

### 136. The Concrete Description of the Colocalization

By Masahisa SATO

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Education

(Communicated by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1976)

**Introduction.** Recently K. Ohtake [5] proved that for a torsion theory  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  there is a colocalization functor if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a TTF-class, in this case we have another torsion theory  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$  and T. Kato [2], K. Ohtake [5] proved that there is an equivalence between the colocalization subcategory  $[C]$  of  $\text{Mod-}R$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  and the localization subcategory  $[L]$  of  $\text{Mod-}R$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$ .

In this paper, we shall show a colocalization of any module  $M_R$  can be obtained by  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I$  concretely where  $I$  is a corresponding two sided ideal, i.e. the unique minimal ideal belonging to the filter which corresponds to  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$ .

As an application of this, we get self-contained and fairly simple proofs of the results in [5].

**The concrete description of the colocalization.** Throughout this paper, ring  $R$  means an associative ring with unit,  $\text{Mod-}R$  (resp.  $R\text{-Mod}$ ) denotes a class of all unital right (resp. left)  $R$ -modules and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$ ) denotes a torsion theory in  $\text{Mod-}R$  (resp.  $R\text{-Mod}$ ), about which the reader is referred to [6].

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  be a torsion theory. A module  $M_R$  is called "divisible" if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(K, M) = 0$  for any  $K \in \mathcal{A}$ , dually "codivisible" if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, K) = 0$  for any  $K \in \mathcal{B}$ , and a map  $M_R \xrightarrow{f} L(M)_R$  (resp.  $C(M)_R \xrightarrow{f} M_R$ ) is called "localization" of  $M_R$  (resp. "colocalization" of  $M_R$ ) if  $\ker(f), \text{cok}(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $L(M)_R \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $L(M)$  is divisible (resp.  $\ker(f) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{cok}(f) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $C(M) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $C(M)$  is codivisible).

$[L], [C]$  denote the full subcategory of torsion-free divisible modules in  $\text{Mod-}R$  and torsion codivisible modules in  $\text{Mod-}R$  which are called localization subcategory and colocalization subcategory with respect to  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  respectively.

Let  $I$  be a two sided idempotent ideal and  $\mathcal{F} = \{M_R \in \text{Mod-}R \mid M \cdot I = 0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is TTF-class in the sense of Jans [1]. (i.e. closed under taking submodules, extensions and direct products). Any TTF-class in  $\text{Mod-}R$  is obtained as above, in this case corresponding torsion class and torsion-free class are  $\mathcal{T} = \{M_R \mid M \cdot I = M\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{M_R \mid \text{Ann}_M(I) = 0\}$  respectively. (i.e.  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}), (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$  are torsion theories.) The corresponding filter with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$  is  $\mathcal{J} = \{J_R \mid J_R \text{ is a right ideal which}$

contains  $I$  and torsion submodule of  $M_R$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})$  is  $M \cdot I$ . (see [1]). Any TTF-theory in  $R\text{-Mod}$  denoted by  $(\mathcal{I}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{D}^*)$  is defined by the same way. These notations are maintained throughout this paper.

Localization functor with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$  is represented by  $L(-) = \text{Hom}_R(I_R, \text{Hom}_R(I_R, -)_R) = \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I_R, -)$ . (See [6], by a simple calculation of operator, new operation of  $R$  to  $\text{Hom}_R(I_R, -)$  coincides with the origin.) On the other hand, for the formulation of the colocalization, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Colocalization functor with respect to  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})$  is obtained by  $C(-) = - \otimes_R I \otimes_R I (C(M_R) \xrightarrow{f} M)$  is canonical). Particularly  $C(R) = I \otimes_R I$ .*

**Proof.** We show that  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \xrightarrow{f} M$  where  $f(\sum m \otimes i_1 \otimes i_2) = \sum m i_1 i_2 \in M, i_1, i_2 \in I$  is a colocalization of  $M$ . In the proof we will omit the suffix to avoid the unnecessary confusion. Let  $\sum m \otimes i_1 \otimes i_2 \in \ker(f), i_1, i_2 \in I$  and  $m \in M$ , i.e.  $\sum m i_1 i_2 = 0$ . We can write  $i = \sum j_1 j_2, j_1, j_2 \in I$  for any  $i \in I$  since  $I^2 = I$ , so  $(\sum m \otimes i_1 \otimes i_2) i = \sum m \otimes i_1 \otimes (i_2 j_1 j_2) = \sum (\sum m i_1 i_2) \otimes j_1 \otimes j_2 = 0$ . Hence  $\ker(f)I = 0$  so  $\ker(f) \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is clear  $\text{cok}(f) = M / MI \in \mathcal{F}, M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

