

## Some results on the normalization and normal flatness

MITSUO SHINAGAWA

(Received September 17, 1981)

### Introduction

In this paper, we shall give a sufficient condition that properties for a reduced noetherian scheme  $X$  to be Cohen-Macaulay or Gorenstein can be ascended to or can be descended from the same properties on the normalization  $\bar{X}$  of  $X$ . It is well-known that the condition of flatness plays an important role in the study of many properties on an extension of a noetherian rings (e.g. [21]). But the normalization of a reduced noetherian ring is an integral extension which is far from a flat one. Therefore it seems to the author that we need a "flatness" condition on  $X$ , in some sense, in order to give the above sufficient condition. Fortunately, in his famous paper [11], H. Hironaka defined the notion of normal flatness in 1964 (see Def. 2 in this paper). From that time, many mathematicians have studied properties on normal flatness and have obtained many results on it (e.g. [9], [10]). Let  $Y$  be the closed subscheme of  $X$  defined by the conductor of  $X$  in  $\bar{X}$ . By the definition of normal flatness, if  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$ , that is, if the normal cone  $N$  of  $X$  along  $Y$  is flat over  $Y$ , then  $X' \times_X Y$  is flat over  $Y$  where  $X'$  is the blowing up of  $X$  along  $Y$ . On the other hand, there is a canonical morphism from  $X'$  to  $\bar{X}$  (see Prop. 3 in this paper) and P. H. Wilson showed, in the case where  $X$  is a hypersurface, that a necessary and sufficient condition for this canonical morphism to be an isomorphism can be spoken by a "flatness" condition (cf. Theorem 2.7 in his paper [22]). The author believes that, under the condition that  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$ , the fibres of  $N$  along  $Y$  and hence the fibres of  $X'$  along  $Y$  are well parametrized. In this point of view, we shall study the structure of  $N$  and show that *if  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$  and  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ , then*

- (i)  $X'$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\bar{X}$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{X}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme if and only if so is  $X$ .
- (iii)  $\bar{X}$  is a Gorenstein scheme if so is  $X$ .

The author would like to thank Professor Mieno Nishi, Professor Kei-ichi Watanabe and his friend Akira Ooishi for their kind advice, and would like to express his gratitude to Professor Masayoshi Nagata for his letter to the author giving a construction of *a hypersurface of any dimension  $\geq 3$  that has a unibranch point such that the normalization of the local ring at this point is not a Cohen-Macaulay (local) ring.*

### § 1. Normal flatness (1)

In this section, we shall consider a noetherian scheme  $S$  and a closed subscheme  $T$  of  $S$ . We refer scheme theoretic languages to [5], [6] and [7].

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. For any point  $s$  of  $S$ , we denote the stalk of  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $s$  by  $\mathcal{M}_s$  and the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}_s$ . We put  $\mathcal{M}(s) = \mathcal{M}_s / \mathfrak{m}_s \mathcal{M}_s$  and  $\kappa(s) = \mathcal{O}_S(s) = \mathcal{O}_{S,s} / \mathfrak{m}_s$  as usual.

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_S$  which defines  $T$ . We denote the normal cone of  $S$  along  $T$  by  $N_{T,S}$ . Hence by the definition of normal cones,

$$N_{T,S} = \mathcal{S} \text{Proj}_T(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{O}_S))$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathcal{O}_T \oplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{I}^n / \mathcal{I}^{n+1})$  is the graded  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -algebra associated with  $\mathcal{I}$ . For any point  $t$  of  $T$ , we put

$$N_{T,S}(t) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{O}_S)(t)) = \text{Spec}(\kappa(t) \oplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{I}_t^n / \mathfrak{m}_t \mathcal{I}_t^n))$$

and  $H_{T,S}(t; n) = \dim_{\kappa(t)}(\mathcal{I}_t^n / \mathfrak{m}_t \mathcal{I}_t^n)$ . Then we have a well-known proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *There exists the numerical polynomial  $P$  with coefficients in the field of rational numbers such that  $H_{T,S}(t; n) = P(n)$  for every sufficiently large  $n$  and the dimension of  $N_{T,S}(t)$  is equal to  $\deg(P) + 1$ .*

**PROOF.** The assertion follows from Th. 20.5 in [15] and Th. 19 of § 7.10 in [18].

**DEFINITION 1.** We define the degree of  $H_{T,S}(t; n)$  by one of the above polynomial  $P$ .

We now give the definition of normal flatness.

**DEFINITION 2.** For any point  $t$  of  $T$ , we say that  $S$  is normally flat along  $T$  at  $t$  if  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{O}_S)_t$  is a flat  $\mathcal{O}_{T,t}$ -module, that is to say,  $\mathcal{I}_t^n / \mathcal{I}_t^{n+1}$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_{T,t}$ -module for any  $n$ .  $S$  is said to be normally flat along  $T$  if  $S$  is normally flat along  $T$  at any point of  $T$ , in other words, if  $\mathcal{I}^n / \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -module for any  $n$ . This is equivalent to the condition that  $N_{T,S}$  is flat over  $T$ .

