

On a differential equation in the theory of pseudo-holomorphic functions

By Karl Wilhelm BAUER

(Received Nov. 22, 1976)

(Revised July 26, 1977)

In connection with the representation of pseudo-holomorphic functions of several complex variables A. Koochra [3] obtained the differential equation

$$(1) \quad G_{\bar{t}} = \frac{\bar{K}K_{\bar{t}}}{1-K\bar{K}} G - \frac{\bar{K}_t}{1-K\bar{K}} \bar{G}$$

and asked the following question: Is there a nonconstant function $K(t, \bar{t})$ such that equation (1) is reduced to a differential equation of type

$$(2) \quad v_{\bar{t}} = c\bar{v}$$

where

$$(3) \quad m^2(\log c)_{t\bar{t}} = c\bar{c}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N}?$$

(We denote by $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{R})$ the set of natural (real) numbers and use $\mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$. The set of integers is denoted by \mathbf{Z}). For the differential equation (2) an explicit representation of the solutions was derived in [1] by means of differential operators.

In the present paper we prove that such functions $K(t, \bar{t})$ exist and give two examples.

The differential equation $G_{\bar{t}} = aG + b\bar{G}$ is transformed by $G = e^A v$, $A_{\bar{t}} = a$, into a differential equation of type (2) where $c = be^{\bar{A}-A}$. From (3) it follows that we can apply the results derived in [1] if the coefficients a and b satisfy the relation

$$m^2[(\log b)_{t\bar{t}} + \bar{a}_t - a_{\bar{t}}] = b\bar{b}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Therefore we obtain a representation of the solutions of (1) by differential operators if $K(t, \bar{t})$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(4) \quad m^2(1-K\bar{K})^2(\log \bar{K})_{t\bar{t}} = K_t\bar{K}_{\bar{t}} - m^2[2K\bar{K}_{t\bar{t}}(1-K\bar{K}) + 2K^2\bar{K}\bar{K}_{t\bar{t}} \\ + K_t\bar{K}_{\bar{t}} + K_{\bar{t}}\bar{K}_t].$$

A general representation theorem for the solutions of this nonlinear third-order differential equation is not known. However, it is possible to obtain some par-

ticular solutions of (4).

If we suppose that $K(t, \bar{t})$ is a real-valued function, then also a_t is real-valued, and equation (4) reduces to

$$m^2(\log b)_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = b\bar{b}, \quad b = \frac{K_{\bar{t}}}{K^2 - 1}.$$

Applying [1], Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\frac{K_{\bar{t}}}{K^2 - 1} = \frac{m\bar{\alpha}'\beta}{(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\bar{\beta}}$$

where $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are holomorphic functions with $(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\alpha'\beta \neq 0$. By differentiation with respect to t and multiplication by $-(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})^2\beta\bar{\beta}(m\alpha'\bar{\alpha}')^{-1}$ it follows that

$$\phi = \beta^2 - \frac{\beta\beta'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})}{\alpha'}$$

is a real-valued function. From this and $\phi_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = \bar{\phi}_{t\bar{t}}$ we find

$$\beta^2 = a\alpha^2 + ib\alpha + c, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0).$$

By integration and suitable normalization we find the following results.

Case 1: $a = b = 0, c \neq 0$.

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= \varepsilon_1^{1/2}, \quad \varepsilon_1 = \pm 1, \\ K_1 &= \varepsilon_1 \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2 \eta^{2m}}{1 - \varepsilon_2 \eta^{2m}}, \quad \eta = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1, \quad 1 - \varepsilon_2 \eta^{2m} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $a = 0, b \neq 0$.

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= (\varepsilon_1 i \alpha)^{1/2}, \quad \varepsilon_1 = \pm 1, \\ K_2 &= \varepsilon_1 \frac{1 + C_1 \rho^{2m}}{1 - C_1 \rho^{2m}}, \quad C_1 \in \mathbf{R}, \quad C_1 \neq 0, \\ \rho &= \frac{i(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) - 2(i\alpha)^{1/2}(\bar{i}\alpha)^{1/2}}{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}, \quad (1 - C_1 \rho^{2m})\rho \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $a \neq 0$.

