

106. A Generalization of the Cauchy Filter and the Completion

By Suketaka MITANI

University of Osaka Prefecture

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 12, 1966)

In this paper, to take away the notion of covering system and we consider about the completion theory of topological space with a set consisting of some filters instead of Cauchy filters concerning covering system.

Thus, we get a generalization of author's paper [5], but using method is not different almost at all.

By this generalization, Alexandroff one point compactification is included, as a special case, in the completion.

A family \mathfrak{f} consisting of subsets of X is a *filter base* in X if for every $A, B \in \mathfrak{f}$, $C \subseteq A \cap B$ for some $C \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $\phi \notin \mathfrak{f}$.

A *filter* \mathfrak{f} in X is a filter base in X such that if $A \supseteq B$ and $B \in \mathfrak{f}$ then $A \in \mathfrak{f}$.

For every filter base \mathfrak{f} in X , the family $\{A \mid X \supseteq A \supseteq B, B \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ is a filter in X , that is said to be *generated* by \mathfrak{f} .

If $X^* \supseteq X$ then a filter \mathfrak{f} in X is a filter base in X^* and generates a filter in X^* . Denote it by \mathfrak{f}^* .

In a topological space X , let's denote by $\mathfrak{N}(x)$, the neighborhood system of $x \in X$, and by $\mathfrak{G}(X)$, the family of all open sets of X .

A filter base \mathfrak{f} in a topological space X *converges* to x in X if and only if the filter generated by \mathfrak{f} contains the neighborhood system $\mathfrak{N}(x)$ of x .

For a filter base \mathfrak{f} in a topological space X , $\{G \mid G \in \mathfrak{G}(X), G \supseteq A, A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ is a filter base, so generates a filter, we will denote it by \mathfrak{f}^r . Thus \mathfrak{f}^r converges to x if and only if \mathfrak{f} converges to x .

We consider a topological space X , with a set M consisting of some filters that satisfies the following three conditions

- M1) if $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ and $\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathfrak{f}$ then $\mathfrak{g} \in M$,
- M2) if $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ then $\mathfrak{f}^r \in M$,
- M3) for all point x of X , $\mathfrak{N}(x) \in M$.

Let's denote such a topological space X , by $(X; M)$. In $(X; M)$, if $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ converges to no point, then \mathfrak{f} is a *leg*. If $(X; M)$ has no leg, $(X; M)$ is *complete*.

A *completion* $(X^*; M^*)$ of a space $(X; M)$ is such a space that

- C1) $X \subseteq X^*$,

- C2) $(X^*; M^*)$ is complete,
 C3) for every open set G of X , there corresponds an open set G^* of X^* such that $G^* \cap X = G$, and $\{G^* \mid G \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}$ become a base of open sets of X^* ,
 C4) for every $\mathfrak{f} \in M^*$, $\{A \cap X \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}^r\} \in M$, and for every $\mathfrak{f} \in M$, $\mathfrak{f}^* \in M^*$,
 C5) if for every $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ converging to a point x of X^* , $G \in \mathfrak{f} \cap \mathcal{G}(X)$, then $x \in G^*$,
 C6) $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ converges to only one point in X^* and for every point x of $X^* \sim X$, there exists at least one leg converging to x .

Put $\mathcal{G}^* = \{G^* \mid G \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}$.

Let there exists a completion $(X^*; M^*)$ of $(X; M)$.

If a leg $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ containing a leg $g \in M$ converges to x in X^* , then g also converges to x in X^* , by the condition C6). So the filter $\bigcap_{g \in \mathfrak{f}, g \in M} g$ converges to x too. Let's denote $\bigcap_{g \in \mathfrak{f}, g \in M} g$ by $[\mathfrak{f}]$. Thus, using M3), we obtain $[\mathfrak{f}]^* \in M^*$ and moreover by C4), $\{A \cap X \mid A \in [\mathfrak{f}]^{**}\} \in M$. From the fact $[\mathfrak{f}] \supseteq \{A \cap X \mid A \in [\mathfrak{f}]^{**}\}$ and M1), we get $[\mathfrak{f}] \in M$.

