

CONVERGENCE CRITERIA FOR FOURIER SERIES

GEN-ICHIRO SUNOUCHI

(Received April 16, 1952)

Let $\varphi(x)$ be even, periodic with period 2π and its Fourier series be

$$\varphi(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nt.$$

Moreover, we shall confine our attention to the convergency of the series at the origin. Concerning of Young's test, there are following tests.

THEOREM I (Young-Pollard [3]). *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^t \varphi(u) du = o(t)$$

and

$$(2) \quad \int_0^t |d(u \varphi(u))| = o(t),$$

then the Fourier series converges to zero at the origin.

THEOREM II (Hardy-Littlewood [2]). *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies*

$$(3) \quad \int_0^t |\varphi(u)| du = o(t/\log 1/t)$$

and

$$(4) \quad \int_0^t |d(u^\Delta \varphi(u))| = O(t)$$

for some $\Delta > 0$, then the Fourier series converges to zero at the origin.

THEOREM III (Sunouchi [4]). *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies*

$$(5) \quad \int_0^t \varphi(u) du = o(t^\Delta)$$

and

$$(6) \quad \int_0^t |d(u^\Delta \varphi(u))| = O(t)$$

for $\Delta > 1$, then the Fourier series converges to zero at the origin.

On the other hand the condition (2) implies Lebesgue's condition. This fact is due to Pollard [3]. The object of this paper is to establish convergence criteria of Lebesgue type which include Theorem II and III.

THEOREM 1. If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies

$$(7) \quad \int_0^t \varphi(u) du = o(t^\Delta)$$

and

$$(8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^\eta \left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} - \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} \right| dt = 0$$

for $\Delta \geq 1$ and some fixed $\eta > 0$, then the series converges. The condition (6) or (2) implies (8).

PROOF. Since the case $\Delta = 1$ is due to Pollard [3], it is sufficient to prove the case $\Delta > 1$. To prove the convergence of the Fourier series is equivalent to prove

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\eta \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt = 0.$$

Let us put

$$\alpha = (\pi k/\omega)^{1/\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(u) du,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\alpha \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt &= \left[\Phi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} \right]_0^\alpha - \int_0^\alpha \Phi(t) \frac{\omega t \cos \omega t - \sin \omega t}{t^2} dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. Then we have

$$|I_1| = o(\alpha^{\Delta-1}) = o\{(k/\omega)^{(\Delta-1)/\Delta}\} = o(1), \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$|I_2| = o\left(\omega \int_0^\alpha t^{\Delta-1} dt\right) = o(\omega \alpha^\Delta) = o\{\omega(k/\omega)^{\Delta/\Delta}\} = o(1), \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} I(\omega) \equiv \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_\alpha^\eta \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt = 0.$$

We can replace the upper limit in the integral $I(\omega)$ by $\eta + \pi/\omega$, and its lower limit by $(k\pi/\omega)^{1/\Delta} + \pi/\omega$, with error $o(1)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, and if we write $t + \pi/\omega$ for t , we obtain

$$I(\omega) = - \int_\alpha^{\eta + \pi/\omega} \frac{\varphi(t + \pi/\omega)}{t + \pi/\omega} \sin \omega t dt + o(1).$$

It follows, adding the two expressions for $I(\omega)$, that

$$I(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\frac{k\pi}{\omega})^{1/\Delta}} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} - \frac{\varphi(t + \pi/\omega)}{t + \pi/\omega} \right\} \sin \omega t \, dt + o(1).$$

From (8), we get $I(\omega) \rightarrow 0$, as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ and the convergency is proved.

To prove that (6) implies (8) for $\Delta > 1$, we shall put $\theta(t) = t^\Delta \varphi(t)$, then

$$\Theta(t) \equiv \int_0^t |d\theta(u)| \leq At, \quad \text{and} \quad |\theta(t)| \leq At$$

by (6). If we write $x = \pi/\omega$, then

$$\frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} - \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \frac{\theta(t+x)}{(t+x)^{\Delta+1}} - \frac{\theta(t)}{t^{\Delta+1}} = \int_t^{t+x} d\left(\frac{\theta(u)}{u^{\Delta+1}}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} - \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \right| &\leq \int_t^{t+x} \left| d\left(\frac{\theta(u)}{u^{\Delta+1}}\right) \right| \leq \int_t^{t+x} \frac{|d\theta(u)|}{u^{\Delta+1}} + (\Delta+1) \int_t^{t+x} \frac{|\theta(u)|}{u^{\Delta+2}} \, du \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta(t+x)}{(t+x)^{\Delta+1}} - \frac{\Theta(t)}{t^{\Delta+1}} + (\Delta+1) \int_t^{t+x} \frac{\Theta(u)}{u^{\Delta+2}} \, du + (\Delta+1) \int_t^{t+x} \frac{|\theta(u)|}{u^{\Delta+2}} \, du \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta(t+x)}{(t+x)^{\Delta+1}} - \frac{\Theta(t)}{t^{\Delta+1}} + \frac{2(\Delta+1)A}{\Delta} \left(\frac{1}{t^\Delta} - \frac{1}{(t+x)^\Delta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \left| \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} - \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \right| dt &\leq \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \left[\frac{\Theta(u)}{u^{\Delta+1}} - \frac{2(\Delta+1)A}{\Delta} \frac{1}{u^\Delta} \right]_t^{t+x} dt \\ &= \int_{\eta}^{\eta+x} - \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{(kx)^{1/\Delta}+x} \left\{ \frac{\Theta(t)}{t^{\Delta+1}} - \frac{c}{t^\Delta} \right\} dt \quad \left(c = \frac{2(\Delta+1)A}{\Delta} \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\eta}^{\eta+x} \frac{\Theta(t)}{t^{\Delta+1}} dt + c \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{(kx)^{1/\Delta}+x} \frac{1}{t^\Delta} dt \\ &\leq A \int_{\eta}^{\eta+x} \frac{1}{t^\Delta} dt + c \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{(kx)^{1/\Delta}+x} \frac{1}{t^\Delta} dt \\ &\leq \frac{A}{\Delta-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta^{\Delta-1}} - \frac{1}{(\eta+x)^{\Delta-1}} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{\Delta-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{((kx)^{1/\Delta}+x)^{\Delta-1}} - \frac{1}{(kx)^{(\Delta-1)/\Delta}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \left| \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} - \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \right| dt \\
 &= o(1) + \frac{c}{\Delta-1} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(kx)^{(\Delta-1)/\Delta}} \right\} \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{1+(kx)^{1/\Delta}} \right\}^{\Delta-1} - 1 \right] \\
 &= o(1) + \frac{c}{\Delta-1} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(kx)^{(\Delta-1)/\Delta}} \right\} \left\{ (1-\Delta) \frac{x}{(kx)^{1/\Delta}} \right\} \\
 &= o(1) - c \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} = o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 2. *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies*

$$(9) \quad \int_0^1 |\varphi(u)| du = o(t/\log 1/t)$$

and

$$(10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} - \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} \right| dt = 0$$

for some $\Delta > 0$, then the series converges. The condition (4) implies (10).

PROOF. When Δ decreases, the condition (10) becomes stronger, and then we can suppose $\Delta \geq 1$. If we can prove

$$(11) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\pi/\omega} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sin \omega t dt = o(1), \quad \int_{\eta}^{\eta+\pi/\omega} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sin \omega t dt = o(1)$$

as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ where $\alpha = (\pi k/\omega)^{1/\Delta}$, then (10) implies

$$(12) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\eta} \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt = o(1),$$

similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1. The second of (11) is evident. The absolute value of the first of (11) is less than

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\pi/\omega} \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{t} dt &= \left[\frac{\Phi^*(t)}{t} \right]_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\pi/\omega} - \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\pi/\omega} \frac{\Phi^*(t)}{t^2} dt \\
 &= o(1) + o\left(\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\pi/\omega} \frac{dt}{t \log 1/t} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi^*(t) = \int_0^t |\varphi(u)| du$. Now

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\pi/\omega} \frac{dt}{t \log 1/t} = \log \log \left(\frac{\pi k}{\omega} \right)^{1/\Delta} - \log \log \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi k}{\omega} \right)^{1/\Delta} + \frac{\pi}{\omega} \right\}$$

which tends to zero as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, for $\Delta \geq 1$. Thus we have proved (11), and then (12).