We denote the blowing up of  $S$  along  $T$  by  $\mathcal{B}/_T(S)$ . Hence by the definition of a blowing up,

$$\mathcal{B}/_T(S) = \text{Proj}_T(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O}_S))$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathcal{O}_S \oplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{I}^n)$  is the Rees  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra defined by  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then we know that for any point  $t$  of  $T$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}/_T(S) \times_T \text{Spec}(\kappa(t)) = \text{Proj}(\kappa(t) \oplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{I}_t^n / \mathfrak{m}_t \mathcal{I}_t^n)).$$

While many results on normal flatness have been obtained, we need the following results in this paper.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Suppose that  $S$  is normally flat along  $T$ .*

(i)  $H_{T,S}(t_1; n) = H_{T,S}(t_2; n)$  for any two points  $t_1, t_2$  of a connected component of  $T$ . In particular,

$$\dim(N_{T,S}(t_1)) = \dim(N_{T,S}(t_2)).$$

(ii) For any point  $t$  of  $T$ ,  $\dim(N_{T,S}(t)) = \text{codim}(Z, S)$  where  $Z$  is any irreducible component of  $T$  which passes  $t$ .

(iii) For any point  $t$  of  $T$ ,  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{S,t}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{T,t}) + \dim(\mathcal{O}_{S,z})$  where  $z$  is the generic point of an irreducible component of  $T$  which passes  $t$ .

**PROOF.** The assertions follow from (6.10.5) in [7] and Korollar 1.52. in [9].

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $S$  is normally flat along  $T$  and is connected, then  $T$  is of pure codimension in  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** The assertion follows from (i), (ii) in Prop. 2.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $S$  is normally flat along  $T$  and  $T$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $S$ , then  $\mathcal{B}/_T(S)$  is finite over  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** The assertion follows from (ii) in Prop. 2 and (4.4.2) in [6].

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose that  $S$  is normal and  $T$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $S$ . If  $S$  is normally flat along  $T$ , then  $\mathcal{B}/_T(S) = S$  and therefore  $\mathcal{I}$  is an invertible sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ .*

**PROOF.** The assertions follow from the above corollary.

### §2. Normal flatness (2)

From now on, we shall consider a reduced noetherian scheme  $X$  of which the normalization, denoted by  $\bar{X}$ , is finite over  $X$ . Let  $\pi$  be the canonical morphism from  $\bar{X}$  to  $X$ . By the conductor  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  in  $\bar{X}$  we mean the largest sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  which is also a sheaf of ideals of  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})/\mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since  $\bar{X} = \text{Spec}_X(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}))$ , we may consider  $\mathcal{C}$  as a sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . We denote by  $Y$  and  $\bar{Y}$  the closed subschemes of  $X$  and  $\bar{X}$  defined by  $\mathcal{C}$  respectively. We now put shortly

$$N = N_{Y,X}, \quad \bar{N} = N_{\bar{Y},X}, \quad X' = \mathcal{B}/_Y(X), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{X}' = \mathcal{B}/_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{X}).$$

Then we have the following commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{N} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{j} & \bar{X} & & \bar{X}' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & X' \\
 \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \rho' & & \downarrow \rho \\
 N & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X & & \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X
 \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are natural injections and the others are canonical morphisms induced by  $\pi$ .

P. H. Wilson obtained that  $\pi'$  is an isomorphism in case that  $X$  is an irreducible variety (cf. Theorem 1.2 in [22]). More generally we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.**  $\pi'$  is an isomorphism.

**PROOF.** The assertion follows the fact that  $(\mathcal{R}_s(\mathcal{O}_X))_+ = (\mathcal{R}_s(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}))_+$  and from the construction of  $\mathcal{P}_{\nu \circ j}$  (cf. (2.4) in [5]).

The following theorem is important in this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$  and  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ .
- (ii) (1)  $\bar{Y}$  is flat over  $Y$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}$  is an invertible sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

**PROOF.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By Cor. (ii) of Prop. 2 and Prop. 3, we have  $X' = \bar{X}' = \bar{X}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}$  is an invertible sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Since  $\bar{Y} = \bar{X} \times_X Y = X' \times_X Y = \mathcal{P}_{\nu \circ j}(\tau_*(\mathcal{O}_N))$  and  $\tau$  is flat by the definition of normal flatness,  $\bar{Y}$  is flat over  $Y$  by using (2.2.1) in [5].

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is an invertible sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  by our assumption (2),  $\mathcal{E}$  is an invertible one of  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^n/\mathcal{E}^{n+1} \cong \mathcal{E}^n \otimes_{\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})} \bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})$  and therefore we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}^n/\mathcal{E}^{n+1}$  is a locally free  $\bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})$ -module of rank 1. On the other hand,  $\bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module by the assumption (1). Hence  $\mathcal{E}^n/\mathcal{E}^{n+1}$  is a locally free one for any  $n$ . In other words,  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$ .

Let  $z$  be the generic point of an irreducible component of  $Y$ . Since  $\bar{X}$  is finite over  $X$ , there exists a point  $\bar{z}$  of  $\bar{Y}$  such that  $\pi(\bar{z}) = z$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{z}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, z})$ . From the assumption (1), it follows that

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}, \bar{z}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{Y, z}) = 0 \quad (\text{cf. Theorem 20 in [14]}).$$

Therefore  $\bar{z}$  is the generic point of some irreducible component of  $\bar{Y}$ . By the assumption (2), we have  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{z}}) = 1$  and hence  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, z}) = 1$ . Therefore we conclude that  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Under the equivalent conditions of the above theorem, we*

conclude that the blowing up  $X'$  of  $X$  along  $Y$  is the normalization  $\bar{X}$  of  $X$ .

