

## 24. Characteristic Pseudo Quasi Topological Spaces

By Yong-Woon KIM

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1970)

**Introduction.** One defines a characteristic pseudo quasi metric spaces as the topological space generated by a pseudo quasi metric function whose range is  $\{0, 1\}$ . Since every finite topological space is a special case of the characteristic pseudo quasi spaces, many results concerning finite topological spaces which have been known by precedents ([2], [12], [13]) are considered as the corollaries of the results of characteristic pseudo quasi metric spaces. Furthermore, every pseudo quasi metric is considered as a transformation into the reals by  $f_x(y) = d(x, y)$  for each  $x \in X$  and one induces an equivalent matrix representation for a finite topological space and the algebraic structure of the matrix representation is studied. Similarly, it is observed that these functions induce partial ordered relation on  $X$ .

1. This chapter is mainly concerned with necessary definitions and theorems which will be used for the discussion of the later chapters.

1.1. **Definition.** A *p.q.* (pseudo quasi) metric (see [6]) “ $d$ ” is said to be characteristic *p.q.* (or *c.p.q.*) metric iff whose range is  $\{0, 1\}$ .

One observes *c.p.q.* metrics act like a characteristic function on the minimum base for each  $x \in X$ .

For each *c.p.q.* metric  $\tilde{d}$ , there exists the conjugate *c.p.q.* metric  $\underline{d}$ , which is defined as  $\underline{d}(x, y) = \tilde{d}(y, x)$ .

*Notation.* (1)  $\tilde{S}(x, \varepsilon) = \{y : \tilde{d}(x, y) < \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$

(2)  $\underline{S}(x, \varepsilon) = \{y : \tilde{d}(x, y) < \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$

1.2. **Definition.** Let  $\tilde{C}$  be the topology whose base is  $\{\tilde{S}(x, \varepsilon)\}$  and it is said to be the characteristic topology of  $\tilde{d}$ . Similarly,  $\underline{C}$  is defined and  $(X, \tilde{C}, \underline{C})$  is called the *c.p.q.* bitopological space.

The following theorem is well known ([4]-[6], [9])

1.3. **Theorem.** Let the notation “ $A \Rightarrow B$ ” be  $A$  implies  $B$ .

$$p.q. \text{ bitopology } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow p\text{-perfectly normal} \Rightarrow p\text{-completely normal} \\ \Rightarrow p\text{-normal} \\ \Rightarrow p\text{-completely regular} \Rightarrow p\text{-regular.} \end{array} \right.$$

where “ $p$ ” denote pairwise (e.g.  $p$ -regular stands for pairwise regular)

1.4. **Theorem.** Let  $(X, \tilde{C}, \underline{C})$  be a *c.p.q.* bitopological space.  
 $U \in \tilde{C}$  iff  $U^c \in \underline{C}$ .

**Proof.** If  $U \in \tilde{C}$ , then  $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} S(x, 1)$ , where  $S(x, 1) = \{y : \tilde{d}(x, y) = 0\}$ . Therefore, for  $x \in U$  and  $z \in U^c$ ,  $\tilde{d}(x, z) = 1$  and  $\underline{d}(z, x) = 1$ . Consequently,  $\underline{S}(z, 1) \cap U = \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U^c$  and  $U$  is  $\underline{C}$ -closed.

Similarly, the rest of the proof is induced.

2. It is easy to see that every *c.p.q.* topology has the minimum base for each  $x \in X$ . In particular, every finite topological space is a *c.p.q.* space.

**2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $(X, \tilde{C}, \underline{C})$  be a *c.p.q.* bitopological space.*

$$\tilde{C} = \underline{C} \text{ iff } \tilde{C} \text{ is } R_0.$$

**Proof.** Assume  $x \in U \in \tilde{C}$ . Since  $\tilde{C}$  is  $R_0$ ,  $\bar{x} \in U$  where  $\bar{x}$  is  $\tilde{C}$  closure of  $x$ .

Therefore, for any  $y \in U$   $\tilde{d}(y, x) = 1$  and  $\tilde{S}(y, 1) \cap U = \emptyset$ .