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= [\varepsilon_1(\alpha^2 + C_2)]^{1/2}, \quad \varepsilon_1 = \pm 1, \quad C_2 \in \mathbf{R}, \\ K_3 &= \varepsilon_1 \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2 \sigma^{2m}}{1 - \varepsilon_2 \sigma^{2m}}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1, \\ \sigma &= \frac{\alpha\bar{\alpha} - C_2 + (\alpha^2 + C_2)^{1/2}(\bar{\alpha}^2 + C_2)^{1/2}}{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}, \quad (1 - \varepsilon_2 \sigma^{2m})\sigma \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying [1], Theorem 4, we obtain

THEOREM 1. Let D be a simply connected domain of the complex plane. $\alpha(t)$ denotes a holomorphic function in D with $(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\alpha' \neq 0$.

a) The real-valued and real-analytic functions K satisfying the differential equation (4) in D are given by $K = K_s(t, \bar{t})$, $s = 1, 2, 3$, where K_s are defined by (5)–(7).

b) Let G_s be a solution of (1) with $K = K_s$, $s = 1, 2, 3$, defined in D . Then there exists a function $f(t)$ holomorphic in D so that we can represent the solution G_s by

$$(8) \quad G_s = H_s Q_m f$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \varepsilon_1^{1/2} \frac{1 - \varepsilon_2 \eta^{2m}}{\eta^m}, \\ H_2 &= (\varepsilon_1 i \alpha)^{1/2} \frac{1 - C_1 \rho^{2m}}{\rho^m}, \\ H_3 &= [\varepsilon_1 (\alpha^2 + C_2)]^{1/2} \frac{1 - \varepsilon_2 \sigma^{2m}}{\sigma^m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_m f = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-1)^{m-k} (2m-1-k)!}{k! (m-k)! \eta^{m-k}} [m R^k f - (m-k) \overline{R^k f}], \quad R = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

c) Conversely, (8) represents a solution of (1) with $K = K_s$, $s = 1, 2, 3$, defined in D for each function $f(t)$ holomorphic in D .

d) The particular solutions G_{s1} , G_{s2} , $s = 1, 2, 3$, where

$$\begin{cases} G_{11} = \varepsilon_1^{1/2} (1 - \varepsilon_2 \eta^{2m}), \\ G_{12} = \frac{i \varepsilon_1^{1/2} (1 - \varepsilon_2 \eta^{2m})}{\eta^{2m}}, \\ G_{21} = \frac{(\varepsilon_1 i \alpha)^{1/2} (1 - C_1 \rho^{2m}) \eta^m}{\rho^m}, \\ G_{22} = \frac{i (\varepsilon_1 i \alpha)^{1/2} (1 - C_1 \rho^{2m})}{\rho^m \eta^m}, \\ G_{31} = \frac{[\varepsilon_1 (\alpha^2 + C_2)]^{1/2} (1 - \varepsilon_2 \sigma^{2m}) \eta^m}{\sigma^m}, \\ G_{32} = \frac{i [\varepsilon_1 (\alpha^2 + C_2)]^{1/2} (1 - \varepsilon_2 \sigma^{2m})}{\sigma^m \eta^m} \end{cases}$$

form a generating pair for (1) with $K = K_s$ in L . Bers's sense [2].

If K_0 is a solution of (4), then also $K = K_0 e^{i\mu}$, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, is a solution of this

differential equation.

COROLLARY. The solutions G of (1) with $K=K_s e^{i\mu}$, $s=1, 2, 3$, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, defined in D are given by

$$G = e^{-\frac{i\mu}{2}} H_s Q_m f, \quad f(t) \text{ holomorphic in } D.$$

The solutions $K(t, \bar{t})$ of (4) defined in D which form a product of a holomorphic and an antiholomorphic function are given by

$$K = \frac{\gamma^{\lambda+1}}{\bar{\gamma}^\lambda}, \quad \lambda+1 = \pm m,$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is holomorphic in D with $\gamma\gamma'(1-\gamma\bar{\gamma}) \neq 0$.

In case $\lambda = -1$ we obtain $K = \overline{\gamma(t)}$ and $G = f(t)(1-\gamma\bar{\gamma})^{-1}$, $f(t)$ holomorphic in D .

In case $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\lambda \neq -1$, a_t is a real-valued function and we have

$$(\lambda+1)^2 (\log b)_{t\bar{t}} = b\bar{b}.$$

Applying again [1], Theorem 2, we find

$$b = -\frac{(\lambda+1)\bar{\gamma}'\bar{\gamma}^\lambda}{(1-\gamma\bar{\gamma})\gamma^\lambda} = \frac{m\bar{\alpha}'\beta}{(\alpha+\bar{\alpha})\bar{\beta}}.$$

Setting $\gamma = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}$ we obtain

$$b = -\frac{(\lambda+1)\bar{\alpha}'}{\alpha+\bar{\alpha}} \frac{(\alpha-1)^{\lambda+1}}{(\alpha+1)^\lambda} \frac{(\bar{\alpha}+1)^\lambda}{(\bar{\alpha}-1)^{\lambda+1}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{i}{\gamma^\lambda(\gamma-1)} \quad \text{for } m=\lambda+1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{N}_0, \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{\gamma^\lambda(\gamma-1)} \quad \text{for } m=-(\lambda+1), \quad -\lambda \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \lambda \leq -2. \end{aligned}$$

Using [1], Theorem 4, we obtain

THEOREM 2. Let D be a simply connected domain in the complex plane. $\gamma(t)$ denotes a holomorphic function in D with $\gamma(1-\gamma\bar{\gamma})\gamma' \neq 0$.

a) Let G be a solution of (1) with

$$K = \frac{\gamma^{\lambda+1}}{\bar{\gamma}^\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad \text{defined in } D.$$

Then there exists a function $f(t)$ holomorphic in D so that we can represent the solution G by

$$(9) \quad G = \begin{cases} i \left(\frac{1 - \gamma \bar{\gamma}}{\gamma} \right)^\lambda P_{\lambda+1} f & \text{for } \lambda \in N_0, \\ \frac{f(t)}{1 - \gamma \bar{\gamma}} & \text{for } \lambda = -1, \\ \left(\frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma \bar{\gamma}} \right)^{|\lambda|} P_{|\lambda|-1} f & \text{for } -\lambda \in N, \quad \lambda \leq -2, \end{cases}$$

with

$$P_m f = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-1)^{m-k} (2m-1-k)! (\gamma-1)^{m-k-1} (\bar{\gamma}-1)^{m-k}}{k! (m-k)! (1-\gamma\bar{\gamma})^{m-k}} [m S^k f - (m-k) \overline{S^k f}],$$

$$m \in N, \quad S = \frac{(\gamma-1)^2}{\gamma'} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

b) Conversely, (9) represents a solution of (1) with $K = \gamma^{\lambda+1} \bar{\gamma}^{-\lambda}$ defined in D for each function $f(t)$ holomorphic in D .

c) The particular solutions

$$G_1 = \frac{(\gamma-1)^\lambda (\bar{\gamma}-1)^{\lambda+1}}{\gamma^\lambda (1-\gamma\bar{\gamma})}, \quad G_2 = \frac{i(1-\gamma\bar{\gamma})^{2\lambda+1}}{\gamma^\lambda (\gamma-1)^{\lambda+2} (\bar{\gamma}-1)^{\lambda+1}}, \quad \lambda \in Z,$$

form a generating pair for (1) in L. Bers's sense.

References

- [1] K.W. Bauer und St. Ruscheweyh, Ein Darstellungssatz für eine Klasse pseudo-analytischer Funktionen, Ber. d. Gesellsch. f. Datenv., Bonn, **75** (1973), 3-15.
- [2] L. Bers, Theory of Pseudo-analytic Functions, New York Univ., 1953.
- [3] A. Koohara, Representation of pseudo-holomorphic functions of several complex variables, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **27** (1976), 257-277.

Prof. Dr. K. W. BAUER
 Institut für Mathematik I
 Technische Universität Graz
 Kopernikusgasse 24
 A-8010 Graz
 Österreich