

ON CONVEXITY THEOREMS FOR RIESZ MEANS

Dedicated to Professor Gen-ichirô Sunouchi on his 60th birthday

HIROSHI SAKATA

(Received Dec. 13, 1971)

1. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ be an infinite series, and let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be positive numbers tending to the infinity. We write $A_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$, and if $x > 0$, $\lambda_n \leq x < \lambda_{n+1}$, then $A_\lambda(x) \equiv A_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n = \sum_{\lambda_i \leq x} a_i$, and for $k > 0$,

$$A_\lambda^k(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{k-1} A_\lambda(t) dt.$$

We define $A_\lambda^0(x) \equiv A_\lambda(x)$, and if $x < \lambda_0$, $A_\lambda^k(x) \equiv 0$ for every $k \geq 0$.

Let us set $b_n = \lambda_n a_n$, $B_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \lambda_\nu a_\nu$, $\lambda_n \leq x < \lambda_{n+1}$,

$$B_\lambda^k(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{k-1} t A_\lambda(t) dt, \quad (k > 0).$$

We then have [2]

$$(1.1) \quad B_\lambda^k(x) = x A_\lambda^k(x) - k A_\lambda^{k+1}(x).$$

If we write $C_\lambda^k(x) = x^{-k} A_\lambda^k(x)$, then $C_\lambda^k(x)$ is called the Riesz mean of order k and type λ , while $A_\lambda^k(x)$ is called the Riesz sum of order k and type λ associated with the series $\sum a_n$.

Since no confusion will arise, we write simply $A^k(x)$ in place of $A_\lambda^k(x)$.

2. The author [6] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Let $V(x)$ and $W(x)$ be positive functions defined for $x > 0$, such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & x^\alpha W(x) \text{ is non-decreasing for some } \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \\ \text{(ii)} & x^\beta V(x) \text{ is non-decreasing for some } \beta, \beta \geq 0, \text{ and} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad W(x)/V(x) = O(x^\delta) \quad (\delta > 0) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$(2.3) \quad A^\delta(x) = o[W(x)]$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad A(x) = O[V(x)]$$

together imply, for any γ such that $0 < \gamma < \delta$,

$$(2.5) \quad A^\gamma(x) = o[(V(x))^{1-\gamma/\delta} (W(x))^{\gamma/\delta}] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the case $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0$, Theorem A is reduced to M. Riesz's convexity theorem [5]. The following Theorem I shows an order-relation for $A^\gamma(x)$ with a hypothesis being different from $A(x)$ in Theorem A. The theorem is an extension of Theorem 3 in L. S. Bosanquet's paper [I], though the conditions are not exactly the same.

THEOREM I. *Let $V(x)$ and $W(x)$ be positive functions defined for $x > 0$, such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & x^\alpha W(x) \text{ is non-decreasing for some } \alpha, -1 < \alpha < 1, \\ \text{(ii)} & x^\beta V(x) \text{ is non-decreasing for some } \beta, \text{ and} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7) \quad W(x)/V(x) = O(x^{\delta+\eta}), \quad (\delta > 0, \eta > 0).$$

Then

$$(2.8) \quad A(x) - A(x-t) = O[t^\eta V(x)], \quad (\eta > 0) \quad 0 < t = O\{(W(x)/V(x))^{1/(\delta+\eta)}\},$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad A^\delta(x) = o[W(x)], \quad \delta > 0$$

together imply, for any γ such that $0 < \gamma < \delta$,

$$(2.10) \quad A^\gamma(x) = o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)} (W(x))^{(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

$V(x)$ and $W(x)$ above mentioned are quasi-monotonic functions for $\beta > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

We shall prove this theorem in section 4.

We have also a one-sided convexity theorem, as follows.

THEOREM II. *Let $V(x)$ and $W(x)$ be positive functions defined for $x > 0$. If (2.6) and (2.7) hold, and*

$$(2.11) \quad A(x) - A(x-t) > -Kt^\eta V(x), \quad (\eta > 0)$$

where $0 < t = O\{(W(x)/V(x))^{1/(\delta+\eta)}\}$, and

$$(2.12) \quad A^\delta(x) = o[W(x)], \quad (\delta > 0)$$

where $W(x')/W(x) < H$ for $0 < x' - x = O\{(W(x)/V(x))^{1/(\delta+\eta)}\}$, then we have, for $0 < \gamma < \delta$,

$$(2.13) \quad A^\gamma(x) = o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)} (W(x))^{(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall prove this theorem in section 5.

3. Lemmas. The following lemmas are required for the proof of the above theorems:

LEMMA A. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a positive, non-decreasing function of $x > 0$, and let $0 < \xi < x$, $0 < l < 1$, $k \geq 0$. Then $A^{k+l}(x) = o[\varphi(x)]$ implies,

$$(3.1) \quad g(\xi, x) = \frac{\Gamma(k+l+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(l)} \int_0^\xi (x-t)^{l-1} A^k(t) dt = o[\varphi(x)].$$

This is given in [2].

LEMMA B. If $k > 0$, $l > 0$, then

$$(3.2) \quad A^{k+l}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(k+l+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(l)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{l-1} A^k(t) dt.$$

This is given in [4].

LEMMA C. If $\zeta > 0$, m is a positive integer, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta^{m+\beta} A^\gamma(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+m+1)} \Delta_{-\zeta}^{m+\beta} A^{\gamma+m}(x) \\ &\quad - \Delta_{-\zeta}^\beta \left[\int_x^{x+\zeta} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_1+\zeta} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_{m-1}+\zeta} [A^\gamma(t_m) - A^\gamma(x)] dt_m, \right. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta^{m+\beta} A^\gamma(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+m+1)} \Delta_{-\zeta}^{m+\beta} A^{\gamma+m}(x) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{-\zeta}^\beta \left[\int_{x-\zeta}^x dt_1 \int_{t_1-\zeta}^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{m-1}-\zeta}^{t_{m-1}} [A^\gamma(x) - A^\gamma(t_m)] dt_m. \right] \end{aligned}$$

See [2] for finite differences.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.

(1) Proof for the case: $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ and $\beta \leq 0$. Let us put, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\zeta = [\varepsilon W(x)/V(x)]^{1/(\delta+\eta)}$.

Then we have some ε such that $x - (p+1)\zeta > 0$ by (2.7), and let $\delta = p + a$, where $0 < a < 1$ and p is a non-negative integer. Then we have, by (3.4)

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta^{p+a} A(x) &= \frac{\Delta_{-\zeta}^{p+a} A^p(x)}{\Gamma(p+1)} + \Delta_{-\zeta}^a \left[\int_{x-\zeta}^x dt_1 \int_{t_1-\zeta}^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}-\zeta}^{t_{p-1}} \{A(x) - A(t)\} dt_p \right] \\ &= J_1 + J_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

By (2.9) and Lemma A, we have

$$(4.2) \quad J_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p+1)} \Delta_{-\zeta}^{p+a} A^p(x) = \frac{a}{\Gamma(p+1)} \int_{x-\zeta}^x (x-t)^{a-1} \Delta_{-\zeta}^p A^p(t) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{a}{\Gamma(p+1)} \int_{x-\zeta}^x (x-t)^{a-1} \sum_{m=0}^p (-1)^m \binom{p}{m} A^p(t-m\zeta) dt \\
&= \frac{a}{\Gamma(p+1)} \sum_{m=0}^p (-1)^m \binom{p}{m} \left\{ \int_0^{x-m\zeta} (x-m\zeta-u)^{a-1} A^p(u) du \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{x-(m+1)\zeta} (x-m\zeta-u)^{a-1} A^p(u) du \right\} \\
&= o[W(x)] \text{ for sufficiently large } x.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.8) and (2.6) (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad J_2 &= \Delta_{-\zeta}^a \left[\int_{x-\zeta}^x dt_1 \int_{t_1-\zeta}^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}-\zeta}^{t_{p-1}} \{A(x) - A(t_p)\} dt_p \right] \\
&= \Delta_{-\zeta}^a \left[\int_0^\zeta dt_1 \int_0^\zeta dt_2 \cdots \int_0^\zeta \{A(x) - A(x-t_1-t_2-\cdots-t_p)\} dt_p \right] \\
&= a \int_{x-\zeta}^x (x-u)^{a-1} \left[\int_0^\zeta dt_1 \int_0^\zeta dt_2 \cdots \int_0^\zeta \{A(u) - A(u-t_1-t_2-\cdots-t_p)\} dt_p \right] du \\
&= O \left[\int_{x-\zeta}^x (x-u)^{a-1} \left[\int_0^\zeta dt_1 \int_0^\zeta dt_2 \cdots \int_0^\zeta (t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_p)^\gamma V(u) dt_p \right] du \right] \\
&= O \left[\zeta^{\gamma+p} \int_{x-\zeta}^x (x-u)^{a-1} V(u) du \right] \\
&= O \left[\zeta^{\delta+\gamma} V(x) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad A(x) &= O[(1/\zeta^\delta) W(x)] + [\zeta^\gamma V(x)] \\
&= O[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma/(\delta+\gamma))} (W(x))^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)}] \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The one of the two hypotheses of Theorem A is satisfied with

$$(V(x))^{1-(\gamma/(\delta+\gamma))} (W(x))^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)},$$

with the other hypothesis $W(x)$ unchanged, instead of $V(x)$. Hence, using Theorem A, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad A^\gamma(x) &= o[\{(V(x))^{1-(\gamma/(\delta+\gamma))} (W(x))^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)}\}^{1-\gamma/\delta} \{W(x)\}^{\gamma/\delta}] \\
&= o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\gamma)/(\delta+\gamma)} (W(x))^{(\gamma+\gamma)/(\delta+\gamma)}], \quad (0 < \gamma < \delta) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if δ is an integer then we can prove the case (1) by the similar method.

(II) Proof of the case: $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \leq 0$. First assume Theorem I with α replaced by $\alpha - 1$ (with β unchanged). Then, since $0 < \alpha < 1$, it follows from (2.9) and (2.6) (i) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad A^{\delta+1}(x) &= \int_0^x A^{\delta}(t)dt = o\left[\int_0^x W(t)dt\right] = o\left[\int_0^x t^{\alpha}t^{-\alpha}W(t)dt\right] \\
 &= o\left[x^{\alpha}W(x)\int_0^x t^{-\alpha}dt\right] = o[xW(x)] .
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1) and (4.6), we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad B^{\delta}(x) = o[xW(x)] .$$

By (2.8), we have, for $0 < t = O[W(x)/V(x)]^{1/(\delta+\eta)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad B(x) - B(x-t) &= (x-t)[A(x) - A(x-t)] + \int_{x-t}^x [A(x) - A(u)]du \\
 &= O[t^{\gamma}xV(x)] .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the hypotheses of Theorem I are satisfied with $B(x) - B(x-t)$ instead of $A(x) - A(x-t)$, with $B^{\delta}(x)$ instead of $A^{\delta}(x)$ and with $\alpha-1$ instead of α , respectively. We have, from the case assumed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad B^{\gamma}(x) &= o[(xV(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(xW(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)}] \\
 &= o[x(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)}] , \quad (0 < \gamma < \delta) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose that $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta-1 < \gamma < \delta$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.10) \quad A^{\gamma+1}(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+2)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\gamma-\delta} A^{\delta}(t)dt \\
 &= o\left[\int_0^x (x-t)^{\gamma-\delta} t^{-\alpha} t^{\alpha} W(t)dt\right] = o\left[x^{\alpha}W(x)\int_0^x (x-t)^{\gamma-\delta} t^{-\alpha}dt\right] \\
 &= o\left[x^{\gamma-\delta+1}W(x)\int_0^1 (1-u)^{\gamma-\delta} u^{-\alpha}du\right] = o[x^{\gamma-\delta+1}W(x)] ,
 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.6) (i) and (2.9). From (4.9), (4.10) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad A^{\gamma}(x) &= (1/x)[B^{\gamma}(x) + \gamma A^{\gamma+1}(x)] \\
 &= o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)} + x^{\gamma-\delta}W(x)] \\
 &= o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)}\{1 + x^{\gamma-\delta}(W(x)/V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}\}] \\
 &= o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)}] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty .
 \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the result may be proved. And if $\delta > 1$, suppose now $0 < \gamma < \delta-1$ and assume the result with γ replaced by $\gamma+1$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.12) \quad A^{\gamma}(x) &= (1/x)\{B^{\gamma}(x) + \gamma A^{\gamma+1}(x)\} \\
 &= o[(1/x)\{x(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)} \\
 &\quad + (V(x))^{1-(\gamma+1+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+1+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)}\}] \\
 &= o[(V(x))^{1-(\gamma+\eta)/(\delta+\eta)}(W(x))^{\langle\gamma+\eta\rangle/(\delta+\eta)}] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty .
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result is proved by induction on γ .

(III) Proof of the case. $-1 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$. First assume Theorem I with β replaced by $\beta - 1$. Then, since $-1 < \alpha < 1$, it follows from (2.9) and (2.6) (i) that

$$(4.13) \quad A^{\beta+1}(x) = \int_0^x A^{\beta}(t) dt = o\left[\int_0^x W(t) dt\right] \\ = o\left[\int_0^x t^{\alpha} t^{-\alpha} W(t) dt\right] = o\left[x^{\alpha} W(x) \int_0^x t^{-\alpha} dt\right] = o[x W(x)] .$$

By (1.1) and (4.13), we get

$$(4.14) \quad B^{\beta}(x) = o[x W(x)] ,$$

By (2.8), we have, for $0 < t = O[W(x)/V(x)]^{1/(\delta+\gamma)}$,

$$(4.15) \quad B(x) - B(x-t) = (x-t)[A(x) - A(x-t)] + \int_{x-t}^x [A(x) - A(u)] du \\ = O[xt^{\gamma} V(x)] + O\left[\int_0^t v^{\gamma} V(x) dv\right] = O[t^{\gamma} x V(x)] .$$

Thus, the hypotheses of Theorem I are satisfied with $B(x) - B(x-t)$ instead of $A(x) - A(x-t)$, with $B^{\beta}(x)$ instead of $A^{\beta}(x)$ and with $\beta - 1$ instead of β .

The rest of the proof is essentially the same as for case (II), then the result is proved by induction on β .

5. Proof of Theorem II. First suppose that $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$, $\beta \leq 0$ and let us put, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\zeta = [\varepsilon W(x)/V(x)]^{1/(\delta+\gamma)}$. Then we have some ε such that $x - (p+1)\zeta > 0$ by (2.7), and let $\delta = p + a$, where $0 < a < 1$ and p is a non-negative integer.

Then we obtain, by (3.3),

$$(5.1) \quad \zeta^{p+a} A(x) = (1/\Gamma(p+1)) \mathcal{A}_{\zeta}^{p+a} A^p(x) \\ - \mathcal{A}_{\zeta}^p \left[\int_x^{x+\zeta} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_1+\zeta} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+\zeta} [A(t_p) - A(x)] dt_p \right] \\ = I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

By (2.12) and Lemma A, we have

$$(5.2) \quad I_1 = \mathcal{A}_{\zeta}^{p+a} A^p(x) = a \int_x^{x+\zeta} (x + \zeta - t)^{a-1} \mathcal{A}_{\zeta}^p A^p(t) dt \\ = a \sum_{m=0}^p (-1)^m \binom{p}{m} \int_x^{x+\zeta} (x + \zeta - t)^{a-1} A^p(t + (p-m)\zeta) dt \\ = a \sum_{m=0}^p (-1)^m \binom{p}{m} \int_{x+(p-m)\zeta}^{x+(p+1-m)\zeta} (x + (p+1-m)\zeta - u)^{a-1} A^p(u) du \\ = o[W\{(x + (p+1-m)\zeta)\}] = o[W(x)] .$$

By (2.11) we have, for $x - (p+1)\zeta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad I_2 &= -\Delta_{\zeta}^a \left[\int_x^{x+\zeta} dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+\zeta} [A(t_p) - A(x)] dt_p \right] \\
 &= -a \int_0^{\zeta} (\zeta - u)^{a-1} du \left[\int_0^{\zeta} dt_1 \cdots \int_0^{\zeta} \{A(u + t_1 + \cdots + t_p) - A(u)\} dt_p \right] \\
 &< aK(p\zeta)^{\gamma} \zeta^p \int_0^{\zeta} (\zeta - u)^{a-1} V(u + p\zeta) du \\
 &< Kp^{\gamma} \zeta^{p+a+\gamma} V(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have, by (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad A(x) &< (\varepsilon/\zeta^{\delta}) W(x) + K'\zeta^{\gamma} V(x) \\
 &= (1 + K')\varepsilon^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)} (V(x))^{1-(\gamma/(\delta+\gamma))} (W(x))^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (3.4) and (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.5) \quad A(x) &= (1/\zeta^{\delta}) \left[(1/\Gamma(p+1)) \Delta_{-\zeta}^{p+a} A^p(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \Delta_{-\zeta}^a \left\{ \int_{x-\zeta}^x dt_1 \int_{t_1-\zeta}^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}-\zeta}^{t_{p-1}} \{A(x) - A(t_p)\} dt_p \right\} \right] \\
 &> -\varepsilon\zeta^{-\delta} W(x) - K''\zeta^{\gamma} V(x) \\
 &> -(1 + K'')\varepsilon^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)} (V(x))^{1-(\gamma/(\delta+\gamma))} (W(x))^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (5.4) and (5.5), we have

$$(5.6) \quad |A(x)| = O[(V(x))^{1-\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)} (W(x))^{\gamma/(\delta+\gamma)}] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem I.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. S. Bosanquet, Note on convexity theorems, Jour. London Math. Soc., 18 (1943), 239-248.
- [2] K. Chandrasekharan and S. Minakshisundaram, Typical means, Bombay, (1952).
- [3] G. H. Hardy, Divergent series, (1949).
- [4] G. H. Hardy, The general theory of Dirichlet series, Cambridge, (1915).
- [5] M. Riesz, Sur un théorème de la moyenne et ses applications, Acta de Szeged, 1 (1922), 114-126.
- [6] H. Sakata, Tauberian theorems for Riesz means, Memoirs of the Defence Academy, 5 (1966), 335-340.
- [7] O. Szász, Quasi-monotonic series, American Jour. Math. 70 (1948) 203-206.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION
 OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY
 OKAYAMA, JAPAN