Consequently,  $U$  is  $\tilde{C}$ -closed and apply (1.5) and the proof is completed.

However, if  $R_0$  is replaced by normality, then a *c.p.q.* bitopological space  $(X, \tilde{C}, \underline{C})$  does not imply  $\tilde{C} = \underline{C}$ .

**2.2. Example.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and  $\tilde{C} = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_2\}\{x_3\}, X, \emptyset\}$  then it is a normal space but it is not a regular space.

**2.3. Theorem.** *If  $(X, \tilde{C}, \underline{C})$  is a *c.p.q.* bitopological space, then  $(X, \tilde{C})$  is connected iff  $(X, \underline{C})$  is connected.*

**Proof.** apply (1.5).

**2.4. Theorem.** *Let  $(X, C)$  be a *c.p.q.* topological space. The following are equivalent*

- (a)  $(X, C)$  is pseudo metrisable.
- (b)  $(X, C)$  is regular.
- (c)  $(X, C)$  is completely regular.
- (d)  $(X, C)$  is 0-dimensional.
- (e)  $(X, C)$  is  $R_1$ .
- (f)  $(X, C)$  is  $R_0$ .

**Proof.** See (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (2.1).

Fletcher [2] obtained some parts of the above results in the case of  $X$  as a finite topological space by the use of quasi uniformity.

3. As a special case of *c.p.q.* metric topology, let  $X$  be a finite set. We study a matrix representation of  $(X, \tilde{C})$  which is naturally induced by " $\tilde{d}$ ".

Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then with each finite set  $X$ , we can associate a column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \sim x$$

and we know  $\tilde{d}(x_j, x_i) = 0$  or 1 for each  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore it is natural to make the following associations.

$$(x, \tilde{C}) \sim \left( \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{C} \right) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_{(\tilde{C})}$$

(or the representation matrix of  $\tilde{C}$ )

where  $a_{ij} = d(x_i, x_j)$ .

Let  $R_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $C_j$  be the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $M_{(\tilde{C})}$ . Then

$$M_{(\tilde{C})} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} = (C_1, \dots, C_n) \text{ and } R_i \text{ is identified as } S_{\tilde{d}}(x_i, 1). \text{ } R_i \text{ is}$$

said to be the vector representation of  $S_{\tilde{d}}(x, 1)$  and let  $B(x_i)$  be  $R_i = (a_{i1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{in})$ , the smallest  $\tilde{C}$ -open set containing  $x_i$ .

Similarly, we can easily obtain  $M_{(\underline{C})}$  where  $M_{(\underline{C})}$  is the matrix representation of  $\underline{C}$  which is induced “ $\underline{d}$ ”, the conjugate *c.p.q.* metric of “ $\tilde{d}$ ”.

With each  $x_i$  we associate

$$R_i^c = (a_{i1}, \dots, \overset{(i)}{1}, a_{i(i-1)}, \dots, a_{in})$$

for  $B(x_i)^c$ , where  $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tilde{d}(x_j, x_i) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

and let  $(1, \dots, 1) = \emptyset$ .

Here, we need a suitable vector operation which is applicable in the new system.

**3.1. Definition.** (i)  $R_i \cap R_j = ((a_{i1} \cap a_{j1}), \dots, (a_{in} \cap a_{jn})) = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$

where  $\delta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_{ik} + a_{jk} = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } a_{ik} + a_{jk} \geq 1 \end{cases}$

(ii)  $R_i \cup R_j = ((a_{i1} \cdot a_{j1}), \dots, (a_{in} \cdot a_{jn}))$ .

Then it is obvious that

(i)  $R_i \cap R_j$  is the vector representation of the intersection of the  $x_i$ -nbhd and the  $x_j$ -nbhd in the characteristic base.

(ii)  $R_i \cup R_j$  is the vector representation of the union of  $x_i$ -nbhd and  $x_j$ -nbhd and it will be identified an element of  $L$ .

