

128. On the Convergence Criterion of M. Izumi and S. Izumi

By Bun-Kong KWEE

Department of Mathematics, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 1967)

1. Introduction. Let $f(x)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and L -integrable over $[-\pi, \pi]$, and let

$$\phi(u) = \phi_x(u) = f(x+u) + f(x-u) - 2f(x).$$

The following theorem on the convergence of Fourier series has been established by M. Izumi and S. Izumi [1]:

Theorem A. If

$$\int_0^t \phi(u) du = o(t) \quad (t \rightarrow 0), \quad (1)$$

and for some $\delta > 0$, there is an $\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1)$ such that

$$\int_t^\delta |d(u^{-\alpha} \phi(u))| = o(t^{-\alpha}), \quad (2)$$

then the Fourier series of $f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ at the point x .

This theorem is an extension of the following theorem of Tomic [2]:

Theorem B. If at the point x , $\phi(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and for $u \rightarrow 0$, $\phi(u)$ is slowly varying, then the Fourier series of $f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ at the point x .

The aim of this paper is to discuss the relations between Izumi-Izumi's test and the following two tests:

Theorem C (Young). If (1) holds and

$$\int_0^t |d(u\phi(u))| = o(t), \quad (3)$$

then the Fourier series converges to $f(x)$.

Theorem D (Lebesgue). (1) and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{kt}^\pi \frac{|\phi(u) - \phi(u+t)|}{u} du = 0 \quad (4)$$

imply the convergence of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ at the point x .

We shall prove that Izumi-Izumi's test includes Young's but is included in Lebesgue's test.

2. The relation between M. Izumi - S. Izumi's and Young's test. We first prove that Izumi-Izumi's test includes Young's. It is enough to prove the following

Theorem 1. (3) implies (2).

Proof. Suppose that (3) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\delta |d(u^{-\alpha}\phi(u))| &= \int_t^\delta |d(u\phi(u) \cdot u^{-1-\alpha})| \\ &\leq \int_t^\delta \frac{1}{u^{1+\alpha}} d \int_t^u |d(v\phi(v))| + \int_t^\delta |u\phi(u)| |d(u^{-1-\alpha})| \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{u^{1+\alpha}} \int_t^u |d(v\phi(v))| \right]_t^\delta + (1+\alpha) \int_t^\delta \frac{1}{u^{2+\alpha}} \int_t^u |d(v\phi(v))| du \\ &\quad + (1+\alpha) \int_t^\delta \frac{|\phi(u)|}{u^{1+\alpha}} du \\ &= o(1) + o(t^{-\alpha}) + (1+\alpha) \int_t^\delta \frac{|\phi(u)|}{u^{1+\alpha}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$t^\alpha \left| \frac{\phi(t)}{t^\alpha} - \frac{\phi(\delta)}{\delta^\alpha} \right| \leq t^\alpha \int_t^\delta |d(u^{-\alpha}\phi(u))| = o(1),$$

it follows that $\phi(t)$ is bounded in the interval $(0, \delta)$. Hence

$$\int_t^\delta |d(u^{-\alpha}\phi(u))| = o(t^{-\alpha}),$$

and this proves Theorem 1.

3. The relation between M. Izumi-S. Izumi's and Lebesgue's test. In this section we prove that Izumi-Izumi's test is included in Lebesgue's.

Theorem 2. *If (2) holds, then so does (4).*

Proof. Suppose that (2) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{kt}^\pi \frac{|\phi(u) - \phi(u+t)|}{u} du &= \int_{kt}^{\delta-t} \frac{|\phi(u) - \phi(u+t)|}{u} du + \int_{\delta-t}^\pi \frac{|\phi(u) - \phi(u+t)|}{u} du \\ &\leq \int_{kt}^{\delta-t} \left| \frac{\phi(u)}{u^\alpha} - \frac{\phi(u+t)}{(u+t)^\alpha} \right| \frac{du}{u^{1-\alpha}} + \int_{kt}^{\delta-t} \left(\frac{1}{u^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(u+t)^\alpha} \right) \frac{\phi(u+t)}{u^{1-\alpha}} du + o(1) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{kt}^{\delta-t} \int_u^{u+t} |d(v^{-\alpha}\phi(v))| \frac{du}{u^{1-\alpha}} \\ &\leq \int_{kt}^\delta \int_{v-t}^v \frac{du}{u^{1-\alpha}} |d(v^{-\alpha}\phi(v))| \\ &\leq t \int_{kt}^\delta \frac{1}{(v-t)^{1-\alpha}} |d(v^{-\alpha}\phi(v))| \\ &\leq \frac{t^\alpha}{(k-1)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{kt}^\delta |d(v^{-\alpha}\phi(v))| \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(u+t)$ is bounded in the interval $(o, \delta-t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= o\left(\int_{kt}^{\delta-t} \frac{(u+t)^\alpha - u^\alpha}{u(u+t)^\alpha} du\right) \\ &= o\left(t \int_{kt}^\delta \frac{du}{u^\alpha(u+t)^\alpha}\right) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4) holds.

References

- [1] M. Izumi and S. Izumi: A new convergence criterion of Fourier series. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 75-77 (1966).
- [2] M. Tomić: A convergence criterion for Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15**, 612-617 (1964).