42. Integration with Respect to the Generalized Measure. II

By Masahiro TAKAHASHI Department of Mathematics, Nara Medical College (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 13, 1967)

The purpose of this part of the present paper is to state a proof of Theorem 1 in [1].

Remark. The proof of Theorem 1 in [1] follows from the propositions (except Propositions 3.1 and 3.2) in section 3 in [1] and therefore this theorem also holds if we replace the assumption for S in the definition of a structure by the condition stated in the remark in section 3 in [1].

Denote by \mathcal{G}_1 the perfection of \mathcal{G} and by \mathcal{G}_2 the perfection of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$ of \mathcal{G}_1 in \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 1. The integral closure $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} is the \mathcal{F} -completion of \mathcal{G}_2 .

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}_3 be the \mathcal{F} -completion of \mathcal{G}_2 . Then Proposition 3.10 [1] implies that \mathcal{G}_3 is the \mathcal{F} -completion of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$. Hence it follows from Proposition 3.17 [1] that \mathcal{G}_3 is closed and therefore \mathcal{G}_3 is *i*-closed. To prove that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_3$, let us consider the \mathcal{F} -completion \mathcal{G}_4 of \mathcal{G}_1 . Then Proposition 3.10 [1] implies that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_4$ and the formula $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$ implies that $\mathcal{G}_4 \subset \mathcal{G}_3$. Thus we have $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_3$. It is easily verified that \mathcal{G}_3 is the smallest of *i*-closed subgroups of \mathcal{F} containing \mathcal{G} . This proves the lemma.

Let I be the perfection of \mathcal{S} and let I_x be the restriction of I on $X\mathcal{G}_1$ for each $X \in \mathcal{S}$. Then I_x is a continuous homomorphism of $X\mathcal{G}_1$ into J for each $X \in \mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 2. I_x is uniquely extended to a continuous homomorphism \overline{I}_x of $X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$ into J for each $X \in S$.

Proof. From the continuity of X, it follows that $X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1 \subset \overline{X}\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$ and therefore that $X\mathcal{G}_1$ is dense in $X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$. Since J is Hausdorff and complete, this lemma follows from Bourbaki.¹⁾

Considering the map \overline{I}_x in Lemma 2, we have

Lemma 3. There uniquely exists an integral map \overline{I} with respect to (S, \mathcal{G}_2, J) such that the restriction of \overline{I} on $X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$ coincides with \overline{I}_X for each $X \in S$.

Proof. Let us prove that $\overline{I}_{X}(f) = \overline{I}_{Y}(f)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$, and

^{1) [2]} chap. III. Groupes Topologiques, §3, no 3, Proposition 5.

 $f \in (X\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1) \cap (Y\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1)$. For $Z \in \mathcal{S}$ such that ZX = X, ZY = Y, it follows from Proposition 3.3 [1] that $Z\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1 \supset X\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1$ and $Z\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1 \supset Y\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1$. Since the restriction $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}'$ of $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{z}}$ on $X\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1$ is a continuous homomorphism which is an extension of $I_{\mathbf{x}}$, the uniqueness of such an extension implies that $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}' = \bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Similarly the restriction $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}'$ of $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{z}}$ on $Y\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1$ coincides with $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Hence $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}(f) = \bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}'(f) = \bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}'(f) = \bar{I}_{\mathbf{x}}'(f)$.

Thus we can define a map \overline{I} of $\mathcal{G}_2 = \bigcup_{x \in S} (X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1)$ into J such that $\overline{I}(f) = \overline{I}_x(f)$ for $X \in S$ and $f \in X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$. Since $X\mathcal{G}_2 \subset X\overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$, which follows from $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}}_1$, the restriction of \overline{I} on $X\mathcal{G}_2$ is the restriction of \overline{I}_x and consequently is a continuous homomorphism for each $X \in S$. Thus it is proved that there exists an integral map \overline{I} with respect to (S, \mathcal{G}_2, J) satisfying the condition stated in the lemma. The uniqueness is obvious and this completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1 in [1]. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ be the \mathscr{F} -completion of the integral map \overline{I} in Lemma 3. It follows from Lema 1 that $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is an integral with respect to $(\mathcal{S}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, J)$. Let us prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}(X, g) = \mathscr{J}(X, g)$ for each $X \in \mathcal{S}$ and $g \in \mathscr{G}$. Since $Xg \in X\mathscr{G} = X(X\mathscr{G}) \subset X\mathscr{G}_1 \subset X\overline{\mathscr{G}}_1$, we have $\widetilde{\mathscr{J}}(X, g) = \overline{I}(Xg) = \overline{I}_X(Xg) = I_X(Xg) = I(Xg) = \mathscr{J}(X, g)$. Thus it is proved that \mathscr{J} is extended to an integral $\widetilde{\mathscr{J}}$ with respect to $(\mathcal{S}, \widetilde{\mathscr{G}}, J)$.

The uniqueness of such an extension is proved as follows. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ be such an extension of \mathcal{J} . Denote by \bar{I}' the perfection of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ and by I' the restriction of \bar{I}' on \mathcal{G}_1 . Then it is easily verified that I' and \bar{I}' coincides with I and \bar{I} , respectively. Hence Proposition 3.15 [1] implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'=\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ and thus Theorem 1 is proved.

References

- M. Takahashi: Integration with respect to the generalized measure. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 43, 178-183 (1967).
- [2] N. Bourbaki: Topologie générale (Elément de Mathématique. III. 3^e éd.). Hermann, Paris (1960).