## 17. Some Theorems on Abstractly-valued Functions in an Abstract Space.

By Kiyonori KUNISAWA.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University. (Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., March 12, 1940.)

1. Introduction and Theorems. Let f(t) be an abstractly-valued function defined on [0, 1] whose range lies in a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Under  $L^{p}(\mathfrak{X}) \ (p \ge 1) \ (L^{1}(\mathfrak{X}) = L(\mathfrak{X}))$  we understand the class of all functions f(t) measurable in the sense of S. Bochner such that  $\int_{0}^{1} ||f(t)||^{p} dt < \infty$ .  $L^{p}(\mathfrak{X}) \ (p \ge 1)$  is a Banach space with  $||f|| = \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||f(t)||^{p} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  as its norm.

The purpose of the present note is to prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1. In an arbitrary space T let  $\xi$  be a Borel family of subsets that includes T, and a(E) be a non-negative set function which is completely additive over  $\xi$ . If an abstractly-valued function X(E), defined from  $\xi$  to a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , is weakly absolutely continuous (i. e., for each  $\varphi$  in  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ , the numerical function  $\varphi X(E)$  is completely additive and absolutely continuous), then X(E) is even strongly absolutely continuous (i. e., X(E) is strongly completely additive, and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $||X(E)|| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $a(E) < \delta$ ).

Theorem 2. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact, and if a sequence  $\{f_n(t)\}\ (n=1, 2, ...)$  of elements of  $L(\mathfrak{X})$  is equi-integrable, then  $\{f_n(t)\}\ (n=1, 2, ...)$  contains a subsequence which converges weakly (as a sequence in  $L(\mathfrak{X})$ ) to an element  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Theorem 3. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact, then  $L^{p}(\mathfrak{X})$  (p > 1) is also locally weakly compact.

Theorem 4. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact, then  $L(\mathfrak{X})$  is weakly complete.

Theorem 4 is a generalization of a result of S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor,<sup>1)</sup> who assumed that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is reflexive and that  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  both satisfy the condition (*D*). Theorem 2<sup>2)</sup> is an analogue of H. Lebesgue's theorem,<sup>3)</sup> which is concerned with numerical-valued functions. These two theorems will be proved by using Theorem 1, and this theorem was announced without proof by B. J. Pettis<sup>4)</sup> under the additional assumption<sup>5)</sup> that *T* is concerned in the form  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{i}$  with  $(T_{i}) \leq \infty$  if 1.9

is expressible in the form:  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i$  with  $\alpha(T_i) < \infty$ , i = 1, 2, ...

<sup>1)</sup> S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor: Linear functionals on certain spaces of abstractlyvalued functions, Annals of Math., **39** (1938), 913–944. Theorem 5.2.

<sup>2)</sup> Theorem 2 may be considered as a precision to Theorem 4.2. (p. 923) in the paper of S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor cited in (1).

<sup>3)</sup> H. Lebesgue: Sur les intégrales singulières, Ann. de la Fac. des Sci. de Toulose, **1** (1909), especially p. 52.

<sup>4)</sup> B. J. Pettis: Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., (Abstracts), 44-2 (1939), 677.

<sup>5)</sup> This fact was suggested to me by K. Yosida.

No. 3.] Some Theorems on Abstractly-valued Functions in an Abstract Space.

The proofs of these theorems are much simplified by using the Vitali-Hahn-Saks' theorem.<sup>6)</sup>

Lastly, Theorem 3, which extends the well-known theorem of F. Riesz concerning the Banach space  $(L^p)$  (p > 1) of numerical functions, seems to be new, although in case  $\mathfrak{X}$  is separable this theorem follows directly from a result of S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor.<sup>7)</sup>

**2.** Proof of Theorem 1.<sup>8)</sup> Since  $\varphi X(E)$  is completely additive for each  $\varphi$  in  $\overline{x}$ , for any sequence  $\{E_n\}$  (n=1, 2, ...) of disjoint elements of  $\xi$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X(E_n)$  is unconditionally convergent and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X(E_n) = X(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n),^{9)}$  i. e., X(E) is strongly completely additive over  $\xi$ .

Suppose now that X(E) is not strongly absolutely continuous. Then for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  there exists a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  (n=1,2,...) of elements of  $\xi$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha(E_n) = 0$  such that

(1) 
$$|| X(E_n) || \ge \varepsilon_0, \qquad n=1, 2, \ldots.$$

We may assume, without the loss of generality, that the  $E_n$  are disjoint and that we have  $a(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(E_n) < \infty$ . The least Borel family  $\xi'$  which contains all  $E_n$  evidently consists of the sets E of the form:  $E = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{n_i}$ , where  $\{n_i\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) is an arbitrary finite or denumerable infinite subsequence of the sequence  $\{n\}$  (n=1, 2, ...). Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be the least closed linear manifold which contains all X(E) for  $E \in \xi'$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is clearly separable. From the above inequality (1), there exists, for each n, a  $\varphi_n$  in  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $\|\varphi_n\| \leq 1$  and

(2) 
$$\varphi_n X(E_n) \geq \varepsilon_0$$

Now, since  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is separable, there exists a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{n_i}\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  (n=1, 2, ...) such that  $\{\varphi_{n_i}X(E)\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) converges for any E in  $\xi'$ . By a theorem of Vitali-Hahn-Saks,<sup>6</sup>  $\{\varphi_{n_i}X(E)\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) are equi-absolutely continuous, which is a contradiction to (2). Thus X(E) must be strongly absolutely continuous.

