

LOGARITHMIC UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION OF ($\alpha n + \beta \log n$)

By

R. F. TICHY and G. TURNWALD

1. Introduction

A sequence $\omega = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers is said to be uniformly distributed modulo 1 if the proportion of indices $n \leq N$ such that the fractional parts $\{x_n\}$ are contained in an interval $I \subseteq [0, 1)$ is asymptotically equal to the length of I . Put $\chi(x; y) = 1$ for $\{y\} < x$ and $\chi(x; y) = 0$ otherwise; then ω is uniformly distributed if and only if

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi(x; x_n) = x \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1.$$

It is well known (cf. the monographs [1] and [3]) that (1) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N^*(\omega) = 0,$$

where

$$D_N^*(\omega) = \sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi(x; x_n) - x \right|$$

denotes the discrepancy of the sequence ω . The systematic study of uniformly distributed sequences was initiated by H. Weyl [9]. Well known examples of uniformly distributed sequences are (αn) with irrational α and (\sqrt{n}) ; $(\log n)$ is known not to be uniformly distributed, but Tsuji [8] proved that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) = x \quad (0 < x < 1)$$

for $x_n = \log n$. A sequence $\omega = (x_n)$ with this property is said to be uniformly distributed with respect to the logarithmic mean. This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\omega) = 0,$$

where

$$D_N(\omega) = \sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \right|$$

denotes the logarithmic discrepancy of ω (cf. [5]). In a recent article E. Hlawka [2] investigated the sequence $(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$ ($\beta \neq 0$) with respect to the logarithmic mean. He proved upper bounds for exponential sums from which (by the inequality of Erdős-Turan) we may conclude $D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq c(\beta)/\sqrt{\log N}$. In [7] the first author proved a theorem that gives upper bounds for the discrepancy with respect to general weights and remarked that these estimates in fact give $D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq c(\beta)/\log N$. Unfortunately the hypotheses of the theorem are not satisfied and it remains an open problem to prove this estimate (which essentially would be best possible; cf. [5]). In the next section we prove $D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq c(\alpha, \beta)/\log N$ provided α is rational or of finite approximation type. In §3 we obtain $D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq c_1(\beta)(\log \log N)^2/\log N$ by means of estimates for the exponential sums

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots),$$

which are accomplished by a generalization of van der Corput's method.

Notations. As usual $[t]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq t$ and the fractional part is given by $\{t\} = t - [t]$; furthermore we put $\psi(t) = \{t\} - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|t\| = \min(\{t\}, 1 - \{t\})$. In §2 we make use of the notations $\lfloor t \rfloor =$ maximal integer $< t$ and $\lceil t \rceil =$ minimal integer $\geq t$. It is easy to see that $\lceil t \rceil = \lfloor t \rfloor + 1$ and $\lceil t \rceil = -[-t]$.

2. Elementary Estimates

We try to establish an upper bound $c/\log N$ for $D_N(\omega)$, where $\omega = (\alpha n + \beta \log n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Given $\beta > 0$ we will prove that we may choose an absolute constant $c = c(\beta)$ valid for a large class of α 's.

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that $0 \leq \{\alpha\} \leq c_0/\log N$ (c_0 a given positive constant). Then*

$$D_N(\omega) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{c_0 + 2 + \beta + 1/\beta + e^{1/\beta}}{\log N}$$

PROOF. Let $f(u) = \{\alpha\} u + \beta \log u - \{\alpha\}$. Then $f'(u) > 0$ and $uf'(u) = \{\alpha\} u + \beta$ is increasing.

Let k be the largest integer such that $\lceil f^{-1}(k) \rceil \leq N$. Then we have for $0 < x < 1$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil \leq n < \lceil f^{-1}(j+1) \rceil} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) + \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(k) \rceil \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)).$$

The second sum can be estimated in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(k) \rceil \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) &\leq \frac{N - f^{-1}(k) + 1}{f^{-1}(k)} \leq \frac{N}{f^{-1}(k)} \leq \frac{f^{-1}(k+1)}{f^{-1}(k)} \\ &= e^{(\log f^{-1}(k+1) - \log f^{-1}(k))} = e^{1/(f^{-1}(\xi_k) f'(f^{-1}(\xi_k)))} \\ &= e^{1/(\{\alpha\} f^{-1}(\xi_k) + \beta)} \leq e^{1/\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \leq \xi_k \leq k+1$.

