

109. An Analogue of the Paley-Wiener Theorem for the Euclidean Motion Group

By Keisaku KUMAHARA^{*)} and Kiyosato OKAMOTO^{**)}

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., May 12, 1971)

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to prove an analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem for the group G of the motions of the n -dimensional euclidean space.

Let \hat{G} be the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G . Let $L_2(G)$ (resp. $L_2(\hat{G})$) be the Hilbert space of all square integrable functions on G (resp. \hat{G}) with respect to the Haar measure (resp. the Plancherel measure). Then the Plancherel theorem states that the Fourier transform gives an isometry of $L_2(G)$ onto $L_2(\hat{G})$ (see § 2).

Let $C_c^\infty(G)$ be the space of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support on G . By an analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem we mean the characterization of the image of $C_c^\infty(G)$ by the Fourier transform.

As a number of articles ([1], [2], [4], [7]–[9] and etc.) indicate, in order to attack the problem one has to consider the Fourier-Laplace transforms of $C_c^\infty(G)$ which are (operator-valued) entire analytic functions “of exponential type” on a certain complex manifold. In general, \hat{G} is not a C^∞ manifold but the space of all orbits in a real analytic manifold by actions of the “Weyl group” which gives equivalence relations. The Fourier-Laplace transform T_f of an element f of $C_c^\infty(G)$ is defined on the “complexification” of this real analytic manifold and satisfies certain functional equations derived from the actions of the Weyl group.

Detailed proofs will appear elsewhere.

2. Preliminaries. Let G be the group of motions of n -dimensional euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n . Then G is realized as the group of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, ($k \in SO(n)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$). Let K and H be the closed subgroups of the elements $\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, ($k \in SO(n)$) and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, ($x \in \mathbf{R}^n$), respectively. Then H is an abelian normal subgroup of G and G is the semidirect of H and K . We normalize the Haar measure dg on G such that $dg = dxdk$, where $dx = (2\pi)^{-n/2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n$

^{*)} Department of Applied Mathematics, Osaka University.

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University.

and dk is the normalized Haar measure on K . Let $\mathfrak{S} = L_2(K)$ be the Hilbert space of all square integrable functions on K . We denote by $B(\mathfrak{S})$ the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on \mathfrak{S} .

If G_1 is a subgroup of G , we denote by \hat{G}_1 the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G_1 . For an irreducible unitary representation σ of G_1 , we denote by $[\sigma]$ the equivalence class which contains σ . Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the euclidean inner product on \mathbf{R}^n . Then we can identify \hat{H} with \mathbf{R}^n so that the value of $\xi \in \hat{H}$ at $x \in H$ is $e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle}$. For simplicity, we identify $k \in SO(n)$ with $\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H$. Because H is normal, K acts on H , and therefore on \hat{H} naturally: $\langle k\xi, x \rangle = \langle \xi, k^{-1}x \rangle$. Let K_ξ be the isotropy subgroup of K at $\xi \in \hat{H}$. If $\xi \neq 0$, K_ξ is isomorphic to $SO(n-1)$.

The irreducible unitary representations of G were enumerated and constructed by G. W. Mackey [6] and S. Itô [5] as follows. We fix $\xi \in \hat{H}$. Let χ_σ and d_σ be the character and the degree of $[\sigma] \in \hat{K}_\xi$, respectively. Let R be the right regular representation of K . If $\sigma(k) = (\sigma_{ij}(k))(1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma)$, we put

$$P^\sigma = d_\sigma \int_{K_\xi} \overline{\chi_\sigma(m)} R_m d_\xi m$$

and

$$P_i^\sigma = d_\sigma \int_{K_\xi} \overline{\sigma_{ii}(m)} R_m d_\xi m,$$

where $d_\xi m$ is the normalized Haar measure on K_ξ . Then P^σ and P_i^σ are both orthogonal projections of \mathfrak{S} . Put $\mathfrak{S}^\sigma = P^\sigma \mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_i^\sigma = P_i^\sigma \mathfrak{S}$. We denote by U^ξ the unitary representation of G induced by ξ , i.e. for $g = \begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$

$$(U_g^\xi F)(u) = e^{i\langle \xi, u^{-1}x \rangle} F(k^{-1}u), \quad (F \in \mathfrak{S}, u \in K).$$

The subspaces $\mathfrak{S}_i^\sigma (1 \leq i \leq d_\sigma)$ are invariant under U^ξ and the representations of G induced on \mathfrak{S}_i^σ under U^ξ are equivalent for all $1 \leq i \leq d_\sigma$. We fix one of them and denote it by $U^{\xi, \sigma}$. Two representations $U^{\xi, \sigma}$ and $U^{\xi, \sigma'}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an element $k \in K$ such that $\xi' = k\xi$ and $[\sigma] = [\sigma'^k]$ where $\sigma'^k(m) = \sigma'(kmk^{-1})$, ($m \in K_\xi$).

