

## DUAL SPACES OF TENSOR PRODUCTS OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

TAKATERU OKAYASU AND MASAMICHI TAKESAKI

(Received May 70, 1966)

We shall use the notations and the terminologies employed in [9] and suppose that  $C^*$ -algebras in considerations are all separable. In [5], A. Guichardet studied the quasi-dual space of the tensor product  $A_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\alpha A_2$  of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  and showed that there is an almost Borel isomorphism  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  of  $\widetilde{A}_1 \times \widetilde{A}_2$ , the cartesian product of quasi-dual spaces  $\widetilde{A}_1$  of  $A_1$  and  $\widetilde{A}_2$  of  $A_2$ , into the quasi-dual space  $(A_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\alpha A_2)^\sim$  of  $A_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\alpha A_2$ . Also he showed that there is an example in which  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  is not an onto mapping. In this note we shall show that there is a Borel isomorphism  $\widehat{\Pi}_\beta$  of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$ , the cartesian product of dual spaces  $\widehat{A}_1$  of  $A_1$  and  $\widehat{A}_2$  of  $A_2$ , into the dual space  $(A_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\beta A_2)^\wedge$  of each tensor product  $A_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\beta A_2$  of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  with respect to a  $B^*$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$  and that  $\widehat{\Pi}_\beta$  is an *onto* mapping if and only if one of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  is of type I (or equivalently a GCR). Combining this and [9; Cor. of Theorem 3], we shall conclude that the dual space  $(G_1 \times G_2)^\wedge$  of  $G_1 \times G_2$ , the direct product of separable locally compact groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , is Borel-isomorphic to the cartesian product  $\widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2$  of dual spaces  $\widehat{G}_1$  of  $G_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$  of  $G_2$  if and only if one of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is a group of type I.

For  $n=1, 2, \dots, \infty$  (countably infinite), let  $H_n$  be a fixed  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space. We identify the tensor product  $H_n \otimes H_m$  and  $H_{nm}$  under some fixed isomorphism. For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\text{Fac}_n(A)$  and  $\text{Irr}_n(A)$  are the set of all factor representations on  $H_n$  and the set of all irreducible representations on  $H_n$  respectively. Put  $\text{Fac}(A) = \bigcup_{n=1,2,\dots,\infty} \text{Fac}_n(A)$  and  $\text{Irr}(A) = \bigcup_{n=1,2,\dots,\infty} \text{Irr}_n(A)$ . Each  $\text{Fac}_n(A)$  and  $\text{Irr}_n(A)$  have the Borel structure induced by the simple convergence topology respectively. The Borel structures in  $\text{Fac}(A)$  and  $\text{Irr}(A)$  are defined as the unions of Borel spaces  $\text{Fac}_n(A)$  and  $\text{Irr}_n(A)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots, \infty$ , respectively. Of course,  $\text{Irr}(A)$  is a Borel subset of  $\text{Fac}(A)$  by [2]. The quasi-dual space  $\widetilde{A}$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is the quotient Borel space  $\text{Fac}(A)/\approx$  of  $\text{Fac}(A)$  by the quasi-equivalence relation " $\approx$ " and the dual space  $\widehat{A}$  of  $A$

is the quotient Borel space  $\text{Irr}(A)/\simeq$  of  $\text{Irr}(A)$  by the unitary equivalence relation " $\simeq$ ".

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two C\*-algebras. For a B\*-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$  in the \*-algebraic tensor product  $A_1 \odot A_2$  of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ ,  $A_\beta$  denote the completion  $A_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\beta A_2$  of  $A_1 \odot A_2$  under  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ . For a representation  $\pi$  of  $A_\beta$  there exist representations  $\pi^1$  of  $A_1$  and  $\pi^2$  of  $A_2$  on the representation space of  $\pi$  such that

$$\pi^1(x_1)\pi^2(x_2) = \pi^2(x_2)\pi^1(x_1) = \pi(x_1 \otimes x_2) \text{ for } x_1 \in A_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in A_2$$

by [5; Prop.1]. We shall call  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  the restrictions of  $\pi$  to  $A_1$  and to  $A_2$  respectively. For each representations  $\pi_1$  of  $A_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of  $A_2$  the product representation  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  of  $A_1 \odot A_2$  can be extended to a representation of  $A_\beta$ , which is also denoted by  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ . Putting  $\Pi(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ ,  $\Pi$  is a continuous mapping of  $\text{Fac}_n(A) \times \text{Fac}_m(A)$  into  $\text{Fac}_{nm}(A)$  by [5; Lemme 2]. Moreover the relations  $\pi_1 \approx \pi_1'$  and  $\pi_2 \approx \pi_2'$  imply  $\Pi(\pi_1, \pi_2) \approx \Pi(\pi_1', \pi_2')$  and the relations  $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_1'$  and  $\pi_2 \simeq \pi_2'$  imply  $\Pi(\pi_1, \pi_2) \simeq \Pi(\pi_1', \pi_2')$ , so that  $\Pi$  induces naturally a Borel mapping  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  of  $\widetilde{A}_1 \times \widetilde{A}_2$  into  $\widetilde{A}_\beta$  and a Borel mapping  $\widehat{\Pi}$  of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$  into  $\widehat{A}_\beta$ , respectively. If  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  are the restrictions of  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  to  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively then  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  are quasi-equivalent to  $\pi_1$  and to  $\pi_2$  respectively, so that  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  and  $\widehat{\Pi}$  are one-to-one mappings.

LEMMA 1. *A  $\pi$  of  $\text{Irr}(A_\beta)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  for some  $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(A_1)$  and  $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(A_2)$  if and only if one of the restrictions  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  of  $\pi$  is of type I.*

PROOF. Suppose  $\pi \approx \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ ,  $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(A_1)$  and  $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(A_2)$ . The unitary operator, which implements the equivalence between  $\pi$  and  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ , induces the equivalence between the corresponding restrictions of  $\pi$  and of  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  to  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Hence  $\pi^1$  is quasi-equivalent to  $\pi_1$ , so that the irreducibility of  $\pi_1$  implies our assertion. Similarly  $\pi^2$  is of type I.

Conversely suppose  $\pi^1$  is of type I. Let  $M_i$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\pi^i(A_i)$  for  $i=1, 2$ . Then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  commute each other and generate  $B(H_\pi)$ , which is the full operator algebra on the representation space  $H_\pi$  of  $\pi$ .  $M_1'$  contains  $M_2$  and  $M_2'$  contains  $M_1$ , both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are factors. By the assumption for  $\pi^1$ ,  $M_1$  is a factor of type I, so that  $B(H_\pi)$  is isomorphic to  $M_1 \otimes M_1'$  under the natural correspondence  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x_i'$ ,  $x_i \in M_1$ ,  $x_i' \in M_1'$  and  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $M_1 \otimes M_1'$  means the tensor product of  $M_1$  and  $M_1'$  as von Neumann algebras. The von Neumann algebra  $R(M_1, M_2)$  generated by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is isomorphic to  $M_1 \otimes M_2$ , because  $M_2$  is contained in  $M_1'$ . Hence we get  $M_2 = M_1$ , so that  $M_2$  is also a factor of type I and  $\pi \approx \pi^1 \otimes \pi^2$ . Both  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  are factor representations of type I, so that there

exist  $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(A_1)$  and  $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(A_2)$  such that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are quasi-equivalent to  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  respectively. Hence  $\pi$  is quasi-equivalent to  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  by the remark preceding our lemma. The irreducibilities of both  $\pi$  and  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  and their quasi-equivalence imply their unitary equivalence. This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 1.**  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is a Borel isomorphism of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$  into  $\widehat{A}_\beta$  for each  $B^*$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$  in  $A_1 \odot A_2$ .

**PROOF.** We shall prove that  $\widehat{\Pi}(\widehat{E}_1 \times \widehat{E}_2) = \widehat{E}$  is a Borel subset of  $A_\beta$  for every Borel subsets  $\widehat{E}_1$  of  $\widehat{A}_1$  and  $\widehat{E}_2$  of  $\widehat{A}_2$ . Let  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2$  and  $\Theta$  be the canonical mapping of  $\text{Irr}(A_1)$ ,  $\text{Irr}(A_2)$ , and  $\text{Irr}(A_\beta)$  onto  $\widehat{A}_1, \widehat{A}_2$  and  $\widehat{A}_\beta$  respectively, then it suffices to prove that  $\Theta^{-1}(\widehat{E})$  is a Borel subset of  $\text{Irr}(A_\beta)$ . Putting  $E_1 = \Theta_1^{-1}(\widehat{E}_1)$ ,  $E_2 = \Theta_2^{-1}(\widehat{E}_2)$  and  $E = \Theta^{-1}(\widehat{E})$ , we shall prove at first

$$(*) \quad E = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(A_\beta); \pi^1 \approx \pi_1, \pi^2 \approx \pi_2 \text{ for some } (\pi_1, \pi_2) \in E_1 \times E_2\},$$

where  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  mean the restrictions of  $\pi$  to  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively. Let  $F$  be the set of the right side of the above equation. If  $\pi$  belongs to  $E$ , then we have  $\Theta(\pi) = \widehat{\Pi}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  for some  $(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in \widehat{E}_1 \times \widehat{E}_2$ . By the definitions of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  there exists  $(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in E_1 \times E_2$  such that  $\Theta_1(\pi_1) = \pi_1$  and  $\Theta_2(\pi_2) = \pi_2$ . From the commutativity of the diagram of mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Pi \\ \text{Irr}(A_1) \times \text{Irr}(A_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Irr}(A_\beta) \\ \downarrow \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2 & & \downarrow \Theta \\ \widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2 & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Pi}} & \widehat{A}_\beta \end{array}$$

