M} t d \mu(t) \cdot \int_{m}^{M} \frac{1}{t} d \mu(t) \leqq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any positive Stieltjes measure $\mu$ on $[m, M]$ with $\|\mu\|=1$.
Consider a line-segment $C$ and a curve $D$ figured in $(t, s)$-plane by $(t, t)$ and $\left(t, \frac{1}{t}\right)$ respectively (for $m \leqq t \leqq M$ ). Putting

$$
d=\int_{m}^{M} t d \mu(t), \quad e=\int_{m}^{M} \frac{1}{t} d \mu(t),
$$

( $d, d$ ) is the centre of gravity of $C$ weighted by $\mu$, and $(d, e)$ is of $D$ weighted by the same $\mu$. Clearly, $(d, d)$ lies on $C$, and ( $d, e$ ) lies in the bow shaped territory bounded below by $D$ and above by its string connected ( $m, 1 / m$ ) and ( $M, 1 / M$ ) or the line figured by $(t, g(t)$ ) where

$$
g(t)=\frac{(M+m)-t}{M m}
$$

It is now obvious that the left hand side of (1), say $c$, is the product of the $s$-coordinates of two centres of gravity. Hence ( $d, c$ ) lies below a curve figured by $(t, h(t)$ ) with

$$
h(t)=t g(t)=\frac{(M+m) t-t^{2}}{M m} .
$$

Therefore, $c$ amounts its maximum, if possible, when

$$
M m h^{\prime}(t)=(M+m)-2 t=0,
$$

or $t=(M+m) / 2$. Thus,

$$
c \leqq h\left(\frac{M+m}{2}\right)=\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}
$$

which proves (1).
Incidentally, it is obvious that $c$ attains its maximum when

$$
\mu(\{m\})=\mu(\{M\})=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Theorem 2. If $f$ is a continuous function defined on a compact set satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<m \leqq f(x) \leqq M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x} f(x) d \mu \cdot \int_{x} \frac{1}{f(x)} d \mu \leqq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any positive Borel measure $\mu$ with the total measure one.
Since Theorem 2 is a verbal version of Theorem 1, the proof will be omitted here.
2. Recently W. Greub and W. Rheinboldt [2] proved, as a generalization of an inequality of Kantorovič, the following

Theorem 3. If $A$ is a self-adjoint operator defined on a Hilbert space satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<m \leqq A \leqq M, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any vector $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A x, x)\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leqq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}(x, x)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see by the Gelfand representation of the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $A$ and the identity that Theorem 3 is implied by Theorem 1 or Theorem 2 (for the representations of operator algebras, cf. J. Dixmier [1]), since (4) implies (2) when $f$ corresponds to $A$ by the representation or since $A$ corresponds to $t$ on $[m, M]$ by the representation, and since $(A x, x)$ defines a normalized measure on the spectrum for a normalized vector $x$. Also, conversely, it is not hard to see by the operator representation cannonically induced by a normalized measure $\mu$, that Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorem 3 , since $\mu$ is representable by $(A x, x)$ for some $x$ with $\|x\|=1$. Hence, Theorems 1, 2 and 3 are mutally equivalent.
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