First we assume that $\xi \neq 0$. Then $U^{\xi, \sigma}$ is irreducible and every infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representation is equivalent to one of $U^{\xi, \sigma}$, ($\xi \neq 0, [\sigma] \in \hat{K}_\xi$). Since $\mathfrak{S} = \bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in \hat{K}_\xi} \mathfrak{S}^\sigma$ and $\mathfrak{S}^\sigma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \mathfrak{S}_i^\sigma$, we have

$$U^\xi \cong \bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in \hat{K}_\xi} \underbrace{(U^{\xi, \sigma} \oplus \dots \oplus U^{\xi, \sigma})}_{d_\sigma \text{ times}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Next we assume that $\xi = 0$. Then $U^{\xi, \sigma}$ is reducible and $K_\xi = K$. For any irreducible unitary representation σ of K we define a finite

dimensional irreducible unitary representation U^σ of G by $U_g^\sigma = \sigma(k)$ where $g = \begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$. Then we have $U^{0,\sigma} \cong \underbrace{U^\sigma \oplus \dots \oplus U^\sigma}_{d_\sigma \text{ times}}$ and $U^{0,\sigma} \cong \bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in \hat{K}} U^{0,\sigma}$. Moreover every finite dimensional irreducible unitary representation of G is equivalent to one of U^σ , ($[\sigma] \in \hat{K}$).

We denote by $(\hat{G})_\infty$ (resp. $(\hat{G})_0$) the set of all equivalence classes of infinite (resp. finite) dimensional irreducible unitary representations of G .

Let \mathbf{R}_+ be the set of all positive numbers and let M be the subgroup of the elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, ($m \in SO(n-1)$). Then for any $\xi \in \hat{H}$ of the form ${}^t(a, 0, \dots, 0)$, $a \in \mathbf{R}_+$, we have $K_\xi = M$. It follows from the above results that $(\hat{G})_\infty$ can be identified with $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \hat{M}$. It can be proved that the Plancherel measure of $(\hat{G})_0$ is zero and the Plancherel measure on $(\hat{G})_\infty$ is explicitly expressed as $(2/2^{n/2}\Gamma(2/n))a^{n-1}da \otimes d_\sigma$. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, we put

$$T_f(\xi, \sigma) = \int_G f(g) U_g^{\xi, \sigma} dg \quad (\xi \neq 0, [\sigma] \in \hat{K}_\xi).$$

For $\xi = {}^t(a, 0, \dots, 0)$, ($a \in \mathbf{R}_+$), we write briefly $T_f(\xi, \sigma) = T_f(a, \sigma)$. Then the following Plancherel formula holds:

$$\int_G |f(g)|^2 dg = \frac{2}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)} \sum_{[\sigma] \in \hat{M}} d_\sigma \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \|T_f(a, \sigma)\|_2^2 a^{n-1} da, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we put

$$T_f(\xi) = \int_G f(g) U_g^\xi dg.$$

The space, on which $T_f(\xi, \sigma)$ operates, depends not only on σ but also on ξ . However $T_f(\xi)$ is an operator on a fixed Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , so that we can consider the $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ -valued function T_f . We shall call T_f the Fourier transform of f . As above we write $T_f(\xi) = T_f(a)$ for $\xi = {}^t(a, 0, \dots, 0)$, ($a \in \mathbf{R}_+$). Then it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\int_G |f(g)|^2 dg = \frac{2}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \|T_f(a)\|_2^2 a^{n-1} da.$$

3. Definition and some properties of the Fourier-Laplace transform. For each $\zeta \in \hat{H}^c (\cong C^n)$ we define a bounded representation of G on \mathfrak{H} by

$$(U_g^\zeta F)(u) = e^{i\langle \zeta, u^{-1}x \rangle} F(k^{-1}u), \quad (F \in \mathfrak{H}, u \in K),$$

where $g = \begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, put

$$T_f(\zeta) = \int_G f(g) U_g^\zeta dg.$$

Then T_f is a $B(\mathfrak{H})$ -valued function on \hat{H}^c . We call T_f the Fourier-Laplace transform of f .

