

## SMASH PRODUCTS AND COMODULES OF LINEAR MAPS

By

K. -H. ULBRICH\*

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $A$  be a  $G$ -graded algebra over a commutative ring  $k$ . Consider the  $G$ -graded right  $A$ -module  $U = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} A(\sigma)$  where  $A(\sigma) = A$  has grading shifted by  $\sigma$ . Năstăsescu and Rodinò [5] proved that

$$(1) \quad \text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U) * G \cong \text{End}_A(U), \quad \text{and} \quad A \# k[G]^* \cong \text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U)$$

where  $\text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U)$  denotes the algebra of graded  $A$ -endomorphisms of  $U$ , and  $*$  means crossed product, [5], Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. The proofs are given by some explicit matrix computations relying on a graded isomorphism  $\text{End}_A(U) \cong M_n(A)$ ,  $n = |G|$ , [5], Prop. 1.1. The first isomorphism of (1) has recently been generalized to

$$(2) \quad \text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U) * G \cong \text{END}_A(U), \quad [2], \text{Thm. 3.3,}$$

for not necessarily finite groups  $G$ . The purpose of this paper is to give Hopf algebraic versions of (1) and (2). Write  $H = k[G]$ . First note that the above crossed products are also smash products. Furthermore, a  $G$ -graded  $k$ -module is the same as an  $H$ -comodule, and the  $A$ -isomorphism

$$U \xrightarrow{\sim} H \otimes A, \quad a(\sigma) \longmapsto \sigma^{-1} \otimes a(\sigma), \quad a(\sigma) \in A(\sigma),$$

is  $H$ -colinear where  $H \otimes A$  has coaction  $\alpha: H \otimes A \rightarrow H \otimes A \otimes H$  defined by

$$(3) \quad \alpha(h \otimes a) = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} a_{(1)}, \quad h \in H, a \in A.$$

Now let  $H$  be any Hopf algebra over  $k$  and set  $U = H \otimes A$  for a right  $H$ -comodule algebra  $A$ . Let  $\text{End}_A^H(U)$  be the algebra of right  $A$ -linear maps  $U \rightarrow U$  which are colinear with respect to (3). We shall generalize (1), for  $H$  finite over  $k$ , to

$$(4) \quad \text{End}_A^H(U) \# H \cong \text{End}_A(U) \quad \text{and} \quad A \# H^* \cong \text{End}_A^H(U).$$

It was pointed out in [5] that (1) implies the duality theorems of Cohen and Montgomery [4]. Correspondingly, (4) may be viewed as an improvement of the duality result for finite Hopf algebras [3], Cor. 2.7. Note that the second

---

\* Supported by a grant from JSPS.

Received February 27, 1989. Revised October 4, 1989.

isomorphism of (4) gives a natural interpretation for an arbitrary smash product by a finite Hopf algebra.

Comodules of the form  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  seem not have been considered yet for Hopf algebras others than  $k[G]$ . We introduce them here for arbitrary, projective Hopf algebras in section 2. We can then generalize (2) (and the first isomorphism of (4)) to

$$\text{End}_A^H(U) \# H \cong \text{END}_A(U)$$

for projective Hopf algebras. This turns out to be a special case of Theorem 2.4 which also includes [2], Thm. 3.6 (1), and shows that the finiteness conditions assumed there are not necessary.

Throughout the following,  $H$  denotes a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring  $k$ , and  $A$  a right  $H$ -comodule algebra. Recall that a Hopf  $A$ -module is a right  $A$ -module  $M$  supplied with a right  $H$ -comodule structure  $\alpha: M \rightarrow M \otimes H$  such that

$$(5) \quad \alpha(ma) = \sum m_{(0)} a_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} a_{(1)}, \quad m \in M, a \in A.$$

In case  $H=A$ , the descent theorem for Hopf  $H$ -modules says that the  $H$ -(co)-linear map

$$M^H \otimes H \longrightarrow M, \quad m \otimes h \longmapsto mh,$$

is an isomorphism ([1], Thm. 3.1.8). Here  $M^H = \{m \in M \mid \alpha(m) = m \otimes 1\}$ . If  $H$  is finite over  $k$ , a right  $H$ -module  $M$  is a Hopf  $H$ -module iff  $M$  is a left  $H^*$ -module satisfying

$$g(mh) = \sum (g_{(1)} m)(g_{(2)} h), \quad g \in H^*, m \in M, h \in H.$$

As usual,  $H^* = \text{Hom}_k(H, k)$  denotes the dual Hopf algebra (for  $H$  finite over  $k$ ), and  $H$  is viewed as a left  $H^*$ -module by  $gh = \sum h_{(1)} \langle g, h_{(2)} \rangle$ . For a left  $H$ -module algebra  $B$  the smash product algebra  $B \# H$  is  $B \otimes H$  with multiplication defined by

$$(b' \otimes h)(b \otimes h') = \sum b'(h_{(1)} b) \otimes h_{(2)} h',$$

for  $b, b' \in B, h, h' \in H$ . The antipode and counit of a Hopf algebra will be denoted by  $\lambda$  and  $\varepsilon$ , respectively. We write  $\otimes = \otimes_k$ .

1. Let  $M$  be a left  $H$ - and right  $A$ -module such that

$$(6) \quad (hm)a = h(ma), \quad h \in H, m \in M, a \in A.$$

For  $h \in H$  and  $\phi \in \text{End}_A(M)$  define  $h\phi \in \text{End}_A(M)$  by

$$(7) \quad (h\phi)(m) = \sum h_{(1)}\phi(\lambda(h_{(2)})m), \quad m \in M.$$

Then  $\text{End}_A(M)$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra [6], and

$$\text{End}_A(M) \# H \longrightarrow \text{End}_A(M), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

is a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras, where  $(\phi h)(m) = \phi(hm)$ . Assume that  $M$  has also a right  $H$ -comodule structure  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$  satisfying

$$(8) \quad \alpha(hm) = \sum h_{(1)}m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{(1)}, \quad h \in H, m \in M.$$

Let  $\text{End}_A^H(M)$  be the  $k$ -algebra of  $A$ -linear and  $H$ -colinear maps  $M \rightarrow M$ .

LEMMA 1.1.  $\text{End}_A^H(M)$  is an  $H$ -submodule algebra of  $\text{End}_A(M)$ .

The easy proof is left to the reader.

In the following we consider  $M = H \otimes A = U$  with  $H$ -comodule structure defined by (3);  $U$  is naturally a left  $H$ - and right  $A$ -module satisfying (5), (6) and (8).

LEMMA 1.2. Suppose the antipode  $\lambda$  of  $H$  is bijective. Then

$$(9) \quad \chi : \text{End}_A^H(U) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(H, A), \quad \chi(\phi)(h) = (\varepsilon \otimes 1)\phi(h \otimes 1),$$

is an isomorphism of  $k$ -modules.

PROOF. Define  $\text{Hom}_k(H, A) \rightarrow \text{End}_A^H(U)$ ,  $v \mapsto \tilde{v}$ , by

$$\tilde{v}(h \otimes a) = \sum h_{(2)}\lambda^{-1}(v(h_{(1)})_{(1)}) \otimes v(h_{(1)})_{(0)}a,$$

for  $h \in H$ ,  $a \in A$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{v}$  is  $H$ -colinear. Clearly  $\chi(\tilde{v}) = v$ . Let  $\phi \in \text{End}_A^H(U)$ ,  $h \in H$ , and write  $\phi(h \otimes 1) = \sum h_i \otimes a_i$ . The colinearity of  $\phi$  implies for  $v = \chi(\phi)$

$$\sum a_{i(0)} \otimes h_i a_{i(1)} = \sum v(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h \otimes 1) &= \sum h_i a_{i(2)} \lambda^{-1}(a_{i(1)}) \otimes a_{i(0)} \\ &= \sum h_{(2)} \lambda^{-1}(v(h_{(1)})_{(1)}) \otimes v(h_{(1)})_{(0)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. If the comodule structure of  $A$  is trivial then (9) is an algebra map where  $\text{Hom}_k(H, A)$  has the opposite convolution product. (The bijectivity of  $\lambda$  is not needed in this case.)

Suppose now that  $H$  is finite over  $k$ . For  $a \in A$  and  $g \in H^*$  define  $a^0, g^0 : U \rightarrow U$  by

$$a^0(h \otimes b) = \sum h \lambda^{-1}(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(0)} b,$$

$$g^0(h \otimes b) = g^0(h) \otimes b = \sum h_{(2)} \langle g, h_{(1)} \rangle \otimes b,$$

for  $h \in H$ ,  $b \in A$ . It is not difficult to see that  $a^0$  and  $g^0$  are  $H$ -colinear. Furthermore,  $(aa')^0 = a^0 a'^0$ , while  $(gg')^0 = g'^0 g^0$ . Note that  $g^0(h) = gh$  if  $H$  is cocommutative.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $H$  be a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra over  $k$ ,  $A$  a right  $H$ -comodule algebra, and  $U = H \otimes A$  with comodule structure defined by (3). Then*

$$(10) \quad \text{End}_A^H(U) \# H \longrightarrow \text{End}_A(U), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

and

$$(11) \quad A \# H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}_A^H(U), \quad a \otimes g \longmapsto a^0 \lambda(g)^0,$$

are isomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras.

**PROOF.** That (10) is bijective is a special case of Theorem 2.4 below. It may be worth, however, to give here a separate proof for the finite case. We claim that the right  $H$ -module  $\text{End}_A(U)$  is a Hopf module satisfying

$$(12) \quad \text{End}_A^H(U) = \text{End}_A(U)^H.$$

It suffices to exhibit a corresponding left  $H^*$ -module structure. View  $A$  and  $U$  as left  $H^*$ -modules in the natural way. Then

$$gu = \sum g_{(1)} h \otimes g_{(2)} a, \quad \text{for } u = h \otimes a, g \in H^*.$$

Now  $\text{End}_A(U)$  becomes a left  $H^*$ -module by the formula (7), (with  $h$  replaced by  $g \in H^*$ ). That  $g\phi$  is  $A$ -linear follows in the present case from  $g(ua) = \sum (g_{(1)} u)(g_{(2)} a)$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $a \in A$ . Furthermore, we have  $g(hu) = \sum (g_{(1)} h)(g_{(2)} u)$  for  $h \in H$ ,  $u \in U$ , and this implies  $g(\phi h) = \sum (g_{(1)} \phi)(g_{(2)} h)$ , as is easy to see. Thus  $\text{End}_A(U)$  is a Hopf  $H$ -module. If  $\phi \in \text{End}_A(U)$  is  $H^*$ -linear, then clearly  $g\phi = \varepsilon(g)\phi$  for all  $g \in H^*$ . Conversely, if the latter holds, then

$$g(\phi(u)) = \sum (g_{(1)} \phi)(g_{(2)} u) = \sum \varepsilon(g_{(1)}) \phi(g_{(2)} u) = \phi(gu),$$

so that  $\phi$  is  $H^*$ -linear. Hence (12) holds, and (10) is an isomorphism by the descent theorem for Hopf modules.

The composite of (11) and (9) gives the map

$$A \otimes H^* \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(H, A), \quad a \otimes g \longmapsto (h \mapsto a \langle \lambda(g), h \rangle).$$

This is bijective, since  $H$  is finite over  $k$ . Hence (11) is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.2. That (11) is an algebra map follows from

$$g^0 a^0 = \sum (\lambda^{-1}(g_{(2)})a)^0 g_{(1)}^0,$$

which may be verified by evaluating on elements  $h \otimes 1$ .

2. We assume throughout the following that  $H$  is projective over  $k$ . As before,  $A$  denotes a right  $H$ -comodule algebra. We want to define comodules  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  which generalize those defined for graded modules.

Fix Hopf  $A$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . For  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  define  $\alpha(\phi) \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes H)$  by

$$(13) \quad \alpha(\phi)(m) = \sum \phi(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}), \quad m \in M.$$

(That  $\alpha(\phi)$  is  $A$ -linear follows from (5).) Evidently,

$$(14) \quad (1 \otimes \varepsilon)\alpha(\phi) = \phi, \quad \phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N).$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ . Then  $\phi$  is  $H$ -colinear if and only if  $\alpha(\phi)(m) = \phi(m) \otimes 1$  for all  $m \in M$ .*

PROOF. " $\Rightarrow$ ": This is obvious. " $\Leftarrow$ ": We have, by (13) with  $m$  replaced by  $m_{(0)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \phi(m_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)} &= \sum \phi(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) m_{(2)} \\ &= \sum \phi(m)_{(0)} \otimes \phi(m)_{(1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define the  $k$ -module  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  to consist of all  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  for which there exists an element  $\sum \phi_{(0)} \otimes \phi_{(1)} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H$  such that

$$\alpha(\phi)(m) = \sum \phi_{(0)}(m) \otimes \phi_{(1)}, \quad m \in M.$$

Note that, since  $H$  is projective,  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H$  may be viewed as a submodule of  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes H)$ , and we may simply write

$$\alpha(\phi) = \sum \phi_{(0)} \otimes \phi_{(1)}, \quad \phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N).$$

Clearly,  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  if  $H$  is finite over  $k$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ . Then  $\alpha(\phi) \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ , and  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  is a right  $H$ -comodule. Furthermore,  $\text{END}_A(M) = \text{HOM}_A(M, M)$  is a right  $H$ -comodule algebra.*

PROOF. Let  $m \in M$ . We have by definition of  $\alpha(\phi_{(0)})$ , and by (13) with  $m$

replaced by  $m_{(0)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha(\psi_{(0)})(m) \otimes \psi_{(1)} &= \sum \psi_{(0)}(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \psi_{(0)}(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) \otimes \psi_{(1)} \\ &= \sum \psi(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \psi(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(2)}) \otimes \psi(m_{(0)})_{(2)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum \psi_{(0)}(m) \otimes \psi_{(1)} \otimes \psi_{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\alpha \otimes 1)\alpha(\psi) = (1 \otimes \delta)\alpha(\psi)$  for  $\delta$  the comultiplication of  $H$ . This also implies that  $\alpha(\psi)$  lies in  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ . For,  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  is the pull back for  $a$  and the canonical map  $\kappa: \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes H)$ , and  $(-)\otimes H$  preserves finite limits since  $H$  is flat. Thus  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$  is the pull back for  $\alpha \otimes id_H$  and  $\kappa \otimes id_H$ , and  $(\alpha \otimes 1)\alpha(\psi) \in \text{Im}(\kappa \otimes 1)$  implies  $\alpha(\psi) \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ .

Next let  $\phi, \psi \in \text{END}_A(M)$ . The definition of  $\sum \psi_{(0)} \otimes \psi_{(1)}$  implies

$$\sum \phi(m_{(0)}) \otimes \lambda(m_{(1)}) = \sum \psi_{(0)}(m)_{(0)} \otimes \lambda(\psi_{(0)}(m)_{(1)}) \psi_{(1)}.$$

From this we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\phi\psi)(m) &= \sum (\phi\psi(m_{(0)}))_{(0)} \otimes (\phi\psi(m_{(0)}))_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum \phi(\psi_{(0)}(m)_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(\psi_{(0)}(m)_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(\psi_{(0)}(m)_{(1)}) \psi_{(1)} \\ &= \sum \phi_{(0)}\psi_{(0)}(m) \otimes \phi_{(1)}\psi_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\alpha(\phi\psi) = \alpha(\phi)\alpha(\psi)$ , and this completes the proof.

EXAMPLE. Let  $H = k[G]$  for a group  $G$ . Hence  $A$  is a  $G$ -graded  $k$ -algebra, and  $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma} M_{\sigma}$ ,  $N = \bigoplus_{\sigma} N_{\sigma}$  are  $G$ -graded right  $A$ -modules. Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  and  $m_{\sigma} \in M_{\sigma}$ . Then

$$\alpha(\phi)(m_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\rho} \phi(m_{\sigma})_{\rho} \otimes \rho \sigma^{-1} = \sum_{\tau} \phi(m_{\sigma})_{\tau\sigma} \otimes \tau.$$

This shows  $\phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  iff  $\phi = \sum_{\tau} \phi_{\tau} \in \bigoplus_{\tau} H_{\tau}$  (see (14)) with

$$H_{\tau} = \{ \phi_{\tau} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \mid \phi_{\tau}(M_{\sigma}) \subset N_{\tau\sigma}, \sigma \in G \},$$

and in this case  $\alpha(\phi) = \sum_{\tau} \phi_{\tau} \otimes \tau$ . Hence our definition of  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  coincides for  $H = k[G]$  with the usual one for graded modules.

Suppose in the following that  $M$  is also a left  $H$ -module satisfying (6) and (8); hence  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is a right  $H$ -module with  $(\phi h)(m) = \phi(hm)$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $\phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  and  $h \in H$ . Then*

$$\alpha(\phi h) = \sum \psi_{(0)} h_{(1)} \otimes \psi_{(1)} h_{(2)}.$$

In particular,  $\phi h \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ .

PROOF. From (8) (with  $h$  replaced by  $h_{(1)}$ ) one obtains

$$\sum (h_{(1)}m)_{(0)} \otimes \lambda((h_{(1)}m)_{(1)})h_{(2)} = \sum hm_{(0)} \otimes \lambda(m_{(1)}).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\phi h)(m) &= \sum \phi(hm_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(hm_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum \phi((h_{(1)}m)_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi((h_{(1)}m)_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda((h_{(1)}m)_{(1)})h_{(2)} \\ &= \sum \phi_{(0)}(h_{(1)}m) \otimes \phi_{(1)}h_{(2)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $H$  be a projective Hopf  $k$ -algebra,  $A$  a right  $H$ -comodule algebra, and  $M, N$  Hopf  $A$ -modules. Suppose  $M$  is also a left  $H$ -module satisfying (6) and (8). Then

$$\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N) \otimes H \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_A(M, N), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

is an isomorphism of right  $H$ -comodules, where  $\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N)$  denotes the  $k$ -module of  $A$ -linear and  $H$ -colinear maps  $M \rightarrow N$ . Furthermore,

$$\text{End}_A^H(M) \# H \longrightarrow \text{END}_A(M), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

is an isomorphism of right  $H$ -comodule algebras.

PROOF.  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  is a Hopf  $H$ -module by Lemma 2.3, and

$$\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N) = \text{HOM}_A(M, N)^H$$

holds by Lemma 2.1. Hence the result follows from the descent theorem for Hopf  $H$ -modules.

REMARK 2. Assume that  $H$  is finite over  $k$ . Then  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ , and the corresponding  $H^*$ -module structure is

$$(g\phi)(m) = \sum g_{(1)}\phi(\lambda(g_{(2)})m)$$

for  $g \in H^*$  and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ . In this case theorem 2.4 may be proved entirely in the same way as the bijectivity of (10).

Clearly, Theorem 2.4 applies to  $M = U = H \otimes A$ . More generally, one may consider  $U(M) = H \otimes M$ , for any Hopf  $A$ -module  $M$ , with comodule structure  $h \otimes m \rightarrow \sum h_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} m_{(1)}$ . Then

$$\text{End}_A^H(U(M)) \# H \cong \text{END}_A(U(M)).$$

This shows for  $H=k[G]$  that [2], Thm. 3.6 (1) holds without any finiteness conditions on  $G$  or  $M$ .

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to the referee who suggested some improvements on the first version of the paper.

### References

- [1] Abe, E., Hopf algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press 1980.
- [2] Albu, T. and Năstăsescu, C., Infinite group-graded rings, rings of endomorphisms, and localization, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **59** (1989), 125-150.
- [3] Blattner, R.J. and Montgomery, S., A duality theorem for Hopf module algebras, J. Algebra **95** (1985), 153-172.
- [4] Cohen, M. and Montgomery, S., Group-graded rings, smash products and group actions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1984), 237-258.
- [5] Năstăsescu, C. and Rodinò, N., Group graded rings and smash products, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **74** (1985), 129-137.
- [6] Long, F.W., The Brauer group of dimodule algebras, J. Algebra **30** (1974), 559-601.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki 305, Japan