

Adv. Oper. Theory 3 (2018), no. 4, 763–780

https://doi.org/10.15352/aot.1801-1303

ISSN: 2538-225X (electronic) https://projecteuclid.org/aot

ESTIMATIONS OF THE LEHMER MEAN BY THE HERON MEAN AND THEIR GENERALIZATIONS INVOLVING REFINED HEINZ OPERATOR INEQUALITIES

MASATOSHI ITO

Communicated by R. Drnovšek

ABSTRACT. As generalizations of the arithmetic and the geometric means, for positive real numbers a and b, the power difference mean $J_q(a,b) = \frac{q}{q+1} \frac{a^{q+1} - b^{q+1}}{a^q - b^q}$, the Lehmer mean $L_q(a,b) = \frac{a^{q+1} + b^{q+1}}{a^q + b^q}$ and the Heron mean $K_q(a,b) = (1-q)\sqrt{ab} + q\frac{a+b}{2}$ are well known.

In this paper, concerning our recent results on estimations of the power difference mean, we obtain the greatest value $\alpha = \alpha(q)$ and the least value $\beta = \beta(q)$ such that the double inequality for the Lehmer mean

$$K_{\alpha}(a,b) < L_{q}(a,b) < K_{\beta}(a,b)$$

holds for any $q \in \mathbb{R}$. We also obtain an operator version of this estimation. Moreover, we discuss generalizations of the results on estimations of the power difference and the Lehmer means. This argument involves refined Heinz operator inequalities by Liang and Shi.

1. Introduction

Many researchers investigate means of positive numbers or operators. In what follows, we use the following notations for several means of two positive real

Copyright 2018 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: Jan. 25, 2018; Accepted: Apr. 24, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A63; Secondary 26E60, 47A64.

Key words and phrases. Lehmer mean, Heron mean, power difference mean, operator mean, Heinz operator inequalities.

numbers a and b. For $q \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$A(a,b) = \frac{a+b}{2} \text{ (arithmetic mean)}, \quad G(a,b) = \sqrt{ab} \text{ (geometric mean)},$$

$$H(a,b) = \frac{2ab}{a+b} \text{ (harmonic mean)}, \quad LM(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{\log a - \log b} \text{ (logarithmic mean)},$$

$$J_q(a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{q+1} \frac{a^{q+1} - b^{q+1}}{a^q - b^q} & \text{if } q \neq 0, -1, \\ \frac{a-b}{\log a - \log b} & \text{if } q = 0, \\ \frac{ab(\log a - \log b)}{a-b} & \text{if } q = -1, \end{cases}$$
 (power difference mean),

$$L_q(a,b) = \frac{a^{q+1} + b^{q+1}}{a^q + b^q}$$
 (Lehmer mean),

$$K_q(a,b) = (1-q)\sqrt{ab} + q\frac{a+b}{2}$$
 (Heron mean).

These means are symmetric, that is, A(a,b) = A(b,a), G(a,b) = G(b,a) and so on. We note that $J_q(a,a) \equiv \lim_{b \to a} J_q(a,b) = a$. It is well known that

$$H(a,b) \leq G(a,b) \leq LM(a,b) \leq A(a,b),$$

$$A(a,b) = J_1(a,b) = L_0(a,b) = K_1(a,b),$$

$$LM(a,b) = J_0(a,b),$$

$$G(a,b) = J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) = L_{-\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) = K_0(a,b),$$

$$H(a,b) = J_{-2}(a,b) = L_{-1}(a,b),$$

and also $J_q(a,b)$, $L_q(a,b)$ and $K_q(a,b)$ are monotone increasing on $q \in \mathbb{R}$. As estimations of these means, the following relation is well known.

$$LM(a,b) \le K_{\alpha}(a,b)$$
 for all $a,b>0$ if and only if $\alpha \ge \frac{1}{3}$. (1.1)

The inequality $LM(a,b) \leq K_{\frac{1}{3}}(a,b)$ is sometimes called the classical Pólya inequality [4, 14, 18]. In [1], Bhatia proved (1.1) by using Taylor expansion. Recently, inspired by (1.1) and its proof in [1], we obtained estimations of the power difference mean by the Heron mean as follows:

Theorem 1.A ([7]). For all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$, we have the following.

(i) Let $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (1, \infty)$. Then

$$K_{\frac{2q}{a+1}}(a,b) < J_q(a,b) < K_{\frac{2q+1}{3}}(a,b).$$

(ii) Let $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then

$$K_{\frac{2q+1}{3}}(a,b) < J_q(a,b) < K_{\frac{2q}{q+1}}(a,b).$$

(iii) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0]$. Then

$$G(a,b) = K_0(a,b) < J_q(a,b) < K_{\frac{2q+1}{2}}(a,b).$$

(iv) Let $q \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$. Then

$$K_{\frac{2q+1}{3}}(a,b) < J_q(a,b) < K_0(a,b) = G(a,b).$$

The given parameters of $K_{\alpha}(a,b)$ in each case are best possible.

A part of Theorem 1.A is shown by Xia, Hou, Wang and Chu [17]. We remark that equalities hold between $J_q(a,b)$ and $K_{\alpha}(a,b)$ for some $\alpha = \alpha(q)$ if $q = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}$, and also Theorem 1.A implies (1.1) by putting q = 0.