Thus, the following proposition holds:

E) if \mathfrak{f} is a leg then $[\mathfrak{f}]$ is a leg too.

M2) shows that for all leg \mathfrak{f} , $[\mathfrak{f}]^r = [\mathfrak{f}]$.

A member of $[\mathfrak{f}] \cap \mathcal{G}(X)$ is called a *body* of \mathfrak{f} .

Then the condition C5) is equivalent to; $G \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ is a body of a leg $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ if and only if for the point x to which \mathfrak{f} converges in X^* , $x \in G^* \sim G$.

Now, assume a space $(X; M)$ satisfies the above condition E).

A leg \mathfrak{f} is *minimal* if and only if $\mathfrak{f} = [\mathfrak{f}]$.

For every $G \in \mathcal{G}(X)$, denote by $\varphi(G)$, the set $\{\mathfrak{f} \mid \mathfrak{f}; \text{ minimal leg, } G \in \mathfrak{f}\}$. Thus for every open sets G, H of X , we get $\varphi(G) \cap \varphi(H) = \varphi(G \cap H)$. Put $\varphi(G) \cup G = G^*$. So $\phi^* = \phi$ and $G^* \cap H^* = (G \cap H)^*$. These show that $\mathcal{G}^* = \{G^* \mid G \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}$ is a base of open sets of X^* .

We define the set M^* as $M^* = \{\mathfrak{f} \mid \mathfrak{f}; \text{ filter in } X^*, \{A \cap X \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}^r\} \in M\}$. It is easy to see this M^* satisfies the conditions M1), M2), M3), and C4).

For $\mathfrak{f}' \in M^*$, put $\mathfrak{f} = \{A \cap X \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}'^r\}$. Then $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ and easily seeing, an open set G of X belongs to \mathfrak{f} if and only if G^* belongs to \mathfrak{f}' . Either \mathfrak{f} converges to a point x in X or \mathfrak{f} is a leg in X . If \mathfrak{f} converges to a point x in X then \mathfrak{f}' converges to x in X^* , on the other hand if \mathfrak{f} is a leg in X then \mathfrak{f}' converges to $[\mathfrak{f}]$ in X^* . This shows that $(X^*; M^*)$ is complete.

If \mathfrak{f} converges to a minimal leg g in X^* , then $[\mathfrak{f}] = g$ and furthermore \mathfrak{f} never converges to any point of X in X^* . So

$(X^*; M^*)$ satisfies the former part of the condition C6). Other conditions are satisfied from our construction of the space $(X^*; M^*)$. So we get

Theorem 1. $(X; M)$ has its completion if and only if the following is satisfied; if \mathfrak{f} is a leg then $[\mathfrak{f}]$ is also a leg.

Let $(X^*; M^*)$ and $(X^+; M^+)$ are both completions of $(X; M)$. Then there exists a mapping f of $(X^*; M^*)$ onto $(X^+; M^+)$ such that $f(x)=x$ for every $x \in X$ and for any $x \in X^* \sim X$, $\{A \cap X \mid A \in \mathfrak{N}(x)\}$ is a leg in X and converges to some point y in X^+ , thus $f(x)=y$.

Then, for every $G \in \mathfrak{G}(X)$, $f(G^*)=G^+$ by the condition C5), which shows that f is topological and so we obtain;

Theorem 2. A completion is uniquely determined by a space $(X; M)$.