$\pi$  is unitary equivalent to  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ , where  $\Theta_1 \times \Theta_2$  is the mapping defined by  $\Theta_1 \times \Theta_2(\pi_1, \pi_2) = (\Theta_1(\pi_1), \Theta_2(\pi_2))$ . Hence we get  $\pi_1 \approx \pi^1$  and  $\pi_2 \approx \pi^2$ , that is,  $\pi$  belongs to  $F$ . Conversely, suppose  $\pi$  belongs to  $F$ . That is,  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  are quasi-equivalent to  $\pi_1$  of  $E_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of  $E_2$  respectively. The irreducibilities of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  imply that  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  are factor representations of type I. From Lemma 1 and its proof  $\pi$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ , so that we have  $\Theta(\pi) = \widehat{\Pi}(\Theta_1(\pi_1), \Theta_2(\pi_2))$ . Hence we have  $\Theta(\pi) \in \widehat{E}$ . The definition of  $E$  implies  $\pi \in E$ . Thus we established the equation (\*).

Since  $E_1$  is a Borel subset of  $\text{Irr}(A_1)$ ,  $E_2$  a Borel subset of  $\text{Irr}(A_2)$  and these are saturated under the unitary equivalence, the saturations  $E_1'$  of  $E_1$  and  $E_2'$  of  $E_2$  under the quasi-equivalence are Borel subsets of  $\text{Fac}(A_1)$  and  $\text{Fac}(A_2)$  respectively by [2; Lemma 5]. Moreover the mapping  $\Pi'; \text{Fac}(A_\beta) \ni \pi \rightarrow (\pi^1, \pi^2) \in \text{Fac}(A_1) \times \text{Fac}(A_2)$  is a Borel mapping by [5; Lemme 3]. Hence  $E = \Pi'^{-1}(E_1 \times E_2') \cap \text{Irr}(A_\beta)$  is a Borel subset of  $\text{Irr}(A_\beta)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the family consisting of all subsets  $\widehat{E}$  of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$  such that  $\widehat{\Pi}(\widehat{E})$  is a Borel subsets in  $\widehat{A}_\beta$ . Since  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is an one-to-one mapping,  $\widehat{\Pi}$  preserves all set-theoretic operations, union, intersection and difference.  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}$  contains all product sets of Borel subsets of  $\widehat{A}_1$  and  $\widehat{A}_2$  as proved above and the Borel structure of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring containing all product sets of Borel subsets of  $\widehat{A}_1$  and  $\widehat{A}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  contains the Borel structure of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$ . Thus  $\widehat{\Pi}(\widehat{E})$  is a Borel set in  $\widehat{A}_\beta$  for every Borel set  $\widehat{E}$  in  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$ , that is,  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is an into Borel isomorphism. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. *If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are von Neumann algebras whose commutators  $M_1'$  and  $M_2'$  are continuous hyperfinite factors, then there exist normal representations  $\pi_1$  of  $M_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of  $M_2$  on the same Hilbert space such that  $\pi_1(M_1) = \pi_2(M_2)$  and equivalently  $\pi_1(M_1) = \pi_2(M_2)$ .*

PROOF. If  $M_1$  is finite, then it is a continuous hyperfinite factor by [8; Theorem XV]. By the unicity of continuous hyperfinite factors  $M_1$  is isomorphic to  $M_1'$  and also to  $M_2'$ . Hence there exists an isomorphism  $\pi_1$  of  $M_1$  onto  $M_2'$ , so that the couple of  $\pi_1$  and the identity representation  $\pi_2$  of  $M_2$  is the desired one. If  $M_1$  is an infinite factor, there exist a factor of type  $\text{II}_1$  and an infinite factor  $N$  of type I such that  $M_1 = M \otimes N$ . Hence we may assume  $M_1' = M' \otimes \{\lambda 1\}$ , representing  $N$  as the full operator algebra on a Hilbert space. Hence  $M_1$  is isomorphic to  $M$ , so that  $M$  is a continuous hyperfinite factor by the finiteness of  $M$ . Thus  $M$  is isomorphic to  $M_2$ . On the other hand, the ampliation  $M_2 \ni x_2 \rightarrow x_2 \otimes 1 \in M_2 \otimes \{\lambda 1\}$  is an isomorphism and  $(M_2 \otimes \{\lambda 1\})' = M_2 \otimes N \cong M \otimes N = M_1$ . Taking  $\pi_1$  as an isomorphism of  $M_1$  onto  $M_2 \otimes N$ , the couple of the representation  $\pi_1$  of  $M_1$  and the representation  $\pi_2$  of  $M_2$  which is obtained by  $\pi_2(x_2) = x_2 \otimes 1$  for  $x_2 \in M_2$  is the desired one.