We have already shown the assertion in the above proof of Theorem 1. Hence we omit the proof.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $X$  be an affine scheme. Suppose that  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is local. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$  and  $\text{codim}(Y, X) = 1$ .
- (ii) (1)  $\Gamma(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})$  is a free  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -module.
- (2)  $\Gamma(\bar{X}, \mathcal{E})$  is a principal ideal of  $\Gamma(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$  generated by a regular element.

**PROOF.** The assertion can be easily seen by Cor. 1 of Prop. 2 and Th. 1.

As for the dimension of the local ring of  $\bar{X}$  at any point, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$  and  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ . Let  $\bar{x}$  be any point of  $\bar{X}$  and let  $x$  be the point  $\pi(\bar{x})$  of  $X$ . Then we have*

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}, \bar{x}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}).$$

**PROOF.** We may assume that  $\bar{x}$  is contained in  $\bar{Y}$ . By (iii) of Prop. 2 and Th. 1, we have

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}, \bar{x}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}, \bar{x}}) + 1,$$

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{Y, x}) + 1.$$

Since  $\bar{Y}$  is finite and flat over  $Y$  by Th. 1,  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}, \bar{x}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{Y, x})$  (cf. Theorem 20 in [14]). Therefore  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}, \bar{x}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, x})$ .

In connection with the condition (1) in Theorem 1, we give the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 4.**  *$\bar{Y}$  is flat over  $Y$  if and only if  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})/\mathcal{O}_X = \bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})/\mathcal{O}_Y$  is a flat  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module.*

**PROOF.** Since the property of flatness is a local one, the assertion follows from Chap. I, § 3, n° 5, Prop. 9 in [2].

### § 3. A property for schemes to be Cohen-Macaulay

We refer the definitions of depth, local cohomology, Cohen-Macaulay ring and Cohen-Macaulay scheme to the books [8], [10] and [14].

From now to the end of § 5 in this paper, we understand that  $X$  is always normally flat along  $Y$  and  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ . For the sake of simplicity, we use the following notations.

For any fixed point  $y$  of  $Y$ , we put shortly

$$A = \mathcal{O}_{X,y}, \bar{A} = \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})_y, C = \mathcal{C}_y, \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_y, \kappa = \kappa(y) \quad \text{and} \quad K = \bar{A}/\mathfrak{m}\bar{A}.$$

Then  $\bar{A}$  is the normalization of  $A$ . It follows from Th. 2 that for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\bar{A}$ , the dimension of  $\bar{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is equal to one of  $A$ . On the other hand,  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\bar{A}) = H_{\mathfrak{m}\bar{A}}^i(\bar{A})$  for any  $i \geq 0$  (cf. Corollary 5.7 of Proposition 5.5 in [8]).

Since the condition that  $\bar{X}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme is a local property, the above facts show the following lemma.

**LEMMA.**  $\bar{X}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme if and only if  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $y$  be a point of  $Y$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay at  $y$ .
- (2)  $Y$  is Cohen-Macaulay at  $y$ .
- (3)  $\bar{X}$  is Cohen-Macaulay along  $\pi^{-1}(y)$ .
- (4)  $\bar{Y}$  is Cohen-Macaulay along  $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(y)$ .

**PROOF.** By Cor. 2 of Th. 1,  $C$  is generated by a regular element of  $\bar{A}$ . Therefore the equivalence between (3) and (4) are obvious (cf. (ii) of Theorem 30 in [14]). Since  $\bar{A}/C$  is a finite and flat extension of  $A/C$  by Cor. 2 of Th. 1, the equivalence between (2) and (4) follows from (21. C) in [14]. Hence we conclude that (2), (3) and (4) are equivalent.

We now show that (1) implies (2). Put  $C = c\bar{A}$  and  $C' = cA$ . Then we have  $C/C' \cong c\bar{A}/cA \cong \bar{A}/A$  because  $c$  is an  $\bar{A}$ -regular element. Hence  $C/C'$  is a free  $A/C$ -module by Prop. 4. Set  $C/C' \cong \bar{A}/A \cong \bigoplus^r A/C$  for some positive integer  $r$  and consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C/C' \longrightarrow A/C' \longrightarrow A/C \longrightarrow 0.$$

By our assumption,  $\dim(A) = \text{depth}(A)$ , say  $d$ , we have  $\text{depth}(A/C') = \dim(A/C') = d - 1$ . Since  $\bar{A}$  is a normal ring, it follows from the Serre's criterion for normality (cf. Theorem 39 in [14]) that  $\bar{A}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if  $d \leq 2$ . By the equivalence between (2) and (3), we may assume that  $d$  is greater than or equal to 3. Let  $i$  be any positive integer which is less than or equal to  $d - 2$ . Then  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A/C') = 0$ . By the above exact sequence, we have an exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i-1}(A/C') \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i-1}(A/C) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(C/C') \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A/C).$$

Hence we have

$$H_m^{i-1}(A/C) \cong H_m^i(C/C') \cong \bigoplus^r H_m^i(A/C) \cdots \cdots (*)$$