Considering the fact that any intersection of two open sets is an open set or  $\emptyset$  and the definition of  $\cap$ , we have the following:

**3.2. Lemma.** (I)  $R_i \cap R'_i = R''_i$ , where  $R_i, R'_i$  and  $R''_i$  are vector representation on  $x_i$  nbhd of different *c.p.q.* topologies.

(II)  $(R_i \cap R'_i) \cap R'' = R_i \cap (R_i \cap R'')$ ,

(III)  $R_i \cap R'_i = R'_i \cap R_i$ ,

(IV)  $(R_i \cap R_0) = R_i$ , where  $R_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ .

Let  $m = \{M_{(\cdot)}\}$  be the set of all the matrix representations of  $C_i$ , where  $C_i$  is a topology defined on  $X$  ( $X$  is a finite set). A matrix operation on  $m$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{(C)} \cap M_{(C')} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ a_{ij} & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cap \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ a'_{ij} & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} \cap \begin{pmatrix} R'_1 \\ \vdots \\ R'_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \cap R'_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \cap R'_n \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the intersection of two characteristic basis is a characteristic base and

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \dots 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ 0 \dots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the matrix representation of indiscrete topology on  $X$  and  $M_0 \in m$ . Furthermore,  $M_0$  is considered as the identity element under the operation  $\cap$ . Similarly, we can show  $m$  has the same structure with respect to  $\cap$ .

Therefore

**3.3. Theorem.**  $m$  is a commutative monoid structure with respect to “ $\cap$ ” and “ $\cup$ ” operation.

Here we discuss a few properties of the finite topological properties with, the matrix representation.

**3.4. Definition.** A bitopological space  $(X, L_1, L_2)$  is  $p-T_{1\frac{1}{2}}$  iff for every  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$  there exist disjoint an  $L_i$ -nbhd of  $x$  and an  $L_j$ -nbhd of  $x$ , where  $i=1$  or  $2$  and  $i \neq j$  ([6]).

**3.5. Lemma.** Let  $(X, L_1, L_2)$  be a  $p$ -regular space. It is  $p-T_{1\frac{1}{2}}$  iff  $L_i, i=1$  or  $2$  is  $T_0$  topology.

Proof is omitted.

**3.6. Theorem.** Let  $(X, \tilde{C})$  be  $T_0$ . If  $M_{(\tilde{C})} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_{(\tilde{C})}^t = \begin{pmatrix} R'_1 \\ \vdots \\ R'_n \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $R_i \cap R_j = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .  $i \neq j$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.**  $(X, C)$  being to imply that  $(X, \tilde{C}, \mathcal{C})$  is  $p-T_{1\frac{1}{2}}$ , by (3.5) for each  $x_i, x_j \in X, x_i \neq x_j$  these nbhds have empty intersection.

**3.7. Theorem.** Let  $(X, C)$  be  $T_1$  and  $M_{(C)} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$R_i = (1, \dots, 1, \overset{(i)}{0}, 1 \dots), \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n.$$

**3.8. Theorem.** Let  $(X, L)$  be  $R_0$ . Then  $M_{(\tilde{C})} = M_{(\mathcal{C})}$ .

**Proof.** See (2.1) and (2.4).

By (3.6) and (3.8)

**3.9. Corollary.** If  $(K, C)$  is  $T_0$  and  $R_0$ , then it is  $T_1$ . Obviously,

the weight of  $(X, C)$  is the number of distinct row vectors of  $M_{(C)}$ , added by 1 for empty element  $\emptyset$ . Therefore

**3.10. Theorem.** *The weight of  $(X, C)$  does not exceed  $(n+1)$  (See [12]).*

(3.6) and the definition immediately lead to:

**3.11. Corollary.** *If  $(X, C)$  is  $T_0$  (See p. 1966 of [13]) then the weight is  $(n+1)$ .*

The followings are obvious from the definition of  $M_{(C)}$

**3.12. Theorem.**  $(X, C_1) \supset (X, C_2)$  iff  $a_{ij}^{C_1} = a_{ij}^{C_2}$  or  $a_{ij}^{C_2} = 0$ , where  $a_{ij}^{C_n}$  is the element of  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $M_{(C_n)}$ ,  $n=1, 2$ .