We shall show  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I$  is codivisible. Let  $0 \longrightarrow A_R \longrightarrow B_R \xrightarrow{t} C_R \longrightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{Mod-}R$  such that  $A_R \in \mathcal{F}$ , then we have exact sequences and natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \text{Hom}(M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R, A_R) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R, B_R) \\ \cong &\qquad \qquad \qquad \cong \\ 0 = \text{Hom}(M \otimes I, \text{Hom}(I, A)) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M \otimes I, \text{Hom}(I, B)) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R, C_R) \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \cong \\ &\xrightarrow{h} \text{Hom}(M \otimes I, \text{Hom}(I, C)) \end{aligned}$$

since  $I \in \mathcal{I}, A \in \mathcal{F}$  where  $h = \text{Hom}(M \otimes_R I, \text{Hom}(I, t))$ .

We must verify  $h$  is an epimorphism. Let  $g: M \otimes_R I_R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, C)_R$  be any  $R$ -homomorphism,  $M \otimes_R I \in \mathcal{I}$  hence  $\text{Im}(g) \in \mathcal{I}$  so  $\text{Im}(g) \subset \text{Hom}_R(I, C)I$ . Hence to show this we prove  $p = \text{Hom}(I, t) | \text{Hom}(I, B)I$  is an isomorphism onto  $\text{Hom}(I, C)I$ . Clearly  $\text{Hom}(I, t)$  is monomorphism, so is  $p$ . Let  $\sum y_i \in \text{Hom}(I, C)I$  where  $y \in \text{Hom}(I, C), i \in I$ . We can write  $i = \sum i_1 i_2, i_1, i_2 \in I$  for  $I^2 = I$ . Consider the map  $y i_1: I_R \rightarrow C_R$  and  $y i_1(j) = y(i_1 j) = y(i_1) j$  for  $j \in I$ . Since  $y(i_1) \in C$  and  $t$  is an epimorphism, there is  $b_{i_1} \in B$  such that  $t(b_{i_1}) = y(i_1)$ . So we define  $k_{i_1}: I_R \rightarrow B_R$  such that  $k_{i_1}(j) = b_{i_1} \cdot j$  for any  $j \in I$ , then  $t \cdot k_{i_1} i_2(j) = t \cdot k_{i_1}(i_2 \cdot j) = t(b_{i_1} \cdot i_2 j) = t(b_{i_1}) i_2 j = y(i_1) i_2 j = y \cdot i_1 i_2(j)$  for any  $j \in I$ , hence  $t \cdot k_{i_1} i_2 = y \cdot i_1 i_2$  so we put  $q_i = \sum k_{i_1} \cdot i_2$  then  $q_i \in \text{Hom}(I, B)I$  and  $t q_i = \sum t k_{i_1} i_2 = \sum y i_1 i_2 = y(\sum i_1 i_2) = y i$ , that is  $\sum y_i = t(\sum q_i), \sum q_i \in \text{Hom}(I, B)I$ , which means  $p$  is an

epimorphism, so is  $h$ , as was to be shown.

Localization and colocalization functors are unique up to the isomorphism if they exist (see [3], [4], [6]), therefore identity map  $C(M) \rightarrow C(M)$  is a colocalization of  $C(M)$ , hence  $C(C(M)) \cong C(M)$  so we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.**  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \xrightarrow{f} M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I$  is an isomorphism where  $I$  is any two sided idempotent ideal and  $f(\sum m \otimes i_1 \otimes i_2 \otimes i_3 \otimes i_4) = \sum m \otimes i_1 \otimes i_2 \cdot (i_3 i_4)$ . Particularly  ${}_R(I \otimes_R I) \otimes_R (I \otimes_R I)_R \cong {}_R I \otimes_R I_R$ .

Using above results, we get the next theorem immediately. (2)–(5) have been proved in [5].

**Theorem 3.** Let  $I$  be a two sided idempotent ideal,  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$ ;  $(\mathcal{I}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{D}^*)$  corresponding torsion theories,  $C, C^*$ ;  $L, L^*$  localization and colocalization functors with respect to  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})(\mathcal{I}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ ;  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{D}^*)$  respectively and  $[C], [L]$  colocalization and localization subcategories respectively.

The following statements hold.

- (1)  ${}_R C(R)_R \cong {}_R C^*(R)_R$  as  $R$ – $R$  bi-homomorphism.
- (2) Bilinear map  $(I \otimes_R I, I \otimes_R I) \xrightarrow{v} I \otimes_R I$  where  $v(\sum i_1 \otimes i_2, \sum i_3 \otimes i_4) = (\sum i_1 \otimes i_2) \cdot (\sum i_3 \otimes i_4)$  gives a ring structure in  $C(R), C^*(R)$  and colocalization  $C(R) \rightarrow R$ , (1) are ring and  $R$ – $R$  bi-homomorphism.
- (3)  $C(R)^2 = C(R)$  and if  $R$  is commutative, so is  $C(R)$ .
- (4) Functors  $C, L$  induce an equivalence  $[C] \sim [L]$ .
- (5)  $[C]$  is a Grothendieck category.

**Proof.** (1)–(3) are obvious by Theorem 1.

**Proof of (4):** For any  $M_R \in \text{Mod-}R, C(M) \in [C]$  and  $L(M) \in [L]$ , it remains to show  $C(L(M)) \cong M$  for  $M \in [C]$  and  $L(C(M)) \cong M$  for  $M \in [L]$ . But by Lemma 2 and uniqueness of the localization, it is sufficient to show that  $\text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M_R) \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R \cong M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I_R, M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I_R, M_R)_R$  canonically.

Let  $M_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ . The latter is induced by the colocalization  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I_R \xrightarrow{f} M_R$ . Since  $\ker(f) \in \mathcal{F}, \text{cok}(f) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $I \otimes_R I$  is torsion codivisible, we have exact sequences

$$0 = \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, \ker(f)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, \text{Im}(f)) \rightarrow 0$$

$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, \text{Im}(f)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, \text{cok}(f)) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}(I \otimes_R I, f): L(C(M)) \rightarrow L(M)$  is isomorphism.

Next we must verify the former is induced by the localization  $M_R \xrightarrow{g} \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M)_R$ . Since  $\ker(g) \in \mathcal{F}, \text{cok}(g) \in \mathcal{F}$ , we get a commutative diagram for  $M_R \in [C]$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 \longrightarrow \ker(g \otimes I \otimes I) & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I & \xrightarrow{g \otimes I \otimes I} & \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M) \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \\
 \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow g_3 \\
 0 \longrightarrow \ker(g) & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M) \\
 & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow (\text{cok}(g) \otimes_R I \otimes I) = 0 \\
 & & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \text{cok}(g) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where rows are exact,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  are canonical map and  $g_1$  is an induced map.  $g_1$  is monomorphism and  $\ker(g) \in \mathcal{F}$  so is  $\ker(g \otimes I \otimes I)$ . However  $\text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M) \otimes_R I \otimes_R I$  is codivisible with respect to  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ , hence above row splits, so  $\ker(g \otimes I \otimes I) = 0$  for  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \in \mathcal{T}$ . Combining with two isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(I \otimes_R I, f)$  and  $(h \otimes I \otimes I)g_2^{-1}$  where  $h$  is a localization  $M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I)$ , for any  $M_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ , we have natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I &\cong \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M \otimes_R I \otimes_R I) \otimes_R I \otimes_R I \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(I \otimes_R I, M) \otimes_R I \otimes_R I
 \end{aligned}$$

whose composition is  $g \otimes I \otimes I$  by a routine calculation. Hence  $g \otimes I \otimes I: C(M) \rightarrow C(L(M))$  is isomorphism.

**Proof of (5):** Clear from (4).

### References

- [1] J. P. Jans: Some aspect of torsions. *Pacific J. Math.*, **15**, 1249–1259 (1965).
- [2] T. Kato: Duality between Colocalization and Localization (to appear).
- [3] J. Lambek: Lectures on Rings and Modules. Blaisdell (1966).
- [4] R. J. McMaster: Cotorsion theories and colocalization. *Can. J. Math.*, **27**(3), 618–628 (1975).
- [5] K. Ohtake: Colocalization and Localization (to appear).
- [6] Bo Stenström: Rings and Modules of Quotient. Springer Lecture Notes, **237** (1971).