Since  $\bar{A}$  is normal and  $\dim(\bar{A}) \geq 3$ , we have  $\text{depth}(\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}) \geq 2$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\bar{A}$  by the Serre's criterion for normality and Th. 2. Therefore  $\text{depth}(\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}/C\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}) = \text{depth}(\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}/c\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}) \geq 1$ . On the other hand,  $\text{depth}(\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}/C\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}) = \text{depth}(A/C) + \text{depth}(\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}/m\bar{A}_\mathfrak{n}) = \text{depth}(A/C)$  because  $\bar{A}/C$  is a finite and flat extension of  $A/C$  (cf. (21. C) in [14]). Hence  $\text{depth}(A/C) \geq 1$ , that is,  $H_m^0(A/C) = 0$ . Therefore we have  $H_m^i(A/C) = 0$  by (\*). Hence we conclude that  $\text{depth}(A/C) \geq d - 1$ . On the other hand,  $\dim(A/C) = d - 1$  by (iii) of Prop. 2. Therefore  $A/C$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. This shows (2).

Next we show that (3) implies (1). Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \bar{A} \longrightarrow \bar{A}/A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $i$  be any non-negative integer which is less than or equal to  $d - 1$  where  $d = \dim(A) = \dim(\bar{A})$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$H_m^{i-1}(\bar{A}/A) \longrightarrow H_m^i(A) \longrightarrow H_m^i(\bar{A}) \cdots \cdots (**)$$

where we put  $H_m^{-1}(\bar{A}/A) = 0$ . Since  $\bar{A}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension  $d$  by our assumption,  $H_m^i(\bar{A}) = 0$  by the above lemma. By (\*) and the equivalence between (2) and (3), we have

$$H_m^{i-1}(\bar{A}/A) \cong \bigoplus^r H_m^{i-1}(A/C) = 0.$$

Therefore we have  $H_m^i(A) = 0$  by the above fact and (\*\*). In other words,  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. This shows (1).

We now give easy consequences of the above theorem but we omit thier proofs.

**COROLLARY 1.**  $\bar{X}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme if and only if so is  $X$ . And if so,  $Y$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are Cohen-Macaulay schemes.

**COROLLARY 2.**  $\bar{X}$  satisfies the Serre's condition  $(S_n)$  (cf. (17. I) in [14]) if and only if so does  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 3.**  $X$  satisfies the Serre's condition  $(S_2)$  and hence  $Y$  has no embedded component (cf. the proof of (vi) in Theorem 2.6 in [4]). In particular, if  $X$  is of dimension 2, then  $X$  and  $Y$  are Cohen-Macaulay schemes.

#### § 4. Fibres of the normal cone

In this section, we shall study some properties on the structure of the fibres  $N(y)$  of the normal cone  $N$  of  $X$  along  $Y$  at any point  $y$  of  $Y$ . Under the same

notations as in § 2 and § 3, we have  $\bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \mathfrak{q}}(\mathcal{O}_X))_y = gr_C(\bar{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \mathfrak{q}}(\mathcal{O}_X)_y = gr_C(A)$  and by Cor. 2 of Th. 1,  $gr_C(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}/C[U]$  where  $U$  is an indeterminate, and  $gr_C(A) = A/C \oplus U\bar{A}/C[U]$  because  $gr_C(A)_+ = gr_C(\bar{A})_+$ . Consider the following exact sequence of  $A/C$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow gr_C(A) \longrightarrow gr_C(\bar{A}) \longrightarrow \bar{A}/A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \kappa \otimes gr_C(A) \longrightarrow \kappa \otimes gr_C(\bar{A}) \longrightarrow \kappa \otimes \bar{A}/A \longrightarrow 0$$

because  $\bar{A}/A$  is a flat  $A/C$ -module by Prop. 4. Therefore we have  $\kappa \otimes gr_C(\bar{A}) = K[U]$  and  $\kappa \otimes gr_C(A) = \kappa \oplus UK[U]$ .

From now on, we put  $H(y; n) = H_{Y, X}(y; n)$  and  $h(y) = H(y; 1)$  for the sake of simplicity. Then we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 5.**  *$N(y)$  and  $\bar{N}(y)$  are Cohen-Macaulay algebraic schemes of dimension 1 defined over the field  $\kappa = \kappa(y)$ . The multiplicity and the embedded dimension of  $N(y)$  at the origin are same and equal to  $h(y)$ . In fact,  $H(y; n) = h(y)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** The first assertion is obvious by the above discussion. The last two assertions follow from the facts that  $C^n/C^{n+1} \cong \bar{A}/C$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $\bar{A}/C$  is a free  $A/C$ -module by Cor. 2 of Th. 1.

We now give a sufficient condition for  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$  to be Cohen-Macaulay schemes.

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $X$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme, then so are  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\tau$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  are flat, the assertion follows from Cor. 1 of Th. 3, the above Prop. 5 and (21. C) in [14].