**3.** Proof of Theorem 2. Since  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact,  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  is also locally weakly compact.<sup>10)</sup> Consequently, by a theorem of B. J. Pettis,<sup>11)</sup>  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  both satisfy the condition (D); i.e., any function of

7) S. Bochner-A. E. Taylof, loc. cit., Theorem 7.1 (p. 939).

8) I owe this proof to S. Kakutani.

9) B. J. Pettis: On integration in vector spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1939), 277-304. Theorem 2.32.

10) V. Gantmakher and V. Šmulian: Sur les espaces linéaires dont la sphère unitaire est faiblement compacte, C. R. URSS, **17** (1937), 91-94. Theorem 3.

11) B. J. Pettis: Differentiation in Banach space, Duke Math. Journ., 5 (1939), 254-270. Theorem 3.1.

69

<sup>6)</sup> S. Saks: Addition to the note on some functionals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **35** (1933), 966-970.

The fact that the theorem of Vitali-Hahn-Saks is powerful in these problems was suggested by reading the abstract of B. J. Pettis.

K. KUNISAWA.

bounded variation with values in  $\mathfrak{X}$  or  $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  has a derivative almost everywhere. Hence in our case, by a theorem of S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor,<sup>12)</sup> any bounded linear functional U(f) defined on  $L(\mathfrak{X})$  takes the form:

$$U(f) = \int_0^1 \varphi(t) f(t) dt ,$$

where  $\varphi(t) \in M(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})^{13}$  and  $||U|| = \underset{0 \leq t \leq 1}{\operatorname{ess. max.}} ||\varphi(t)||$ . Let  $\{f_n(t)\}$  (n=1, 2, ...) be an equi-integrable sequence in  $L(\mathfrak{X})$ , and put  $F_n(t) = \int_0^t f_n(s) ds$ .  $F_n(t)$  (n=1, 2, ...) are clearly strongly absolutely equi-continuous. We shall first prove that we can choose a subsequence  $\{F_{n_i}(t)\}\ (i=1,2,...)\ of\ \{F_n(t)\}\ (n=1,2,...)\ which\ converges\ weakly\ to$ an element  $F(t) \in \mathfrak{X}$  for each t. Let  $\{t_i\}$  (j=1, 2, ...) be a denumerable set which is dense in [0, 1]. Since  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact, we can choose a subsequence  $\{F_{n}(t)\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) of  $\{F_{n}(t)\}$  (n=1, 2, ...)such that  $\{F_{n_i}(t_j)\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) converges weakly to an element  $F(t_j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ for j=1, 2, ... Since  $F_{n_i}(t)$  (i=1, 2, ...) are strongly absolutely equicontinuous, we see that  $\{F_{n}(t)\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) converges weakly to an element  $F(t) \in \mathfrak{X}$  for each t. Hence, by Vitali-Hahn-Saks' theorem,  $\varphi F(t)$ is absolutely continuous for each  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Hence, by a theorem of B. J. Pettis,<sup>11)</sup> F(t) has a derivative  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$  almost everywhere such that  $F(t) = \int_{0}^{t} f(s) ds$  for each t.

Thus we have proved that there exists an  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$  such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^t \varphi f_{n_i}(s)\,ds = \int_0^t \varphi f(s)\,ds$$

for each  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}$  and for each t. Consequently, by the same argument as was used by S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor,<sup>14)</sup> we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^1\varphi(t)f_{n_i}(t)\,dt=\int_0^1\varphi(t)f(t)\,dt$$

for each  $\varphi(t) \in M(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$ . Thus the sequence  $\{f_{n_i}(t)\}$  (i=1, 2, ...) converges weakly to  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$  as a sequence in  $L(\mathfrak{X})$ , and hereby the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

4. Proof of Theorem 3. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2, in case X is locally weakly compact, any bounded linear functional U(f) defined on  $L^{p}(\mathfrak{X})$  (p>1) takes the form:<sup>15)</sup>

$$U(f) = \int_0^1 \varphi(t) f(t) dt ,$$

<sup>12)</sup> S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor, loc. cit., Theorem 3.3. (p. 921).

<sup>13)</sup> The class of all essentially bounded functions  $\varphi(t)$  defined on [0, 1] with values in  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}$ ,  $\|\varphi\| = \text{ess. max. } \|\varphi(t)\|$ .

 $<sup>0 \</sup>leq t \leq 1$ 14) S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor, loc. cit., p. 923.