**3.13. Theorem.**  $(X, C)$  is connected iff  $R_1 \cap R_j = (0, \dots, 0)$  implies  $R_1 \cap R_j \neq (1, \dots, 1)$ . For any  $i, j=1, \dots, n$ .

**4.** Stong [13] defined a partial ordering on a finite set. One generalizes the ordering in *c.p.q.* spaces.

**4.1. Lemma.** *A c.p.q. metric on  $X$  induces a partial order on  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Let " $>$ " be defined as  $x \geq y$  iff  $d(x, y) = 0$ . The following is true.

(i)  $x \geq x$  (reflexive),

(ii) If  $x \geq y$  and  $y \geq z$ , then  $x \geq z$ . Because by the triangular inequality  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  the right hand side for inequality is zero ( $x \geq y$  and  $y \geq z$  implies  $d(x, y) = 0$  and  $d(y, z) = 0$ )

Therefore  $d(x, z) = 0$  and  $x \geq z$ .

Similarly, the following is true

**4.2. Lemma.** *Let  $(x, \geq)$  be a partial ordered set. " $\geq$ " induces a c.p.q. metric.*

**Proof.** Let define  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= 0 \text{ if } x \geq y, \\ d(x, y) &= 1 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following

(i)  $d(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ .

(ii)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Because if  $d(x, y) = 0$ , then the result is trivial. Let's consider the case  $d(x, y) = 1$ . If  $d(x, z) = d(z, y) = 0$  then  $x \leq z$  and  $z \leq y$ , which implies  $x \leq y$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$ . Therefore, at least either  $d(x, z)$  or  $d(z, y)$  is equal to 1 and the triangular inequality is held.

**4.3. Theorem.**  $f: (X, \tilde{C}) \rightarrow (X, \tilde{C})$  is continuous iff  $f: (X, \geq) \rightarrow (X', \geq')$  is increasing.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a continuous function at  $a$  and

$$U_{f(a)} = \{y; \tilde{d}'(f(a), y) < 1 \text{ or } \tilde{d}'(f(a), y) = 0\}.$$

Since  $f^{-1}(U_{f(a)}) \supset \tilde{S}(a, 1)$  and  $y \in U_{f(a)}$  which implies  $f(a) \geq y$ , if  $b \in f^{-1}(y)$ , then  $b \in S(a, 1)$  and  $a \geq b$ .

The converse of the proof is easy.

Similarly,

**4.4. Corollary.**  $f: (X, \underline{C}) \rightarrow (X', C')$  is continuous iff  $f: (X, \geq) \rightarrow (X', \geq')$  is decreasing.

### References

- [1] A. Csazar: Foundation of General Topology. Macmillan, New York (1963).
- [2] P. Fletcher: Finite topological spaces and quasi-uniform structures (to appear).
- [3] J. L. Kelley: General Topology. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J. (1955).
- [4] —: Bitopological spaces. Proc. London Math. Soc., **13**(3), 71–89 (1963).
- [5] E. P. Lane: Bitopological spaces and quasi uniform spaces. Proc. London Math. Soc., **17**, 241–256 (1967).
- [6] Y.-W. Kim: Pseudo quasi metric spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **44** (10), 1009–1012 (1968).
- [7] V. Krishnamurthy: On the number of topologies on a finite set. Amer. Math. Monthly, **73**, 154–157 (1966).
- [8] M. G. Murdeshwar and S. A. Naimpally: Quasi-uniform Topological Spaces. P. Noordroof, Groningen (1966).
- [9] C. W. Patty: Bitopological spaces. Duke J. of Math., **34**, 387–391 (1967).
- [10] W. J. Pervin: Quasi-uniformisation of topological spaces. Math. Ann., **147**, 316–317 (1962).
- [11] —: Foundation of General Topology. Academic Press, New York (1964).
- [12] H. Sharp: Quasi-orderings and topologies on finite sets. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17**, 1344–1349 (1966).
- [13] R. E. Stong: Finite topological spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **123**, 325–340 (1966).