Since (for positive reals $A < B$)

$$\sum_{A \leq n < B} \frac{1}{n} = \log \frac{B}{A} + \frac{\theta}{A} \quad (\text{with } |\theta| \leq 1),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_j &= \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil \leq n < \lceil f^{-1}(j+1) \rceil} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) = \sum_{f^{-1}(j) \leq n < f^{-1}(j+x)} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \log f^{-1}(j+x) - \log f^{-1}(j) + \frac{\theta_j}{f^{-1}(j)} \quad (|\theta_j| \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the mean value theorem,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_j = x \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{f^{-1}(\xi_{x,j}) f'(f^{-1}(\xi_{x,j}))} + \theta \left(1 + \int_0^{f(N)} \frac{du}{f^{-1}(u)} \right)$$

for some $\xi_{x,j}$ with $j \leq \xi_{x,j} \leq j+1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{f^{-1}(\xi_{x,j}) f'(f^{-1}(\xi_{x,j}))} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{f^{-1}(j) f'(f^{-1}(j))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{f'(1)} + \int_0^{f(N)} \frac{du}{f^{-1}(u) f'(f^{-1}(u))} \leq \frac{1}{\beta} + \int_1^N \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{\beta} + \log N, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{f^{-1}(\xi_{x,j}) f'(f^{-1}(\xi_{x,j}))} &\geq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{f^{-1}(j+1) f'(f^{-1}(j+1))} \\ &= \frac{-1}{f'(1)} + \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{f^{-1}(j) f'(f^{-1}(j))} \geq -\frac{1}{f'(1)} + \int_0^{k+1} \frac{du}{f^{-1}(u) f'(f^{-1}(u))} \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{f'(1)} + \int_0^{f(N)} \frac{du}{f^{-1}(u) f'(f^{-1}(u))} \geq -\frac{1}{\beta} + \log N \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^{f(N)} \frac{du}{f^{-1}(u)} = \int_1^N \frac{f'(t) dt}{t} = \{\alpha\} \int_1^N \frac{dt}{t} + \beta \int_1^N \frac{dt}{t^2} \leq \{\alpha\} \log N + \beta \leq c_0 + \beta,$$

we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_j - x \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \right| \leq 1 + c_0 + \beta + \frac{1}{\beta} + x \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} - \log N \right| \leq 2 + c_0 + \beta + \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

Hence

$$D_N(\omega) \leq 2D_N(f(n)) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{c_0 + 2 + \beta + 1/\beta + e^{1/\beta}}{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}},$$

thus proving the theorem.

REMARK 1. The above arguments essentially reproduce the proof of Satz 3 in [7]. (We want to note that in the estimate for $D_N^*(P, x_n)$ the integral should be replaced by $\int_1^N p'(u)f'(u) du$.)

REMARK 2. By Theorem 2.1. we have

$$D_N \left(\frac{n}{N} + \beta \log n; n=1, \dots, N \right) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{3 + \beta + 1/\beta + e^{1/\beta}}{\log N},$$

since $\log N/N \leq 1/e < 1 = c_0$.

In order to prove that $D_N(\omega) \leq c(\beta)/\log N$ uniformly for all α it would suffice to prove this for all rational α 's. This follows immediately from

$$|D_N(\omega) - D_N(\omega')| \leq \varepsilon$$

where $\omega = (x_n)$, $\omega' = (x'_n)$ such that $|x_n - x'_n| \leq \varepsilon$ (special case of [5], Satz 6). Unfortunately we did not succeed in establishing the existence of such a bound $c(\beta)$ and we must content ourselves with

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\alpha = p/q$ ($0 < p < q$; p, q integers) and $\beta > 0$. Then for $\omega = (x_n) = ((p/q)n + \beta \log n)$

$$\left| \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} \right| \leq K(\beta) = \frac{2}{\beta} + 2 + 3 \frac{e^{2/\beta}}{e^{1/\beta} - 1} \quad (0 \leq x < 1)$$

and

$$D_N(\omega) \leq \frac{1 + K(\beta) + \log q}{\log N}.$$

PROOF. Put $b = e^{1/\beta} > 1$ and let l, k be the largest integers such that $b^l \leq q$, $b^k \leq N$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) &= - \sum_{b^l \leq n < q} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) + \sum_{j=l}^{k-1} \sum_{b^j \leq n < b^{j+1}} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) + \sum_{b^k \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) \\ &= -I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

We have for the first and the third term

$$I \leq \sum_{b^l \leq n < b^{l+1}} \frac{1}{n} \leq \log b + 1, \quad III \leq \sum_{b^k \leq n < b^{k+1}} \frac{1}{n} \leq \log b + 1.$$

For the remaining term we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \sum_{j=l}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \sum_{b^j \leq mq+r < b^{j+1}} \frac{1}{mq+r} \chi \left(x; \frac{rp}{q} + \log_b(mq+r) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=l}^{k-1} \sum_{\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\} < x} \left(\sum_{b^j \leq mq+r < b^{j+\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\}+x}} \frac{1}{mq+r} + \sum_{b^{j+1-\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\}} \leq mq+r < b^{j+1}} \frac{1}{mq+r} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=l}^{k-1} \sum_{\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\} \geq x} \sum_{b^{j+1-\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\}} \leq mq+r < b^{j+1+x-\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\}}} \frac{1}{mq+r} \\ &= \sum_{j=l}^{k-1} \sum_{\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\} < x} \left(\frac{1}{q} \log b^x + \frac{\theta_{jr} + \theta'_{jr}}{b^j} \right) + \sum_{j=l}^{k-1} \sum_{\left\{ \frac{rp}{q} \right\} \geq x} \left(\frac{1}{q} \log b^x + \frac{\theta''_{jr}}{b^j} \right) \\ &= (k-l)x \log b + \frac{3\theta'q}{1-\frac{1}{b}} \frac{1}{b^l} = x(\log N - \log q) + \theta'' \log b + \frac{3\theta''b^2}{b-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where all θ 's are non specified numbers with $|\theta| \leq 1$. Combining the estimates for I, II and III we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) &= x(\log N - \log q) + \theta' \left(2 \log b + 1 + \frac{3b^2}{b-1} \right) \\ &= x \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} + \theta \left(2 \log b + 2 + \frac{3b^2}{b-1} \right) \quad (|\theta| \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second part of the theorem we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{n} \right| + \left| \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \sum_{n=q}^N \frac{1}{n} \right| \\ &\leq 1 + \log q + 2 \log b + 2 + \frac{3b^2}{b-1} \end{aligned}$$

(for $N \geq q$, whereas the result is trivial for $N < q$).

REMARK 1. Taking $c_0 = \{\alpha\} \log q$ in the last line of the proof of Theorem 2.1. we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^q \frac{1}{n} D_q(\omega) \leq 2 \left(\{\alpha\} \log q + 2 + \beta + \frac{1}{\beta} + e^{1/\beta} \right).$$

Hence, instead of taking the trivial bound $1 + \log q$, we may write

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{1}{n} D_q(\omega) \leq 1 + 2 \left(\{\alpha\} \log q + 2 + \beta + \frac{1}{\beta} + e^{1/\beta} \right).$$

This gives $D_N(\omega) \leq 2(\{\alpha\} \log q + (3 + \beta + 1/\beta + K(\beta) + e^{1/\beta})) / \log N$. As a special case we obtain a uniform bound for all $\alpha = p/q$ with $0 < p/q \leq 1/\log q$.

REMARK 2. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; x_n) - x \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} (\chi(x; x_n) - x) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\chi(x; x_n) - x) \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n+1} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\chi(x; x_j) - x) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n+1} D_n^*(\omega) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(1) \quad D_N(\omega) \leq \frac{1}{\log N} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n+1} D_n^*(\omega) + 1 \right),$$

where D_n^* denotes the usual discrepancy with respect to the arithmetic mean. In the following let α be an irrational number of finite approximation type $\eta \geq 1$, i.e. for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $c(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|q\alpha\| \geq \frac{c(\alpha, \varepsilon)}{q^{\eta+\varepsilon}}$$

for all integers $q \geq 1$. For such α 's rather good estimates of $D_N^*(\alpha n)$ are known. In order to utilize these we relate $D_N^*(\omega)$ to $D_N^*(\alpha n)$. For every positive integer h we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i h\alpha n} \cdot e^{2\pi i h\beta \log n} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i h\alpha n} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} 2\pi h\beta (\log(n+1) - \log n) \left| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i h\alpha j} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\|h\alpha\|} (1 + 2\pi\beta h \log N), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } \left| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i h\alpha j} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|\sin \pi h\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{2\|h\alpha\|}.$$

Now we use the inequality of Erdős and Turan (cf. [3], p. 112) together with the estimates

$$\sum_{h=1}^m \frac{1}{\|h\alpha\|} \leq c'(\alpha, \varepsilon) m^{\eta+\varepsilon}, \quad \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{1}{h\|h\alpha\|} \leq c''(\alpha, \varepsilon) \cdot m^{\eta-1+\varepsilon}$$

(cf. [3], p. 123) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_N^*(\omega) &\leq c_0 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{1}{h} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| \right) \\
&\leq c_0 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{1}{2h\|h\alpha\|} + \frac{\log N}{N} \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{\pi\beta}{\|h\alpha\|} \right) \\
&\leq c_1(\alpha, \varepsilon, \beta) \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{m^{\eta-1+\varepsilon}}{N} + \frac{\log N}{N} m^{\eta+\varepsilon} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $m = [N^{\frac{1}{\eta+1}}]$ we obtain for every $\varepsilon > 0$: $D_N^*(\omega) \leq c_2(\alpha, \varepsilon, \beta) N^{-\frac{1}{\eta+1}+\varepsilon}$. Inserting this in (1) yields

$$(2) \quad D_N(\omega) \leq \frac{c_3(\alpha, \beta)}{\log N}$$

provided that α is of finite approximation type.

The argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.1. may be refined to give the following result:

THEOREM 2.3. *Assume f to be a twice continuously differentiable (real-valued) function defined on $[1, \infty)$ such that*

$$f(1)=0, 0 < c_0 \leq f'(u) \leq c_1, \quad |f''(u)| \leq \frac{c_2}{u} \quad (u \geq 1).$$

Then for $0 \leq x < 1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) = x \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil f^{-1}(N) \rceil} \frac{\{-f^{-1}(j+x)\} - \{-f^{-1}(j)\}}{f^{-1}(j)} + O(1),$$

where the O -constant only depends on c_0, c_1, c_2 .

PROOF. Let k be the largest integer such that $\lceil f^{-1}(k) \rceil \leq N$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil \leq n < \lceil f^{-1}(j+1) \rceil} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) + \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(k) \rceil \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)).$$

The second sum can be estimated in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(k) \rceil \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) &\leq \frac{N - f^{-1}(k) + 1}{f^{-1}(k)} < \frac{f^{-1}(k+1) - f^{-1}(k) + 1}{f^{-1}(k)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{f^{-1}(k)f'(f^{-1}(\xi_k))} + \frac{1}{f^{-1}(k)} \leq \frac{1}{c_0} + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula

$$\sum_{l=1}^m \frac{1}{l} = \gamma + \log m + \frac{1}{2m} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil \leq n < \lceil f^{-1}(j+1) \rceil} \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) &= \sum_{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil \leq n \leq \lfloor f^{-1}(j+x) \rfloor} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil} + \log \lfloor f^{-1}(j+x) \rfloor - \log \lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2 \lfloor f^{-1}(j+x) \rfloor} - \frac{1}{2 \lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the O -constants are absolute noes. We note, that the above formula is valid even if $\lfloor f^{-1}(j+x) \rfloor < \lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil$. Next we observe that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lfloor f^{-1}(j) \rfloor^2} = O(1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \chi(x; f(n)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\log f^{-1}(j+x) - \log f^{-1}(j)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lceil f^{-1}(j+x) \rceil - f^{-1}(j+x)}{f^{-1}(j)} - \frac{\lceil f^{-1}(j) \rceil - f^{-1}(j)}{f^{-1}(j)} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

using Taylor's theorem, formula (3) and $\lceil t \rceil = \lfloor t \rfloor + 1$. The O -constants depend on c_0, c_1, c_2 . Since $\lceil t \rceil - t = -[-t] - t = \{-t\}$, the result may be written as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\log f^{-1}(j+x) - \log f^{-1}(j)) + \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil f^{-1}(N) \rceil} \frac{\{-f^{-1}(j+x)\} - \{-f^{-1}(j)\}}{f^{-1}(j)} + O(1).$$

By Taylor's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \log f^{-1}(j+x) - \log f^{-1}(j) &= x \frac{1}{f^{-1}(j)f'(f^{-1}(j))} + \frac{x^2}{2} \left(-\frac{f^{-1}(\xi)f''(f^{-1}(\xi)) + f'(f^{-1}(\xi))}{f^{-1}(\xi)^2 f'(f^{-1}(\xi))^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(for some ξ with $j \leq \xi \leq j+x$). The absolute value of the last expression is bounded above by

$$\frac{f^{-1}(\xi)|f''(f^{-1}(\xi))| + c_1}{f^{-1}(\xi)^2 c_0^3} \leq \frac{c_2 + c_1}{f^{-1}(j)^2 c_0^3} = O\left(\frac{1}{f^{-1}(j)^2}\right).$$

Hence (applying (3)) we obtain by Euler's summation formula

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\log f^{-1}(j+x) - \log f^{-1}(j)) = x \int_1^{\lceil f^{-1}(N) \rceil} \frac{dt}{f^{-1}(t)f'(f^{-1}(t))} + O(1).$$

The proof of the theorem is now completed by observing that

$$\int_1^{k-1} \frac{dt}{f^{-1}(t)f'(f^{-1}(t))} = \log f^{-1}(k-1) - \log f^{-1}(1) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} + O(1).$$

REMARK. The above theorem may be applied to the sequence $\omega = (\alpha n + \beta \log n)(\alpha, \beta \geq 0)$; we just have to consider $f(u) = (1 + \{\alpha\})u + \beta \log u - (1 + \{\alpha\})$. The existence of a bound $D_N(\omega) \leq c'(\beta)/\log N$ (uniformly in α) is equivalent to

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^M \frac{\{-f^{-1}(j+x)\} - \{-f^{-1}(j)\}}{f^{-1}(j)} \right| \leq c(\beta) \text{ for all } M, \alpha \text{ and } x.$$

3. Exponential Sums

In the following we give a refinement of [2], Satz 1 in the case of the logarithmic mean.

THEOREM 3.1. *For reals α, β ($\beta \neq 0$) and positive integers h we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=A}^B \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| \leq C(\beta) \left(\frac{\sqrt{h}}{A} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \right),$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| \leq \log h + 1 + 2C(\beta),$$

where A, B and N denote positive integers and $C(\beta)$ is a constant depending continuously on β .

PROOF. We begin by showing how to deduce the second formula from the first.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^h \frac{1}{n} + \left| \sum_{n=h+1}^N \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| \\ &\leq 1 + \log h + C(\beta) \left(\frac{\sqrt{h}}{h+1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \leq 1 + \log h + 2C(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

For the main part of the proof we require the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Let $A < B$ be positive reals. Then for arbitrary reals α, β ($\beta \neq 0$) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} |\beta|} \right) \frac{16}{\sqrt{\pi h} |b|}, \\ \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u^2} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi} |\beta|} \right) \frac{32}{A \sqrt{\pi h} |\beta|} \end{aligned}$$

for all positive integers h .

Proof of the Lemma. We may restrict ourselves to the case $\beta > 0$; otherwise we may

take the complex conjugate of the integral. If $\alpha u + \beta \neq 0$ for all u with $A \leq u \leq B$ then

$$\left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| = \left| \int_A^B \frac{(e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)})'}{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta)} du \right|.$$

By the second mean value theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^B \frac{(e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)})'}{\alpha u + \beta} du \right| &\leq \left| \int_A^B \frac{(\cos 2\pi h(\alpha u + \beta \log u))'}{\alpha u + \beta} du \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_A^B \frac{(\sin 2\pi h(\alpha u + \beta \log u))' du}{\alpha u + \beta} \right| \leq 2^3 \max_{A \leq u \leq B} \frac{1}{|\alpha u + \beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $u_0 = -\beta/\alpha \leq A$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \int_A^{(1+\varepsilon)A} \frac{du}{u} + \left| \int_{(1+\varepsilon)A}^{(1+\varepsilon)B} \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| + \int_B^{(1+\varepsilon)B} \frac{du}{u} \\ &\leq 2 \log(1+\varepsilon) + \frac{4}{\pi h \varepsilon \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\max_{(1+\varepsilon)A \leq u \leq (1+\varepsilon)B} \frac{1}{|\alpha u + \beta|} \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha(1+\varepsilon)u_0 + \beta|} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \beta}.$$

Let us now assume that $B \leq u_0$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \int_{(1-\varepsilon)A}^A \frac{du}{u} + \left| \int_{(1-\varepsilon)A}^{(1-\varepsilon)B} \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| + \int_{(1-\varepsilon)B}^B \frac{du}{u} \\ &\leq 2 \log \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{4}{\pi h \varepsilon \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\max_{(1-\varepsilon)A \leq u \leq (1-\varepsilon)B} \frac{1}{|\alpha u + \beta|} \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha(1-\varepsilon)u_0 + \beta|} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \beta}.$$

Hence for arbitrary A, B, ε with $0 < A < B, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we obtain

$$\left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| \leq 2 \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{8}{\pi h \varepsilon \beta}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{\pi h \beta}$ for $h \geq 4/\pi \beta$ we obtain the upper bound $8(\varepsilon + 1/\pi h \varepsilon \beta) = 16/\sqrt{\pi h \beta}$, since

$$\log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} = \log \left(1 + \frac{2}{1-\varepsilon} \right) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \leq 4\varepsilon \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $1 \leq h < 4/\pi \beta$ we choose $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and obtain the bound $8(1/2 + 2/\pi h \beta)$

$< 8(2/\sqrt{\pi h\beta} + 2/\pi\sqrt{h\beta})$. Thus the first part of the Lemma is proved.

For the second integral let us start again with the case $\alpha u + \beta \neq 0$ for all u with $A \leq u \leq B$. Then, as above, we obtain

$$\left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u^2} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi h} \cdot 2^4 \max_{A \leq u \leq B} \frac{1}{|u(\alpha u + \beta)|},$$

since $1/u(\alpha u + \beta)$ consists of at most two monotone pieces.

If $u_0 = -\beta/\alpha \leq A$, then for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u^2} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \int_A^{(1+\varepsilon)A} \frac{du}{u^2} + \left| \int_{(1+\varepsilon)A}^{(1+\varepsilon)B} \frac{1}{u^2} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| + \int_B^{(1+\varepsilon)B} \frac{du}{u^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{A} + \frac{8}{(1+\varepsilon)A\pi h\varepsilon\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{B} \leq \frac{2}{(1+\varepsilon)A} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{4}{\pi h\varepsilon\beta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\max_{(1+\varepsilon)A \leq u \leq (1+\varepsilon)B} \frac{1}{|u(\alpha u + \beta)|} \leq \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)A} \cdot \frac{1}{|\alpha(1+\varepsilon)u_0 + \beta|} = \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)A\varepsilon\beta}.$$

Similarly, for $B \leq u_0$ we obtain the bound $2/(1-\varepsilon)A \cdot (\varepsilon + 4/\pi h\varepsilon\beta)$. Hence for arbitrary A, B, ε with $0 < A < B$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we may take the upper bound $4/(1-\varepsilon^2)A \cdot (\varepsilon + 4/\pi h\varepsilon\beta)$. For $h \geq 8/\pi\beta$ we choose $\varepsilon = 2/\sqrt{\pi h\beta} \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ and obtain the upper bound $8/A \cdot 4/\sqrt{\pi h\beta}$; choosing $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $h < 8/\pi\beta$ gives the bound $8/A \cdot (1/\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{2}/\pi h\beta)$. Since (for $1 \leq h < 8/\pi\beta$) $1 + \sqrt{2}/\pi\beta > \sqrt{\pi h\beta}/4\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}/\pi h\beta = \sqrt{\pi h\beta}(1/\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{2}/\pi h\beta)/4$, this yields the second inequality of the Lemma.

In the proof of the previous lemma we have shown the following estimates

LEMMA 2. If $\alpha u + \beta \neq 0$ for $0 < A \leq u \leq B$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \frac{4}{\pi h} \max_{A \leq u \leq B} \frac{1}{|\alpha u + \beta|} \\ \left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u^2} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| &\leq \frac{8}{A\pi h} \max_{A \leq u \leq B} \frac{1}{|\alpha u + \beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

We continue now with the proof of the theorem. By Euler's summation formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=A}^B \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} &= \frac{\theta}{A} + \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \\ &\quad + \int_A^B \psi(u) \left(-\frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{1}{u} 2\pi i h \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{u} \right) \right) e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \end{aligned}$$

for some complex number θ with $|\theta| \leq 1$. We may assume that $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ and $\beta > 0$, since for $\beta < 0$ we may take the complex conjugate of the exponential sum and the sum remains un-

changed if we replace $\alpha \beta \xi \alpha + k$ for integral k . By Lemma 2

$$\left| \int_A^B \frac{1}{u} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi h(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

The trivial estimate $|\psi(u)| \leq 1/2$ yields

$$\left| \int_A^B \frac{\psi(u)}{u^2} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \right| \leq \frac{1}{2A}.$$

Using the Fourier expansion of $\psi(u)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A^B \psi(u) \frac{2\pi i h}{u} \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{u} \right) e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \\ &= \int_A^B \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{2\pi i h}{m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{u} + \frac{\beta}{u^2} \right) e^{2\pi i mu} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha u + \beta \log u)} du \\ &= \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{2\pi i h}{m} I_m \left(I_m = \int_A^B \left(\frac{\alpha}{u} + \frac{\beta}{u^2} \right) e^{2\pi i h((\alpha + \frac{m}{h})u + \beta \log u)} du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}: m < - \left(1 + h \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{A} \right) \right) \text{ or } m > -h\alpha + 1, m \neq 0 \right\}, \\ M_2 &= \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}: - \left(1 + h \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{A} \right) \right) \leq m \leq -h\alpha + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$m < - \left(1 + h \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{A} \right) \right) \text{ we have } \alpha + \frac{m}{h} < -\frac{1}{h} - \frac{\beta}{A} < 0$$

and $(\alpha + m/h)A + \beta < -A/h < 0$. Hence for these m

$$\max_{A \leq u \leq B} \frac{1}{\left| \left(\alpha + \frac{m}{h} \right) u + \beta \right|} = \frac{1}{\left| \left(\alpha + \frac{m}{h} \right) A + \beta \right|}.$$

For $m > -h\alpha + 1$ ($m \neq 0$) we have $\alpha + m/h > 0$ and

$$\max_{A \leq u \leq B} \frac{1}{\left| \left(\alpha + \frac{m}{h} \right) u + \beta \right|} = \frac{1}{\left(\alpha + \frac{m}{h} \right) A + \beta}.$$

Thus Lemma 2 gives

$$|I_m| \leq \left(\frac{4\alpha}{\pi h} + \frac{8\beta}{A\pi h} \right) \frac{1}{\left| \left(\alpha + \frac{m}{h} \right) A + \beta \right|} \leq \frac{4(\alpha + 2\beta)}{A\pi |m + h(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{A})|} \quad \text{for } m \in M_1.$$

For $m \in M_2$ we obtain

$$|I_m| \leq \frac{16}{\sqrt{\pi h \beta}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi \beta}}\right) (\alpha + 2\beta)$$

by Lemma 1. As $\text{card}(M_2) \leq 3 + h \beta/A$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{2\pi i h}{m} I_m \right| &\leq 2\pi h \left(\sum_{m \in M_1} \frac{4(\alpha + 2\beta)}{\pi A |m + h(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{A})| |m|} + \frac{3 + h \beta/A}{h \alpha / 2} \frac{16}{\sqrt{\pi h \beta}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi \beta}}\right) (\alpha + 2\beta)\right) \\ &= \frac{8(\alpha + 2\beta)}{A} \left(h \sum_{m \in M_1} \frac{1}{|m| |m + h(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{A})|} + \frac{8(3 + h \beta/A)A\pi}{\alpha \sqrt{h \beta \pi}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\pi \beta}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\mu = h(\alpha + \beta/A)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in M_1} \frac{1}{|m| |m + \mu|} &= \sum_{m > \mu + 1} \frac{1}{m(m - \mu)} + \sum_{0 < m < h\alpha - 1} \frac{1}{m(\mu - m)} + \sum_{m > 0} \frac{1}{m(m + \mu)} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m + \mu)} + \sum_{0 < m < h\alpha - 1} \frac{1}{m(\mu - m)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mu > 1$ (since $h, \alpha \geq 1$), and so

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m + \mu)} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m + [\mu])} = \frac{1}{[\mu]} \sum_{m=1}^{[\mu]} \frac{1}{m} \leq 2 \frac{1 + \log \mu}{\mu}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{0 < m < h\alpha - 1} \frac{1}{m(\mu - m)} = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{0 < m < h\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu - m} + \frac{1}{m} \right) \leq \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{0 < m < [\mu]} \frac{1}{m} \leq 2 \frac{1 + \log \mu}{\mu},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{m \in M_1} \frac{1}{|m| |m + \mu|} \leq 6 \frac{1 + \log \mu}{\mu} \leq 6 \frac{1 + \log(h(\alpha + \beta))}{h\alpha}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{2\pi i h}{m} I_m \right| \leq \frac{8(\alpha + 2\beta)}{A} \left(6h \frac{1 + \log(h(\alpha + \beta))}{h\alpha} + \frac{8(3 + h \beta/A)A\pi}{\alpha \sqrt{h \beta \pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi \beta}}\right) \right),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=A}^B \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{A} + \frac{4}{\pi h(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{1}{2A} \\ &\quad + \frac{8(\alpha + 2\beta)}{A} \left(6h \frac{1 + \log(h(\alpha + \beta))}{h\alpha} + \frac{8(3 + h \beta/A)A\pi}{\alpha \sqrt{h \beta \pi}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi \beta}}\right) \right) \leq C(\beta) \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{h}}{A} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\beta)$ is a constant only depending on β . Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

COROLLARY. *For reals $\alpha, \beta (\beta \neq 0)$ we have*

$$D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq C_1(\beta) \frac{(\log \log N)^2}{\log N}.$$

PROOF. We choose $m = [\log N] + 1$ in the inequality of Erdős-Turan for the logarithmic mean (cf. [4], Th. 1):

$$D_N(x_n) \leq 4 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{1}{h} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h x_n} \right| \right).$$

A simple calculation yields the desired result.

Exponential sums for functions of a similar type as $\alpha n + \beta \log n$ can be related to the exponential sums considered above by the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $p(n)$ be positive weights and let $(x_n), (a_n)$ be sequences of real numbers and assume that $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \leq c/n^{1+\delta} P(n)$ (with positive constants c and δ), where $P(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n p(k)$. Then we have for positive values h*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h(x_n + a_n)} \right| \leq 2P(m) + \left| \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h x_n} \right| + 2\pi c \sum_{n=m+1}^N \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta/2}} \left| \frac{1}{P(n)} \sum_{n=1}^n p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} \right|,$$

where $m = [h^{2/\delta}] + 1$.

PROOF. Since $|e^{iu} - e^{iv}| \leq |u - v|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h(x_n + a_n)} \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^m p(n) + \left| \sum_{n=m+1}^N \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} \right) (e^{2\pi i h a_n} - e^{2\pi i h a_{n+1}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^m p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} e^{2\pi i h a_{m+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^N p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} e^{2\pi i h a_{N+1}} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^m p(n) + \sum_{n=m+1}^N \left| \sum_{k=1}^n p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} \right| 2\pi h |a_n - a_{n+1}| + \left| \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h x_n} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^m p(n) + \sum_{n=m+1}^N \left| \sum_{k=1}^n p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} \right| \frac{2\pi c \cdot n^{\delta/2}}{n^{1+\delta} P(n)} + \left| \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h x_n} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving the theorem.

Assuming $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \leq c p(n)/P(n)$ instead of $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \leq c/n^{1+\delta} P(n)$ we obtain (for $m=0$)

$$\left| \frac{1}{P(N)} \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h(x_n + a_n)} \right| \leq \frac{2\pi h c}{P(N)} \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) \left| \frac{1}{P(n)} \sum_{k=1}^n p(k) e^{2\pi i h x_k} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{P(N)} \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h x_n} \right|.$$

Hence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P(N)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h x_n} = 0$ implies $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P(N)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) e^{2\pi i h(x_n + a_n)} = 0$ provided that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P(N) = \infty$. Thus Weyl's criterion (cf. [1], p. 55) gives

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $p(n)$ be positive weights with $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N p(n) = \infty$. If (x_n) is uniformly distributed with respect to the weights $p(n)$ and if $|a_{n+1} - a_n| = O(p(n)/\sum_{k=1}^n p(k))$ then $(x_n + a_n)$ is also uniformly distributed with respect to $p(n)$.*

COROLLARY. *For $\omega = (\alpha n + \beta \log n + a_n)$ with reals α, β ($\beta \neq 0$) and $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \leq c/n^{1+\delta}$ (c, δ positive constants) we have*

$$D_N(\omega) \leq C(\beta, c, \delta) \frac{(\log \log N)^2}{\log N}$$

where D_N denotes the discrepancy with respect to the logarithmic mean. ($C(\beta, c, \delta)$ may be chosen to depend continuously on β .)

PROOF. From Theorem 3.1. and Theorem 3.2. we easily deduce (for $x_n = \alpha n + \beta \log n$)

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} e^{2\pi i h(x_n + a_n)} \right| \leq c_1(\beta, c, \delta)(\log h + 1).$$

As in the proof of the Corollary after Theorem 3.1., the assertion follows from the inequality of Erdős and Turan.

REMARK 1. In the special case of the arithmetic mean $p(n) = 1$ the result of Theorem 3.3. can be found in [6].

REMARK 2. From Theorem 2.3. and the corollary after Theorem 3.1. it follows that (for $N \geq 2$)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor f^{-1}(N) \rfloor} \frac{\{-f^{-1}(j+x)\} - \{-f^{-1}(j)\}}{f^{-1}(j)} \leq C(\beta)(\log \log N)^2,$$

where f^{-1} denotes the inverse function of $\alpha x + \beta \log x - \alpha$ ($1 \leq \alpha < 2, \beta > 0$) and $C(\beta)$ is a constant only depending on β . In the following we give an upper bound for $D_N(\omega^*)$, where $\omega^* = (f^{-1}(n))_{n=1}^\infty$. We define $\varepsilon(y)$ by

$$x = \frac{y}{\alpha} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \log \frac{y}{\alpha} + \varepsilon(y), \quad \alpha x + \beta \log x = y + \alpha.$$

Since $dy/dx = \alpha + \beta/x$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon'(y) = \frac{x}{\alpha x + \beta} - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{1}{y} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha x + \beta - y}{y(\alpha x + \beta)} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{\beta(1 - \log x) + \alpha}{y(\alpha x + \beta)};$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon'(y)| &\leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{\beta \log x + \beta + \alpha}{\alpha x \cdot y} \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^2 \frac{\log(y/\alpha + 1) + 1 + \alpha/\beta}{(y + \alpha)y} (\alpha + \beta) \\ &\leq \beta^2(1 + \beta) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{\log(y + 1) + 1}{y^2} \end{aligned}$$

(where we have used the trivial estimates $\alpha x \leq y + \alpha < (\alpha + \beta)x$). By the mean value theorem we have

$$|\varepsilon(n+1) - \varepsilon(n)| \leq \beta(1 + \beta)^2 \frac{\log(n+2) + 1}{n^2} \leq \frac{3\beta(1 + \beta)^2}{n^{3/2}}.$$

Applying the last corollary to the sequence $\omega^* = (n/\alpha - \beta/\alpha \cdot \log n + \beta/\alpha \cdot \log \alpha + \varepsilon(n))$ yields

$$D_N(\omega^*) \leq \max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2} C \left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, 3\beta(1 + \beta)^2, \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{(\log \log N)^2}{\log N} = c_0(\beta) \frac{(\log \log N)^2}{\log N}.$$

References

- [1] Hlawka, E., Theorie der Gleichverteilung, Bibl. Inst., Mannheim-Wien-Zürich, 1979.
- [2] Hlawka, E., Gleichverteilung und Konvergenzverhalten von Potenzreihen am Rande des Konvergenzkreises, Manuscripta Math. **44** (1983) 231–263.
- [3] Kuipers, L. and Niederreiter, H., Uniform Distribution of Sequences, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1974.
- [4] Niederreiter, H. and Philipp, W., Berry-Esseen-bounds and a theorem of Erdős and Turan on uniform distribution mod 1, Duke Math. J. **40** (1973) 633–649.
- [5] Niederreiter, H. and Tichy, R. F., Beiträge zur Diskrepanz bezüglich gewichteter Mittel, Manuscripta Math. **42** (1983) 85–99.
- [6] Rindler, H., Fast konstante Folgen, Acta Arithm. **35** (1979) 189–193.
- [7] Tichy, R. F., Diskrepanz bezüglich gewichteter Mittel und Konvergenzverhalten von Potenzreihen, Manuscripta Math. **44** (1983) 265–277.
- [8] Tsuji, M., On the Uniform Distribution of Numbers mod. 1, J. Math. Soc. Japan **4** (1952) 313–322.
- [9] Weyl, H., Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins, Math. Ann. **77** (1916) 313–352.

Institut für Analysis, Technische Mathematik
und Versicherungsmathematik.
Technical University Vienna.
Wiedner Hauptstraße 6–10,
A-1040 Vienna, Austria.
Current address of G. Turnwald:
Mathematisches Institut der Universität,
Auf der Morgenstelle 10,
D-7400 Tübingen
Federal Republic of Germany