We refer the definition of seminormality and one of glueings to [4], [20] and [23]. Then we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *For any point  $y$  of  $Y$ ,  $N(y)_{\text{red}}$  is a seminormal curve with an isolated singularity and its normalization is  $\bar{N}(y)_{\text{red}}$ .*

**PROOF.** By the beginning of this section, we know that  $\kappa \otimes gr_C(A) \subset \kappa \otimes gr_C(\bar{A})$ . Hence we have  $(\kappa \otimes gr_C(A))_{\text{red}} \subset (\kappa \otimes gr_C(\bar{A}))_{\text{red}}$ . On the other hand, the last ring is  $\bar{K}[U]$  where  $\bar{K} = K_{\text{red}} = \bar{A}/J(\bar{A})$  and  $J(\bar{A})$  is the Jacobson radical of  $\bar{A}$ . Therefore  $(\kappa \otimes gr_C(A))_{\text{red}} = \kappa \oplus U\bar{K}[U]$ . Since  $\bar{K}$  is a finite product of fields,  $\bar{K}[U]$  is a normal ring. Hence the last assertion is obvious by the above discussion. On the other hand, the conductor of  $\kappa \oplus U\bar{K}[U]$  in  $\bar{K}[U]$  is  $U\bar{K}[U]$ .

Therefore it is a radical ideal of  $\bar{K}[U]$  and is the homogeneous maximal ideal of  $\kappa \oplus U\bar{K}[U]$ . Hence the first assertion follows from Corollary 2.7 of Theorem 2.6 in [4].

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $X$  is an algebraic scheme defined over an algebraically closed field  $\kappa$  and  $y$  is any closed point of  $Y$ , then  $\bar{N}(y)_{\text{red}}$  is a disjoint union of affine lines and  $N(y)_{\text{red}}$  is the curve which is obtained by glueing the origins of the above lines.*

**PROOF.** Under the same notations as in the proof of the above theorem,  $\bar{K}$  is the  $s$  times product of  $\kappa$  for some positive integer  $s$  because  $\bar{K}$  is a reduced artinian ring which is finite over  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed field by our assumption. Therefore the first assertion is trivial. Since  $\kappa \oplus U\bar{K}[U]$  is isomorphic to  $\kappa[U_1, \dots, U_s]/(U_i U_j \mid i \neq j)$  where  $U_1, \dots, U_s$  are indeterminates (cf. Corollary 3 of Theorem 1 in [3]), we can prove the second assertion.

We now give a result on the number of branch points of  $X$  at any closed point  $y$  of  $Y$  under suitable conditions.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Under the same assumptions as the above corollary, if  $\bar{X}$  is unramified over  $X$ , then  $N(y)$  is a seminormal curve and its normalization is  $\bar{N}(y)$ . Moreover the number of branch points of  $X$  at  $y$  is equal to  $h(y)$  and  $h(y_1) = h(y_2)$  for any two points  $y_1, y_2$  if they are contained in a same connected component of  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Under the same notations as in the beginning of this section,  $K = K_{\text{red}}$  because  $\bar{X}$  is unramified over  $X$ . Hence we conclude that  $\bar{N}(y) = \text{Spec}(K[U])$  is reduced. Therefore  $N(y) = N(y)_{\text{red}}$ . Hence the former assertion follows from the above corollary. The latter one follows from the fact that  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cong \text{Proj}((\bar{\pi}\bar{\tau})_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{N}})(y))$  by Prop. 3, Cor. 1 of Th. 1 and from (i) of Prop. 2, Prop. 5 and Cor. 1 of Th. 5.

**§ 5. A property for schemes to be Gorenstein**

For any noetherian scheme  $S$ , we define the following condition for any non-negative integer  $n$ .

$(G_n)$ : Let  $s$  be any point of  $S$ . If  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{S,s}) \leq n$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$  is a Gorenstein ring.

We shall show the following:

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $y$  be the generic point of an irreducible component of  $Y$ . If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$ , then the multiplicity of  $X$  at  $y$  is equal to 2 and  $h(y) = 2$ .*

PROOF. Under the same notations as in § 3,  $\bar{A}/C$  is flat over  $A/C$  by Th. 1. Let  $e$  be the multiplicity of  $A$ . Then

$$e = \dim_{\kappa}(K) = \dim_{\kappa}(\kappa \otimes \bar{A}/C) = \text{rank}_{A/C}(\bar{A}/C),$$

$$\text{length}_{A/C}(\bar{A}/C) = \text{rank}_{A/C}(\bar{A}/C) \text{length}_{A/C}(A/C).$$

Since  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ ,  $A$  is a Gorenstein ring of dimension 1 by our assumption. Hence  $\text{length}_{A/C}(\bar{A}/C) = 2 \text{length}_{A/C}(A/C)$  (cf. Korollar 3.5 von Satz 3.3 in [10]). Therefore  $\text{rank}_{A/C}(\bar{A}/C) = 2$ . Hence  $e = 2$ . On the other hand,  $h(y) = H(y; 1) = \dim_{\kappa}(\kappa \otimes C/C^2)$  and  $C/C^2 \cong \bar{A}/C$  by Th. 1. Therefore  $h(y) = e = 2$ .

PROPOSITION 7. *If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$ , then  $N(y)$  is a Gorenstein affine plane curve defined over the field  $\kappa(y)$  for any point  $y$  of  $Y$  and the multiplicity at the origin is equal to 2. Moreover  $N(y)$  is a complete intersection in the affine plane over  $\text{Spec}(\kappa(y))$ .*

PROOF. Since  $h(y) = 2$  by (i) of Prop. 2 and the above proposition, we have  $\kappa(y) \otimes_{gr_C(A)} \cong \kappa(y)[U_1, U_2]/(f)$  where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are indeterminates and  $f$  is a form of degree 2. Therefore the assertions are obvious.