Here, an operator means a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, and also an operator T is said to be strictly positive (denoted by T > 0) if T is positive and invertible. A real-valued function f defined on $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be operator monotone if

$$A \leq B$$
 implies $f(A) \leq f(B)$

for selfadjoint operators A and B whose spectra $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subset J$, where $A \leq B$ means $B - A \geq 0$. We remark that $xf(x^{-1}), f(x^{-1})^{-1}$ and $\frac{x}{f(x)}$ are operator monotone if f > 0 is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$.

Kubo and Ando [10] investigated an axiomatic approach for operator means. In [10], they obtained that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between an operator mean \mathfrak{M} and an operator monotone function $f \geq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ with f(1) = 1. We remark that f is called the representing function of \mathfrak{M} , and also an operator mean \mathfrak{M} can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}(A,B) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} f(A^{\frac{-1}{2}} B A^{\frac{-1}{2}}) A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1.2)

if A > 0 and B > 0.

For two strictly positive operators A and B, the arithmetic mean $\mathfrak{A}(A, B)$, the geometric mean $\mathfrak{G}(A, B)$ and the harmonic mean $\mathfrak{H}(A, B)$ are defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{A}(A,B) = \frac{A+B}{2}, \ \mathfrak{G}(A,B) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{-1}{2}} B A^{\frac{-1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \mathfrak{H}(A,B) = \left(\frac{A^{-1} + B^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1}.$$

They are typical examples of operator means, and their representing functions are

$$A(1,x) = \frac{x+1}{2}, \quad G(1,x) = \sqrt{x}, \quad H(1,x) = \frac{2x}{x+1}.$$

Now it is permitted to consider binary operations given by (1.2) for general real-valued functions. The logarithmic mean $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{M}(A,B)$, the power difference mean $\mathfrak{J}_q(A,B)$, the Lehmer mean $\mathfrak{L}_q(A,B)$, and the Heron mean $\mathfrak{K}_q(A,B)$ are given by LM(1,x), $J_q(1,x)$, $L_q(1,x)$ and $K_q(1,x)$, respectively. For $-2 \le q \le 1$, it is known in [2, 5, 6, 16] that $\mathfrak{J}_q(A,B)$ is increasing on q and $\mathfrak{J}_q(A,B)$ is an operator mean. For $-1 \le q \le 0$, it is known in [13] that $\mathfrak{L}_q(A,B)$ is increasing on q and $\mathfrak{L}_q(A,B)$ is an operator mean for $0 \le q \le 1$.

In [7], we obtain an operator version of Theorem 1.A as a generalization of Fujii, Furuichi and Nakamoto's result in [3].

In this paper, we obtain estimations of the Lehmer mean by the Heron mean concerning Theorem 1.A and its operator version. Moreover, we discuss generalizations of our results on estimations of the power difference and the Lehmer means. This argument involves refined Heinz inequalities by Liang and Shi [11].

2. Lemmas

In this section, as lemmas to prove our main results, we show two properties of functions $h_k(q)$ for k = 1, 2, ... and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$h_k(q) \equiv \frac{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}}$$
(2.1)

and $h_k(0) \equiv 1$ for convenience' sake. Here, $\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$ is a binomial coefficient for nonnegative integers n and r such that $0 \le r \le n$. We remark that $h_1(q) = 2q + 1$ in particular.

Lemma 2.1. The limit
$$h_{\infty}(q) \equiv \lim_{k \to \infty} h_k(q)$$
 exists and $h_{\infty}(q) = \begin{cases} 2 & (q > 0), \\ 1 & (q = 0), \\ 0 & (q < 0). \end{cases}$

Proof. Firstly, we state the following relation (2.2) which is important to prove results in this paper. By putting j = k - i,

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{k} {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)} = 2\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {2k \choose 2(k-j)} q^{2j}$$

$$= 2\sum_{j=0}^{k} {2k \choose 2j} q^{2j} - 2q^{2k} = (q+1)^{2k} + (q-1)^{2k} - 2q^{2k}.$$
(2.2)

If $q \neq 0$, the following holds by (2.2).

$$h_k(q) = \frac{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}}{\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (q+1)^{2k} + (q-1)^{2k} - 2q^{2k} \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{2\left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{q}{q+1}\right)^{2k} \right\}}{1 + \left(\frac{q-1}{q+1}\right)^{2k} - 2\left(\frac{q}{q+1}\right)^{2k}} \quad (\text{if } q \neq -1)$$
(2.3)

$$= \frac{2\left\{ \left(\frac{q+1}{q}\right)^{2k} - 1 \right\}}{\left(\frac{q+1}{q}\right)^{2k} + \left(\frac{q-1}{q}\right)^{2k} - 2}.$$
 (2.4)

Now we divide the range of q into four cases.

(Case 1) If q > 0, then $-1 < \frac{q-1}{q+1} < 1$ and $0 < \frac{q}{q+1} < 1$. Therefore (2.3) implies $h_{\infty}(q) = 2$.

(Case 2) If $\frac{-1}{2} < q < 0$, then $\frac{q-1}{q+1} < -1$ and $-1 < \frac{q}{q+1} < 0$, so that we have $h_{\infty}(q) = 0$.

(Case 3) If $q < \frac{-1}{2}$, then $-1 < \frac{q+1}{q} < 1$ and $\frac{q-1}{q} > 1$. Therefore (2.4) implies $h_{\infty}(q) = 0$.

(Case 4) If q = 0, then $h_k(0) = 1 \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$.

