17. A Generalization of Prime Ideals in Rings. II*

By Yoshiki KURATA and Sumiko KURATA Dapartment of Mathematics, Yamaguchi University and Ube Chūō High School

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1969)

1. Recently, generalizing the notions of prime ideals and primary ideals in rings, Murata, Kurata, and Marubayashi [1] have considered the notions of f-prime ideals and f-primary ideals in rings, and obtained, along with other things, the uniqueness theorem of f-primary decompositions of ideals, under certain assumptions.

Continued from [1], in this paper, we shall investigate the ideals which can be represented as the intersection of a finite number of f-primary ideals.

Let R be an arbitrary ring. Throughout this paper, ideals will always mean two-sided ideals in R and we shall assume the following conditions as same as in [1]:

(β) For any ideal A and any ideal B not contained in r(A), we have $A: B \neq \emptyset$.

(γ) If S is an f-system with kernel S^{*}, and if, for any ideal A, $S \cap A$ is not empty, then so is $S^* \cap A$.

(δ) For any *f*-primary ideal *Q*, we have *Q*: *Q*=*R*.

2. Isolated components

Definition 1. Let A be an ideal and let S be an *f*-system. The isolated component A_s of A determined by S will be defined as follows:

$$A_{S} = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{s \in S} (A:s) & \text{ (if } S \text{ is not empty)} \\ A & \text{ (if } S \text{ is empty).} \end{cases}$$

For any f-system $S \neq \emptyset$, C(S) is an f-prime ideal containing r((0)). If $s \in S$, then $s \notin r((0))$ and hence by the assumption (β) we have $(0): s \neq \emptyset$. This shows that A:s and whence A_s is not empty. So, it can be proved similarly as in [1] that A_s is an ideal containing A.

Another characterization of f-primary ideals can be given by means of isolated components.

Proposition 2. An ideal Q is f-primary if and only if, for any f-system S, either $Q_S = Q$ or $Q_S = R$ holds.

Proof. Suppose that Q is *f*-primary. If S is empty, then the assertion is trivial. Now we may suppose that there exists a non-

^{*)} Dedicated to Professor A. Komatsu for the celebration of his sixtieth birthday. I of this paper is to appear in Osaka J. Math.

empty f-system S such that $Q_s \neq Q$. Let b be an element such as $b \in Q_s$ and $b \notin Q$. Then there exists at least one $s \in S$ such that $f(s)f(b) \subseteq Q$. Since Q is f-primary, we have $s \in r(Q)$, and thus we can choose an element $a \in S \cap Q$. Since Q: a = R by the assumption (δ), we have $Q_s = R$.

Conversely, let us suppose that, for any *f*-system *S*, either $Q_S = Q$ or $Q_S = R$ holds and that *Q* is not *f*-primary. Then there exist $b \notin Q$ and $c \notin r(Q)$ such that $f(c)f(b) \subseteq Q$. Since $c \notin r(Q)$, for some *f*-prime ideal *P* we have $Q \subseteq P$ and $c \notin P$. If we set S = C(P), then *S* is an *f*-system and $b \in Q_S$. Therefore $Q \subsetneq Q_S$. It follows from the assumption that $Q_S = R$ and hence there exists at least one $s \in S$ such that $f(s)f(c) \subseteq Q$. Since *P* is an *f*-prime ideal containing *Q*, we have, by [1, Lemma 1.4], either $s \in P$ or $c \in P$, which is impossible in any case.

If an ideal A has an f-primary decomposition, then the isolated component of A can be expressed in terms of its f-primary components:

Theorem 3. Let A be an ideal, and let S be an f-system. Suppose that $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$, where each Q_i is an f-primary ideal. If $r(Q_i)$ meet S for $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ but not for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then we have $A_s = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$.

If $r(Q_i)$ meet S for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $A_S = R$.

Proof. If S is empty, then the assertion is trivial. We may therefore assume that S is not empty. Let $x \in A_s$. Then, for some $s \in S$, we have $f(s)f(x) \subseteq A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$. Consequently, if $1 \leq i$ $\leq m$, since $s \notin r(Q_i)$, we have $x \in Q_i$, and hence $A_s \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$.

For $m+1 \leq j \leq n$, $r(Q_j) \cap S$ is not empty and hence so is $Q_j \cap S$ and also, by the assumption (γ) , so is $Q_j \cap S^*$. Since S^* is an *m*-system, for $s_{m+1} \in Q_{m+1} \cap S^*$ and $s_{m+2} \in Q_{m+2} \cap S^*$, there exists $z \in R$ such that $s'_{m+2} = s_{m+1}zs_{m+2} \in Q_{m+1} \cap Q_{m+2} \cap S^*$. Similarly, there exists $z' \in R$ such that $s'_{m+3} = s'_{m+2}z's_{m+3} \in Q_{m+1} \cap Q_{m+2} \cap Q_{m+3} \cap S^*$ for $s_{m+3} \in Q_{m+3} \cap S^*$. Continuing in this way, we obtain after a finite number of steps an element s'_n which is in $Q_{m+1} \cap Q_{m+2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_n \cap S^*$. Thus it follows from the assumption (δ) that $Q_j: s'_n = R$ for $m+1 \leq j \leq n$ and hence $(Q_{m+1} \cap Q_{m+2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_n): s'_n = R$. On the other hand, we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m \subseteq (Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m): s'_n$. Therefore we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m \subseteq A: s'_n \subseteq A_s$.

If, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $r(Q_i)$ meet S, then the above proof shows that there exists an element $s'_n \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n \cap S^*$ which satisfies that $(Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n) : s'_n = R$. Thus we have $A_s = R$. This completes the proof.

Let S be an f-system. Combining this theorem with Proposition 2, we obtain that if Q is f-primary, then Q_S is R or Q according as r(Q) meets or does not meet S.

From Theorem 3, we see at once

Corollary 4. A decomposable ideal has at most a finite number of isolated components.

3. Isolated set

Lemma 5. Suppose that an ideal A has an f-primary decomposition: $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$. Then any f-prime ideal P which contains A must contain at least one of the Q_i .

Proof. If P=R, then the assertion is trivial, and so we may suppose that there exists an *f*-prime ideal $P \neq R$ such that $A \subseteq P$ and $Q_i \not\subseteq P$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If we put S = C(P), then S is an *f*-system and $S \cap Q_i$ and whence $S \cap r(Q_i)$ is not empty for all *i*. We have, by Theorem 3, $A_s = R$. Thus, for any element $x \in R$, there exists some element $s \in S$ such that $f(s)f(x) \subseteq A$ holds. This implies, by [1, Lemma 1.4], that $x \in P$, a contradiction.

Suppose that an ideal A has an *f*-primary decomposition, and let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be its normal decomposition. Then as is seen from [1, Theorem 3.7], the number of *f*-primary components and the radicals of *f*-primary components depend only on A and not on the particular normal decomposition considered.

Definition 6. A subset $\{r(Q_1), r(Q_2), \dots, r(Q_m)\}$ of the radicals is called an isolated set of A, if for $m+1 \le j \le n$, each $r(Q_j)$ is not contained in any of $r(Q_i)$ for $1 \le i \le m$.

Proposition 7. Suppose that an ideal A has an f-primary decomposition. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be its normal decomposition, and let $r(Q_i) = \bigcap_k P_{ik}$ be the expression of $r(Q_i)$ as the intersection of all the minimal f-prime ideals belonging to Q_i . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) The set $\{r(Q_1), r(Q_2), \dots, r(Q_m)\}$ is an isolated set of A,

(2) For each Q_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, there exists at least one minimal fprime ideal $P_{ik_i} = P_i^*$ such that P_i^* does not contain P_{jk} for all $j, m+1 \leq j \leq n$, and for all k,

(3) Each $r(Q_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, does not contain the intersection $Q_{m+1} \cap Q_{m+2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$.

We come now to the second uniqueness theorem for normal decompositions:

Theorem 8. Suppose that an ideal A has an f-primary decomposition, and let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be its normal decomposition. If $\{r(Q_1), r(Q_2), \dots, r(Q_m)\}$ is an isolated set of A, then $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$ depends only on $r(Q_1), r(Q_2), \dots, r(Q_m)$ and not on the particular normal decomposition of A.

Proof. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n = Q'_1 \cap Q'_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_n$ be two normal decompositions of A such that $r(Q_i) = r(Q'_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$. If we

denote $Q_{m+1} \cap Q_{m+2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ and $Q'_{m+1} \cap Q'_{m+2} \cap \cdots \cap Q'_n$ by Q and Q'respectively, then by Proposition 7, (3), Q is not contained in any of $r(Q_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and hence it follows from [1, Proposition 3.5] that $Q_i: Q = Q_i$ and also $Q'_i: Q = Q'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. But on the other hand, since $Q_j \supseteq Q$ for $m+1 \leq j \leq n$, by the assumption (δ) we have $R = Q_j: Q_j$ $\subseteq Q_j: Q$ and hence $Q_j: Q = R$. These relations show that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m = A: Q = Q'_1 \cap Q'_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_m \cap (Q': Q)$. Thus we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_m \subseteq Q'_1 \cap Q'_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_m$, and similarly we have $Q'_1 \cap Q'_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_m \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$, which completes the proof.

Remark. It follows from Proposition 7,(2) that for each Q_i , $1 \le i \le m$, there exists at least one minimal *f*-prime ideal P_i^* belonging to Q_i such that P_i^* does not contain P_{jk} for all $j, m+1 \le j \le n$, and for all k. Since any *f*-prime ideal containing an ideal contains a minimal *f*-prime ideal belonging to it, for $m+1 \le j \le n$ each Q_j is not contained in any of P_i^* for $1 \le i \le m$. Theorem 3 then shows that, for $1 \le i \le m$, each $A_{P_i^*}$ can be expressed as the intersection of certain of Q_1, Q_2, \cdots \cdots, Q_m , one of which is certainly Q_i . It follows that we have

$$Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m = A_{P_1^*} \cap A_{P_2^*} \cap \cdots \cap A_{P_m^*}.$$

Since each minimal element of the set $\{r(Q_1), r(Q_2), \dots, r(Q_n)\}$ form on its own an isolated set of A, we see at once

Corollary 9. Let r(Q) be a minimal element in the set $\{r(Q_1), r(Q_2), \dots, r(Q_n)\}$ of the radicals of the *f*-primary components of *A*. Then the *f*-primary component corresponding to r(Q) is the same for all normal decompositions of *A*.

Reference

 K. Murata, Y. Kurata, and H. Marubayashi: A generalization of prime ideals in rings. I (to appear in Osaka J. Math.).