<sup>15)</sup> S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor, loc. cit., Theorem 3.2. (p. 920).

No. 3.] Some Theorems on Abstractly-valued Functions in an Abstract Space.

where 
$$\varphi(t) \in L^{q}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}), \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$
 and  $||U|| = \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||\varphi(t)||^{q} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$   
Let  $\{f_{n}(t)\}$   $(n=1,2,\ldots)$  be a sequence in  $L^{p}(p>1)$  such that  
 $||f_{n}|| \equiv \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||f_{n}(t)||^{p} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq M$  (M: constant),  $n=1,2,\ldots$ ,

and put  $F_n(t) = \int_0^t f_n(s) ds$ . Then we can easily prove that we have

(3) l. u. b. 
$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} ||F_n(t_{\nu}) - F_n(t_{\nu-1})||^p / |t_{\nu} - t_{\nu-1}|^{p-1}$$
  
=  $\int_0^1 ||t_n(t)||^p dt$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ...,$ 

where l. u. b. means the least upper bound for all partitions  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = 1$  of the interval [0, 1]. Consequently, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can choose a subsequence  $\{F_{n_i}(t)\}$   $(i=1, 2, \ldots)$  of  $\{F_n(t)\}$   $(n=1, 2, \ldots)$  such that  $\lim_{i \to \infty} \varphi F_{n_i}(t) = \varphi F(t)$  for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and for each t, where F(t) is a function with values in  $\mathfrak{X}$  which clearly belongs to  $V^p(\mathfrak{X})^{16}$  by (3). Since  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact by assumption, by a theorem of B. J. Pettis,<sup>11)</sup> F(t) has a derivative  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$  almost everywhere such that  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$  for each t. Moreover, it will be easily seen that we have  $f(t) \in L^p(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Thus we have proved that there exist a subsequence  $\{f_{n_i}(t)\}$  (i=1,2,...) of  $\{f_n(t)\}$  (n=1,2,...) and an  $f(t) \in L^p(\mathfrak{X})$  such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^t \varphi f_{n_i}(s)\,ds = \int_0^t \varphi f(s)\,ds$$

for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and for each t. Consequently we have<sup>17</sup>

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^1\varphi(t)f_{n_i}(t)\,dt=\int_0^1\varphi(t)f(t)\,dt$$

for each  $\varphi(t) \in L^q(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$ , and hereby the proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

**5.** Proof of Theorem 4. In the proof of Theorem 2 we have observed that, in case  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact, any bounded linear functional U(f) defined on  $L(\mathfrak{X})$  takes the form:

$$U(f) = \int_0^1 \varphi(t) f(t) dt ,$$

where  $\varphi(t) \in M(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$  and  $|| U || = \operatorname{ess. max.}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} || \varphi(t) ||.$ 

16) The class of all functions f(t) defined on [0, 1] to a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ , such that the sums

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \|f(t_{\nu}) - f(t_{\nu-1})\|^{p} / |t_{\nu} - t_{\nu-1}|^{p-1}$$

are bounded for all partitions  $0=t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k=1$ . The least upper bound of all such sums is denoted by  $V^{p}(f)$ .

17) S. Bochner-A. E. Taylor, loc. cit., Theorem 4.1. (p. 921).

Let  $\{f_n(t)\}$  (n=1, 2, ...) be a weakly convergent sequence in  $L(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} U(f_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 \varphi(t) f_n(t) dt$$

exists for each  $\varphi(t) \in M(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$ . As a special case,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi \int_E f_n(t) dt$  exists for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and for each measurable set E. Let us put  $F_n(E) = \int_E f_n(t) dt$ . Then, by the weak completeness of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , there exists a limit function F(E) with values in  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi F_n(E) = \varphi F(E)$  for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and for each measurable set E. By the theorem of Vitali-Hahn-Saks, the numerical functions  $\varphi F_n(E)$ , n=1, 2, ..., are then equi-absolutely continuous for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Hence  $\varphi F(E)$  is completely additive and absolutely continuous for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Consequently, by Theorem 1, F(E) is strongly completely additive and strongly absolutely continuous. Since  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally weakly compact by assumption, by the theorem of B. J. Pettis,<sup>11)</sup> F(E) has a derivative  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$  almost everywhere such that  $F(E) = \int_E f(t) dt$  for each measurable set E.

Thus we have proved that there exists an  $f(t) \in L(\mathfrak{X})$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_E\varphi f_n(t)\,dt = \lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi \int_E f_n(t)\,dt = \int_E\varphi f(t)\,dt$$

for each  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$  and for each measurable set E. Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^1\varphi(t)f_n(t)\,dt=\int_0^1\varphi(t)f(t)\,dt$$

for each  $\varphi(t) \in M(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$ . Thus the sequence  $\{f_n(t)\}$  (n=1, 2, ...) converges weakly (as a sequence in  $L(\mathfrak{X})$ ) to  $f(t) \in (\mathfrak{X})$ , as was to be proved.