Hence the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. Let $h_k(q)$ for $q \in \mathbb{R}$ as in (2.1). Then the following assertions hold:

(i) If $k \geq 2$, then

$$h_1(q) - h_k(q) = \frac{2q(2q+1)(2q-1)}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}} \sum_{\substack{u,v,w \ge 0 \\ u+v+w=k-2}} (q+1)^{2u} (q-1)^{2v} q^{2w}.$$

(ii) If $k \ge 1$ and q > 0, then

$$h_k(q) - h_{\infty}(q) = \frac{2q - 1}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}} \sum_{\substack{v, w \ge 0 \\ v+w=k-1}} (q - 1)^{2v} q^{2w}.$$

Proof. (i) We have only to show the case $q \neq 0$. Since we get

$$h_1(q) - h_k(q) = 2q + 1 - \frac{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}}$$

$$= \frac{(2q+1)\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)} - \{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}\}}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}},$$

we have only to show

$$\kappa_1(q) \equiv (2q+1) \sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)} - \{ (q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k} \}
= 2q(2q+1)(2q-1) \sum_{\substack{u,v,w \ge 0 \\ u+v+w=k-2}} (q+1)^{2u} (q-1)^{2v} q^{2w}.$$
(2.5)

By (2.2), the equation (2.5) holds since

$$\begin{split} \kappa_1(q) &= (2q+1) \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{2k}{2i} q^{2(k-i)} - \{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}\} \\ &= \frac{2q+1}{2} \left\{ (q+1)^{2k} + (q-1)^{2k} - 2q^{2k} \right\} - \{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (2q+1)(q+1)^{2k} + (2q+1)(q-1)^{2k} - 2(2q+1)q^{2k} - 2(q+1)^{2k} + 2q^{2k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (2q-1)(q+1)^{2k} + (2q+1)(q-1)^{2k} - 4q \cdot q^{2k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(2q-1) \left\{ (q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k} \right\} - (2q+1) \left\{ q^{2k} - (q-1)^{2k} \right\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} 2q(2q+1)(2q-1) \sum_{\substack{u,v,w \geq 0 \\ u+v+m=k-2}} (q+1)^{2u} (q-1)^{2v} q^{2w}, \end{split}$$

and the last equality (*) holds since

$$\begin{split} &(2q-1)\left\{(q+1)^{2k}-q^{2k}\right\}-(2q+1)\left\{q^{2k}-(q-1)^{2k}\right\}\\ &=(2q-1)\left\{(q+1)^2-q^2\right\}\left\{(q+1)^{2(k-1)}+(q+1)^{2(k-2)}q^2+\cdots+(q+1)^2q^{2(k-2)}+q^{2(k-1)}\right\}\\ &-(2q+1)\left\{q^2-(q-1)^2\right\}\left\{q^{2(k-1)}+q^{2(k-2)}(q-1)^2+\cdots+q^2(q-1)^{2(k-2)}+(q-1)^{2(k-1)}\right\}\\ &=(2q+1)(2q-1)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left\{(q+1)^{2i}-(q-1)^{2i}\right\}q^{2(k-1-i)}\\ &=(2q+1)(2q-1)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left\{(q+1)^2-(q-1)^2\right\}\\ &\times\left\{(q+1)^{2(i-1)}+(q+1)^{2(i-2)}(q-1)^2+\cdots+(q-1)^{2(i-1)}\right\}q^{2(k-1-i)}\\ &=4q(2q+1)(2q-1)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(q+1)^{2j}(q-1)^{2(i-1-j)}\right\}q^{2(k-1-i)}\\ &=4q(2q+1)(2q-1)\sum_{u,v,w\geq 0\\ u+v+w=k-2}(q+1)^{2u}(q-1)^{2v}q^{2w}. \end{split}$$

Therefore the desired result holds.

(ii) We get

$$h_k(q) - h_{\infty}(q) = \frac{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}} - 2$$
$$= \frac{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k} - 2\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}},$$

so we have only to show

$$\kappa_2(q) \equiv (q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k} - 2\sum_{i=1}^k {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}
= (2q-1) \sum_{\substack{v,w \ge 0 \\ v+w=k-1}} (q-1)^{2v} q^{2w}.$$
(2.6)

By (2.2), the equation (2.6) holds since

$$\kappa_{2}(q) = (q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}
= (q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k} - \left\{ (q+1)^{2k} + (q-1)^{2k} - 2q^{2k} \right\}
= q^{2k} - (q-1)^{2k}
= \left\{ q^{2} - (q-1)^{2} \right\} \left\{ q^{2(k-1)} + q^{2(k-2)} (q-1)^{2} + \dots + q^{2} (q-1)^{2(k-2)} + (q-1)^{2(k-1)} \right\}
= (2q-1) \sum_{\substack{v,w \geq 0 \\ v+w=k-1}} (q-1)^{2v} q^{2w}.$$

Hence the proof is complete.

3. Main results

Firstly, we obtain estimations of the Lehmer mean of two positive real numbers by the Heron mean.

Theorem 3.1. For all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$, we have the following.

(i) Let $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. Then

$$K_2(a,b) < L_q(a,b) < K_{2q+1}(a,b).$$

(ii) Let $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$K_{2q+1}(a,b) < L_q(a,b) < K_2(a,b).$$

(iii) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0)$. Then

$$G(a,b) = K_0(a,b) < L_q(a,b) < K_{2q+1}(a,b).$$

(iv) Let $q \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$. Then

$$K_{2q+1}(a,b) < L_q(a,b) < K_0(a,b) = G(a,b).$$

The given parameters of $K_{\alpha}(a,b)$ in each case are best possible.

We remark that equalities hold between $L_q(a,b)$ and $K_{\alpha}(a,b)$ in the following cases.

$$L_q(a,b) = K_{2q+1}(a,b) = K_2(a,b)$$
 for $q = \frac{1}{2}$.
 $L_q(a,b) = K_{2q+1}(a,b) = K_1(a,b)$ for $q = 0$.
 $L_q(a,b) = K_{2q+1}(a,b) = K_0(a,b)$ for $q = \frac{-1}{2}$.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we shall show the following propositions.

Proposition 3.2. The following statements hold:

(i) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. Then

 $L_q(1,x) < K_\alpha(1,x)$ for all x > 0 with $x \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 2q + 1$.

(ii) Let $q \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2}) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then

 $L_q(1,x) > K_{\alpha}(1,x)$ for all x > 0 with $x \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 2q + 1$.

Proposition 3.3. The following statements hold:

(i-1) Let $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. Then

 $L_q(1,x) > K_{\alpha}(1,x)$ for all x > 0 with $x \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 2$.

(i-2) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0)$. Then

 $L_q(1,x) > K_{\alpha}(1,x)$ for all x > 0 with $x \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 0$.

(ii-1) Let $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then

 $L_q(1,x) < K_{\alpha}(1,x)$ for all x > 0 with $x \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 2$.

(ii-2) Let $q \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$. Then

 $L_q(1,x) < K_\alpha(1,x)$ for all x > 0 with $x \neq 1$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 0$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. (i) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. Firstly we show that $\alpha \ge 2q + 1$ ensures

$$L_q(1,x) = \frac{x^{q+1} + 1}{x^q + 1} < (1 - \alpha)\sqrt{x} + \alpha \frac{x+1}{2} = K_\alpha(1,x)$$
 for all $x > 0$ with $x \neq 1$. (3.1)

By putting $x = e^{2t}$, (3.1) holds if and only if

$$\frac{e^{(q+1)t} + e^{-(q+1)t}}{e^{qt} + e^{-qt}} < (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (3.2)

Since both sides of (3.2) are even functions, we have only to consider the case t > 0. Then, (3.2) for t > 0 is equivalent to

$$f(t) \equiv (e^{qt} + e^{-qt}) \left\{ (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} \right\} - (e^{(q+1)t} + e^{-(q+1)t})$$

$$= 2\cosh(qt) \left\{ (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \cosh t \right\} - 2\cosh((q+1)t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$
(3.3)

Therefore we prove (3.3). By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{split} f(t) &= 2\left(1 + \frac{q^2t^2}{2!} + \frac{q^4t^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) \left\{ (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{2!} + \frac{t^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) \right\} \\ &- 2\left\{1 + \frac{(q+1)^2t^2}{2!} + \frac{(q+1)^4t^4}{4!} + \cdots\right\} \\ &= 2\left(1 + \frac{q^2}{2!}t^2 + \frac{q^4}{4!}t^4 + \cdots\right) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2!}t^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4!}t^4 + \cdots\right) \\ &- 2\left\{1 + \frac{(q+1)^2}{2!}t^2 + \frac{(q+1)^4}{4!}t^4 + \cdots\right\} \\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{\frac{q^{2k}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q^{2(k-i)}\alpha}{(2i)!(2k-2i)!} - \frac{(q+1)^{2k}}{(2k)!}\right\} t^{2k} \\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{k,q}(\alpha) t^{2k}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\phi_{k,q}(\alpha) \equiv \frac{q^{2k}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q^{2(k-i)}\alpha}{(2i)!(2k-2i)!} - \frac{(q+1)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3.4)

Then $\phi_{k,q}(\alpha) > 0$ if and only if

$$\alpha > \frac{(q+1)^{2k} - q^{2k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} {2k \choose 2i} q^{2(k-i)}} = h_k(q).$$

By (i) in Lemma 2.2, $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ ensures that $h_1(q) > h_k(q)$ for all $k \geq 2$. Therefore, if $\alpha \geq 2q + 1 = h_1(q)$, then $\phi_{1,q}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and $\phi_{k,q}(\alpha) > 0$ for all $k \geq 2$, that is, (3.3) holds.

On the other hand, if $\alpha < 2q + 1 = h_1(q)$, then $\phi_{1,q}(\alpha) < 0$ holds, that is, f(t) < 0 for sufficiently small t > 0. Therefore (3.3) assures $\alpha \ge 2q + 1$.

We can prove (ii) similarly, so the proof is complete.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. (i) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. Then by the same way to the proof of Proposition 3.2, we have only to consider the case that

$$f(t) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{k,q}(\alpha) t^{2k} < 0 \quad \text{holds for all } t > 0, \tag{3.5}$$

that is, $\alpha < h_k(q)$ for k = 1, 2, ..., where $\phi_{k,q}(\alpha)$ is defined in (3.4), and also $h_k(q)$ is in (2.1).

(i-1) Let $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. By (ii) in Lemma 2.2, $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ ensures that $h_k(q) > h_{\infty}(q)$ for all $k \geq 1$, so that (3.5) holds if $\alpha \leq 2 = h_{\infty}(q)$ by Lemma 2.1.

On the other hand, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number n_0 such that $n \geq n_0$ implies $h_{\infty}(q) < h_n(q) < h_{\infty}(q) + \epsilon$. If $\alpha_{\epsilon} \equiv h_{\infty}(q) + \epsilon > 2 = h_{\infty}(q)$, then $\phi_{n,q}(\alpha_{\epsilon}) > 0$ holds for $n \geq n_0$, that is, f(t) > 0 for sufficiently large t. Therefore (3.5) assures $\alpha \leq 2$.

(i-2) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0)$. Then $h_k(q) > h_{\infty}(q) = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore (3.5) holds if $\alpha \leq 0$. We can show "only if" part by the same way to (i-1).

We can prove (ii-1) and (ii-2) similarly, so the proof is complete. \Box

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By putting $x = \frac{b}{a}$ in Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, we immediately obtain the desired result.

We remark that we obtain the following inequalities on hyperbolic functions by (3.3) in the proof of Proposition 3.2. This proposition corresponds to [7, Proposition 3.4]. Of course, we can produce related inequalities from other results in Propositions 3.2 and 3.3.

Proposition 3.4. Let $q > \frac{1}{2}$. Then the following inequalities hold.

- (i) If $\alpha \ge 2q + 1$, then $(\alpha 2)\cosh((q+1)t) + 2(1-\alpha)\cosh(qt) + \alpha\cosh((q-1)t) > 0$ holds for all t > 0.
- (ii) $(2q-1)\cosh((q+1)t) + (2q+1)\cosh((q-1)t) > 4q\cosh(qt)$ for all t > 0.

Proof. (i) is shown by applying the product-to-sum formula to (3.3). We have (ii) by putting $\alpha = 2q + 1$ in (i).

Next, we state estimations of the Lehmer mean of two strictly positive operators. In [7], we obtained estimations of the power difference mean for positive operators as a generalization of Fujii, Furuichi and Nakamoto's result in [3].

Theorem 3.A ([7]). Let A and B be positive invertible operators.

(i) Let $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (1, \infty)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{q+1}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q+1}{3}}(A,B).$$

(ii) Let $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q+1}{3}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{q+1}}(A,B).$$

(iii) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0]$. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_0(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q+1}{2}}(A,B).$$

(iv) Let $q \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q+1}{3}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_0(A,B) = \mathfrak{G}(A,B).$$

The given parameters of $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha}(A,B)$ in each case are best possible.

Similarly, we have the following result on the Lehmer mean $\mathfrak{L}_q(A, B)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let A and B be positive invertible operators.

(i) Let $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_2(A,B) \le \mathfrak{L}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{2q+1}(A,B).$$

(ii) Let $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{2q+1}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{L}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_2(A,B).$$

(iii) Let $q \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_0(A,B) \le \mathfrak{L}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{2q+1}(A,B).$$

(iv) Let $q \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{2q+1}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{L}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_0(A,B) = \mathfrak{G}(A,B).$$

The given parameters of $\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha}(A,B)$ in each case are best possible.

Proof. We have Theorem 3.5 by putting $x = A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ and applying the standard operational calculus in Propositions 3.2 and 3.3.

4. Generalizations involving refined Heinz operator inequalities

In this section, we discuss generalizations of the results in section 3. We consider extensions of the power difference and the Lehmer means for two positive real numbers a and b as follows: For $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$J_{p,q}(a,b) = \begin{cases} (ab)^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{q}{p} \frac{a^p - b^p}{a^q - b^q} & \text{if } p \neq 0 \text{ and } q \neq 0, \\ (ab)^{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{q(\log a - \log b)}{a^q - b^q} & \text{if } p = 0 \text{ and } q \neq 0, \\ (ab)^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \frac{a^p - b^p}{p(\log a - \log b)} & \text{if } p \neq 0 \text{ and } q = 0, \\ \sqrt{ab} & \text{if } p = q = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$L_{p,q}(a,b) = (ab)^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{a^p + b^p}{a^q + b^q}.$$

We remark that $J_{p,q}(a,b)$ and $L_{p,q}(a,b)$ are symmetric, and also $J_{q+1,q}(a,b) = J_q(a,b)$ and $L_{q+1,q}(a,b) = L_q(a,b)$ hold. For positive real numbers a,b and for $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the Heinz mean is defined by

$$HZ_q(a,b) = \frac{a^{1-q}b^q + a^qb^{1-q}}{2}.$$

We remark that $HZ_0(a,b) = HZ_1(a,b) = A(a,b)$, $HZ_{\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) = G(a,b)$ and $HZ_{1-q}(a,b) = HZ_q(a,b)$ hold, and also $HZ_q(a,b)$ is decreasing for $q \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and increasing for $q \geq \frac{1}{2}$. These properties ensure $G(a,b) \leq HZ_q(a,b) \leq A(a,b)$ for $q \in [0,1]$.

We consider opertor versions of these mean, and they are denoted by $\mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B)$, $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A,B)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_q(A,B)$ for A,B>0. Here, we call inequalities

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{H}_q(A,B) \le \mathfrak{A}(A,B) \tag{4.1}$$

for $q \in [0, 1]$ the Heinz operator inequalities [9, 11]. We state in the next section that we have the results on operator monotonicity of the representing functions of $\mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A, B)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A, B)$ by using Nagisa and Wada's result in [12].

Now we are ready to get generalizations of Theorems 3.A and 3.5. We obtain estimations of $\mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A,B)$ by extensions of the Heron mean

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha,q}(A,B) = (1-\alpha)\mathfrak{G}(A,B) + \alpha \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{Z}_q}(A,B).$$

We remark that $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha,q}(A,B)$ are increasing for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We can also obtain generalizations for positive real numbers by using

$$K_{\alpha,q}(a,b) = (1-\alpha)\sqrt{ab} + \alpha \frac{a^{1-q}b^q + a^qb^{1-q}}{2},$$

but we omit them.

Theorem 4.1. Let A, B > 0 and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$.

(i) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (1, \infty)$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{p}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B).$$

(ii) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{p}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B).$$

(iii) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0]$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{G}(A, B) = \mathfrak{K}_{0, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B).$$

(iv) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \le \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A, B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{0, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) = \mathfrak{G}(A, B).$$

The given parameters $\alpha = \alpha(p,q)$ of $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha,q}(A,B)$ in each case are best possible.

Theorem 4.2. Let A, B > 0 and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$.

(i) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{K}_{2,\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{p-q},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B).$$

(ii) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{2-2}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{2, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B).$$

(iii) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (\frac{-1}{2}, 0)$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{G}(A, B) = \mathfrak{K}_{0, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A, B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{2}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B).$$

(iv) If
$$\frac{q}{p-q} \in (-\infty, \frac{-1}{2})$$
, then
$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{p-q}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) \le \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A, B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{0, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A, B) = \mathfrak{G}(A, B).$$

The given parameters $\alpha = \alpha(p,q)$ of $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha,q}(A,B)$ in each case are best possible.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. (i) For $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (1, \infty)$ and t > 0,

$$K_{\frac{2r}{r+1}}(1,t) < J_r(1,t) < K_{\frac{2r+1}{3}}(1,t)$$
 (4.2)

holds by (i) in Theorem 1.A. Put $r = \frac{q}{p-q}$ and $t = x^{p-q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$. Then (4.2) is equivalent to

$$K_{\frac{2q}{p}}(1, x^{p-q}) < J_{\frac{q}{p-q}}(1, x^{p-q}) < K_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}}(1, x^{p-q}).$$
 (4.3)

Since

$$x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}J_{\frac{q}{p-q}}(1,x^{p-q}) = x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{\frac{q}{p-q}}{\frac{q}{p-q}+1} \frac{x^{q+(p-q)}-1}{x^q-1} = x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{q}{p} \frac{x^p-1}{x^q-1} = J_{p,q}(1,x)$$

and

$$x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}K_{\alpha}(1,x^{p-q}) = x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \left\{ (1-\alpha)x^{\frac{p-q}{2}} + \alpha \frac{x^{p-q}+1}{2} \right\}$$
$$= (1-\alpha)\sqrt{x} + \alpha \frac{x^{\frac{1+p-q}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}}{2} = K_{\alpha,\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(1,x),$$

(4.3) is equivalent to

$$K_{\frac{2q}{p}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(1, x) < J_{p,q}(1, x) < K_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}, \frac{1-p+q}{2}}(1, x).$$
 (4.4)

By putting $x = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and applying the standard operational calculus in (4.4), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{p},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B).$$

We obviously get the best possibility on $\alpha = \alpha(p,q)$ of $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha,q}(A,B)$ by the above argument.

We can show (ii), (iii) and (iv) similarly. Hence the proof is complete. \Box

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Noting that

$$x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}L_{\frac{q}{p-q}}(1,x^{p-q}) = x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}\frac{x^p+1}{x^q+1} = L_{p,q}(1,x),$$

we obtain the desired result by the same way as the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Kittaneh and Krnić [9] obtained refined Heinz inequalities via the Hermite-Hadamard inequality by considering the parameterized class of functions F_{ν} : $(0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \ \nu \in [0, 1]$ defined by

$$F_{\nu}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^{\nu} - x^{1-\nu}}{\log x} & \text{if } x \neq 1, \\ 2\nu - 1 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$
 (4.5)

Liang and Shi [11] showed the following result on the Heinz mean and $F_{\nu}(x)$ as an improvement of the results in [9].

Theorem 4.A ([11]). Let A, B > 0. If $s, t \in [0, 1]$ satisfy

$$s, t \neq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ge \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right|$$

and $k \geq \frac{1}{3}$, then

$$\mathfrak{H}_{t}(A,B) \le \left(1 - \frac{(1-2t)^2}{(1-2s)^2}\right) \mathfrak{G}(A,B) + \frac{(1-2t)^2}{(1-2s)^2} \mathfrak{H}_{s}(A,B) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2t-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}}F_t(A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{-1}{2}})A^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(1-k\frac{(1-2t)^2}{(1-2s)^2}\right)\mathfrak{G}(A,B) + k\frac{(1-2t)^2}{(1-2s)^2}\mathfrak{H}_s(A,B). \tag{4.7}$$

It is the essential part of (4.7) that

$$\frac{1}{2t-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}}F_t(A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{-1}{2}})A^{\frac{1}{2}} \le (1-k)\mathfrak{G}(A,B) + k\mathfrak{H}_t(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_{k,t}(A,B) \quad (4.8)$$

for $t \in [0,1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ and $k \ge \frac{1}{3}$ since the inequality (4.7) is led by (4.6) and (4.8). We remark that (4.8) is a refinement of the first inequality in the Heinz operator inequality (4.1) in the sense that (4.8) assures

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) \le \frac{1}{2t-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}} F_t(A^{\frac{-1}{2}} B A^{\frac{-1}{2}}) A^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{1}{3},t}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{F}_t}(A,B). \tag{4.9}$$

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 assures the following refinements of the Heinz operator inequalities including (4.9).