THEOREM 2.  *$\widehat{\Pi}$  is a Borel isomorphism of  $\widehat{A}_1 \times \widehat{A}_2$  onto  $\widehat{A}_\nu$  if and only if one of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  is of type I (or equivalently a GCR). In this case the  $\nu$ -norm in  $A_1 \odot A_2$  coincides with the  $\alpha$ -norm.*

PROOF. Suppose that neither  $A_1$  nor  $A_2$  is of type I. By the proof of [3; Theorem 1] there exist representations  $\pi_1$  of  $A_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of  $A_2$  such that the commutators of  $\pi_1(A_1)$  and  $\pi_2(A_2)$  are continuous hyperfinite factors respectively. Then there exist normal representations  $\rho_1$  of the von Neumann algebra  $M_1$  generated by  $\pi_1(A_1)$  and  $\rho_2$  of the von Neumann algebra  $M_2$  generated by  $\pi_2(A_2)$  such that  $\rho_1(M_1)$  and  $\rho_2(M_2)$  are commutators in each other from Lemma 2. We define a representation  $\pi$  of  $A_\nu$  as the extension of the representation

of  $A_1 \hat{\circ} A_2$  defined by

$$\pi \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_{1,k} \otimes x_{2,k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\rho_1 \circ \pi_1)(x_{1,k}) (\rho_2 \circ \pi_2)(x_{2,k}) \quad \text{for } \sum_{k=1}^n x_{1,k} \otimes x_{2,k} \in A_1 \hat{\circ} A_2.$$

Since the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\pi(A_v)$  contains  $\rho_1(M_1)$  and  $\rho_2(M_2)$ ,  $\pi$  becomes an irreducible representation of  $A_v$ . But  $\pi$  can not be represented as a tensor product of representations of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Because if  $\pi$  is represented as  $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  representations of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively, then  $\sigma_1$  is quasi-equivalent to  $\rho_1 \circ \pi_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  to  $\rho_2 \circ \pi_2$ , and then  $\pi$  must be of type II, which is a contradiction to the irreducibility of  $\pi$ . Hence  $\pi$  does not belong to  $\Pi(\text{Irr}(A_1) \times \text{Irr}(A_2))$ . Hence  $\hat{\Pi}$  is not an onto mapping. The converse implication is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1. The final assertion is nothing but [10; Theorem 3]. This completes the proof.

Combining our theorem and [9; Cor. of Theorem 3], we get the following application to the dual space of direct product of locally compact groups.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be separable locally compact groups. The natural mapping  $\hat{\Pi}$  of the cartesian product  $\hat{G}_1 \times \hat{G}_2$  of the dual spaces  $\hat{G}_1$  of  $G_1$  and  $\hat{G}_2$  of  $G_2$  into the dual space  $(G_1 \times G_2)^\wedge$  of the direct product group  $G_1 \times G_2$  is a Borel isomorphism.  $\hat{\Pi}$  maps  $\hat{G}_1 \times \hat{G}_2$  onto  $(G_1 \times G_2)^\wedge$  if and only if one of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is of type I.*

In general, for a locally compact group  $G$ , there is a natural mapping of  $\hat{G}$  onto  $C^*(G)^\wedge$  which is also a Borel isomorphism, the proof is directly followed from Theorem 2 and [9; Cor. of Theorem 3].

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. DIXMIER, Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, Dual et quasi-dual d'une algèbre de Banach involutive, Trans. Amer. Mth. Soc., 104(1962), 278-183.
- [3] J. GLIMM, Type I  $C^*$ -algebras, Ann. Math., 73(1961), 572-613.
- [4] A. GROTHENDIECK, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., 16(1955).
- [5] A. GUICHARDET, Caractères et représentation de produits de  $C^*$ -algèbres, Ann. Éc. Norm Sup., 81(1964), 189-206.
- [6] A. GUICHARDET, Tensor products of  $C^*$ -algebras, Doklady Acad. Sci. USSR, 160(1965); Soviet Math., 6(1965), 210-213.
- [7] G. W. MACKEY, Borel structure of groups and their duals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 85(1957), 134-165.
- [8] F. J. MURRAY AND J. VON NEUMANN, Rings of operators IV, Ann. Math., 44(1943), 716-808.
- [9] T. OKAYASU, On the tensor products of  $C^*$ -algebras, Tôhoku Math. Journ. 18(1966),

- 325-331.
- [10] M. TAKESAKI, On the cross-norm of the direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras, Tôhoku Math. Journ, 16(1964), 111-122.
- [11] T. TURUMARU, On the direct product of operator algebras I, Tôhoku Math. Journ.. 4(1952), 242-251.

TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY.