

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 12

No. 2

2019

JULIUS ROSS AND DAVID WITT NYSTRÖM

**ON THE MAXIMAL RANK PROBLEM FOR  
THE COMPLEX HOMOGENEOUS MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION**

# ON THE MAXIMAL RANK PROBLEM FOR THE COMPLEX HOMOGENEOUS MONGE–AMPÈRE EQUATION

JULIUS ROSS AND DAVID WITT NYSTRÖM

We give examples of regular boundary data for the Dirichlet problem for the complex homogeneous Monge–Ampère equation over the unit disc, whose solution is completely degenerate on a nonempty open set and thus fails to have maximal rank.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $B$  be a Riemann surface with boundary  $\partial B$ . Suppose  $(\phi_\tau)_{\tau \in \partial B}$  is a smooth family of Kähler potentials on  $X$ ; so each  $\phi_\tau$  is a smooth function on  $X$ , varying smoothly in  $\tau$ , that satisfies

$$\omega + dd^c \phi_\tau > 0.$$

Then let  $\Phi$  be the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the complex homogeneous Monge–Ampère equation (HMAE) with this boundary data, so  $\Phi$  is a function on  $X \times B$  that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\cdot, \tau) &= \phi_\tau(\cdot) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \partial B, \\ \pi_X^* \omega + dd^c \Phi &\geq 0, \\ (\pi_X^* \omega + dd^c \Phi)^{n+1} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\pi_X : X \times B \rightarrow X$  is the projection. From standard pluripotential theory we know there exists a unique weak solution  $\Phi$  to this equation. The *maximal rank problem* in this setting asks whether the current

$$\pi_X^* \omega + dd^c \Phi$$

has maximal rank in the fibre directions, that is, whether the current  $\omega + dd^c \Phi(\cdot, \tau)$  on  $X$  is strictly positive for each  $\tau \in B$ . Said another way, this asks if the rank of  $\pi_X^* \omega + dd^c \Phi$  is precisely  $n$  at every point in  $X \times B$ , which is the maximum possible since  $(\pi_X^* \omega + dd^c \Phi)^{n+1} = 0$ . Similarly one has the *constant-rank problem* in which one asks if the rank of  $\pi_X^* \omega + dd^c \Phi$  is the same at every point. The purpose of this note is to answer this question negatively, giving an explicit example in which the rank fails to be maximal.

MSC2010: 32W20, 58J32.

Keywords: 32W20, 35J60, 31C10, 35J70.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $B = \bar{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the closed unit disc and  $(X, \omega) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ , where  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  denotes the Fubini–Study form. Then there exists a smooth family of Kähler potentials  $(\phi_\tau)_{\tau \in \partial \mathbb{D}}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that the solution  $\Phi$  to the HMAE (1) is completely degenerate on some nonempty open subset  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,*

$$\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \Phi|_S = 0.$$

A more precise version of this statement is provided in [Theorem 2.1](#). The motivation and ideas build on previous work of the authors [[Ross and Witt Nyström 2015a](#); [2015b](#); [2015c](#)] in which we understand the solution to the HMAE of a certain kind through a free boundary problem in the plane called the Hele–Shaw flow. But rather than expecting the reader to be an expert in this topic we have chosen to give a direct proof, which can be found in [Section 2](#), that is both self-contained and rather simple. Then in [Section 3](#) we explain the motivation behind our construction, as well as give a second (but essentially equivalent) proof that relies on more machinery. We then end with some questions and possible extensions.

Of course in the above theorem,  $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \Phi$  is not identically zero, and so does not have constant rank. In fact we can say more, and it is possible to arrange so that there is a nonempty open set in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}$  on which  $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \Phi$  is regular (i.e., smooth and of maximal rank). It is worth commenting from the outset that we do not expect the solution we have here to be everywhere smooth, but it should be possible to describe precisely where it is regular and where it is degenerate. All of this will be discussed in more detail in [Section 3](#).

**1A. Comparison with other work.** It is known that convex solutions to elliptic partial differential equations have a constant-rank property. Early works of this include [[Caffarelli and Friedman 1985](#); [Singer, Wong, Yau, and Yau 1985](#)]. These have since been built upon by many others, and it is now known that the constant-rank property holds for a wide class of elliptic equations; see, for instance, [[Korevaar and Lewis 1987](#); [Bian and Guan 2009](#); [2010](#); [Caffarelli, Guan and Ma 2007](#); [Székelyhidi and Weinkove 2016](#)]. In this paper we are interested in the complex degenerate situation, about which much less has been written. The most famous result along these lines, and in the positive direction, is that of Lempert [[1981](#)] who proved that on a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  the solution to the complex HMAE with prescribed singularity at an interior point (the pluricomplex Green function) is smooth and of maximal rank. The maximal rank problem for other partial differential equations in the complex case has also been taken up by Guan, Li and Zhang [[2009](#)] and by Li [[2009](#)].

The closest previous work to that of this paper is probably that of Guan and Phong [[2012](#)], who studied the problem of finding uniform lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the solution to the (nondegenerate) Monge–Ampère equation in the limit as the equation becomes degenerate. Moreover, they asked whether solutions to the complex HMAE have maximal rank [[Guan and Phong 2012](#), discussion after Theorem 4]. The idea of maximal rank for the complex HMAE also appears in the ideas of Chen and Tian [[2008](#)] through the concept of an almost-regular solution to the HMAE, which fails to have maximal rank only on a set which is small in a precise sense. The kinds of envelopes that we use in our proof also can be defined more generally, and even in higher dimensions, which is the topic of previous work of the authors [[Ross and Witt Nyström 2017b](#)], in which we prove a constant-rank theorem, Theorem 6.2 of that paper, that we call “optimal regularity”.

Questions concerning the regularity of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the kind of complex HMAE we consider here go back at least as far as [Semmes 1992; Donaldson 2002], and this HMAE has been the focus of much interest due to it being the geodesic equation in the space of Kähler metrics. By [Chen 2000] with complements by Błocki [2012] we know such a solution always has bounded Laplacian (so in particular is  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha < 1$ ). In fact in our case, since we are working on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , the results of [Błocki 2012] imply that  $\Phi$  is  $C^{1,1}$ . (We observe that we do not actually need to know this regularity for the direct proof of our main theorem). Donaldson [2002] gives examples of boundary data for which the solution is not regular, but the nature of the irregularity there is left unknown (for instance Donaldson’s example may have maximal rank but fail to be everywhere smooth).

## 2. Main theorem

**2A. Notation.** We let  $\mathbb{D}_r$  be the open disc of radius  $r$  in the complex plane about the origin,  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_1$  and  $\mathbb{D}^\times = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Throughout we consider the standard cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by two charts equal to the complex plane with coordinates  $z$  and  $w = 1/z$ . We shall denote these two charts by  $\mathbb{C}_z$  and  $\mathbb{C}_w$  respectively. We use the convention  $d^c = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$  so  $dd^c \log |z|^2 = \delta_0$ , and normalise the Fubini–Study form  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  so  $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \omega_{\text{FS}} = 1$ . Thus  $\omega_{\text{FS}} = dd^c \log(1 + |z|^2)$  locally on  $\mathbb{C}_z$ .

**2B. Statement of the main theorem.** The following is a precise version of our main theorem. By an *arc* in  $\mathbb{C}$  we mean the image  $\gamma$  of a smooth map  $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that does not intersect itself. From now on  $B = \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  is the closed unit disc and  $(X, \omega) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$  satisfies:*

- (1)  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi > 0$ .
- (2) *On  $\mathbb{C}_w \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  it holds that*

$$\phi(w) \geq -\ln(1 + |w|^2)$$

*with equality precisely on an arc in  $\mathbb{C}_w$ .*

*Then setting*

$$\phi_\tau(z) := \phi(\tau z) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

*the solution  $\Phi$  to the HMAE (1) does not have maximal rank. In fact there is a nonempty open subset  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}$  such that*

$$\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \Phi|_S = 0.$$

**2C. Envelopes.** For the proof we need some background concerning envelopes of subharmonic functions. Fix a potential  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$  so  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi > 0$ . For a topological space  $X$  let

$$\text{USC}(X) = \{\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \text{ such that } \psi \text{ is upper semicontinuous}\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** For  $t \in (0, 1]$  set

$$\psi_t := \sup\{\psi \in \text{USC}(\mathbb{P}^1) : \psi \leq \phi \text{ and } \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \psi \geq 0 \text{ and } \nu_{z=0}(\psi) \geq t\}.$$

Here  $\nu_{z=0}$  denotes the Lelong number at the point  $z = 0$ , so  $\nu_{z=0}(\psi) \geq t$  means  $\psi(z) \leq t \ln |z|^2 + O(1)$  near  $z = 0$ . As the upper-semicontinuous regularisation of  $\psi_t$  is itself a candidate for the envelope defining  $\psi_t$ , we see that  $\psi_t$  is itself upper-semicontinuous.