Corollary 4.3. Let A, B > 0 and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$.

(i) If pq > 0 and $|q| \leq \frac{|p|}{3}$, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{p},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B).$$

(ii) If pq > 0 and $\frac{|p|}{3} < |q| < \frac{|p|}{2}$, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2q}{p},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{3(p-q)}{2},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B).$$

(iii) If $pq \leq 0$ and |q| < |p|, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_{0,\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B).$$

Corollary 4.4. Let A, B > 0 and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$. If pq < 0 and |q| < |p|, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_{0,\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{p+q}{p-q},\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1-p+q}{2}}(A,B).$$

Proof of Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4. Here, we give a proof of (i) in Corollary 4.3. If p = 3q, that is $\frac{q}{p-q} = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{J}_{3q,q}(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{2}{3},\frac{1-2q}{2}}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{J}_{\frac{1-2q}{2}}(A,B)$$

holds. If pq>0 and $0<|q|<\frac{|p|}{3}$ hold, then it is equivalent to $\frac{q}{p-q}\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$. Therefore (i) in Theorem 4.1 implies the desired inequalities since $\frac{2q}{p}>0$ and $\frac{p+q}{3(p-q)}<\frac{2}{3}$.

(ii), (iii) in Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 are shown similarly by (ii), (iii) in Theorem 4.1 and (iii) in Theorem 4.2, respectively. \Box

We remark that $\mathfrak{H}_{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p+q}{2}}(A,B) \leq \mathfrak{A}(A,B)$ holds if $|p-q| \leq 1$. We recognize that (iii) in Corollary 4.3 implies (4.9) as follows: By putting p=1-2t and q=0, we have

$$\mathfrak{G}(A,B) = \mathfrak{K}_{0,t}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{J}_{1-2t,0}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{K}_{\frac{1}{2},t}(A,B) \le \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2},t}(A,B),$$

so that we obtain (4.9) since

$$J_{1-2t,0}(1,x) = x^t \frac{1}{1-2t} \frac{x^{1-2t}-1}{\log x} = \frac{1}{2t-1} F_t(x).$$

5. Operator monotonicity of $J_{p,q}(1,x)$ and $L_{p,q}(1,x)$

In this section, we discuss operator monotonicity of the representing functions of $\mathfrak{J}_{p,q}(A,B)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}(A,B)$, that is,

$$J_{p,q}(1,x) = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{q}{p} \frac{x^p - 1}{x^q - 1} & \text{if } p \neq 0 \text{ and } q \neq 0, \\ x^{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{q \log x}{x^q - 1} & \text{if } p = 0 \text{ and } q \neq 0, \\ x^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \frac{x^p - 1}{p \log x} & \text{if } p \neq 0 \text{ and } q = 0, \\ \sqrt{x} & \text{if } p = q = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$L_{p,q}(1,x) = x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{x^p + 1}{x^q + 1} = x^{\frac{1-p+q}{2}} \frac{x^{2p} - 1}{x^{2q} - 1} \frac{x^q - 1}{x^p - 1}.$$

We want to point out that $L_{p,q}(1,x)$ can be expressed as the last form.

Nagisa and Wada [12] investigated operator monotonicity of the following functions $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ on $(0,\infty)$ including $J_{p,q}(1,x)$ and $L_{p,q}(1,x)$ (see also [8, 15]).

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\gamma} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x^{\alpha_{i}} - 1}{x^{\beta_{i}} - 1} & \text{if } x \neq 1, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\beta_{i}} & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$. In [12], they stated that we have only to consider the setting $|\gamma| \leq 2$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in (0, 2]$ and $\alpha_i \neq \beta_j$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, and they obtained the following Theorem 5.A. We remark that they also gave an equivalent condition for operator monotonicity, but it seems to be difficult to calculate in general.

Theorem 5.A ([12]). Let $|\gamma| \leq 2$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in (0, 2]$, $\alpha_i \neq \beta_j$ (i, j = 1, 2, ..., n) and $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$, $\beta_i \leq \beta_j$ if $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. If it satisfies

$$0 \le \gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\beta_i, \alpha_i) \quad and \quad \gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \le 1, \tag{5.2}$$

then we have that $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ in (5.1) is operator monotone on $(0,\infty)$, where the function $F:[0,2]\times[0,2]\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

$$F(a,b) = \begin{cases} a - b & \text{if } a \ge b, \ 0 \le b \le 1, \\ a - 1 & \text{if } 1 < a, b \le 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } a < b, \ 0 \le a \le 1. \end{cases}$$

By applying Theorem 5.A, we know the domains of (p,q) for which $J_{p,q}(1,x)$ and $L_{p,q}(1,x)$ are operator monotone.

Proposition 5.1. Let $p, q \in [-2, 2]$.

- (i) If (p,q) belongs to the domain $\{(p,q): ||p|-|q|| \le 1, |p|+|q| \le 3\}$, then $J_{p,q}(1,x)$ is operator monotone on $(0,\infty)$.
- (ii) If (p,q) belongs to the domain

$$\left\{ (p,q) : \frac{|p|}{2} \le |q| \le 2|p|, \ \left| |p| - |q| \right| \le \frac{1}{3}, \ 3|p| + |q| \le 3, \ |p| + 3|q| \le 3 \right\}$$

 $\cup \{(p,q) : |p| + |q| \le 1\},\,$

 $L_{p,q}(1,x)$ is operator monotone on $(0,\infty)$.

Corollary 5.2. Let $t \in [0,1]$. Then $J_{1-2t,0}(1,x) = \frac{1}{2t-1}F_t(x)$ is operator monotone on $(0,\infty)$, where $F_t(x)$ is defined as in (4.5).

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We only give a proof of (ii) since (i) is shown by the same way. Put $L_{p,q}(x) = L_{p,q}(1,x)$. We remark that

$$L_{p,0}(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{1+p}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-p}{2}}}{2}$$

is operator monotone if $p \in [0,1]$. By the definition of $L_{p,q}(x)$ and the relations

$$L_{p,q}(x) = L_{-p,q}(x) = L_{p,-q}(x) = L_{-p,-q}(x)$$
 and $L_{q,p}(x) = L_{p,q}(x^{-1})^{-1}$, we have only to consider the case $0 < q \le p \le 1$.

(a) Case $p \leq 2q$. Put $\alpha = (q, 2p)$ and $\beta = (p, 2q)$ in (5.1). Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\beta_i, \alpha_i) = F(p, q) + F(2q, 2p) = \begin{cases} p - q & (q \le \frac{1}{2}), \\ p + q - 1 & (q > \frac{1}{2}), \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = F(q, p) + F(2p, 2q) = \begin{cases} 2p - 2q & (q \le \frac{1}{2}), \\ 2p - 1 & (q > \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Put $\gamma = \frac{1-p+q}{2}$. If $q \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$0 \le \gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\beta_i, \alpha_i)$$
 if and only if $p - q \le \frac{1}{3}$,

$$\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \le 1$$
 if and only if $p - q \le \frac{1}{3}$

hold, so that the conditions in (5.2) hold if and only if $p - q \le \frac{1}{3}$. Similarly, if $q > \frac{1}{2}$, then the conditions in (5.2) hold if and only if $3p + q \le 3$.

(b) Case 2q < p. Put $\alpha = (q, 2p)$ and $\beta = (2q, p)$ in (5.1). Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\beta_i, \alpha_i) = F(2q, q) + F(p, 2p) = q,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = F(q, 2q) + F(2p, p) = p,$$

so the conditions in (5.2) hold if and only if $p + q \le 1$.

Therefore $L_{p,q}(x) = f_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is operator monotone if (p,q) belongs to the domain

$$\left\{(p,q): 0 < q \leq p \leq 2q, \ p-q \leq \frac{1}{3}, \ 3p+q \leq 3\right\} \cup \left\{(p,q): 0 < 2q < p, \ p+q \leq 1\right\},$$

by Theorem 5.A, so that we have the desired result.

Proof of Corollary 5.2. Put p = 1 - 2t and q = 0 in (i) of Proposition 5.1.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K05181.

References

- 1. R. Bhatia, Interpolating the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and its operator version, Linear Algebra Appl. 413 (2006), 355–363.
- J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, On parameterized operator means dominated by power ones, Sci. Math. 1 (1998), 301–306.
- 3. M. Fujii, S. Furuichi, and R. Nakamoto, *Estimations of Heron means for positive operators*, J. Math. Inequal. **10** (2016), no. 1, 19–30.
- 4. S. Furuichi and K. Yanagi, Bounds of the logarithmic mean, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 2013:535, 11 pp.
- T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appearing to Löwner integral representation, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008), 972–980.
- F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, Means for matrices and comparison of their norms, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 899–936.
- M. Ito, Estimations of power difference mean by Heron mean, J. Math. Inequal. 11 (2017), no. 3, 831–843.
- 8. M. Kawasaki and M. Nagisa, Transforms on operator monotone functions, arXiv:0706.1234.
- F. Kittaneh and M. Krnić, Refined Heinz operator inequalities, Linear Multilinear Algebra 61 (2013), 1148–1157.
- 10. F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann. 246 (1980), 205–224.
- 11. J. Liang and G. Shi, Refinements of the Heinz operator inequalities, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015), 1337–1344.
- M. Nagisa and S. Wada, Operator monotonicity of some functions, Linear Algebra Appl. 486 (2015), 389–408.
- Y. Nakamura, Classes of operator monotone functions and Stieltjes functions, The Gohberg anniversary collection, Vol. II (Calgary, AB, 1988), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 41, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989, pp. 395–404.

- 14. C. P. Niculescu and L.-E. Persson, *Convex functions and their applications: A Contemporary Approach*, CMS Books in Mathematics, vol. 23. Springer, New York (2006).
- V. E. S. Szabó, A class of matrix monotone functions, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007), 79–85.
- 16. Y. Udagawa, S. Wada, T. Yamazaki, and M. Yanagida, On a family of operator means involving the power difference means, Linear Algebra Appl. 485 (2015), 124–131.
- 17. W.-F. Xia, S.-W. Hou, G.-D. Wang, and Y.-M. Chu, Optimal one-parameter mean bounds for the convex combination of arithmetic and geometric means, J. Appl. Anal 18 (2012), 197–207.
- 18. L. Zou, Matrix versions of the classical Pólya inequality, ScienceAsia **39** (2013), no. 2, 204–207.

Maebashi Institute of Technology, 460-1 Kamisadorimachi, Maebashi, Gunma 371-0816, Japan.

E-mail address: m-ito@maebashi-it.ac.jp