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $X$  is an algebraic scheme defined over an algebraically closed field. If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$  and  $\bar{X}$  is unramified over  $X$ , then the number of branch points of  $X$  at any closed point of  $Y$  is equal to 2.*

The assertion is obvious and we omit the proof.

COROLLARY 2. *If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$ , then  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})/\mathcal{O}_X$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of rank 1.*

PROOF. Since the rank of  $\bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})$  at any point  $y$  of  $Y$  is equal to  $h(y)$  by Cor. 2 of Th. 1, it is equal to 2 by the proof of the above proposition. Therefore the assertion follows from Prop. 4 and from the following exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}) \longrightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})/\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

In connection with canonical modules, we shall study the  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})/\mathcal{O}_X$ . Now for any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we denote the dual module  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathcal{M}^*$ . Then we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 8. *There exist canonical isomorphisms from  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$  to  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and from  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})/\mathcal{O}_X$  to  $\mathcal{E}^1_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}), \mathcal{O}_X)$  where  $i$  is the canonical injection from  $Y$  to  $X$ .*

PROOF. Consider the following exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y), \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0 \cdots (*)$$

because  $i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)^* = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X^*$ , we consider  $\mathcal{O}_X$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  by means of scalar multiplications. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{E}$  is also a sheaf of ideals of  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ , we may naturally consider  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  by the same method. We now show that  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)_y = \mathcal{E}_y^*$  for any point  $y$  of  $X$ . We may assume that  $y$  is a point of  $Y$ . Under the same notations as in § 3,  $\mathcal{E}_y^* = \text{Hom}_A(C, A) = A :_Q C$  where  $Q$  is the full ring of quotients of  $A$  (cf. Lemma 2.1 in [10]). By Cor. 2 of Th. 1, we may put  $C = c\bar{A}$  for some  $\bar{A}$ -regular element  $c$  of  $C$ . Then we have  $A :_Q C = A :_Q c\bar{A} = 1/c(A :_Q \bar{A})$  in  $Q$ . Since  $A :_Q \bar{A} \subset A$  because  $\bar{A}$  has the unity, we have  $A :_Q \bar{A} = A :_A \bar{A} = C$  by the definition of the conductor. Therefore  $A :_Q C = 1/c(C) = 1/c(c\bar{A}) = \bar{A}$ . Hence we prove the first assertion. The second one follows from the first one and from the exact sequence (\*).

From now on we put  $\Omega = \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y), \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Then we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$ , then  $\Omega$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of rank 1.*

**PROOF.** The assertion follows from Cor. 2 of Prop. 7 and the above proposition.

**PROPOSITION 9.** *Suppose that  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$ . Then  $N$  satisfies the condition  $(G_n)$  if and only if so does  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\tau$  is flat and surjective, the assertion follows from Prop. 7 and Theorem 1' in [21].

For any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)}(\mathcal{M}, i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)^*) \cong \mathcal{M}^*.$$

Hence we have a spectral sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)}^p(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^q(i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y), \mathcal{O}_X)) \implies \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{p+q}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cdots (*)$$

Then we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *If  $X$  is a Gorenstein scheme, then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)}^p(\mathcal{M}, \Omega) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{p+1}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

for any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ .

PROOF. Since  $X$  is a Gorenstein scheme and therefore it is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme,  $Y$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme of dimension  $\dim(X) - 1$  by Cor. 1 of Th. 3. Hence we have  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^q(i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y), \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  if  $q \neq 1$  by the duality theorem for Gorenstein schemes (cf. Theorem 6.3 in [8]). Therefore the above spectral sequence  $(*)$  is degenerate. Hence we conclude the assertion.

Let  $y$  be any point of  $Y$ . Under the same notations as in § 3, put  $d = \dim(A)$  and let  $I$  be an injective hull of  $\kappa$  as an  $A$ -module. Then  $\bar{I}$  is an injective hull of  $\kappa$  as an  $A/C$ -module where  $\bar{I} = \text{Hom}_A(A/C, I)$ . For any  $A$ -module  $M$ , we denote  $\text{Hom}_A(M, I)$  by  $D(M)$ . If  $M$  is an  $A/C$ -module, then we have  $D(M) \cong \text{Hom}_{A/C}(M, \bar{I})$ . For any finitely generated  $A/C$ -module  $M$ , we know that  $H_{m/C}^n(M) \cong H_m^n(M)$  for any non negative integer  $n$ . If  $X$  is a Gorenstein scheme,  $\Omega_Y \cong A/C$  by Cor. 2 of Prop. 7. Hence for any finitely generated  $A/C$ -module  $M$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{A/C}^p(M, A/C) \cong \text{Ext}_A^{p+1}(M, A)$  by the above proposition. On the other hand,  $D(\text{Ext}_A^{p+1}(M, A)) \cong H_m^{d-1-p}(M) \cong H_{m/C}^{d-1-p}(M)$  by the duality theorem for Gorenstein rings. Therefore we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $X$  is a Gorenstein scheme, then so are  $Y$  and  $N$ .*

PROOF. Under the same notations as in above discussion,  $A/C$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension  $d - 1$  by Cor. 1 of Th. 3. Since  $\text{Hom}_{A/C}(\text{Ext}_{A/C}^p(M, A/C), \bar{I}) \cong D(\text{Ext}_A^{p+1}(M, A)) \cong H_{m/C}^{d-1-p}(M)$  by the above discussion,  $A/C$  is a canonical module of  $A/C$ . Hence  $A/C$  is Gorenstein. This shows that  $Y$  is a Gorenstein scheme. Hence  $N$  is a Gorenstein scheme by Prop. 9.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_n)$ , then  $Y$  satisfies the condition  $(G_{n-1})$  and hence so does  $N$ .*

PROOF. The assertion follows from Th. 3, Prop. 9 and the above theorem.

We now study the property for  $\bar{X}$  to be Gorenstein. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is an invertible sheaf of ideals of  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$ , for any point  $y$  of  $Y$ ,  $\bar{X}$  is Gorenstein along  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  if and only if  $\bar{Y}$  is so along  $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(y)$  (cf. Theorem 4.1 in [1] and Theorem 206 in [12]). On the other hand,  $\bar{Y} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\tau_*(\mathcal{O}_N))$  and  $\tau_*(\mathcal{O}_N)$  is generated by  $\tau_*(\mathcal{O}_N)_1$  over  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ , which is a subsheaf of  $\tau_*(\mathcal{O}_N)$  of degree 1. Now we consider  $Y$  as the vertex of  $N$  and let  $\lambda$  be a canonical morphism from  $N - Y$  to  $\bar{Y}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a smooth and surjective morphism by (2.2.1) in [5]. Therefore we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(G_n)$ , then so does  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  satisfies the condition  $(G_{n-1})$ .*

PROOF. The assertion follows from Cor. of Th. 6, Theorem 1' in [21] and the above discussion.

COROLLARY. *If  $X$  is a Gorenstein scheme, then so are  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$ .*

The assertion is obvious by the above theorem and we omit the proof.

### §6. Examples

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  be a reduced noetherian local ring of dimension 1 and let  $\bar{R}$  be the normalization of  $R$ . Suppose that  $R$  is not normal and  $\bar{R}$  is a finite extension of  $R$ . Then the conductor, say  $C$ , of  $R$  in  $\bar{R}$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Since  $\bar{R}$  is a principal ideal ring,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is normally flat along  $\text{Spec}(R/C)$  if and only if  $\bar{R}/C$  is a flat  $R/C$ -algebra by Cor. 2 of Th. 1. If  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring and  $\bar{R}/C$  is flat over  $R/C$ , then the multiplicity of  $R$  is equal to 2 by Prop. 6.

We refer the definition of the first neighbourhood to [13]. Then we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 11. *If  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring and  $\bar{R}/C$  is flat over  $R/C$ , then the first neighbourhood of  $R$  is  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1}$ .*

PROOF. The assertion follows from Theorem 12.17, Theorem 13.3 in [13] and Prop. 6.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let  $\kappa$  be a field and let  $U$  be an indeterminate. Put  $R = \kappa[U^n, U^{n+2p-1}]_{(U^n, U^{n+2p-1})}$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then  $\bar{R}/C$  is flat over  $R/C$  if and only if  $n=2$ .*

PROOF. Since  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring, the "only if" part is obvious by Prop. 6. We now show the "if" part. Since the conductor  $C$  of  $R = \kappa[U^2, U^{2p+1}]_{(U^2, U^{2p+1})}$  is  $(U^{2p}, U^{2p+1})R$  and the normalization  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  is  $\kappa[U]_{(U)}$ , we have  $C = (U^{2p})\bar{R}$ . Therefore we have  $R/C = \kappa[U^2]/(U^{2p})\kappa[U^2]$  and  $\bar{R}/C = \kappa[U]/(U^{2p})\kappa[U]$ . Hence  $\bar{R}/C = R/C \oplus \bar{U}R/C$  where  $\bar{U}$  is the image of  $U$  in  $\bar{R}/C$ . Our assertion follows from the above fact.

In case that the conductor  $C$  is the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is trivially normally flat along  $\text{Spec}(R/C)$ . In the above proposition, this is the only one case of  $p=1$ . But all singularities of curves in the above proposition are cuspidal. In connection with ordinary multifold points, we give the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 13. *Under the same notations as in § 2,*

- (i) *if  $X$  is a seminormal curve which is not normal, then  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$  and  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$ . More generally,*
- (ii) *if  $X$  is a seminormal scheme which is not normal and satisfies the Serre's*

condition  $(S_2)$ , then  $Y$  is of pure codimension 1 in  $X$  and there exists an open subset  $W$  of  $X$  such that  $W \cap Y$  is dense in  $Y$  and  $W$  is normally flat along  $W \cap Y$ .

PROOF. The assertions follow from Theorem 1 in [3], Corollary 2.7 of Theorem 2.6 in [4] and Corollary of Theorem 1 (p. 189) in [11].

We now consider the following seminormal curve (cf. Corollary 3 of Theorem 1 in [2]).

$$X = \text{Spec}(\kappa[U_1, \dots, U_n]/(U_i U_j \mid i \neq j)) \quad \text{with } n \geq 3$$

where  $\kappa$  is a field and  $U_i$ 's are indeterminates. Under the same notations as in § 2,  $X$  is normally flat along  $Y$  by the above proposition. Although  $\bar{X}$  is a regular scheme,  $X$  is not a Gorenstien scheme by Prop. 6 because the multiplicity of  $X$  at the origin is equal to  $n > 2$ . Therefore the converse of corollary of Th. 7 is false.

### Appendix

In connection with the notion of normal flatness, L. Robbiano and G. Valla defined the concept of normal torsion-freeness in their joint work [19]. Let  $S$  be a noetherian scheme and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . Under the same notations as in § 1, we give the definition of normal torsion-freeness.

DEFINITION. Let  $T$  be the closed subscheme of  $S$  defined by  $\mathcal{I}$ . We say that  $S$  is *normally torsion-free* along  $T$  if  $\mathcal{I}^n/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$  is a torsion-free  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -module for any natural number  $n$ .

We now give a sufficient condition that the blowing up of a normal scheme is also normal.

PROPOSITION. Under the same notations as in § 1, let  $S$  be normal and  $\mathcal{I}$  be divisorial, that is to say,  $\mathcal{I}_s$  be a divisorial ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$  for any point  $s$  of  $S$ . If  $S$  is normally torsion-free along  $T$ , then we have

- (i)  $\mathcal{I}^n$  is divisorial for any  $n$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$  is a normal  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra.

In particular, the blowing up of  $S$  along the center  $T$  is a normal scheme.

PROOF. We may assume that  $S$  is a normal integral affine scheme. Put  $B = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and  $I = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{I})$ . Then we have  $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O}_S)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n$  where  $I^0 = B$ . Since  $I$  is a divisorial ideal of  $B$ , we have  $\text{Ass}_B(B/I) \subset \text{Ht}_1(B)$  where  $\text{Ht}_1(B)$  is the set of prime ideals of  $B$  of height 1. On the other hand, for any element  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\text{Ass}_B(I^n/I^{n+1})$  there exists an element  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\text{Ass}_B(B/I)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  because  $I^n/I^{n+1}$  is a torsion-free  $B/I$ -module by our assumption. Since  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ht}_1(B)$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$ .

Hence we have  $\text{Ass}_B(I^n/I^{n+1}) \subset \text{Ass}_B(B/I) \subset \text{Ht}_1(B)$ . Therefore under the notations and terminologies in [16] and [17], we have  $(I^n/I^{n+1})^\sim = 0$  and hence  $I^n/I^{n+1}$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module, that is,  $I^{n+1}$  is divisorial in  $I^n$ . Since  $I$  is divisorial, it follows from Corollary 1 of Proposition 12 in [16] that  $I^n$  is divisorial by induction on  $n$ . Therefore  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module by Proposition 34 in [17]. This fact implies that  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$  by (i) of Theorem 4 in [16] and Corollary 3 of Proposition 34 in [17] where  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs over the set  $\text{Ht}_1(B)$ . Since  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a principal valuation ring for any element  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\text{Ht}_1(B)$ ,  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring of one variable over  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and hence it is normal. Therefore  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n$  is a normal ring. The last assertion is obvious.

### References

- [1] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Zeit.* **82** (1963), 8–28.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Algèbre commutative, Chapitres 1 et 2*, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [3] E. Davis, On the geometric interpretation of seminormality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1978), 1–5.
- [4] S. Greco and C. Traverso, On seminormal schemes, *Compositio Math.* **40** (1980), 325–365.
- [5] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, E. G. A. II, *Publ. Math. I. H. E. S.*, N°8 (1961).
- [6] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, E. G. A. III, *Publ. Math. I. H. E. S.*, N°11 (1961).
- [7] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, E. G. A. IV, *Publ. Math. I. H. E. S.*, N°24 (1965).
- [8] A. Grothendieck, *Local Cohomology*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 41, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [9] M. Herrmann, R. Schmidt and W. Vogel, *Theorie der normalen Flachheit*, TEUBNER-TEXTE zur Mathematik, 1977.
- [10] J. Herzog and E. Kunz, *Der Kanonische Modul eines Cohen-Macaulay-Rings*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 238, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [11] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, *Ann. of Math.* **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [12] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, The Univ. of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [13] E. Matlis, *1-Dimensional Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 327, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [14] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra (Second Edition)*, Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [15] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience Publ., 13, 1960.
- [16] M. Nishi and M. Shinagawa, Codivisorial and divisorial modules over completely integrally closed domains (I), *Hiroshima Math. J.* **5** (1975), 269–292.
- [17] M. Nishi and M. Shinagawa, Codivisorial and divisorial modules over completely integrally closed domains (II), *Hiroshima Math. J.* **5** (1975), 461–471.
- [18] D. G. Northcott, *Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multiplicities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1968.
- [19] L. Robbiano and G. Valla, On Normal flatness and normal torsion-freeness, *J. Algebra* **43** (1976), 552–560.
- [20] C. Traverso, Seminormality and Picard group, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa* **24** (1970), 585–595.

- [21] K. Watanabe, T. Ishikawa, S. Tachibana and K. Otsuka, On tensor products Gorenstein rings, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **9** (1969), 413–423.
- [22] P. H. Wilson, On the blowing up conductor ideals, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **83** (1978), 445–450.
- [23] H. Yanagihara, On glueings of prime ideals, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **10** (1980), 351–363.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Fukuoka University of Education*