**Definition 2.3.** For  $t \in (0, 1]$  set

$$\Omega_t := \Omega_t(\phi) := \{z \in \mathbb{P}^1 : \psi_t(z) < \phi(z)\}. \tag{2}$$

Clearly if  $t \leq t'$  then  $\psi_{t'} \leq \psi_t$  and so  $\Omega_t \subset \Omega_{t'}$ . Now, unless one assumes some additional symmetry of  $\phi$ , it is generally quite hard to describe the sets  $\Omega_t$ . However, as the next lemma shows, it is possible, under a suitable hypothesis, to describe the largest one  $\Omega_1$  by looking at the level set on which  $\phi$  takes its minimum value.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$  be such that  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi > 0$  and  $\phi(w) \geq -\ln(1 + |w|^2)$  on  $\mathbb{C}_w$  with equality precisely on some nonempty subset  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}_w$  containing  $w = 0$ . Then*

$$\psi_1(z) = \ln\left(\frac{|z|^2}{1 + |z|^2}\right)$$

and

$$\Omega_1(\phi) = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \gamma.$$

*Proof.* Observe first that the only upper-semicontinuous  $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  with  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \psi \geq 0$  and  $\nu_{z=0}(\psi) \geq 1$  is, up to an additive constant, equal to

$$\zeta(z) := \ln\left(\frac{|z|^2}{1 + |z|^2}\right) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}_z.$$

To see this observe first that we certainly cannot have  $\nu_{z=0}(\psi) > 1$  since we have normalised so  $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \omega_{\text{FS}} = 1$ . Thus we may assume  $\nu_{z=0}\psi = 1$ . Then observe that  $\zeta$  is  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -harmonic on  $\mathbb{C}_z \setminus \{0\}$ , and that the difference  $\psi - \zeta$  is bounded near 0. Thus  $\psi - \zeta$  extends to a bounded subharmonic function on all of  $\mathbb{C}_z$ , and hence is constant by the Liouville property. Thus the envelope  $\psi_1$  from [Definition 2.2](#) must be

$$\psi_1 = \zeta + C,$$

where  $C$  is the largest constant one can choose so that  $\psi_1 \leq \phi$ . Now on  $\mathbb{C}_w$  we have

$$\psi_1(w) = -\ln(1 + |w|^2) + C$$

and so as  $\gamma$  is nontrivial our hypothesis forces  $C = 0$ . Thus

$$\Omega_1 = \{-\ln(1 + |w|^2) < \phi(w)\} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \gamma. \quad \square$$

**2D. Weak solutions to the HMAE.** We now discuss the weak solution to two versions of the Dirichlet problem for the complex HMAE, first over the disc and second over the punctured disc; this follows the discussion in [\[Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b\]](#). Again we let  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$  be such that  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi > 0$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let

$$\Phi := \sup\{\psi \in \text{USC}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}) : \pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \psi \geq 0 \text{ and } \psi(z, \tau) \leq \phi(\tau z) \text{ for } (z, \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{D}\}.$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi} := \sup\{\psi \in \text{USC}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}) : \pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \psi \geq 0 \text{ and } \psi(z, \tau) \leq \phi(z) \text{ for } (z, \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{D} \text{ and } \nu_{(z=0, \tau=0)}(\psi) \geq 1\}. \quad (3)$$

The function  $\Phi$  is the weak solution to the complex HMAE with boundary data  $\phi(\tau z)$  for  $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , that is, the solution to (1). Similarly  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is the weak solution to the Dirichlet problem with boundary data  $\phi(z)$ , but with the additional requirement of having a prescribed singularity at the point  $p := (0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}$ . That is,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is upper-semicontinuous,  $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \tilde{\Phi} \geq 0$  and  $(\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \tilde{\Phi})^2 = 0$  away from  $p$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau) = \phi(z)$  for  $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . Moreover it is not hard to show that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is locally bounded away from  $p$  and  $\nu_p \tilde{\Phi} = 1$ . These two quantities carry the same information, as given by:

**Proposition 2.6.** *We have that*

$$\Phi(z, \tau) + \ln |\tau|^2 + \ln(1 + |z|^2) = \tilde{\Phi}(\tau z, \tau) + \ln(1 + |\tau z|^2) \quad \text{for } (z, \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}^\times.$$

*Proof.* It is easily seen from the definition that  $\Phi(z, \tau) + \ln |\tau|^2 + \ln(1 + |z|^2) - \ln(1 + |\tau z|^2)$  is a candidate for the envelope defining  $\tilde{\Phi}(\tau z, \tau)$ , giving one inequality and the other inequality is proved similarly.  $\square$

**2E. Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Without loss of generality we assume the arc  $\gamma$  goes through the point  $w = 0$ . By Lemma 2.4

$$\psi_1(z) = \ln\left(\frac{|z|^2}{1 + |z|^2}\right)$$

and

$$\Omega_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \gamma.$$

Looking at the other coordinate patch  $\mathbb{C}_z$ , we have that  $\gamma$  is a curve passing through infinity, and so  $\mathbb{C}_z \setminus \gamma$  is an open, simply connected proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}_z$ . Hence by the Riemann mapping theorem there is a biholomorphism

$$f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_z \setminus \gamma \quad \text{with } f(0) = 0.$$

For  $\tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times$  set

$$A_\tau := f(\mathbb{D}_{|\tau|}) \subset \mathbb{C}_z \subset \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Clearly each  $A_\tau$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}_z$  containing the origin, whose complement has nonempty interior.

**Proposition 2.7.** *We have*

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau) = \psi_1(z) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus A_\tau.$$

*Proof.* By abuse of notation we write  $\psi_1$  also for the pullback of  $\psi_1$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ . Then

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau) \geq \psi_1(z) \quad \text{for } (z, \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}} \quad (4)$$

since  $\psi_1$  is a candidate for the envelope (3) defining  $\tilde{\Phi}$ .

We next claim that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(f(\tau), \tau) = \psi_1(f(\tau)) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{5}$$

To see this, observe that  $\tau \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}(f(\tau), \tau)$  is  $f^*\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -subharmonic and has Lelong number 1 at  $\tau = 0$ . On the other hand  $\psi_1(f(\tau))$  is  $f^*\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -harmonic except at  $\tau = 0$  where it has Lelong number 1. But  $\tilde{\Phi}(f(\tau), \tau)$  tends to  $\psi_1(f(\tau))$  as  $|\tau|$  tends to 1, and hence from the maximum principle along with (4), we get (5).

Now fix some  $\tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times$  and set

$$\phi_\tau(z) := \tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau).$$

Then the above says that  $\phi_\tau = \psi_1$  on  $\partial A_\tau$ . On the other hand by (4) we have  $\phi_\tau \geq \psi_1$  everywhere. Moreover  $\phi_\tau$  is  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -subharmonic on  $A_\tau^c$ , whereas  $\psi_1$  is bounded and  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -harmonic on  $A_\tau^c$ . Thus by the maximum principle we deduce  $\phi_\tau = \psi_1$  on  $A_\tau^c$  as required.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Set

$$S := \{(z, \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^\times : \tau z \in (A_\tau^c)^\circ\},$$

which is nonempty and open in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^\times$ . Then by Proposition 2.6 and then Proposition 2.7 if  $(z, \tau) \in S$  we have

$$\Phi(z, \tau) = \tilde{\Phi}(\tau z, \tau) + \ln\left(\frac{1 + |\tau z|^2}{|\tau|^2(1 + |z|^2)}\right) = \psi_1(\tau z) + \ln\left(\frac{1 + |\tau z|^2}{|\tau|^2(1 + |z|^2)}\right).$$

Thus on  $S$  we have

$$\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c\Phi = \pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c\psi_1(\tau z) = 0$$

as  $\psi_1$  is  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -harmonic away from  $z = 0$ .  $\square$

**2F. A specific example.** We now construct a specific potential  $\phi$  that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. Fix  $\gamma$  to be the interval  $[-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}_w$ . Our goal is to find a  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$  such that  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c\phi > 0$  and  $\phi \geq -\ln(1 + |w|^2)$  with equality precisely on  $\gamma$ .

To do so, let  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative smooth nondecreasing convex function with  $\alpha(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 1$  and  $\alpha(t) > 0$  for  $t > 1$ . Let

$$u(w) := \alpha(|w|^2) + \text{Im}(w)^2.$$

Thus  $u$  is a smooth strictly subharmonic function on  $\mathbb{C}_w$  that vanishes precisely on  $\gamma$ . Then  $\epsilon u - \ln(1 + |w|^2)$  for some small constant  $\epsilon > 0$  is essentially the function that we want; we simply need to adjust it to have the correct behaviour far away from  $\gamma$ .

To do so we shall use a regularised version of the maximum function, which can be explicitly given as follows: Let  $|\cdot|_{\text{reg}}$  be a smooth convex function on  $\mathbb{R}$  so that  $|t|_{\text{reg}} = |t|$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ . Set  $\max_{\text{reg}}(a, b) := \frac{1}{2}(|a - b|_{\text{reg}} + a + b)$  and for  $\delta > 0$  put

$$\max_\delta(a, b) := \delta \max_{\text{reg}}(\delta^{-1}a, \delta^{-1}b). \tag{6}$$

Then  $\max_\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is smooth, and satisfies

$$\max_\delta(a, b) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a > b + \delta, \\ b & \text{if } b > a + \delta. \end{cases}$$

Returning to the construction of  $\phi$ , fix a sufficiently large constant  $C$  and a sufficiently small positive constant  $\epsilon$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon u &\geq \ln(1 + |w|^2) - C + 1 && \text{on } \mathbb{D}_2, \\ \epsilon u &\leq \ln(1 + |w|^2) - C - 1 && \text{on } \mathbb{D}_4 \setminus \mathbb{D}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then for  $0 < \delta \ll 1$  set

$$v := \max_\delta(\epsilon u, \ln(1 + |w|^2) - C).$$

So  $v$  is smooth, nonnegative, strictly subharmonic, equal to  $\ln(1 + |w|^2) - C$  on  $\mathbb{D}_4 \setminus \mathbb{D}_3$  and vanishes precisely on  $\gamma$ . We then put

$$\phi := v - \ln(1 + |w|^2)$$

and extend  $\phi$  to take the constant value  $C$  in  $\mathbb{C}_w \setminus \mathbb{D}_4$ . So  $\phi$  extends to a smooth function over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with the desired properties.

### 3. Discussion

**3A. Context.** Fix a  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$  such that  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi > 0$ . Then associated to  $\phi$  we have two constructions:

- (1) The solution  $\tilde{\Phi}$  to the complex HMAE on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  with boundary data given by  $\phi_\tau = \phi$  for all  $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  and the requirement of having Lelong number 1 at the point  $(z, \tau) = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ .
- (2) The envelopes  $\psi_t$  for  $t \in (0, 1]$  and the associated sets  $\Omega_t(\phi) = \{\psi_t < \phi\}$ .

In previous work we showed that these sets of data are intimately connected. First,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  and  $\psi_t$  are Legendre dual to each other [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b, Theorem 2.7] in that

$$\psi_t(z) = \inf_{|\tau|>0} \{\tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau) - (1 - t) \ln |\tau|^2\} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau) = \sup_t \{\psi_t(z) + (1 - t) \ln |\tau|^2\}. \tag{8}$$

Second, the collection of sets  $\Omega_t(\phi)$  that are biholomorphic to a disc describes the harmonic discs of  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . That is, if  $t$  is such that  $\Omega_t(\phi)$  is a proper simply connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}_z$  and  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_t$  is a Riemann map with  $f(0) = 0$  then the restriction of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  to the graph  $\{(f(\tau), \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}\}$  is  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -harmonic. Furthermore it is shown in [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b, Theorem 3.1] these are (essentially) the only harmonic discs that occur.

We can say more. For  $\tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times$  set

$$\phi_\tau(z) := \tilde{\Phi}(z, \tau).$$

If  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is regular then each  $\phi_\tau$  will be a smooth Kähler potential, but in general this will not be the case. Nevertheless, by [Błocki 2012] we know  $\phi_\tau$  is  $C^{1,1}$  and since  $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \tilde{\Phi} \geq 0$ , we know  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau$  is semipositive. We can then define the associated sets  $\Omega_t(\phi_\tau)$  in exactly the same way as before.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $t$  is such that  $\Omega_t(\phi) \subset \mathbb{C}_z$  is proper and simply connected and let  $f_t : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_t(\phi)$  be a Riemann map with  $f_t(0) = 0$ . Then for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times$  we have*

$$f_t(\mathbb{D}_{|\tau|}) = \Omega_t(\phi_\tau).$$

We shall give a proof of this fact below, but assuming it for now we can give an alternative proof that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times$  the current  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, \tau)$  is degenerate on some nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . First Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\Omega_1(\phi) = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \gamma,$$

which is a simply connected proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}_z$ . We then take our Riemann map  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_1(\phi)$  and consider the image

$$A_\tau := f(\mathbb{D}_{|\tau|}) = \Omega_1(\phi_\tau) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times.$$

As observed before,  $A_\tau$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}_z$  whose complement has nonempty interior.

On the other hand, it is a general fact that for each  $t$  the set  $\Omega_t(\phi_\tau)$  has measure  $t$  with respect to the current  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau$ . (If  $\phi_\tau$  is smooth and  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau$  is strictly positive, this is a standard piece of potential theory and is discussed in [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b, Proposition 1.1]; when  $\phi_\tau$  is merely  $C^2$  and  $t < 1$  then this is proved in [Ross and Witt Nyström 2017a, Theorem 1.2] and the case  $t = 1$  follows from this by continuity as  $\Omega_1(\phi_\tau) = \bigcup_{t < 1} \Omega_t(\phi_\tau)$ ; finally when  $\phi_\tau$  is merely  $C^{1,1}$  this is given in [Berman and Demailly 2012, Remark 1.19, Corollary 2.5].)

Therefore

$$\int_{A_\tau} (\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau) = \int_{\Omega_1(\phi_\tau)} (\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau) = 1.$$

But our normalisation is that  $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} (\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \omega_{\text{FS}} = 1$  as well, and so the current  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \phi_\tau$  gives zero measure to the complement of  $A_\tau$ , which is precisely what we were aiming to prove.

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Fix  $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}^\times$  and set  $r := |\sigma|$ . Our aim is to show

$$f_t(\mathbb{D}_r) = \Omega_t(\phi_\sigma).$$

Consider the  $S^1$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  given by  $e^{i\theta} \cdot (z, \tau) = (z, e^{i\theta} \tau)$ , and observe that the boundary data used to define  $\tilde{\Phi}$  from (3) is  $S^1$ -invariant, which implies  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is  $S^1$ -invariant as well. Thus we may as well assume that  $\sigma$  is real, so  $\phi_\sigma = \phi_r$ .

For a function  $F$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $D \subset \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  we write  $F|_D$  for the restriction of  $F$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times D$ . Then  $\tilde{\Phi}|_{\bar{\mathbb{D}}_r}$  is the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the HMAE with boundary data  $(\phi_\tau)_{\tau \in \partial \bar{\mathbb{D}}_r} = \phi_r$  and the requirement that  $\tilde{\Phi}|_{\bar{\mathbb{D}}_r}$  has Lelong number 1 at the point  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{D}_r \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}_r$ .

Letting  $s := -\ln |\tau|^2$ , consider the function on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \bar{\mathbb{D}}^\times$  given by

$$H(z, \tau) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tilde{\Phi}(z, e^{-s/2})$$

(when  $|\tau| = 1$  and thus  $s = 0$ , we take the right derivative). As  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is  $C^{1,1}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}^\times$ , the function  $H$  is well-defined and Lipschitz. Clearly this is compatible with restriction; i.e.,

$$H|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}^\times}(z, \tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tilde{\Phi}|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r}(z, e^{-s/2}).$$

Now, as discussed above, and proved in [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b, Theorem 3.1], the graph  $\{(f(\tau), \tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{D}\}$  of  $f$  is a harmonic disc for  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . What is also proved is that  $H$  takes the constant value  $t - 1$  along this disc so

$$H(f(\tau), \tau) = t - 1 \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{D}^\times.$$

Now  $H$  is also  $S^1$ -invariant and so this in particular implies

$$H(f(re^{i\theta}), r) = H(f(re^{i\theta}), re^{i\theta}) = t - 1 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

In other words, the function  $H(\cdot, r)$  takes the value  $t - 1$  on the boundary of  $f(\mathbb{D}_r)$ . On the other hand, we prove in [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b, Proposition 2.9] that the function  $H(\cdot, r)$  describes the set  $\Omega_t(\phi_r)$ , in that

$$H(z, r) + 1 = \sup\{s : z \notin \Omega_s(\phi_r)\}$$

(we remark that the proof of that proposition does not require any regularity or strict positivity assumptions on the potential  $\phi_\sigma$ ). Thus  $\Omega_t(\phi_r)$  is the interior component of the curve  $\theta \mapsto f(re^{i\theta})$  (that is, the component containing  $z = 0$ ), which gives  $\Omega_t(\phi_r) = f(\mathbb{D}_r)$  as desired.  $\square$

**3B. Extensions and questions.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 we have shown that the current  $\omega_{\text{FS}} + dd^c \Phi(\cdot, \tau)$  fails to be strictly positive on any interior fibre (that is, for any  $\tau$  with  $0 < |\tau| < 1$ ). Furthermore we have no reason to expect our solution to be smooth everywhere. Thus the following two questions are natural:

**Question 3.2.** Does there exist a smooth family of potentials  $(\phi_\tau)_{\tau \in \partial B}$  for which the solution to the complex HMAE (1) is everywhere smooth but not of maximal rank?

**Question 3.3.** Does there exist a smooth family of potentials  $(\phi_\tau)_{\tau \in \partial B}$  for which the solution to the complex HMAE (1) such that  $\omega + dd^c \Phi(\cdot, \tau)$  is a Kähler form for some  $\tau$  with  $0 < |\tau| < 1$  but not for others.

We are not currently able to answer these questions. However, we believe that the degenerate solutions we describe in this paper are actually regular in the interior of the complement of the degenerate set  $S$  (that is, they are smooth there and of maximal rank). In fact from our previous work in [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b] we can understand the set on which our solution is regular in terms of the collection of sets  $\Omega_t(\phi)$  that are simply connected. Now, our specific potential  $\phi$  (Section 2F) was constructed to have curvature equal to  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  far away from the arc  $\gamma = [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{C}_w \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ , from which one can see that  $\Omega_t(\phi)$  is a disc for sufficiently small  $t$ . This gives an open set of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{D}$  for which the solution  $\Phi$  is regular. Furthermore, by construction,  $\Omega_1(\phi)$  is simply connected. We think it likely that  $\Omega_t(\phi)$  is actually simply connected for all  $t$ , which would give rather precise information about the set on which our solution is regular, but it does not seem easy to prove that this is the case.

We furthermore believe that the fibrewise Laplacian of such a solution is uniformly bounded from below on the complement of  $S$ , and so has a discontinuity on the boundary  $\partial S$  where it jumps to zero. A somewhat bold conjecture would be that any solution to the HMAE is regular away from the set where it fails to have maximal rank, and is smooth away from the boundary of this set.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Valentino Tosatti for discussions on this topic, and the organisers of the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) workshop on The Complex Monge–Ampère Equation (<http://aimath.org/pastworkshops/mongeampere.html>) where this problem was brought up for discussion. During this work Ross was supported by an EPSRC Career Acceleration Fellowship (EP/J002062/1).

### References

- [Berman and Demailly 2012] R. Berman and J.-P. Demailly, “Regularity of plurisubharmonic upper envelopes in big cohomology classes”, pp. 39–66 in *Perspectives in analysis, geometry, and topology*, edited by I. Itenberg et al., Progr. Math. **296**, Springer, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bian and Guan 2009] B. Bian and P. Guan, “A microscopic convexity principle for nonlinear partial differential equations”, *Invent. Math.* **177**:2 (2009), 307–335. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bian and Guan 2010] B. Bian and P. Guan, “A structural condition for microscopic convexity principle”, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **28**:2 (2010), 789–807. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Błocki 2012] Z. Błocki, “On geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics”, pp. 3–19 in *Advances in geometric analysis* (Warsaw, 2009), edited by S. Janeczko et al., Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) **21**, International, Somerville, MA, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Caffarelli and Friedman 1985] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman, “Convexity of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations”, *Duke Math. J.* **52**:2 (1985), 431–456. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Caffarelli, Guan and Ma 2007] L. Caffarelli, P. Guan, and X.-N. Ma, “A constant rank theorem for solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60**:12 (2007), 1769–1791. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen 2000] X. Chen, “The space of Kähler metrics”, *J. Differential Geom.* **56**:2 (2000), 189–234. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen and Tian 2008] X. X. Chen and G. Tian, “Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **107** (2008), 1–107. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Donaldson 2002] S. K. Donaldson, “Holomorphic discs and the complex Monge–Ampère equation”, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **1**:2 (2002), 171–196. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Guan and Phong 2012] P. Guan and D. H. Phong, “A maximum rank problem for degenerate elliptic fully nonlinear equations”, *Math. Ann.* **354**:1 (2012), 147–169. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Guan, Li, and Zhang 2009] P. Guan, Q. Li, and X. Zhang, “A uniqueness theorem in Kähler geometry”, *Math. Ann.* **345**:2 (2009), 377–393. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Korevaar and Lewis 1987] N. J. Korevaar and J. L. Lewis, “Convex solutions of certain elliptic equations have constant rank Hessians”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **97**:1 (1987), 19–32. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lempert 1981] L. Lempert, “La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **109**:4 (1981), 427–474. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li 2009] Q. Li, “Constant rank theorem in complex variables”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58**:3 (2009), 1235–1256. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015a] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström, “Applications of the duality between the complex Monge–Ampère equation and the Hele–Shaw flow”, preprint, 2015. To appear in *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*. [arXiv](#)
- [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015b] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström, “Harmonic discs of solutions to the complex homogeneous Monge–Ampère equation”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **122** (2015), 315–335. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Ross and Witt Nyström 2015c] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström, “The Hele-Shaw flow and moduli of holomorphic discs”, *Compos. Math.* **151**:12 (2015), 2301–2328. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ross and Witt Nyström 2017a] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström, “Envelopes of positive metrics with prescribed singularities”, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **26**:3 (2017), 687–728. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ross and Witt Nyström 2017b] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström, “Homogeneous Monge–Ampère equations and canonical tubular neighbourhoods in Kähler geometry”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2017**:23 (2017), 7069–7108. [MR](#)
- [Semmes 1992] S. Semmes, “Complex Monge–Ampère and symplectic manifolds”, *Amer. J. Math.* **114**:3 (1992), 495–550. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Singer, Wong, Yau, and Yau 1985] I. M. Singer, B. Wong, S.-T. Yau, and S. S.-T. Yau, “An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) **12**:2 (1985), 319–333. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Székelyhidi and Weinkove 2016] G. Székelyhidi and B. Weinkove, “On a constant rank theorem for nonlinear elliptic PDEs”, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **36**:11 (2016), 6523–6532. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 8 Dec 2017. Revised 6 Apr 2018. Accepted 14 May 2018.

JULIUS ROSS: [j.ross@dpms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:j.ross@dpms.cam.ac.uk)

*Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*

DAVID WITT NYSTRÖM: [wittnyst@chalmers.se](mailto:wittnyst@chalmers.se)

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden*

# Analysis & PDE

[msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde)

## EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard

[patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr](mailto:patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr)

Université Paris Sud XI

Orsay, France

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy <a href="mailto:berti@sissa.it">berti@sissa.it</a>	Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK <a href="mailto:c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk">c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk</a>
Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:chang@math.princeton.edu">chang@math.princeton.edu</a>	Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:mueller@math.uni-bonn.de">mueller@math.uni-bonn.de</a>
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:mchrist@math.berkeley.edu">mchrist@math.berkeley.edu</a>	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 <a href="mailto:pisier@math.tamu.edu">pisier@math.tamu.edu</a>
Alessio Figalli	ETH Zurich, Switzerland <a href="mailto:alessio.figalli@math.ethz.ch">alessio.figalli@math.ethz.ch</a>	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland <a href="mailto:riviere@math.ethz.ch">riviere@math.ethz.ch</a>
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:cf@math.princeton.edu">cf@math.princeton.edu</a>	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:irod@math.princeton.edu">irod@math.princeton.edu</a>
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:ursula@math.uni-bonn.de">ursula@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA <a href="mailto:serfaty@cims.nyu.edu">serfaty@cims.nyu.edu</a>
Vaughan Jones	U.C. Berkeley & Vanderbilt University <a href="mailto:vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu">vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu</a>	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA <a href="mailto:siu@math.harvard.edu">siu@math.harvard.edu</a>
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA <a href="mailto:vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com">vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com</a>	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA <a href="mailto:tao@math.ucla.edu">tao@math.ucla.edu</a>
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:koch@math.uni-bonn.de">koch@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:met@math.unc.edu">met@math.unc.edu</a>
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada <a href="mailto:ilaba@math.ubc.ca">ilaba@math.ubc.ca</a>	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA <a href="mailto:gunther@math.washington.edu">gunther@math.washington.edu</a>
Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France <a href="mailto:lebeau@unice.fr">lebeau@unice.fr</a>	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:andras@math.stanford.edu">andras@math.stanford.edu</a>
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA <a href="mailto:rbb@math.mit.edu">rbb@math.mit.edu</a>	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:dvv@math.berkeley.edu">dvv@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France <a href="mailto:Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr">Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr</a>	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA <a href="mailto:zelditch@math.northwestern.edu">zelditch@math.northwestern.edu</a>
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:minicozz@math.jhu.edu">minicozz@math.jhu.edu</a>	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:zvorski@math.berkeley.edu">zvorski@math.berkeley.edu</a>

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$310/year for the electronic version, and \$520/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 12 No. 2 2019

---

A unified flow approach to smooth, even $L_p$ -Minkowski problems PAUL BRYAN, MOHAMMAD N. IVAKI and JULIAN SCHEUER	259
The Muskat problem in two dimensions: equivalence of formulations, well-posedness, and regularity results BOGDAN-VASILE MATIOC	281
Maximal gain of regularity in velocity averaging lemmas DIOGO ARSÉNIO and NADER MASMOUDI	333
On the existence and stability of blowup for wave maps into a negatively curved target ROLAND DONNINGER and IRFAN GLOGIĆ	389
Fracture with healing: A first step towards a new view of cavitation GILLES FRANCFORT, ALESSANDRO GIACOMINI and OSCAR LOPEZ-PAMIES	417
General Clark model for finite-rank perturbations CONSTANZE LIAW and SERGEI TREIL	449
On the maximal rank problem for the complex homogeneous Monge–Ampère equation JULIUS ROSS and DAVID WITT NYSTRÖM	493
A viscosity approach to the Dirichlet problem for degenerate complex Hessian-type equations SŁAWOMIR DINEW, HOANG-SON DO and TAT DAT TÔ	505
Resolvent estimates for spacetimes bounded by Killing horizons ORAN GANNOT	537
Interpolation by conformal minimal surfaces and directed holomorphic curves ANTONIO ALARCÓN and ILDEFONSO CASTRO-INFANTES	561