Hence it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int_0^\alpha \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt = o(1).$$

Since

$$\int_0^{1/\omega} \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt = o(1),$$

it is sufficient to prove

$$(13) \quad \int_{1/\omega}^\alpha \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} dt = o(1).$$

The absolute value of the left hand side is less than

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/\omega}^\alpha \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{t} dt &= \left[\frac{\Phi^*(t)}{t} \right]_{1/\omega}^\alpha + \int_{1/\omega}^\alpha \frac{\Phi^*(t)}{t^2} dt \\ &= o(1) + o\left(\int_{1/\omega}^\alpha \frac{dt}{t \log 1/t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/\omega}^\alpha \frac{dt}{t \log 1/t} &= \left[\log \log \frac{1}{t} \right]_{1/\omega}^\alpha = \log \log \omega - \log \log \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi k} \right)^{1/\Delta} \\ &= -\log \frac{1}{\Delta} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (13) and then the Theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3. *In Theorem 1 or 2, we can take*

$$(11) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^\eta \frac{|\varphi(t+x) - \varphi(t)|}{t} dt = 0$$

in the place of (8) or (10).

PROOF. First we assume (11) and (7). It is sufficient to prove

$$S(\omega) \equiv \int_0^\eta \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sin \omega t dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \infty$$

for a fixed $\eta > 0$. If we put

$$\alpha(\omega, k) \equiv \left\{ \int_0^{(kx)^{1/\Delta}} + 2 \int_0^{(kx)^{1/\Delta}+x} + \int_0^{(kx)^{1/\Delta}+2x} - 2 \int_\eta^{\eta+x} - \int_\eta^{\eta+2x} \right\} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sin \omega t dt$$

then we have

$$\int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^\eta \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sin \omega t dt = - \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^\eta \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t+x} \sin \omega t dt + o(1)$$

by (7).

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned}
 4S(\omega) - \alpha(\omega, k) &= \left\{ \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} + 2 \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta+x}}^{\eta+x} + \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta+2x}}^{\eta+2x} \right\} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sin \omega t \, dt \\
 &= 2x^2 \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \frac{\varphi(t+x)}{t(t+x)(t+2x)} \sin \omega t \, dt \\
 &\quad + \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(t+2x) - \varphi(t+x)}{t+2x} - \frac{\varphi(t+x) - \varphi(t)}{t} \right\} \sin \omega t \, dt \\
 &= 2\beta(\omega, k) + \gamma(\omega, k)
 \end{aligned}$$

say. In the same way as the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\omega, k) = 0,$$

while, since

$$\gamma(\omega, k) = 2 \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \frac{|\varphi(t+x) - \varphi(t)|}{t} \, dt + o(1)$$

we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\omega, k) = 0$$

by (11). On the other hand, since

$$0 < g(x, t) \equiv \frac{x^2}{t(t+x)(t+2x)} < \frac{x^2}{t^3}$$

and

$$0 < -\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} < \frac{11x^2}{t^4}$$

we obtain by partial integration

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta(\omega, k) &= \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} g(x, t) \varphi(t+x) \sin \omega t \, dt \\
 &= \left[\Phi(t+x)g(x, t) \sin \omega t \right]_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} - \omega \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \Phi(t+x)g(x, t) \cos \omega t \, dt \\
 &\quad - \int_{(kx)^{1/\Delta}}^{\eta} \Phi(t+x) \frac{\partial g(x, t)}{\partial t} \sin \omega t \, dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(u) \, du.$$

Consequently we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\omega, k) = 0.$$

The case (9) and (11) can be proved analogously.

REMARK. In the case $\Delta = 1$, Theorem 3 is more general than Theorem 1.

This is due to Gergen [1]. But in the case $\Delta > 1$, the author could not decide the analogous fact.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. GERGEN, Convergence and summability criteria for Fourier series, Quarterly Journ. Math., 1(1930), 252-275.
- [2] G. H. HARDY-J. E. LITTLEWOOD, Some new convergence criteria for Fourier series, Annali di Pisa, 3(1934), 43-62.
- [3] S. POLLARD, Criteria for convergence of a Fourier series, Journ. London Math. Soc., 2(1927), 255-262.
- [4] G. SUNOUCHI, A convergence criterion for Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 3(1951), 216-219.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI.