## 32. On the Uniqueness of Global Generalized Solutions for the Equation F(x, u, grad u)=0

By Sadakazu AIZAWA Department of Mathematics, Kobe University

(Comm. by Kôsaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 12, 1975)

1. Introduction. If we intend to treat the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$u_t + f(\operatorname{grad} u) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \ge 0,$$
  
(grad  $u = (u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n})$ )

from the point of view of the theory of semigroups of nonlinear transformations, it is necessary ([1]) to establish the existence and uniqueness of certain bounded (possibly generalized) solutions of the associated equation

(AE)  $u+f(\operatorname{grad} u)=h(x), \quad x\in R^n$ , for given h. In this note we shall consider a more general equation of the form

(E)  $F(x, u, \operatorname{grad} u) = 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and prove a uniqueness theorem for certain bounded generalized (Lipschitz-continuous) solutions of (E). A semigroup treatment of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in several space variables will be taken up in a later paper.

2. Definition of a generalized solution. We shall assume that the function F(x, u, p) in (E) is real-valued and of class  $C^2$  with respect to all its arguments in  $R_x^n \times R_u^1 \times R_p^n$  and satisfies the following three conditions:

i) The matrix  $(F_{ij}(x, u, p))$ , where  $F_{ij} = \partial^2 F / \partial p_i \partial p_j$   $(i, j=1, \dots, n)$ , is nonnegative, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} F_{ij}(x, u, p) \lambda_i \lambda_j \ge 0$$

for each  $(x, u, p) \in \mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^1_u \times \mathbb{R}^n_p$  and each real  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ ;

ii) There exists a positive constant c such that

 $F_u(x, u, p) \ge c$ 

for all  $(x, u, p) \in R_x^n \times R_u^1 \times R_p^n$ ;

iii) The partial derivatives  $F_{p_i}, F_{p_ix_i}, F_{p_iu}$  and  $F_{p_ip_i}$   $(i=1, \dots, n)$  are bounded in any subdomain

(1)  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x, u, p); x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |u| \le U, |p| \le P\},\$ where U and P are arbitrary constants.

Under the assumption i), we shall give the following definition (cf. [3], [4]).

Definition. A bounded and uniformly Lipschitz-continuous function  $u: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$  that satisfies (E) at almost all points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a bounded generalized solution of (E) if it satisfies the following semiconcavity condition:

(SC)  $u(x+y)+u(x-y)-2u(x) \le k |y|^2$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for some constant k.

3. Uniqueness. Our aim is to prove the

Theorem (Uniqueness). Under Assumptions i)-iii), there exists at most one bounded generalized solution of (E).

As is easily seen, there are, in general, infinitely many bounded, Lipschitz-continuous functions that satisfy (E) at almost all points of  $R^n$ . In fact, this failure of uniqueness is shown by the following example. Consider the equation

(2)  $u+(1/2)(u_x^2+u_y^2)=0,$   $(x, y) \in R^2.$ Then, obviously, the functions  $u_{\alpha\beta}$  defined by

( 0,	$x \leq \alpha$ or $x \geq eta$ ,
$u_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \left\{ -(1/2)(x-\alpha)^2 \right\}$	$\alpha \leq x \leq (1/2)(\alpha + \beta),$
$u_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, \\ -(1/2)(x-\alpha)^2, \\ -(1/2)(x-\beta)^2, \end{cases}$	$(1/2)(\alpha+\beta) \leq x \leq \beta$ ,

for all pairs of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \leq \beta$  are bounded, Lipschitz-continuous solutions of (2),  $u \equiv 0$  being semi-concave.

**Proof of Theorem.** To prove the theorem by contradiction let u and v be two bounded generalized solutions of (E). For u and v, let U denote a common absolute bound in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let P be a common Lipschitz constant, and let k be a common semiconcavity constant. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote a domain defined by (1) and we set

$$K_1 = \sup_{\mathcal{D}} \left( \sum_i (F_{p_i}(x, u, p))^2 \right)^{1/2},$$
  

$$K_2 = \sup_{\mathcal{D}} |\sum_i F_{p_i x_i}| + P \sum_i \sup_{\mathcal{D}} |F_{p_i u}|,$$
  

$$K_3 = \sup_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_i F_{p_i p_i}.$$

For a part of our proof below the author owes to a technique suggested by Douglis [3].

Since

 $F(x, u, \operatorname{grad} u) = 0,$   $F(x, v, \operatorname{grad} v) = 0,$ a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the difference w = u - v satisfies the equation

$$Gw + \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i w_{x_i} = 0$$

a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where

$$G = G(x, u, v)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} F_{u}(x, v + \theta(u - v), \operatorname{grad} v + \theta (\operatorname{grad} u - \operatorname{grad} v)) d\theta,$$

$$G_{i} = G_{i}(x, u, v)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} F_{p_{i}}(x, v + \theta(u - v), \operatorname{grad} v + \theta (\operatorname{grad} u - \operatorname{grad} v)) d\theta.$$

No. 3]

If we set  $W = w^q$ , where q is an even integer, we have

$$(3) \qquad \qquad qGW + \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i W_{x_i} = 0$$

a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

By convolving u and v with mollifying kernels, we can find two approximating sequences  $\{u^m\}$  and  $\{v^m\}$  of infinitely differentiable functions, each having the same absolute bound U, Lipschitz constant Pand semiconcavity constant k as u and v, such that  $\{\operatorname{grad} u^m\}$  and  $\{\operatorname{grad} v^m\}$  converge a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\operatorname{grad} u$  and  $\operatorname{grad} v$  respectively. If we set

$$G_i^m = G_i(x, u^m, v^m), \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n,$$

then equation (3) can be written as

(4) 
$$qGW + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m}W)_{x_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m} - G_{i})W_{x_{i}} + W \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m})_{x_{i}}.$$

Let r be an arbitrary positive number, and we integrate the both sides of (4) over the ball  $|x| \le r$ . We thus get

(5)  
$$q \int_{|x| \le r} GW dx + \int_{|x| = r} W \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}^{m} \cos(n, x_{i}) dS$$
$$= \int_{|x| \le r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m} - G_{i}) W_{x_{i}} dx + \int_{|x| \le r} W \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m})_{x_{i}} dx,$$

where *n* is the outer normal to the sphere S: |x|=r and dS is the surface element. On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{|x|\leq r} GWdx \geq c \int_{|x|\leq r} Wdx,$$
$$\int_{|x|=r} W \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}^{m} \cos(n, x_{i}) dS \geq -K_{1} \int_{|x|=r} WdS,$$

and

$$\int_{|x| \leq r} W \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m})_{x_{i}} dx \leq (K_{2} + kK_{3}) \int_{|x| \leq r} W dx,$$

since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i}^{m})_{x_{i}} = \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{p_{i}x_{i}}(\cdots) d\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_{x_{i}}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} \theta F_{p_{i}u}(\cdots) d\theta + v_{x_{i}}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\theta) F_{p_{i}u}(\cdots) d\theta) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (u_{x_{i}x_{j}}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} \theta F_{p_{i}p_{j}}(\cdots) d\theta + v_{x_{i}x_{j}}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\theta) F_{p_{i}p_{j}}(\cdots) d\theta) ((\cdots) = (x, v^{m} + \theta (u^{m} - v^{m}), \operatorname{grad} v^{m} + \theta (\operatorname{grad} u^{m} - \operatorname{grad} v^{m}))).$$

(Note that, by virtue of assumption i) and the semiconcavity condition (SC),

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_{x_i x_j}^m F_{p_i p_j}(\cdots) = \operatorname{tr}\left[(M - kI)F\right] + k \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{p_i p_i}(\cdots)$$
$$\leq k \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{p_i p_i}(\cdots),$$

*M* and *F* denoting the matrices  $(u_{x_ix_j}^m)$  and  $(F_{p_ip_j}(\cdots))$  respectively.) Substituting these inequalities into (5), we get

$$cq \int_{|x| \le r} Wdx - K_1 \int_{|x| = r} WdS$$
  
$$\leq \int_{|x| \le r} \sum_{i=1}^n (G_i^m - G_i) W_{x_i} dx + (K_2 + kK_3) \int_{|x| \le r} Wdx$$

and, hence, by letting m tend to infinity and using the bounded convergence theorem

(6) 
$$cq \int_{|x| \le r} W dx - K_1 \int_{|x| = r} W dS \le (K_2 + kK_3) \int_{|x| \le r} W dx.$$

If we set

$$I(r) = \int_{|x| \le r} W dx \quad \text{for } r > 0$$

and choose an even integer q so large that  $cq > K_2 + kK_3$ , then inequality (6) can be written as a differential inequality for I(r)

$$aI(r)-dI(r)/dr \leq 0, \quad r > 0,$$

where  $a = (cq - K_2 - kK_3)/K_1$  is a positive constant.

Now suppose that there is a positive number  $r_0$  for which  $I(r_0) > 0$ . Then the differential inequality (7) gives a lower bound  $I(r_0) \exp(a(r-r_0))$ for the growth order of I(r) as r tends to infinity. But this is a contradiction, since the integral I(r) increases at most polynomially with r because of the boundedness of  $W = w^q$ . Therefore, I(r) = 0 for  $r \ge 0$ and, hence, the difference w = u - v must vanish identically in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This completes the proof.

Corollary. If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  is of class  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and satisfies condition i) with F(x, u, p) replaced by f(p), then there exists at most one bounded generalized solution of (AE).

## References

- [1] S. Aizawa: A semigroup treatment of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in one space variable. Hiroshima Math. J., 3, 367-386 (1973).
- [2] M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett: Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces. Amer. J. Math., 93, 265-298 (1971).
- [3] A. Douglis: Solutions in the large for multi-dimensional, non-linear partial differential equations of first order. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 15-2, 1-35 (1965).
- [4] S. N. Kružkov: Generalized solutions of non-linear equations of first order with several variables. I (in Russian). Mat. Sb., 70, 394-415 (1966).

150