Let $(X^*; M^*)$ be a completion of $(X; M)$ and f be a continuous mapping of $(X; M)$ into a topological space Y such that for every $y \in Y$, and for any neighborhood V of y , there exists some neighborhood U of y and $\bar{U} \subseteq V$. If for every leg $\mathfrak{f} \in M$, $\{f(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ converges to some point of Y , then f is extendible on $(X^*; M^*)$; there exists a extension F of f , provided for every $x \in X^* \sim X$, $F(x)$ is arbitrary point in Y , to which $\{f(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ converges, for the minimal leg \mathfrak{f} converging to x in X^* .

Theorem 3. Let f is a continuous mapping of $(X; M)$ to have completion $(X^*; M^*)$ into a topological space Y such that for every $y \in Y$ and for every $V \in \mathfrak{N}(y)$, $\bar{U} \subseteq V$ for some $U \in \mathfrak{N}(y)$. Then there exists a continuous mapping F satisfying that for every $x \in X$, $f(x)=F(x)$, if and only if $\{f(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ converges to some point of Y , for every leg $\mathfrak{f} \in M$.

Next, we consider the product space of our space $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Delta$.

The product $(X; M)$ of our space $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ is such that;

P1) $X = \prod X_\lambda$ and X has the weak topology,

P2) $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ if and only if $\{P_\lambda(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\} \in M_\lambda$, provided, P_λ is the projection of X into its λ -component X_λ .

Let's denote the product of $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ by $\prod(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$. Above M satisfies obviously the conditions M1), M2), and M3).

As X has weak topology, $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $\{P_\lambda(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ converges to $P_\lambda(x) \in X_\lambda$. Thus we get; a product is complete if and only if its every component is complete.

Theorem 4. A product $\prod(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ of $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ is complete if and only if every component $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ is complete.

A filter $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ is minimal in M if and only if there are no filter of M that is properly contained in \mathfrak{f} .

Then, in a product $(X; M)$ of spaces $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$, $\mathfrak{f} \in M$ is minimal

if and only if $\{P_\lambda(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ is minimal in M_λ and \mathfrak{f} is generated by $\{\prod P_\lambda(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{f}\}$.

In T_2 space, every filter converges to at most one point.

These results show that;

Theorem 5. *Assume that $(X_\lambda^*; M_\lambda^*)$ is the completion of $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ and they are both T_2 . Then the product $\prod (X_\lambda^*; M_\lambda^*)$ of $(X_\lambda^*; M_\lambda^*)$ is the completion of the product $\prod (X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$ of $(X_\lambda; M_\lambda)$.*

In general, for every open sets G and H , if $G \cap H = \phi$ then $G^* \cap H^* = \phi$.

If there are two legs \mathfrak{f} and \mathfrak{g} such as for every bodies $V \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{g}$, $V \cap W \neq \phi$, then $\{V \cap W \mid V \in \mathfrak{f}, W \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ is also in M . Either this filter converges or is leg. If it is a leg then $[\mathfrak{f}] = [\{V \cap W \mid V \in \mathfrak{f}, W \in \mathfrak{g}\}] = [\mathfrak{g}]$. So we get

Proposition. *The completion $(X^*; M^*)$ of T_2 space $(X; M)$ is T_2 if and only if for any point $x \in X$ and for every leg $\mathfrak{f} \in M$, $V \cap W = \phi$ for some neighborhood $V \in \mathfrak{R}(x)$ and some body W of \mathfrak{f} .*

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: *Topologie Generale*, Paris (1940).
- [2] L. Cohen: Uniformity property in topological space satisfying the first denumerability postulate. *Duke Math. Jour.*, **3** (1937).
- [3] —: On imbedding space in a complete space. *Duke Math. Jour.*, **5** (1939).
- [4] T. Inagaki: *Point Set Theory* (Japanese). Tokyo (1949).
- [5] S. Mitani: A note on the completion theory. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **39**, 270-273 (1963).
- [6] E. Moore and H. Smith: A general theory of limits. *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **44** (1922).
- [7] J. Kelley: *General Topology*. New York (1955).
- [8] J. Tukey: *Convergence and Uniformity in Topology*. Princeton (1940).