

Classification Theory for Nonlinear Functional-Harmonic Spaces

Fumi-Yuki MAEDA
(Received January 20, 1978)

Introduction

In the classical classification theory of Riemann surfaces, the basic relations involving classes of harmonic functions are given by

$$(1) \quad O_G \subsetneq O_{HP} \subsetneq O_{HB} \subsetneq O_{HD} = O_{HDB}$$

(see, e.g., [11] for notation and detailed account of the classical classification theory). The same relations have been shown to hold for the class H of solutions of the equation of the form

$$(2) \quad \Delta u = Pu \quad (P \geq 0)$$

on, in general, Riemannian manifolds Ω ; furthermore, for the solutions of (2), additional relations

$$(3) \quad O_{HD} \subsetneq O_{HE} = O_{HBE}$$

hold, where E indicates the finiteness of the energy integral

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} Pu^2 dx \quad (dx: \text{the volume element})$$

(see, e.g., [9], [5]).

Here, we note that (2) is the Euler equation of the variational integral (4). Thus we may generalize the above situation as follows. For simplicity, consider the case where Ω is a domain in the euclidean space \mathbf{R}^d . Suppose the "Dirichlet integral" of a function f is given in the form

$$(5) \quad D[f] = \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla f(x)) dx$$

with a function $\psi(x, \tau): \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ which is non-negative and convex in τ , and the "energy" of f is given by

$$(6) \quad E[f] = D[f] + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x, f(x)) dx$$

with another non-negative function $\Gamma(x, t): \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. The Euler equation for the variational integral (6) is formally written as

$$(7) \quad -\operatorname{div} \nabla_x \psi(x, \nabla u(x)) + \Gamma'_t(x, u(x)) = 0,$$

which is an elliptic quasi-linear equation.

Let H be the class of all "weak solutions" of (7) on Ω . Then, we may consider classes HP, HB, HD, HE , etc. as in the classical case, where P means the positivity, B the boundedness, D (resp. E) the finiteness of $D[u]$ (resp. $E[u]$) which is given by (5) (resp. (6)). Also, O_G may be replaced by O_{SHP} , where SH means the class of "supersolutions" of (7). In this way, we can pose a problem to find relations among null classes appearing in (1) and (3) in our general situation.

The same type of problem may be considered also for infinite networks; cf. [13] in which the class O_G is discussed for a non-linear case. Thus, we shall try to construct a theory on general locally compact spaces Ω . Given Ω , we fix a positive measure ξ on Ω and instead of ψ as described above we abstractly consider a convex mapping Ψ of a subspace \mathbf{X} of $L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega; \xi)$ into $L_{loc}^1(\Omega; \xi)$ such that $\Psi(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in \mathbf{X}$, $\Psi(c) = 0$ for constants c and Ψ has local property. Given $\Gamma: \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as above, we obtain a configuration $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi, \Gamma\}$. Such a configuration may be regarded as a non-linear functional space (cf. [7]), which is of local type.

In order to obtain a satisfactory theory, we shall place several conditions under which (weak) solutions of the Euler equation corresponding to the variational integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(f) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\cdot, f) d\xi$$

behave like classical harmonic functions, or, at least satisfy some of the properties which are assumed in the theory of (non-linear) harmonic spaces (cf. [1]). Thus we shall call \mathfrak{H} a functional-harmonic space, or simply an FH-space.

We shall see that the relation $O_{HB} \subset O_{HD}$ cannot be expected for a general class of FH-spaces; in fact we shall see (in §6 and §7) that there are no inclusion relations between O_{HP} and O_{HD} . In §4 and §5, we give restricted classes of FH-spaces for which (1) and (3) are valid. Essential condition for an FH-space to belong to this class is the so called Orlicz' (A_2)-condition: $\Psi(2f) \leq C\Psi(f)$ (C : const.).

As special cases, we treat infinite networks in §6 and the case where Ω is a differentiable manifold in §7.

§1. Functional spaces

Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space which is connected, σ -compact and non-compact. We consider a positive Radon measure ξ on Ω whose support is the whole space Ω .

All functions considered in this paper are real-valued ξ -measurable functions on Ω and two functions which are equal ξ -a.e. are identified. Thus, for a ξ -measurable set A in Ω , " $f \geq g$ on A " (resp. " $f = g$ on A ") means that $f(x) \geq g(x)$ (resp. $f(x) = g(x)$) for almost all $x \in A$ with respect to ξ . For a function f on Ω , let $Supp f$ denote the support of the measure $f d\xi$. We denote by $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the ordinary Lebesgue classes with respect to ξ .

We consider a space X of functions on Ω satisfying:

- (X.1) X is a linear subspace of $L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$ containing all constant functions;
- (X.2) X is closed under max. and min. operations.

Next, we introduce a mapping $\Psi: X \rightarrow L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (Ψ.1) $\Psi(c) = 0$ for all constant functions c ;
- (Ψ.2) $\Psi(-f) = \Psi(f)$ for all $f \in X$;
- (Ψ.3) (Local property) $\Psi(f) = \Psi(g)$ on the set $\{x \in \Omega | f(x) = g(x)\}$;
- (Ψ.4) Ψ is convex on X , i.e.,

$$\Psi(tf + (1 - t)g) \leq t\Psi(f) + (1 - t)\Psi(g)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, $f, g \in X$; the equality holds for some (and hence for all) $0 < t < 1$ only when $f = g + \text{const.}$;

- (Ψ.5) For any $f, g \in X$, there is $\nabla\Psi(f; g) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(f + tg) - \Psi(f)}{t} = \nabla\Psi(f; g) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega.$$

REMARK. By convexity of Ψ , $\nabla\Psi(f; g)$ is uniquely determined by f and g , and Lebesgue's convergence theorem implies that the limit (1.1) can be taken in the topology of $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$.

Finally, we consider a mapping $\Gamma: \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (\mathbf{R} : the real numbers) satisfying:

- (Γ.1) $\Gamma(x, t) \geq 0$, $\Gamma(x, 0) = 0$ and $\Gamma(x, -t) = \Gamma(x, t)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (Γ.2) For each $x \in \Omega$, $\Gamma(x, t)$ is convex and continuously differentiable in $t \in \mathbf{R}$; $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t}(x, t)$ will be denoted by $\Gamma'(x, t)$;
- (Γ.3) For each $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\Gamma'(\cdot, t) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$.

We call $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi, \Gamma\}$ a *functional space* if \mathbf{X}, Ψ, Γ satisfy the above conditions. By (Γ.3), we see that $\Gamma'(\cdot, f) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $f \in \mathbf{X}$, where

$$\Gamma'(\cdot, f)(x) = \Gamma'(x, f(x)).$$

Given a ξ -measurable set A in Ω , $u \in \mathbf{X}$ is said to be *totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic* (resp. *totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic*) on A if

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; g) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, u) g d\xi = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \geq 0)$$

for any $g \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $\text{Supp } g$ is compact, $g \geq 0$ on Ω and $g = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A$. In case $A = \Omega$, we shall omit the word "totally". The equality (1.2) gives the Euler equation for the variational integral

$$\int \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(f) d\xi = \int \Psi(f) d\xi + \int \Gamma(\cdot, f) d\xi.$$

Here

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(f) = \Psi(f) + \Gamma(\cdot, f)$$

belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $f \in \mathbf{X}$ by virtue of (Γ.3) and the equality $\Gamma(x, t) = \int_0^t \Gamma'(x, s) ds$.

LEMMA 1.1. (a) $\Psi(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in \mathbf{X}$.

(b) $\Psi(f) = 0$ if and only if $f = \text{const}$.

(c) $t \mapsto \Psi(tf)$ is monotone non-decreasing for $t \geq 0$.

(d) $\Psi(f+c) = \Psi(f)$ for $f \in \mathbf{X}$ and constants c .

(e) $g \mapsto \nabla \Psi(f; g)$ is linear.

(f) $\nabla \Psi(f; f-g) \geq \Psi(f) - \Psi(g)$; in particular $\nabla \Psi(f; f) \geq \Psi(f)$.

(g) $\nabla \Psi(f; f-g) \geq \nabla \Psi(g; f-g)$; the equality holds only when $f = g + \text{const}$.

(h) $\nabla \Psi(c; g) = \nabla \Psi(f; c) = 0$ for $f, g \in \mathbf{X}$ and constants c .

(i) $\nabla \Psi(f; g) = 0$ on the set $\{x \in \Omega | g(x) = 0\}$.

(j) $\nabla \Psi(f_1; g) = \nabla \Psi(f_2; g)$ on the set $\{x \in \Omega | f_1(x) = f_2(x) + c\}$ for any constant c .

PROOF. (a), (b) and (c) are easy consequences of (Ψ.1), (Ψ.2) and (Ψ.4); and (e), (f) and (g) follow from well-known properties of convex functions (cf. [4, Chap. I, § 5]). By (Ψ.1) and (Ψ.4), $\Psi(f+c) \leq t\Psi(t^{-1}f)$ for $0 < t < 1$. For any relatively compact ξ -measurable set A , $s \mapsto \int_A \Psi(sf) d\xi$ is a convex function on \mathbf{R} , and hence it is continuous. Hence, letting $t \rightarrow 1$, we obtain $\Psi(f+c) \leq \Psi(f)$. Then (d) follows immediately. (h), (i) and (j) are consequences of (d) and (Ψ.3).

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of (Γ.1) and (Γ.2):

LEMMA 1.2. For each $x \in \Omega$, $\Gamma'(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\Gamma'(x, t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\Gamma'(x, t) \leq 0$ for $t \leq 0$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let A, A' be ξ -measurable sets in Ω .

- (a) If u is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic (resp. \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic) on A and $v = u$ on $A' \subset A$, then v is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic (resp. \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic) on A' .
- (b) Non-negative constant functions are \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on Ω .
- (c) If u is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A , then so is $u + c$ for any non-negative constant function c .

PROOF. (a) is easily seen from the definition and Lemma 1.1(j). (b) and (c) follow from Lemma 1.1(h), (j) and Lemma 1.2.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let A be a relatively compact ξ -measurable set in Ω . If u and $-v$ are totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A and $u \geq v$ on $\Omega \setminus A$, then $u \geq v$ on Ω .

PROOF. For simplicity, let $\Phi = \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\nabla\Phi(f; g) = \nabla\Psi(f; g) + \Gamma'(\cdot, f)g$. Take $g = v - \min(u, v)$. Then $g \in \mathbf{X}$, $g \geq 0$ on Ω and $g = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A$. Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla\Phi(u; g) d\xi \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla\Phi(v; g) d\xi \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1(g), (i), (j) and Lemma 1.2,

$$0 \leq \nabla\Phi(v; g) - \nabla\Phi(\min(u, v); g) = \nabla\Phi(v; g) - \nabla\Phi(u; g)$$

on Ω . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \{\nabla\Phi(v; g) - \nabla\Phi(\min(u, v); g)\} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla\Phi(v; g) d\xi - \int_{\Omega} \nabla\Phi(u; g) d\xi \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\nabla\Phi(v; g) = \nabla\Phi(\min(u, v); g)$. It follows that $\nabla\Psi(v; g) = \nabla\Psi(\min(u, v); g)$. Hence, by Lemma 1.1(g), $v = \min(u, v) + c$ (const.). Since $v = \min(u, v)$ on $\Omega \setminus A$ and $\xi(\Omega \setminus A) > 0$, $c = 0$. Hence, $u \geq v$ on Ω .

COROLLARY. Let A be as in the above proposition. If u, v are totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on A and $u = v$ on $\Omega \setminus A$, then $u = v$.

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let A, A' be ξ -measurable subsets of Ω such that $A \subset A'$. If u is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A' , v is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A , $u = v$ on $A' \setminus A$ and $u \geq v$ on A , then v is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A' .

PROOF. Let $\nabla\Phi(f; g)$ be as in the proof of the previous proposition. Let $g \in \mathbf{X}$ be such that $\text{Supp } g$ is compact, $g \geq 0$ on Ω , $g = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A'$. For each $\rho > 0$,

put $g_\rho = \min(g, \rho(u-v)^+)$, where $f^+ = \max(f, 0)$. Then $g_\rho \in X$, $\text{Supp } g_\rho$ is compact, $g_\rho \geq 0$ on Ω and $g_\rho = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A$. Hence

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g_\rho) d\xi \geq 0.$$

Put $A_\rho = \{x \in \Omega \mid g(x) > \rho(u-v)^+(x)\}$. Then $A_\rho \subset A'$, $g = g_\rho$ on $\Omega \setminus A_\rho$ and $g_\rho = \rho(u-v)$ on A_ρ . Hence, using (1.3), Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi &\geq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi - \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g_\rho) d\xi \\ &= \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi - \rho \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; u-v) d\xi \\ &\geq \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi - \rho \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; u-v) d\xi \\ &= \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi - \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; g_\rho) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since u is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A_ρ , $g - g_\rho \geq 0$ on Ω and $g - g_\rho = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A_\rho$, we have

$$\int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; g) d\xi \geq \int_{A_\rho} \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; g_\rho) d\xi.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi &\geq \int_{A_\rho} \{\mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) - \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; g)\} d\xi \\ &= \int_{A_\rho \cap A^+} \{\mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) - \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; g)\} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $A^+ = \{x \in A' \mid u(x) > v(x)\}$. Since $\mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) - \mathcal{V}\Phi(u; g)$ is ξ -summable on $\text{Supp } g$ and $A_\rho \cap A^+ \downarrow \emptyset$ ($\rho \rightarrow \infty$), the last integral tends to 0 as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}\Phi(v; g) d\xi \geq 0$, and hence v is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A' .

COROLLARY. *Let A be a ξ -measurable subset of Ω . If u, v are totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A , then so is $\min(u, v)$.*

PROOF. Put $w = \min(u, v)$ and $A_1 = \{x \in A \mid u(x) > v(x)\}$. Then $w = v$ on A_1 , so that w is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A_1 . Since $w = u$ on $A \setminus A_1$ and $w \leq u$ on A_1 , the above proposition implies that w is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A .

§2. Functional-harmonic spaces and classification I

Let $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, X, \Psi, \Gamma\}$ be a functional space. A relatively compact ξ -

measurable set A in Ω will be said to be *resolutive* (with respect to \mathfrak{H}) if for any $f \in \mathbf{X}$ there exists $g_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad g_0 = f \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \setminus A, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_A \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(g_0) d\xi = \inf \left\{ \int_A \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(g) d\xi \mid g \in \mathbf{X}, g = f \text{ on } \Omega \setminus A \right\}.$$

The following proposition shows that $g_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) is uniquely determined by f and A ; we shall denote it by $R(f; A)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Given a relatively compact ξ -measurable set A in Ω and $f \in \mathbf{X}$, there is at most one $g_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). Furthermore, g_0 has the following properties (if it exists):*

- (a) g_0 is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on A ;
- (b) If f is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on A , then $g_0 \leq f$;
- (c) If f is totally \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on a ξ -measurable set A' containing A , then so is g_0 on A' ;
- (d) $\min(0, \inf_{\Omega \setminus A} f) \leq g_0 \leq \max(0, \sup_{\Omega \setminus A} f)$.

PROOF. Let $\Phi = \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\nabla \Phi(f; g) = \nabla \Psi(f; g) + \Gamma'(\cdot, f)g$. For any $g \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $g = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A$ and for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\int_A \Phi(g_0) d\xi \leq \int_A \Phi(g_0 + tg) d\xi.$$

It follows that $\int_A \nabla \Phi(g_0; g) d\xi = 0$, or $\int_{\Omega} \nabla \Phi(g_0; g) d\xi = 0$. Hence g_0 is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on A . Thus the uniqueness of g_0 follows from the corollary to Proposition 1.2. Property (b) is a consequence of Proposition 1.2, and property (c) follows from (b) and Proposition 1.3. To prove (d), put

$$m = \min(0, \inf_{\Omega \setminus A} f), \quad M = \max(0, \sup_{\Omega \setminus A} f)$$

and

$$g_1 = \max(m, \min(g_0, M)).$$

Then $g_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $g_1 = f$ on $\Omega \setminus A$ and $\int_A \Phi(g_1) d\xi \leq \int_A \Phi(g_0) d\xi$. Hence, by the uniqueness of g_0 , $g_1 = g_0$, so that (d) is valid.

Now, we consider the following conditions for \mathfrak{H} :

(R) There is an exhaustion $\{\Omega_n\}$ of Ω such that each Ω_n is a resolutive open set in Ω .

Here, an exhaustion means a sequence $\{\Omega_n\}$ of relatively compact open sets such that $\bar{\Omega}_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$ for each n and $\cup \Omega_n = \Omega$.

(H.1) If $\{u_n\}$ is a locally uniformly bounded, monotone non-decreasing sequence of non-negative functions in \mathbf{X} such that each u_n is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω_n for some exhaustion $\{\Omega_n\}$ of Ω , then $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω and

$$\int_K \Psi(u) d\xi \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(u_n) d\xi$$

for any compact set K in Ω .

A functional space \mathfrak{H} is called a *functional-harmonic space*, or simply an *FH-space* if it satisfies (R) and (H.1). The class of all FH-spaces will be denoted by \mathcal{F} .

Given $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi, \Gamma\} \in \mathcal{F}$, we consider the following sets of functions:

$$SH(\mathfrak{H}) = \{u \in \mathbf{X} \mid u \text{ is } \mathfrak{H}\text{-superharmonic on } \Omega\},$$

$$H(\mathfrak{H}) = \{u \in \mathbf{X} \mid u \text{ is } \mathfrak{H}\text{-harmonic on } \Omega\},$$

$$SHP(\mathfrak{H}) = \{u \in SH(\mathfrak{H}) \mid u \geq 0 \text{ on } \Omega\},$$

$$HP(\mathfrak{H}) = H(\mathfrak{H}) \cap SHP(\mathfrak{H}),$$

$$SHB(\mathfrak{H}) = \{u \in SH(\mathfrak{H}) \mid u \text{ is bounded on } \Omega\},$$

$$HB(\mathfrak{H}) = H(\mathfrak{H}) \cap SHB(\mathfrak{H}),$$

$$HD(\mathfrak{H}) = \left\{ u \in H(\mathfrak{H}) \mid \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi < \infty \right\},$$

$$HDP(\mathfrak{H}) = HD(\mathfrak{H}) \cap HP(\mathfrak{H}),$$

$$HDB(\mathfrak{H}) = HD(\mathfrak{H}) \cap HB(\mathfrak{H}),$$

$$HE(\mathfrak{H}) = \left\{ u \in H(\mathfrak{H}) \mid \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(u) d\xi < \infty \right\},$$

$$HEP(\mathfrak{H}) = HE(\mathfrak{H}) \cap HP(\mathfrak{H}),$$

$$HEB(\mathfrak{H}) = HE(\mathfrak{H}) \cap HB(\mathfrak{H}).$$

Let $Q(\mathfrak{H})$ be any one of the above sets and \mathcal{G} be a subclass of \mathcal{F} . We denote by $O_Q(\mathcal{G})$ the class of all $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that every element of $Q(\mathfrak{H})$ is a constant function. The following are trivial inclusion relations:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HP}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}) \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ O_{SH}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_H(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}) \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HB}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}). \end{array}$$

THEOREM 2.1. $O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F}) = O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F})$.

PROOF. Suppose $\xi \in O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F})$ and $u \in SHB(\xi)$. Let $|u| \leq M$. Then $u + M \in SHP(\xi)$ by Proposition 1.1 (c), and hence $u + M$ is a constant, so that u is a constant. Hence $\xi \in O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F})$. Conversely, suppose $\xi \in O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F})$ and $v \in SHP(\xi)$. If v is non-constant, then there is $c > 0$ such that $\min(v, c)$ is non-constant. Since $\min(v, c) \in SHB(\xi)$ by virtue of Proposition 1.1 (b) and the corollary to Proposition 1.3, this is a contradiction. Hence $\xi \in O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F})$.

REMARK. The above proof shows that this theorem remains valid for the class of functional spaces.

THEOREM 2.2. $O_{HP}(\mathcal{F}) \subset O_{HB}(\mathcal{F})$, $O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}) \subset O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F})$ and $O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}) \subset O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F})$.

PROOF. Given $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$, let $\Phi = \Phi_\xi$ for simplicity. By condition (R) we can choose an exhaustion $\{\Omega_n\}$ of Ω such that each Ω_n is resolutive. Let $u \in HB(\xi)$; $|u| \leq M$. Put

$$v_n = R(\max(u, 0); \Omega_n) \quad \text{and} \quad w_n = R(\min(u, 0); \Omega_n),$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $-\max(u, 0)$ and $\min(u, 0)$ are ξ -superharmonic on Ω , $-v_n$ and w_n are totally ξ -harmonic on Ω_n , ξ -superharmonic on Ω ,

$$\max(u, 0) \leq v_n \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad -M \leq w_n \leq \min(u, 0)$$

by Proposition 2.1. It also follows from Propositions 2.1 and 1.2 that $\{v_n\}$ is monotone non-decreasing and $\{w_n\}$ is monotone non-increasing. Hence, by condition (H.1),

$$v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \quad \text{and} \quad w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$$

are ξ -harmonic on Ω , i.e., $v, -w \in HP(\xi)$. Since $\int_{\Omega_n} \Phi(v_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega_n} \Phi(\max(u, 0)) d\xi$ and $v_n = \max(u, 0)$ on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_n) d\xi \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\max(u, 0)) d\xi + \int_{\Omega_n} \{\Gamma(\cdot, \max(u, 0)) - \Gamma(\cdot, v_n)\} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(v_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\max(u, 0)) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) d\xi.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(w_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \Phi(w_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) d\xi.$$

Hence by (H.1), we see that $u \in HDB(\mathfrak{H})$ (resp. $HEB(\mathfrak{H})$) implies $v, w \in HDP(\mathfrak{H})$ (resp. $HEP(\mathfrak{H})$)

Now, suppose $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HP}(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}), O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F})$) and $u \in HB(\mathfrak{H})$ (resp. $HDB(\mathfrak{H}), HEB(\mathfrak{H})$). Then v and w are constant functions. Since

$$u - w = \max(u, 0) + \min(u, 0) - w \geq \max(u, 0)$$

and $u - w$ is \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on Ω , it follows from Proposition 1.2 that $u - w \geq v_n$ for all n , so that $u - w \geq v$. Similarly, we see that $-u + v \geq -w$. Hence $u = v + w = \text{const.}$ Thus $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HB}(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}), O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F})$).

Combining (2.3), Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} O_{SH}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_H(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}) \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HP}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}) \\ \parallel & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HB}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}) & \subset & O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

We shall see in § 6 and § 7 that all the above inclusion relations are strict and that other inclusion relations cannot be expected.

§3. Auxiliary conditions and their consequences

In order to obtain a class of FH-spaces for which $O_{HD} = O_{HDP}$ and $O_{HE} = O_{HEB}$ hold as in the classical case, we consider the following auxiliary conditions for $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, X, \Psi, \Gamma\}$:

(X.3) For any compact set K in Ω and an open set $\omega \supset K$, there exists $h \in X$ such that $Supp h$ is compact and contained in ω , $0 \leq h \leq 1$ on Ω and $h = 1$ on K .

(D) X is an algebra and

$$\nabla \Psi(f; g_1 g_2) = \nabla \Psi(f; g_1) g_2 + \nabla \Psi(f; g_2) g_1$$

for all $f, g_1, g_2 \in X$.

(H.2) If $\{u_n\}$ is a monotone non-decreasing sequence of non-negative functions in \mathbf{X} such that each u_n is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω_n for some exhaustion $\{\Omega_n\}$ of Ω , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) < \infty$ on a set of positive ξ -measure and $\left\{ \int_K \Psi(u_n) d\xi \right\}$ is bounded for any compact set K , then $\{u_n\}$ is locally uniformly bounded.

(Δ_2) There is a constant $C > 2$ such that

$$\Psi(2f) \leq C\Psi(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{X}$.

Here we give some consequences of these conditions, which will be used in the next section.

LEMMA 3.1. *If (Δ_2) is satisfied, then*

- (a) $\Psi(f + g) \leq (C/2)\{\Psi(f) + \Psi(g)\}$ for $f, g \in \mathbf{X}$;
- (b) $|\nabla\Psi(f; g)| \leq (C - 2)\Psi(f) + \Psi(g)$ for $f, g \in \mathbf{X}$;
- (c) $|\nabla\Psi(f; g)| \leq \rho^{-1}(C - 2)\Psi(f) + C\rho^{p-1}\Psi(g)$ for $f, g \in \mathbf{X}$, any function $\rho \geq 1$ and any integer p such that $C \leq 2^p$.

PROOF. (a) follows immediately from the convexity of Ψ and condition (Δ_2). By Lemma 1.1 (f), we see that $\nabla\Psi(f; f) \leq \Psi(2f) - \Psi(f)$. Hence, by (Δ_2) and Lemma 1.1 (f) again, we obtain (b). To show (c), first suppose $\rho \geq 1$ is a constant. If $2^{n-1} \leq \rho < 2^n$ (n : integer), then by (Δ_2)

$$\Psi(\rho f) \leq \Psi(2^n f) \leq C^n \Psi(f) \leq C\rho^p \Psi(f).$$

Hence, by (b),

$$\rho|\nabla\Psi(f; g)| = |\nabla\Psi(f; \rho g)| \leq (C - 2)\Psi(f) + C\rho^p \Psi(g).$$

Then, we see easily that this inequality holds for any function $\rho \geq 1$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Assume (D) and (Δ_2). If there is an increasing sequence $\{f_n\}$ of non-negative functions in \mathbf{X} such that $\text{Supp} f_n$ is compact for each n , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \infty$ a.e. on Ω and $\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(f_n) d\xi \right\}$ is bounded, then $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HD}(\mathcal{F})$.*

PROOF. Let $u \in HD(\mathfrak{H})$ and put

$$u_m = \max(-m, \min(u, m)) \quad (m > 0).$$

Then $u_m \in \mathbf{X}$, $|u_m| \leq m$ and $\nabla\Psi(u; u_m) \geq 0$ for each m by Lemma 1.1. By (D), $u_m f_n \in \mathbf{X}$. Since $\text{Supp}(u_m f_n)$ is compact,

$$\int \nabla\Psi(u; u_m f_n) d\xi + \int \Gamma'(\cdot, u) u_m f_n d\xi = 0.$$

Since $\Gamma'(\cdot, u)u_m \geq 0$, the second integral is non-negative. Thus, using (D) and the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m) f_n d\xi &\leq - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; f_n) u_m d\xi \\ &\leq m \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi(u; f_n)| d\xi \\ &\leq m \left\{ (C-2) \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Psi(f_n) d\xi \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_n \uparrow \infty$ a.e. and $\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(f_n) d\xi \right\}$ is bounded, it follows that $\nabla \Psi(u; u_m) = 0$ on Ω , so that $\nabla \Psi(u; u) = 0$ on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid |u| \leq m\}$. Since m is arbitrary, this means that $\nabla \Psi(u; u) = 0$, so that $\Psi(u) = 0$. Hence $u = \text{const.}$ by Lemma 1.1 (b). Therefore $\xi \in O_{HD}(\mathcal{F})$.

LEMMA 3.2. Assume (Δ_2) . Let A be a ξ -measurable set and $f_n, g \in \mathbf{X}$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. If

$$\int_A \Psi(g) d\xi < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \Psi(f_n) d\xi = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \nabla \Psi(f_n; g) d\xi = 0.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.1 (b),

$$|\nabla \Psi(f_n; g)| \leq t^{-1}(C-2)\Psi(f_n) + t^{-1}\Psi(tg)$$

for each $t > 0$. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A |\nabla \Psi(f_n; g)| d\xi \leq t^{-1} \int_A \Psi(tg) d\xi$$

for any $t > 0$. Since $t^{-1}\Psi(tg) \leq \Psi(g)$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $t^{-1}\Psi(tg) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, Lebesgue's convergence theorem implies that $t^{-1} \int_A \Psi(tg) d\xi \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow 0$). Hence we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. Assume (X.3), (D) and (Δ_2) and let ω be an open set in Ω . If $\{u_n\}$ is a monotone non-increasing sequence of non-negative functions in \mathbf{X} such that each u_n is totally ξ -harmonic on ω and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \text{const.}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{K}} \Psi(u_n) d\xi = 0$$

for any compact set K in ω .

PROOF. Let $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$. Choose $h \in X$ as in condition (X.3) for the above ω and a given $K \subset \omega$. Let p be an integer such that $C \leq 2^p$. Then $(u_n - c)h^p \in X$ by (D) and $(u_n - c)h^p = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \omega$. Hence, u_n being totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on ω ,

$$\int_{\omega} \nabla \Psi(u_n; (u_n - c)h^p) d\xi + \int_{\omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - c)h^p d\xi = 0$$

for each n . Since $u_n - c \geq 0$ and $u_n \geq 0$, $\Gamma'(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - c) \geq 0$. Hence, using (D), we have

$$\int_{\omega} \nabla \Psi(u_n; u_n)h^p d\xi \leq -p \int_{\omega} \nabla \Psi(u_n; h)(u_n - c)h^{p-1} d\xi.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \int_{\omega} \Psi(u_n)h^p d\xi &\leq \int_{\omega} \nabla \Psi(u_n; u_n)h^p d\xi \\ &\leq p \int_{A_h} |\nabla \Psi(u_n; h)|(u_n - c)h^{p-1} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_h = \{x \in \omega | h(x) > 0\}$. For $x \in A_h$, put

$$\rho_n(x) = \max \{1, 2(C - 2)p(u_n(x) - c)h(x)^{-1}\}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 (c),

$$|\nabla \Psi(u_n; h)|(x) \leq \rho_n(x)^{-1}(C - 2)\Psi(u_n)(x) + C\rho_n(x)^{p-1}\Psi(h)(x)$$

for $x \in A_h$. Thus, by (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\omega} \Psi(u_n)h^p d\xi \leq 2pC \int_{A_h} \rho_n^{p-1}\Psi(h)(u_n - c)h^{p-1} d\xi.$$

It is easy to see that $\{\rho_n^{p-1}\Psi(h)(u_n - c)h^{p-1}\}$ is uniformly bounded on A_h , which is relatively compact. Hence Lebesgue's convergence theorem implies that the right-hand side of (3.2) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \Psi(u_n)h^p d\xi = 0,$$

which implies the assertion of the lemma.

§4. Classification II

Now, let \mathcal{F}_1 be the subclass of \mathcal{F} consisting of all $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{F}$ which satisfy con-

ditions (X.3), (D), (H.2) and (Δ_2). The relations (2.4) are valid with \mathcal{F}_1 in the place of \mathcal{F} , since $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$.

THEOREM 4.1. $O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_1) = O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ and $O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}_1) = O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}_1)$.

PROOF. We have to show

$$O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}_1).$$

Suppose $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ (resp. $\in O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}_1)$) and $\mathfrak{H} \notin O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ (resp. $\notin O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}_1)$). Choose $u \in HD(\mathfrak{H})$ (resp. $HE(\mathfrak{H})$) which is non-constant. Let $\{\Omega_n\}$ be an exhaustion of Ω such that each Ω_n is resolutive and put

$$v_n = R(\max(u, 0); \Omega_n) \quad \text{and} \quad w_n = R(\min(u, 0); \Omega_n).$$

By Proposition 2.1, these are totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω_n for each n , $\{v_n\}$ is monotone non-decreasing, $\{w_n\}$ is monotone non-increasing, $\max(u, 0) \leq v_n$, $\min(u, 0) \geq w_n$,

$$\int_{\Omega_n} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(v_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega_n} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(\max(u, 0)) d\xi$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_n} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(w_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega_n} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(\min(u, 0)) d\xi.$$

Put $f_n = v_n - \max(u, 0)$. Then $f_n \in \mathbf{X}$, $f_n \geq 0$, $\{f_n\}$ is monotone non-decreasing and each $\text{Supp} f_n$ is compact. By Lemma 3.1 (a),

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(f_n) d\xi \leq \frac{C}{2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_n) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\max(u, 0)) d\xi \right\}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_n) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(f_n) d\xi \leq C \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi < \infty.$$

Since $\mathfrak{H} \notin O_{HD}(\mathcal{F})$, Proposition 3.1 implies that

$$\xi(\{x \in \Omega \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \infty\}) > 0,$$

so that

$$\zeta(\{x \in \Omega \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) < \infty\}) > 0.$$

Hence by (H.1) and (H.2), $v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n$ is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic and

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v) d\xi \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_n) d\xi.$$

It follows that $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi < \infty$ (resp. $\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(v) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(u) d\xi < \infty$), so that $v \in HDP(\mathfrak{H})$ (resp. $HEP(\mathfrak{H})$). Hence $v = \text{const}$. Similarly we see that $w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$ is a constant. Then, by the same argument as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 2.2, we derive a contradiction that u is a constant.

THEOREM 4.2. $O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}_1) = O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}_1)$.

PROOF. By virtue of the above theorem, it is enough to show that $O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Let $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ and $u \in HEP(\mathfrak{H})$. Put $u_m = \min(u, m)$ for $m > 0$. Then $u_m \in X$, $u_m \geq 0$, u_m is \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on Ω and

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(u_m) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(u) d\xi.$$

Let $\{\Omega_n\}$ be an exhaustion of Ω such that each Ω_n is resolutive and put

$$v_{m,n} = R(u_m; \Omega_n).$$

By Proposition 2.1, $0 \leq v_{m,n} \leq u_m$, each $v_{m,n}$ is \mathfrak{H} -superharmonic on Ω , totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω_n and $\{v_{m,n}\}_n$ is monotone non-increasing. By (H.1), $w_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{m,n}$ is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(w_m) d\xi &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(v_{m,n}) d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(u_m) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(u) d\xi < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $0 \leq w_m \leq u_m \leq m$. Hence $w_m \in HEB(\mathfrak{H})$, so that w_m is a constant for each m .

Since $v_{m,n}$ is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω_n and $v_{m,n} = u_m$ on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, v_{m,n})(u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0.$$

Since w_m is a constant and \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, w_m)(u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0,$$

which implies

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, w_m)(u_m - w_m)d\xi = 0.$$

Now, by the convexity of $\Gamma(x, t)$ in t ,

$$0 \leq \Gamma'(\cdot, v_{m,n})(u_m - v_{m,n}) \leq \Gamma(\cdot, u_m) - \Gamma(\cdot, v_{m,n}) \\ \leq \Gamma(\cdot, u_m) \leq \Gamma(\cdot, u)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\cdot, u)d\xi < \infty$$

since $u \in HE(\mathfrak{S})$. Since $\Gamma'(\cdot, v_{m,n}) \rightarrow \Gamma'(\cdot, w_m)$ on Ω , it follows from Lebesgue's convergence theorem and (4.2) that

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, v_{m,n})(u_m - v_{m,n})d\xi = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_m)d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u)d\xi < \infty$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ ($\varepsilon < 1$), there is a compact set K in Ω such that $\int_{\Omega \setminus K} \Psi(u_m)d\xi < \varepsilon$. Applying Lemma 3.1(c) with $\rho = \varepsilon^{-1/p}$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; u_m)d\xi \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{1/p}(C - 2) \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \Psi(v_{m,n})d\xi + \varepsilon^{-(p-1)/p} C \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \Psi(u_m)d\xi \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{1/p} \left\{ (C - 2) \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\Psi}(u)d\xi + C \right\}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Since $v_{m,n}$ decreases to a constant w_m as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Lemma 3.3 implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(v_{m,n})d\xi = 0$, and hence by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; u_m)d\xi = 0.$$

Hence, in view of (4.4), we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; u_m)d\xi = 0.$$

By (4.1), (4.3) and (4.5), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; v_{m,n})d\xi = 0,$$

or

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0$$

for each $m > 0$.

Since u is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, u)(u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0.$$

Noting that $\Gamma'(\cdot, u)(u_m - v_{m,n}) \geq 0$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi.$$

By (4.6), for sufficiently large n , $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \leq 1$ (m being fixed). Applying Lemma 3.1 (c) with $\rho = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \right\}^{-1/p}$ (if $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \neq 0$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ (C - 2) \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi + C \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (4.6),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0,$$

so that by (4.7),

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m) d\xi = 0.$$

Hence $\nabla \Psi(u; u_m) = 0$ on Ω (note that $\nabla \Psi(u; u_m) \geq 0$ by Lemma 1.1). Since this is true for any $m > 0$, it follows that $\nabla \Psi(u, u) = 0$, which implies that $\Psi(u) = 0$, i.e., $u = \text{const}$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Summing up, we have obtained

$$(4.8) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} O_{SH}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \cap \\ O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \parallel \\ O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F}_1) \end{array} \right\} = \left. \begin{array}{l} O_H(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \cap \\ O_{HP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \cap \\ O_{HB}(\mathcal{F}_1) \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \cap \\ O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_1) \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}_1) \end{array} \right\}$$

The special cases in § 6 and § 7 show that all inclusion relations in (4.8) are strict, except

$$O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_1).$$

We do not know whether this inclusion is strict or not; for the class of linear FH-spaces we have the equality (cf. [5], [6], [9]). In the next section, we shall consider a subclass \mathcal{F}_2 of \mathcal{F}_1 , which contains all linear FH-spaces, and show that $O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_2) = O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_2)$.

§ 5. Classification III

We consider the following condition for Ψ , which is the dual of (A_2) :

(A_2^*) There is a constant $C^* > 2$ such that

$$C^*\Psi(2f) \leq \Psi(C^*f)$$

for all $f \in X$.

We denote by \mathcal{F}_2 the class of all $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{F}_1$ satisfying (A_2^*) . Then we have

THEOREM 5.1. $O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_2) = O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}_2)$.

PROOF. It is enough to show that

$$O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_2) \subset O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_2)$$

by virtue of Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ and $u \in HDP(\mathfrak{H})$. Let $u_m, \{\Omega_n\}, v_{m,n}$ and w_m be as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; v_{m,n} - u_m) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, v_{m,n})(v_{m,n} - u_m) d\xi = 0$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma'(\cdot, u)(u_m - v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0.$$

Since $\int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m) d\xi \geq 0$ and

$$\Gamma'(\cdot, u)(u_m - v_{m,n}) \geq \Gamma'(\cdot, v_{m,n})(u_m - v_{m,n}),$$

it follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; v_{m,n}) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \{ \nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; u_m) + \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) \} d\xi.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 (c) with $\rho=4C$, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi(v_{m,n}; u_m)| d\xi \\ & \leq 4^{-1} C^{-1} (C - 2) \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi + 4^{p-1} C^p \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_m) d\xi \\ & \leq 4^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi + 4^{p-1} C^p \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Note that $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi < \infty$, since $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_m) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi < \infty$ and $v_{m,n} = u_m$ on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n$. Lemma 3.1 (b) and condition (Δ_2^*) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n})| &= 2^{-1} C^* |\nabla \Psi(u; 2C^{*-1} v_{m,n})| \\ &\leq 2^{-1} C^* \{ (C - 2) \Psi(u) + \Psi(2C^{*-1} v_{m,n}) \} \\ &\leq 2^{-1} C^* (C - 2) \Psi(u) + 2^{-1} \Psi(v_{m,n}), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(5.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n})| d\xi \\ & \leq 2^{-1} C^* (C - 2) \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi + 2^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi. \end{aligned} \right.$$

From (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), it follows that $\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \right\}_{n,m}$ is bounded. Hence by (H.1),

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(w_m) d\xi \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi < \infty,$$

so that $w_m \in HDB(\mathfrak{S})$, which implies $w_m = \text{const}$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ ($\varepsilon < 1$), choose a positive integer l such that $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \leq \varepsilon 2^{l-1}$ for all m, n . Since $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi < \infty$, there is a compact set K in Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus K} \Psi(u) d\xi \leq 2^{l-1} C^{*-l} (C - 2)^{-1} \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 3.1 (b) and the repeated use of condition (Δ_2^*) (cf. the computation above yielding (5.5)) we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0$. Applying Lemma

3.1 (c) with $\rho = \left(\int_K \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \right)^{-1/p}$ for large n , we have

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \int_K \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi \right| \\ \leq \left\{ \int_K \Psi(v_{m,n}) d\xi \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ (C-2) \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi + C \right\} \\ \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{cases}$$

From (5.6) and (5.7) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi = 0.$$

Hence, by (5.2),

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; u_m) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(u; v_{m,n}) d\xi \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

so that $\nabla \Psi(u; u_m) = 0$ on Ω for each m . It then follows that u is a constant.

REMARK 5.1. The above proof shows that the equality $O_{HDB} = O_{HD}$ can be proved without using Royden boundary or Green potentials in the linear case (cf. [6], [9]).

By (4.8) and Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad \left. \begin{matrix} O_{SH}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \cap \\ O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \parallel \\ O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix} \right\} \subset \left. \begin{matrix} \cap \\ O_{HP}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \subset O_{HB}(\mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{matrix} O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{matrix} O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}_2) \\ \parallel \\ O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

All inclusion relations (5.8) are known to be strict in the linear case (cf. the next two sections).

REMARK 5.2. If we consider the class

$$\mathcal{F}'_1 = \left\{ \mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \mid \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x, t) d\xi(x) < \infty \text{ for every } t \in \mathbf{R} \right\},$$

then, in almost the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we see that

$$O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \subset O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}'_1).$$

Thus, in view of (4.8), we have

$$(5.9) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} O_{SH}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \\ \cap \\ O_{SHP}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \\ \parallel \\ O_{SHB}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \end{array} \right\} \subset \left. \begin{array}{l} \cap \\ O_{HP}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \\ \cap \\ O_{HB}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \end{array} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O_{HD}(\mathcal{F}'_1) = O_{HE}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \\ \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ O_{HDP}(\mathcal{F}'_1) = O_{HEP}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \\ \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ O_{HDB}(\mathcal{F}'_1) = O_{HEB}(\mathcal{F}'_1) \end{array} \right.$$

§6. Quasi-linear networks

In this section, we consider a special class of FH-spaces, namely, the class of quasi-linear networks.

Let X and Y be countable (infinite) sets and let K be a function on $X \times Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (K.1) The range of K is $\{-1, 0, 1\}$;
- (K.2) For each $y \in Y$, $e(y) \equiv \{x \in X \mid K(x, y) \neq 0\}$ consists of exactly two points x_1 and x_2 and $K(x_1, y)K(x_2, y) = -1$;
- (K.3) For each $x \in X$, $Y(x) \equiv \{y \in Y \mid K(x, y) \neq 0\}$ is a non-empty finite set;
- (K.4) For each $x, x' \in X$, there are $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{k+1} \in Y$ such that $e(y_j) = \{x_{j-1}, x_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, k+1$, with $x_0 = x$ and $x_{k+1} = x'$.

Then $G = \{X, Y, K\}$ is called a (connected, locally finite) infinite graph (cf. [13]).

For each $y \in Y$, we consider a set S_y and a bijection j_y of S_y onto the open unit interval $(0, 1)$ and let

$$\Omega = \Omega_{(X,Y)} = X \cup \bigcup_{y \in Y} S_y$$

be a disjoint union. A topology is introduced on Ω as follows: $\omega \subset \Omega$ is open if (and only if) $j_y(\omega \cap S_y)$ is open in $(0, 1)$ for each y , $j_y(\omega \cap S_y)$ contains an interval of the form $(0, \varepsilon)$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) in case $x \in \omega$ and $K(x, y) = -1$ and it contains an interval of the form $(1 - \varepsilon', 1)$ ($\varepsilon' > 0$) in case $x \in \omega$ and $K(x, y) = 1$. Then Ω is a connected, non-compact, σ -compact, locally compact Hausdorff space. For each $y \in Y$, j_y is extended to be a homeomorphism of $\bar{S}_y = S_y \cup e(y)$ onto $[0, 1]$.

Let μ_y be the measure on S_y induced by j_y from the Lebesgue measure on $(0, 1)$ and let ν be the counting measure on X . We define $\xi = \xi_G$ by

$$\xi = \nu + \sum_{y \in Y} \mu_y,$$

which is a positive Radon measure on Ω whose support is the whole space Ω .

Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_G = \left\{ f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is continuous on } \Omega, f \circ j_y^{-1} \text{ is} \\ \text{Lipschitz continuous on } (0, 1) \text{ for each } y \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that this \mathbf{X} satisfies conditions (X.1) and (X.2) in §1, and also (X.3) in §3. If $f \in \mathbf{X}$, then $(f \circ j_y^{-1})'$ exists a.e. on $(0, 1)$. For simplicity, we write $f'(z)$ for $(f \circ j_y^{-1})'(j_y(z))$ in case $z \in S_y$.

Next, we consider two functions $\phi: Y \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\gamma: X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (ϕ .1) $\phi(y, t) = -\phi(y, -t)$ for all $y \in Y$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (ϕ .2) For each $y \in Y$, $\phi(y, t)$ is continuous and strictly increasing in t ;
- (γ .1) $\gamma(x, t) = -\gamma(x, -t)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (γ .2) For each $x \in X$, $\gamma(x, t)$ is continuous and monotone non-decreasing in t .

Put $\psi(y, t) = \int_0^t \phi(y, s) ds,$

$$\Psi(f)(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in X \\ \psi(y, f'(z)), & \text{if } z \in S_y \end{cases}$$

for $f \in \mathbf{X}$ and

$$\Gamma(z, t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \gamma(x, s) ds, & \text{if } z = x \in X \\ 0, & \text{if } z \notin X \end{cases}$$

for $z \in \Omega, t \in \mathbf{R}$.

We see that $\Psi(f)$ is defined ξ -a.e. on Ω and $\Psi(f) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $f \in \mathbf{X}$. It is easy to verify that this Ψ satisfies conditions (Ψ .1)~(Ψ .5) in §1 and

$$\nabla \Psi(f; g)(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in X \\ \phi(y, f'(z))g'(z), & \text{if } z \in S_y. \end{cases}$$

From this, we see that condition (D) in §3 is also valid. Obviously, Γ defined above satisfies (Γ .1)~(Γ .3) in §1 with

$$\Gamma'(z, t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(x, t), & \text{if } z = x \in X \\ 0, & \text{if } z \notin X. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{X} = \{\Omega_{(X, Y)}, \xi_G, \mathbf{X}_G, \Psi, \Gamma\}$ is a functional space, which we shall call a *quasi-linear network*. It will be often denoted by $\mathfrak{X} = [G, \phi, \gamma]$. We denote by \mathcal{N} the class of all quasi-linear networks.

For an open set $\omega \subset \Omega$, let

$$X(\omega) = \{x \in X \cap \omega \mid S_y \subset \omega \text{ for all } y \in Y(x)\}.$$

An open set ω will be said to be regular if $X(\omega) = X \cap \omega$. Obviously, Ω is regular. We shall say that a function f on Ω is linear on S_y if $f \circ j_y^{-1}$ is linear on $(0, 1)$.

LEMMA 6.1. Let $\mathfrak{H} = [G, \phi, \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}$.

(a) If ω is a regular open set and $u \in X$ is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on ω , then u is linear on S_y for every $y \in Y$ such that $S_y \subset \omega$ and

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{y \in Y(x)} K(x, y)\phi(y, \sum_{x' \in X} K(x', y)u(x')) + \gamma(x, u(x)) = 0$$

for all $x \in X \cap \omega$.

(b) If $u \in X$ is linear on every $S_y, y \in Y$, and satisfies (6.1) for all $x \in X$, then u is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω .

PROOF. Obviously, u is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on S_y if and only if $\phi(y, u'(z)) = \text{const.}$ on S_y , that is, $u'(z) = \text{const.}$ on S_y , or equivalently, u is linear on S_y .

For $x \in X$, let $U(x) = \{x\} \cup \cup_{y \in Y(x)} S_y$. Suppose $u \in X$ is linear on each $S_y, y \in Y(x)$. Then we see that u is totally \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on $U(x)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{y \in Y(x)} K(x, y)\phi(y, u'(z_y)) + \gamma(x, u(x)) = 0,$$

where z_y is any point on S_y . Since $u'(z_y) = \sum_{x' \in X} K(x', y)u(x')$, this equality is nothing but (6.1). Hence our lemma follows.

PROPOSITION 6.1. $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{F}$, i.e., every quasi-linear network is an FH-space; each $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies conditions (X.3), (D) and (H.2).

PROOF. We have already seen that each $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N}$ is a functional space and satisfies (X.3) and (D).

Since there is an exhaustion of Ω consisting of regular open sets, to show (H.1) and (H.2) we may assume that each Ω_n is regular. Then (H.1) is easily seen from Lemma 6.1. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence as described in condition (H.2). Then there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $\{u_n(x_0)\}$ is bounded. For each $x \in X$, we find by (K.4) $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{k+1} \in Y$ such that $e(y_j) = \{x_{j-1}, x_j\}, j = 1, \dots, k+1$ with $x_{k+1} = x$. Let $F = \cup_{j=1}^{k+1} \bar{S}_{y_j}$. Then F is a compact set in Ω and

$$\int_F \Psi(u_n) d\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \psi(y_j, u_n(x_j) - u_n(x_{j-1})).$$

Since $\left\{ \int_F \Psi(u_n) d\xi \right\}$ is bounded and $\psi(y, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ for each t , it follows that $\{u_n(x)\}$ is bounded. Hence $\{u_n\}$ is locally uniformly bounded on Ω . Thus (H.2) is satisfied.

Finally, we shall verify (R). Let ω be a relatively compact regular open set in Ω and let $f \in X$. Then $M = \max_{z \in \bar{\omega}} |f(z)|$ is finite. Let

$$\mathbf{D} = \{g \in \mathbf{X} \mid g = f \text{ on } \Omega \setminus \omega\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}^* = \left\{ g \in \mathbf{D} \mid \begin{array}{l} g \text{ is linear on each } S_y \subset \omega \\ \text{and } |g| \leq M \text{ on } \omega \end{array} \right\}.$$

For each $g \in \mathbf{D}$, we can find $g^* \in \mathbf{D}^*$ such that

$$g^*(x) = \max(-M, \min(g(x), M))$$

for all $x \in X \cap \omega$. It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}(g^*)d\xi \leq \int_{\omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}(g)d\xi.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha \equiv \inf \left\{ \int_{\omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}(g)d\xi \mid g \in \mathbf{D} \right\} = \inf \left\{ \int_{\omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}(g)d\xi \mid g \in \mathbf{D}^* \right\}.$$

Since $X \cap \omega$ is a finite set and $\{g(x) \mid g \in \mathbf{D}^*\}$ is bounded for each $x \in X \cap \omega$, we can find a sequence $\{g_n\} \subset \mathbf{D}^*$ such that $\{g_n(x)\}$ is convergent for every $x \in X \cap \omega$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}(g_n)d\xi = \alpha.$$

Then $g_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$ exists and belongs to \mathbf{D}^* . We see easily that $g_0 = R(f; \omega)$. Hence (R) is satisfied.

By virtue of this proposition, inclusion relations (2.4) hold with \mathcal{N} in the place of \mathcal{F} . Furthermore, if we put

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{F}_1 = \{\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N} \mid \mathfrak{H} \text{ satisfies } (\Delta_2)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{F}_2 = \{\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N} \mid \mathfrak{H} \text{ satisfies } (\Delta_2) \text{ and } (\Delta_2^*)\},$$

then inclusion relations (4.8) hold with \mathcal{N}_1 in the place of \mathcal{F}_1 and (5.8) hold with \mathcal{N}_2 in the place of \mathcal{F}_2 . Note that conditions (Δ_2) and (Δ_2^*) for $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{N}$ may be written as follows:

$(\Delta_2)_{\mathcal{N}}$: There is a constant $c > 1$ such that

$$\phi(y, 2t) \leq c\phi(y, t) \quad \text{for all } y \in Y, t \geq 0.$$

$(\Delta_2^*)_{\mathcal{N}}$: There is a constant $c^* > 1$ such that

$$2\phi(y, t) \leq \phi(y, c^*t) \quad \text{for all } y \in Y, t \geq 0.$$

Note that the network considered in [13] belongs to \mathcal{N}_2 ($\phi(y, t) = r(y)|t|^{p-2}t$, $r(y) > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\gamma(x, t) \equiv 0$).

Now, we shall show by special quasi-linear networks that inclusion relations in (2.4) and (5.8) are all strict.

PROPOSITION 6.2. $O_H(\mathcal{N}_2) \not\subset O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

PROOF. Let $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$, $K(x_n, y_n) = 1$ and $K(x_{n-1}, y_n) = -1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $K(x_n, y_m) = 0$ if $m \neq n + 1, n$. Then $G = \{X, Y, K\}$ is an infinite graph. Let

$$\phi(y_n, t) = n^2t, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, t \in \mathbf{R}$$

and $\gamma \equiv 0$. Then $\mathfrak{H} = [G, \phi, \gamma]$ belongs to \mathcal{N}_2 . Since $u \in H(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if u is linear on each S_{y_n} and

$$0 = \phi(y_1, u(x_0) - u(x_1)) = \dots = \phi(y_n, u(x_{n-1}) - u(x_n)) = \dots,$$

$H(\mathfrak{H})$ consists only of constant functions, i.e., $\mathfrak{H} \in O_H(\mathcal{N}_2)$. On the other hand, if we define v to be linear on each S_{y_n} and

$$v(x_n) = 2 - \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then $v \in SHP(\mathfrak{H})$. Hence $\mathfrak{H} \notin O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

COROLLARY. $O_{SH}(\mathcal{N}_2) \neq O_H(\mathcal{N}_2)$ and $O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}_2) \neq O_{HP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

PROPOSITION 6.3. $O_{HD}(\mathcal{N}_2) \not\subset O_{HB}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

PROOF. Let $X = X_1 \cup X'_1$ with

$$X_1 = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \quad \text{and} \quad X'_1 = \{x'_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

where \mathbf{Z} is the set of all integers, and let $Y = Y_1 \cup Y'_1 \cup Y_2$ with

$$Y_1 = \{y_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}, \quad Y'_1 = \{y'_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_2 = \{z_n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

We define $K(x, y)$ on $X \times Y$ as follows:

$$K(x_n, y_n) = K(x'_n, y'_n) = 1, \quad K(x_{n-1}, y_n) = K(x'_{n-1}, y'_n) = -1 \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}),$$

$$K(x_n, z_n) = 1, \quad K(x'_n, z_n) = -1 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) \quad \text{and}$$

$$K(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for any other pair } (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

Then $G = \{X, Y, K\}$ is an infinite graph. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y_n, t) &= \phi(y'_n, t) = 2^n t, & n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \phi(y_{-n}, t) &= \phi(y'_{-n}, t) = \phi(z_n, t) = t, & n = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma \equiv 0$. Then $\mathfrak{H} = [G, \phi, \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}_2$. If $u \in HB(\mathfrak{H}) \cup HD(\mathfrak{H})$, then $u(x_{-n}) = u(x_0)$ and $u(x'_{-n}) = u(x'_0)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If we put $a_n = u(x_n) - u(x'_n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, then

$$(6.2) \quad 2^n(a_n - a_{n-1}) - 2^{n+1}(a_{n+1} - a_n) + 2a_n = 0 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Hence

$$(6.3) \quad a_{n+1} = a_n + 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Any sequence $\{a_n\}$ satisfying (6.3) is bounded. Since

$$u(x_n) = \frac{1}{2} \{u(x_0) + u(x'_0) + a_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad u(x'_n) = \frac{1}{2} \{u(x_0) + u(x'_0) - a_n\},$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$, we see that $HB(\mathfrak{H})$ contains non-constant functions, i.e., $\mathfrak{H} \notin O_{HB}(\mathcal{N}_2)$. On the other hand, if $a_0 \neq 0$, then $|a_n| \geq |a_0|$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi(z_n, u(x_n) - u(x'_n)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 = \infty.$$

Hence $u \in HD(\mathfrak{H})$ implies $a_0 = 0$, i.e., $u = \text{const}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HD}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

COROLLARY. $O_H(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HD}(\mathcal{N})$, $O_{HP}(\mathcal{N}_1) \neq O_{HDP}(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and $O_{HB}(\mathcal{N}_1) \neq O_{HDB}(\mathcal{N}_1)$.

PROPOSITION 6.4. $O_{HE}(\mathcal{N}_2) \not\subset O_{HDB}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

PROOF. Let G be as in the proof of Proposition 6.2 and let $\phi(y_n, t) = 2^{n-1}t$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\gamma(x_n, t) = t$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then $\mathfrak{H} = [G, \phi, \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}_2$. For $u \in H(\mathfrak{H})$, put $a_{-1} = 0$ and $a_n = u(x_n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then $\{a_n\}$ satisfies (6.2) and hence (6.3) in the proof of the previous proposition. Thus, any $u \in H(\mathfrak{H})$ is bounded. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u) d\xi &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-2}(a_n - a_{n-1})^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sup_n |a_n|^2 \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} n^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H(\mathfrak{H}) = HDB(\mathfrak{H})$, which contains non-constant functions. Therefore $\mathfrak{H} \notin O_{HDB}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

On the other hand, if $a_0 \neq 0$, then $|a_n| \geq |a_0|$ for all n , so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\cdot, u) d\xi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 = \infty.$$

Hence $HE(\mathfrak{S}) = \{0\}$, so that $\mathfrak{S} \in O_{HE}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

COROLLARY. $O_{HD}(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HE}(\mathcal{N})$, $O_{HDP}(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HEP}(\mathcal{N})$ and $O_{HDB}(\mathcal{N}_1) \neq O_{HEB}(\mathcal{N}_1)$.

PROPOSITION 6.5. $O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}_2) \not\subset O_H(\mathcal{N}_2)$ and $O_{HB}(\mathcal{N}_2) \not\subset O_{HP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

PROOF. Let $X = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, $Y = \{y_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, $K(x_n, y_n) = 1$ and $K(x_{n-1}, y_n) = -1$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $K(x_n, y_m) = 0$ if $m \neq n + 1, n$. Then $G = \{X, Y, K\}$ is an infinite graph. Let $\phi(y_n, t) = c_n t$ ($c_n > 0$), $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\gamma \equiv 0$. Then $\mathfrak{S} = [G, \phi, \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}_2$. We easily see that

- (i) $\mathfrak{S} \notin O_H(\mathcal{N}_2)$;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{S} \in O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ as well as $\mathfrak{S} \in O_{HP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{-1} = \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} c_n^{-1} = \infty;$$

- (iii) $\mathfrak{S} \in O_{HB}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ if and only if $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n^{-1} = \infty$.

Then the assertions of the proposition immediately follow.

COROLLARY. $O_{SH}(\mathcal{N}_2) \neq O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ and $O_H(\mathcal{N}_2) \neq O_{HP}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

REMARK. The quasi-linear networks given in the proofs of Propositions 6.2, 6.3, 6.5 all belong to \mathcal{F}'_1 (see, Remark 5.2), and hence provide examples to show that all inclusion relations in (5.9) are strict.

PROPOSITION 6.6. $O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N}) \not\subset O_{HE}(\mathcal{N})$.

PROOF. Let G be as in the proof of the previous proposition and let $\phi(y_n, t) = |t|^{n^2} t$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\gamma \equiv 0$. Then $\mathfrak{S} = [G, \phi, \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}$ (but $\mathfrak{S} \notin \mathcal{N}_1$). Let $v \in SHP(\mathfrak{S})$ and put

$$b_n = |v(x_n) - v(x_{n-1})|^{n^2} \{v(x_n) - v(x_{n-1})\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then $b_n \geq b_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that v cannot be non-negative unless b_n are all zero. Hence $\mathfrak{S} \in O_{SHP}(\mathcal{N})$. On the other hand, if $u(x_n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and u is linear on each S_{y_n} , then $u \in HD(\mathfrak{S}) = HE(\mathfrak{S})$. Hence $\mathfrak{S} \notin O_{HE}(\mathcal{N})$.

COROLLARY. $O_{HD}(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HDP}(\mathcal{N})$ and $O_{HE}(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HEP}(\mathcal{N})$.

PROPOSITION 6.7. $O_{HB}(\mathcal{N}) \not\subset O_{HEP}(\mathcal{N})$.

PROOF. Let G be as in the proof of Proposition 6.5 (and Proposition 6.6), let

$$\phi(y_n, t) = \begin{cases} |t|^{n^2} t, & t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ n^2 t, & t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad n = -1, -2, \dots \end{cases}$$

and $\gamma \equiv 0$. Then $\mathfrak{H} = [G, \phi, \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}$ ($\mathfrak{H} \notin \mathcal{N}_1$). If $u \in H(\mathfrak{H})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_n) - u(x_{n-1})|^{n^2} \{u(x_n) - u(x_{n-1})\} &= u(x_0) - u(x_{-1}) \\ &= m^2 \{u(x_m) - u(x_{m-1})\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n=1, 2, \dots$ and $m=-1, -2, \dots$. If $u \in HB(\mathfrak{H})$, then $u(x_0) - u(x_{-1}) = 0$, and hence $u = \text{const}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{H} \in O_{HB}(\mathcal{N})$. On the other hand, if we define u_0 by

$$u_0(x_n) = \begin{cases} 3 + n, & n = -1, 0, 1, \dots \\ 2 - \sum_{k=1}^{|n+1|} k^{-2}, & n = -2, -3, \dots, \end{cases}$$

then $u_0 \in HDP(\mathfrak{H}) = HEP(\mathfrak{H})$. Hence $\mathfrak{H} \notin O_{HEP}(\mathcal{N})$.

COROLLARY. $O_{HDP}(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HDB}(\mathcal{N})$ and $O_{HEP}(\mathcal{N}) \neq O_{HEB}(\mathcal{N})$.

§7. FH-spaces on differentiable manifolds

In this section, we are concerned with FH-spaces defined on C^1 -manifolds.

Let Ω be a connected, σ -compact (or, equivalently, para-compact), non-compact C^1 -manifold of dimension d (≥ 1) and let $\{(V_\lambda, \chi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in A}$ be a locally finite system of coordinate neighborhoods such that each V_λ is relatively compact and $\bar{V}_\lambda \subset U_\lambda$ for some coordinate neighborhood $(U_\lambda, \tilde{\chi}_\lambda)$ such that $\tilde{\chi}_\lambda|_{V_\lambda} = \chi_\lambda$. Let ξ be a positive Radon measure on Ω such that $d\xi = h_\lambda d\mu_\lambda$ on U_λ for each $\lambda \in A$ with a positive C^1 -function h_λ on U_λ , where μ_λ is the measure on U_λ induced by $\tilde{\chi}_\lambda$ from the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^d . Next, we consider a system $\{\psi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of functions $\psi_\lambda: \chi_\lambda(V_\lambda) \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

($\psi.0$) If $V_\lambda \cap V_{\lambda'} \neq \emptyset$, then for each $z \in V_\lambda \cap V_{\lambda'}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\psi_\lambda(\chi_\lambda(z), \tau) = \psi_{\lambda'}(\chi_{\lambda'}(z), J_\lambda^{\lambda'}(z)\tau),$$

where $J_\lambda^{\lambda'}(z)$ is the Jacobian matrix of the transformation $\chi_\lambda \circ \chi_{\lambda'}^{-1}$ at $\chi_{\lambda'}(z)$. (This means that $\{\psi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ defines a real function on the cotangent bundle over Ω .)

($\psi.1$) $\psi_\lambda(x, \tau) \geq 0$, $\psi_\lambda(x, 0) = 0$ and $\psi_\lambda(x, \tau) = \psi_\lambda(x, -\tau)$ for all $\lambda \in A$, $x \in \chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

($\psi.2$) For each $\lambda \in A$ and $x \in \chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)$, $\psi_\lambda(x, \tau)$ is strictly convex and continuously differentiable in $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

($\psi.3$) For each $\lambda \in A$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $\nabla_\tau \psi_\lambda(\cdot, \tau)$ is measurable on $\chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)$.

($\psi.4$) With some $p > 1$, the following holds: for each $\lambda \in A$ there are constants

$\alpha_\lambda > 0, \beta_\lambda > 0$ and functions $a_\lambda \in L^{p'}(\chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)), b_\lambda \in L^{p''}(\chi_\lambda(V_\lambda))$ with

$$p' = \begin{cases} \max(d, p)/(p-1) & \text{if } p \neq d \\ d/(d-1) + \varepsilon & \text{if } p = d (\varepsilon > 0) \end{cases}; \quad p'' = \begin{cases} d/p + \varepsilon' & \text{if } p \leq d (\varepsilon' > 0) \\ 1 & \text{if } p > d, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_\tau \psi_\lambda(x, \tau)| &\leq \alpha_\lambda |\tau|^{p-1} + a_\lambda(x), \\ \langle \mathcal{F}_\tau \psi_\lambda(x, \tau), \tau \rangle &\geq \beta_\lambda |\tau|^p - b_\lambda(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in A, x \in \chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the ordinary inner product in \mathbf{R}^d .

($\psi.5$) For each $\lambda \in A$ and for any positive numbers δ, ρ such that $0 < \delta < 1 < \rho$, there are $r = r(\lambda, \delta, \rho) > 1$ and $\eta = \eta(\lambda, \delta, \rho) > 0$ such that if $\delta \leq \max(|\tau|, |\tau'|) \leq \rho$ then

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_\tau \psi_\lambda(x, \tau) - \mathcal{F}_{\tau'} \psi_\lambda(x, \tau'), \tau - \tau' \rangle \geq \eta |\tau - \tau'|^r$$

for all $x \in \chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)$.

Finally, let $\Gamma: \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfy ($\Gamma.1$) \sim ($\Gamma.3$) in § 1 and

($\Gamma.4$) With $p > 1$ and p'' given in ($\psi.4$), for each $\lambda \in A$ there is $e_\lambda \in L^{p''}(\chi_\lambda(V_\lambda))$ such that

$$|\Gamma'(\chi_\lambda^{-1}(x), t)| \leq e_\lambda(x) (|t|^{p-1} + 1)$$

for all $\lambda \in A, x \in \chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

With $p > 1$ given in ($\psi.4$), let $\mathbf{X} = W_{1,0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L_{1,0}^\infty(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{X} = \{f \in L_{1,0}^\infty(\Omega) \mid |\mathcal{F}(f \circ \chi_\lambda^{-1})| \in L^p(\chi_\lambda(V_\lambda)) \text{ for every } \lambda \in A\}.$$

By ($\psi.0$) \sim ($\psi.4$), we see that

$$(7.1) \quad \Psi(f)(z) = \psi_\lambda(\chi_\lambda(z), \mathcal{F}(f \circ \chi_\lambda^{-1})(\chi_\lambda(z))) \quad \text{for } z \in V_\lambda$$

defines a function belonging to $L_{1,0}^1(\Omega)$ for each $f \in \mathbf{X}$.

The class of $\mathfrak{S} = \{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi, \Gamma\}$ defined as above will be denoted by \mathcal{V} . Then we have

PROPOSITION 7.1. $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}$, i.e., each $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{V}$ is an FH-space. Furthermore, each $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfies (X.3), (D) and (H.2) in § 3.

PROOF. Conditions (X.1) and (X.3) for \mathbf{X} are obviously satisfied. Conditions ($\Psi.1$) and ($\Psi.2$) for Ψ are immediate consequences of ($\psi.1$) and (7.1); and ($\Psi.4$) follows from ($\psi.2$). Since $\mathcal{F}(f \circ \chi_\lambda^{-1}) = 0$ a.e. on the set $\{x \in V_\lambda \mid f(x) = 0\}$

if $f \in \mathbf{X}$ (cf., e.g., [3, Théorème 3.2]), (Ψ .3) and (\mathbf{X} .2) are seen to be valid. By virtue of (ψ .3) and (ψ .4), we see that (Ψ .5) is satisfied with

$$(7.2) \quad \nabla \Psi(f; g)(z) = \langle \nabla_{\tau} \psi_{\lambda}(\chi_{\lambda}(z)), \nabla(f \circ \chi_{\lambda}^{-1})(\chi_{\lambda}(z)), \nabla(g \circ \chi_{\lambda}^{-1})(\chi_{\lambda}(z)) \rangle$$

for $z \in V_{\lambda}$. From the definition of \mathbf{X} and (7.2), condition (D) is easily verified.

By applying the standard variational method (see, e.g., [8, Chap. 5, Theorem 2.1]), we can show that any relatively compact open set is resolutive. Thus condition (R) is satisfied. Condition (H.2) follows from [12, Theorems 5, 6 and 9] in view of (ψ .4) and (Γ .4).

Thus, what remains to show is the verification of (H.1), which will be given in the Appendix.

Conditions (Δ_2) and (Δ_2^*) for $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{V}$ may be written as follows:

(Δ_2) $_{\psi}$ There is $C > 2$ such that

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x, 2\tau) \leq C\psi_{\lambda}(x, \tau)$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $x \in \chi_{\lambda}(V_{\lambda})$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

(Δ_2^*) $_{\psi}$ There is $C^* > 2$ such that

$$C^*\psi_{\lambda}(x, 2\tau) \leq \psi_{\lambda}(x, C^*\tau)$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $x \in \chi_{\lambda}(V_{\lambda})$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Thus if we put

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{V} \mid \mathfrak{H} \text{ satisfies } (\Delta_2)_{\psi}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_2 = \{\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{V}_1 \mid \mathfrak{H} \text{ satisfies } (\Delta_2^*)_{\psi}\},$$

then $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{F}_2$ by virtue of Proposition 7.1. Hence, inclusion relations (2.4), (4.8) and (5.8) are valid with \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 in the place of \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , respectively.

REMARK. If Ω is a Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric (g_{ij}) , ξ is the corresponding volume element, $\mathbf{X} = W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\psi_{\lambda}(x, \tau) = \Sigma g^{ij}(x)\tau_i\tau_j$ on V_{λ} and $\Gamma(x, t) = P(x)t^2$ with $P \in L_{loc}^q(\Omega)$ ($q > d/2$, $q \geq 1$), $P \geq 0$, then $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi, \Gamma\} \in \mathcal{V}_2$, where Ψ is defined by (7.1) from the above $\{\psi_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. In this case, $H(\mathfrak{H})$ is the space of weak solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ (Δ : the Laplace-Beltrami operator), and thus the classification theory given in [5], [9] as well as the classical classification theory of Riemann surfaces are included in the classification theory for \mathcal{V}_2 . In particular, non-inclusion relations

$$(7.3) \quad O_{HD}(\mathcal{V}_2) \not\subset O_{HB}(\mathcal{V}_2),$$

$$(7.4) \quad O_{HE}(\mathcal{V}_2) \not\subset O_{HDB}(\mathcal{V}_2),$$

$$(7.5) \quad O_{SHP}(\mathcal{V}_2) \not\subset O_H(\mathcal{V}_2),$$

$$(7.6) \quad O_{HB}(\mathcal{V}_2) \not\subset O_{HP}(\mathcal{V}_2)$$

are known; in fact, (7.3), (7.5) and (7.6) are classical (see [11, Chap. III, 4H] for (7.3), [11, Chap. IV, 3C] and [11, Appendix 3A] for (7.6); also see [10]) and (7.4) is shown in [10].

As for \mathcal{V} , modifying the proofs of Propositions 6.6 and 6.7, we obtain

PROPOSITION 7.2. $O_{SHP}(\mathcal{V}) \not\subset O_{HE}(\mathcal{V})$ and $O_{HB}(\mathcal{V}) \not\subset O_{HEP}(\mathcal{V})$.

PROOF. Let $\Omega = \mathbf{R}$, ξ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R} ,

$$\mathbf{X} = \{f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \text{locally absolutely continuous and } f' \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R})\},$$

$$\Gamma(x, t) \equiv 0,$$

$$\psi_0(x, \tau) = \begin{cases} (2 + x^2)^{-1} |\tau|^{2+x^2}, & \text{if } |\tau| \leq 1, x \in \mathbf{R} \\ 2^{-1} |\tau|^2 + (2 + x^2)^{-1} - 2^{-1}, & \text{if } |\tau| > 1, x \in \mathbf{R} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_1(x, \tau) = \begin{cases} \psi_0(x, \tau), & \text{if } x \geq 0, \tau \in \mathbf{R} \\ 2^{-1}(1 + x^2) |\tau|^2, & \text{if } x < 0, \tau \in \mathbf{R}. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi_0, \Gamma\} \in O_{SHP}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus O_{HE}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi_1, \Gamma\} \in O_{HB}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus O_{HEP}(\mathcal{V})$, where $\Psi_0(f) = \psi_0(\cdot, f')$ and $\Psi_1(f) = \psi_1(\cdot, f')$. Note that these spaces satisfy $(\psi.4)$ and $(\psi.5)$ with $p = r = 2$.

APPENDIX. In order to verify (H.1) for $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{V}$, it is enough to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM A. Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^d and ξ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^d . Suppose $\psi: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies conditions $(\psi.1) \sim (\psi.5)$ with $\{\psi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A} = \{\psi\}$ ($V_\lambda = \Omega$, $\chi_\lambda =$ the identity mapping) and $\Gamma: \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies $(\Gamma.1) \sim (\Gamma.4)$. Let $\mathfrak{H} = \{\Omega, \xi, \mathbf{X}, \Psi, \Gamma\}$, where $\mathbf{X} = W^1_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$ with $p > 1$ given in $(\psi.4)$ and $\Psi(f) = \psi(\cdot, \nabla f)$ for $f \in \mathbf{X}$. If $\{u_n\}$ is a uniformly bounded convergent sequence of \mathfrak{H} -harmonic functions on Ω , then $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω and $\int_K \Psi(u) d\xi \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(u_n) d\xi$ for any compact set K in Ω .

A similar result is obtained in B. Calvert [2]. But our assumptions, and hence proofs, are slightly different from those in [2]. We prove Theorem A in four steps.

PROOF OF THEOREM A:

(I) $\left\{ \int_K |\nabla u_n(x)|^p dx \right\}$ is bounded for any compact set K in Ω .

This can be proved in the same way as [2, Lemma 2], and we omit the proof.

(II) For any compact set K in Ω ,

$$\int_K \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_n) - \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_m), \nabla u_n - \nabla u_m \rangle dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (n, m \rightarrow \infty).$$

PROOF. Let ϕ be a C^1 -function with compact support in Ω such that $\phi \geq 0$ and $\phi = 1$ on K . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_k), \nabla [(u_n - u_m)\phi] \rangle dx \\ & + \int_\Omega \Gamma'(\cdot, u_k)(u_n - u_m)\phi dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any k, n, m . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,m} & \equiv \int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_n) - \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_m), \nabla u_n - \nabla u_m \rangle \phi dx \\ & = - \int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_n) - \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_m), \nabla \phi \rangle (u_n - u_m) dx \\ & \quad - \int_\Omega \{ \Gamma'(\cdot, u_n) - \Gamma'(\cdot, u_m) \} (u_n - u_m) \phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is non-negative. Hence, by ($\psi.4$) ($\alpha = \alpha_\lambda, a = a_\lambda$),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,m} & \leq \alpha \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_n|^{p-1} + |\nabla u_m|^{p-1}) |\nabla \phi| |u_n - u_m| dx \\ & \quad + 2 \int_\Omega a |\nabla \phi| |u_n - u_m| dx \\ & \leq \alpha (J_n + J_m) \left\{ \int_{K'} |\nabla \phi|^p |u_n - u_m|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{K'} a |\nabla \phi| |u_n - u_m| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $K' = \text{Supp } \phi$ and $J_n = \left\{ \int_{K'} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right\}^{1/p^*}$, $p^* = p/(p-1)$. Hence, by (I) and Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we conclude that $I_{n,m} \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$), from which (II) follows immediately.

(III) For any compact set K in Ω ,

$$\int_K |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (n, m \rightarrow \infty).$$

PROOF. Let $0 < \delta < 1 < \rho$. Fix n and m for the time being and put

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \{x \in K \mid |\nabla u_n(x)| \leq \delta, |\nabla u_m(x)| \leq \delta\}, \\ E_1 &= \{x \in K \mid |\nabla u_n(x)| > \rho\}, E'_1 = \{x \in K \mid |\nabla u_m(x)| > \rho\}, \\ E_2 &= K \setminus (E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E'_1). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$(A.1) \quad \int_{E_0} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m| dx \leq 2\delta \xi(K).$$

By (I), there is $M > 0$ such that $\int_K |\nabla u_k|^p dx \leq M$ for all k . Then,

$$\rho \xi(E_1) \leq \int_{E_1} |\nabla u_n| dx \leq M^{1/p} \xi(E_1)^{1/p^*},$$

so that $\xi(E_1) \leq \rho^{-p} M$. Similarly, $\xi(E'_1) \leq \rho^{-p} M$. Hence

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{E_1 \cup E'_1} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m| dx \leq \int_{E_1 \cup E'_1} |\nabla u_n| dx + \int_{E_1 \cup E'_1} |\nabla u_m| dx \\ \leq 2M^{1/p} \{\xi(E_1) + \xi(E'_1)\}^{1/p^*} \leq 4M\rho^{1-p}. \end{cases}$$

By ($\psi.5$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_2} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m| dx \\ & \leq \eta^{-1/r} \int_{E_2} \langle \nabla_{\tau} \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_n) - \nabla_{\tau} \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_m), \nabla u_n - \nabla u_m \rangle^{1/r} dx \\ & \leq \eta^{-1/r} \xi(K)^{(r-1)/r} (I_{n,m})^{1/r}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{n,m} = \int_K \langle \nabla_{\tau} \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_n) - \nabla_{\tau} \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_m), \nabla u_n - \nabla u_m \rangle dx.$$

Hence, together with (A.1) and (A.2), we have

$$\int_K |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m| dx \leq 2\delta \xi(K) + 4M\rho^{1-p} + \eta^{-1/r} \xi(K)^{(r-1)/r} (I_{n,m})^{1/r}$$

for any n, m . Since $I_{n,m} \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$) by (II),

$$\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \int_K |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m| dx \leq 2\delta \xi(K) + 2M\rho^{1-p}.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (III).

(IV) By (I) and pointwise convergence of $\{u_n\}$, we see that $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$. By (III), we can choose a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ such that $\nabla u_{n_j} \rightarrow \nabla u$ a.e. on Ω . Then

$$\nabla_\tau \psi(x, \nabla u_{n_j}(x)) \rightarrow \nabla_\tau \psi(x, \nabla u(x)) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega$$

by $(\psi.2)$. On the other hand, by (I) and $(\psi.4)$, $\{\nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_{n_j})\}_j$ is bounded in $(L^{p^*}(\omega))^d$ for any relatively compact open set ω such that $\bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$. Hence, there is another subsequence $\{u_{m_j}\}$ of $\{u_{n_j}\}$ such that $\nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_{m_j})|_\omega \rightarrow \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u)|_\omega$ weakly in $(L^{p^*}(\omega))^d$ for any ω as above. Hence

$$(A.3) \quad \int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_{m_j}), \nabla g \rangle dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla g \rangle dx$$

for any $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with compact support in Ω . On the other hand,

$$(A.4) \quad \int_\Omega \Gamma'(\cdot, u_n) g dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \Gamma'(\cdot, u) g dx$$

for any $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with compact support in Ω by Lebesgue's convergence theorem. Since

$$\int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u_n), \nabla g \rangle dx + \int_\Omega \Gamma'(\cdot, u_n) g dx = 0$$

for any $g \in X$ with compact support in Ω , it follows from (A.3) and (A.4) that

$$\int_\Omega \langle \nabla_\tau \psi(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla g \rangle dx + \int_\Omega \Gamma'(\cdot, u) g dx = 0$$

for any $g \in X$ as above, i.e., u is \mathfrak{H} -harmonic on Ω .

Furthermore, given a compact set K , we could choose $\{u_{n_j}\}$ to satisfy

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(u_{n_j}) d\xi = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(u_n) d\xi.$$

Since $\Psi(u_{n_j})(x) = \psi(x, \nabla u_{n_j}(x)) \rightarrow \psi(x, \nabla u(x)) = \Psi(u)(x)$ a.e. on Ω , Fatou's lemma implies

$$\int_K \Psi(u) d\xi \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \Psi(u_n) d\xi.$$

Added in proof: It is possible to prove Theorem A in the appendix without condition $(\psi. 5)$, so that this condition is not necessary for the discussions in § 7.

References

- [1] B. Calvert, Elements of Brelot type potential theory without linearity, to appear in Math. Chronicle, Univ. of Auckland.
- [2] B. Calvert, Harnack's theorems on convergence for nonlinear operators, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. **52** (1972), 364–372.
- [3] J. Deny and J. L. Lions, Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi, Ann. Inst. Fourier **5** (1955), 305–370.
- [4] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, Convex analysis and variational problems, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [5] M. Glasner, R. Katz and M. Nakai, A remark on classification of Riemannian manifolds with respect to $\Delta u = Pu$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 425–428.
- [6] M. Glasner and M. Nakai, Riemannian manifolds with discontinuous metrics and the Dirichlet integral, Nagoya Math. J. **46** (1972), 1–48.
- [7] N. Kenmochi and Y. Mizuta, The gradient of a convex function on a regular functional space and its potential theoretic properties, Hiroshima Math. J. **4** (1974), 743–763.
- [8] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva, Linear and quasi-linear elliptic equations, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [9] M. Nakai, Dirichlet finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$, and classification of Riemann surfaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 381–385.
- [10] M. Nakai, The equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on E^m with almost rotation free $P \geq 0$, Tôhoku Math. J. **23** (1971), 413–431.
- [11] L. Sario and M. Nakai, Classification theory of Riemann surfaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [12] J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 247–302.
- [13] M. Yamasaki, Parabolic and hyperbolic infinite networks, Hiroshima Math. J. **7** (1977), 135–146.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