Since K is compact, for each $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ there exists a positive number a such that $\text{supp } (f) \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G; |x| \leq a, k \in K \right\}$. We denote by r_f the greatest lower bound of such a 's. Throughout this section we assume that $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $r_f \leq a$ for a fixed $a \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

Lemma 1. *There exists a constant $C \geq 0$ depending only on f such that $\|T_f(\zeta)\| \leq C \exp a |\text{Im } \zeta|$.*

This lemma is easily verified using the Schwarz's inequality.

A $B(\mathfrak{H})$ -valued function T on \hat{H}^c is called entire analytic if it is analytic at each point of \hat{H}^c (for the definition of a Banach space valued analytic function, see [3(a)]). Then it is easy to see that T_f is entire analytic and that, for any $\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$, $T_f(\zeta)$ leaves the space $C^\infty(K)$ invariant.

We denote by λ (resp. μ) the representation of K on $C^\infty(G)$ defined by

$$\lambda(k)f(g) = f(k^{-1}g) \quad (\text{resp. } \mu(k)f(g) = f(gk))$$

for $k \in K$ and $g \in G$. We also denote by λ and μ the corresponding representations of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{f} of K . We denote by Δ the Casimir operator of K (In case $n=2$, we put $\Delta = -X^2$ for a non-zero $X \in \mathfrak{f}$). For any polynomial function p on \hat{H}^c , we define a differential operator $p(D)$ on H by $p(D) = p(1/i \cdot \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, 1/i \cdot \partial/\partial x_n)$. The following lemma is not difficult to prove but plays an important role.

Lemma 2. 1) *For any non-negative integers l and m we have $\Delta^l T_f(\zeta) \Delta^m = T \lambda(\Delta^l) \mu(\Delta^m) T_f(\zeta)$, ($\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$).*

2) *For any K -invariant polynomial function p on \hat{H}^c , we have $p(\zeta) T_f(\zeta) = T_{p^*(D)} T_f(\zeta)$, ($\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$), where $p^*(\zeta) = p(-\zeta)$.*

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we have

Proposition 1. *For any polynomial function p on \hat{H}^c and for any non-negative integers l and m , there exists a constant $C_p^{l,m}$ such that*

$$\|p(\zeta) \Delta^l T_f(\zeta) \Delta^m\| \leq C_p^{l,m} \exp a |\text{Im } \zeta|.$$

Finally from the definition of T_f we have the following proposition (the functional equations for T_f).

Proposition 2. $T_f(k\zeta) = R_k T_f(\zeta) R_k^{-1}$ ($\zeta \in \hat{H}^c, k \in K$).

4. The analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem.

Theorem. *A $B(\mathfrak{H})$ -valued function T on \hat{H} is the Fourier transform of $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $r_f \leq a$ ($a > 0$) if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

(I) *T can be extended to an entire analytic function on \hat{H}^c .*

(II) *For any $\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$, $T(\zeta)$ leaves the space $C^\infty(K)$ invariant. Moreover for any polynomial function p on \hat{H}^c and for any non-negative integers l and m , there exists a constant $C_p^{l,m}$ such that*

$$\|p(\zeta)A^l T(\zeta)A^m\| \leq C_p^{l,m} \exp a |\operatorname{Im} \zeta|.$$

(III) For any $k \in K$,

$$T(k\zeta) = R_k T(\zeta) R_k^{-1} \quad (\zeta \in \hat{H}^c).$$

It is easy to see that the necessity of the theorem follows from the properties of the Fourier-Laplace transform which we mentioned in § 3.

In the following we shall give an outline of a proof of the sufficiency of the theorem. For the sake of brevity we assume that $n \geq 3$. In case $n=2$ the same method is valid with a slight modification.

Let \mathfrak{t} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{k} . Denote by \mathfrak{k}^c (resp. \mathfrak{t}^c) the complexification of \mathfrak{k} (resp. \mathfrak{t}). Fix an order in the dual space of $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{t}$. Let P be the positive root system of \mathfrak{k}^c with respect to \mathfrak{t}^c . Let \mathfrak{F} be the set of all dominant integral forms. Then $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ is the highest weight of some irreducible unitary representation of K if and only if it is lifted to a unitary character of the Cartan subgroup. Let \mathfrak{F}_0 be the set of all such λ 's. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_0$ we denote by τ_λ the irreducible unitary (matrix) representation of K with the highest weight λ . Then the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow \tau_\lambda$ gives the bijection between \mathfrak{F}_0 and \hat{K} . Let d_λ be the degree of τ_λ . Then by the Peter-Weyl theorem we can choose a complete orthonormal basis $\{\Phi_j\}_{j \in J}$ of \mathfrak{F} , consisting of the matrix elements of irreducible unitary representations of K , i.e. $\Phi_j = \sqrt{d_\lambda} (\tau_\lambda)_{p,q}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_0$ and $p, q = 1, \dots, d_\lambda$. Let J_λ be the set of j in J such that $\Phi_j = \sqrt{d_\lambda} (\tau_\lambda)_{p,q}$ for some $p, q = 1, \dots, d_\lambda$. Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the inner product on the dual space of $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{t}$ induced by the Killing form and put $|\lambda| = (\lambda, \lambda)^{1/2}$. We put as usual $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P} \alpha$.

Let us now assume that T is an arbitrary $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ -valued function on \hat{H} satisfying the conditions (I)~(III) in the theorem. We define the kernel function of $T(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$) by

$$K(\zeta; u, v) = \sum_{i,j \in J} (T(\zeta)\Phi_j, \Phi_i)\Phi_i(u)\overline{\Phi_j(v)}, \quad (u, v \in K) \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 3. For any $\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$ the series $\sum_{i,j \in J} |(T(\zeta)\Phi_j, \Phi_i)|$ converges, so that the series of the right side of (4.1) is absolutely convergent and moreover it is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of $\hat{H}^c \times K \times K$.

For the proof of this lemma we use the condition (II) and the following facts: For every $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_0$ and $j \in J_\lambda$, we have $(\lambda + |\rho|^2)\Phi_j = |\lambda + \rho|^2 \Phi_j$ and the Weyl's dimension formula $d_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in P} (\lambda + \rho, \alpha) / \prod_{\alpha \in P} (\rho, \alpha)$. And moreover, the Dirichlet series $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_0} |\lambda + \rho|^{-s}$ converges if $s > [n/2]$ (see [3(b)]).

From Lemma 3 we have the following

Corollary. The function $\hat{H}^c \times K \times K \ni (\zeta, u, v) \rightarrow K(\zeta; u, v)$ is of C^∞ class and entire analytic with respect to ζ .

The condition (II) and the above mentioned facts imply also the

following

Lemma 4. For any polynomial function p on \hat{H}^c , there exists a constant C_p such that

$$|p(\zeta)K(\zeta; u, v)| \leq C_p \exp a |\operatorname{Im} \zeta|, \quad (\zeta \in \hat{H}^c, u, v \in K).$$

Remark. $K(\zeta; u, v)$ is rapidly decreasing on the real axis \hat{H} .

Notice that from Lemma 3 the operator $T(\zeta)$ is of trace class (see [3(b)], Lemma 1). Now we define a function f on G by

$$f(g) = \frac{2}{2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} \operatorname{Tr}(T(a)U_{g^{-1}}^a) a^{n-1} da. \quad (4.2)$$

By the condition (III), we can prove that

$$K(k\zeta; u, v) = K(\zeta; uk^{-1}, vk^{-1}) \quad (4.3)$$

for every $\zeta \in \hat{H}^c$ and $u, v, k \in K$. The formulae (4.2) and (4.3) imply

$$f \begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \int_{\hat{H}} K(\xi; 1, k^{-1}) e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} d\xi$$

($k \in K, x \in \mathbf{R}^n$) where $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n/2} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n$.

It follows from Corollary to Lemma 3 and the above remark that f is of class C^∞ . Making use of the classical Paley-Wiener theorem, from Lemma 4 we can prove that if $|x| > a$, $f \begin{pmatrix} k & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ for any $k \in K$.

Our final task is to check that $T_f = T$, which can be shown by comparing the kernel functions of both operators.

References

- [1] L. Ehrenpreis and F. I. Mautner: (a) *Ann. of Math.*, **61**, 406–439 (1955); (b) *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **84**, 1–55 (1957).
- [2] R. Gangolli: *Ann. of Math.*, **93**, 150–165 (1971).
- [3] Harish-Chandra: (a) *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75**, 185–243 (1953); (b) *ibid.*, **76**, 234–253 (1954).
- [4] S. Helgason: *Math. Ann.*, **165**, 297–308 (1966).
- [5] S. Itô: *Nagoya Math. J.*, **5**, 79–96 (1953).
- [6] G. W. Mackey: (a) *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **35**, 537–543 (1949); (b) *Ann. of Math.*, **55**, 101–139 (1952).
- [7] R. Paley and N. Wiener: *Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ., New York (1934).
- [8] Y. Shimizu: *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, Sec. I*, **16**, 13–51 (1969).
- [9] D. P. Zelobenko: (a) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Math.*, **27**, 1343–1394 (1963); (b) *ibid.*, **33**, 1255–1295 (1969).