

On some special kind of space-times, II

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on her Sixtieth Birthday

By Hyôitirô TAKENO and Shin-ichi KITAMURA

§ 1. Introduction.

The present paper is a continuation of the paper under the same title [1]¹⁾ and makes clear some geometric properties of the space-time V which will be of use when we deal with the problem of the freedom of the characteristic system. The same notations and terminologies as those in [1] will be used.

We shall first give some results in [1] which will play important roles in the present paper. V is a 4-dimensional Riemannian space whose metric can be brought into the form

$$(1.1) \quad ds^2 = -dx^2 - Bdy^2 - Cdz^2 + Ddt^2, \quad ((x^i) \equiv (x, y, z, t)),$$

where B, C and D are positive-valued functions of one variable x . A set of vectors $\overset{a}{u}_i$, $(\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, \dots, 4; i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, 4)$, and scalars $\lambda_a, \mu_a, \lambda_{1a} (= \lambda_{a1}), \lambda_{ab} (= \lambda_{ba}), (a, b = 2, 3, 4)$, is defined in this coordinate system by

$$(1.2) \quad \overset{1}{u}_i = \delta_i^1, \quad \overset{2}{u}_i = \sqrt{B} \delta_i^2, \quad \overset{3}{u}_i = \sqrt{C} \delta_i^3, \quad \overset{4}{u}_i = \sqrt{D} \delta_i^4;$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_2 = -\beta/2, \quad \lambda_3 = -\gamma/2, \quad \lambda_4 = -\delta/2, \\ (\beta \equiv B'/B, \quad \gamma \equiv C'/C, \quad \delta \equiv D'/D; \quad ' \equiv d/dx);$$

$$(1.4) \quad \mu_2 = -\beta'/2, \quad \mu_3 = -\gamma'/2, \quad \mu_4 = -\delta'/2;$$

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda_{1a} = (\lambda_a)^2 - \mu_a, \quad \lambda_{ab} = \lambda_a \lambda_b, \quad (a \neq b),$$

The set is called a *characteristic system* (abbreviated to *c.s.*) of the V under consideration.

These quantities satisfy the following tensor relations:

$$(1.6) \quad -\overset{1}{u}^i \overset{1}{u}_i = -\overset{2}{u}^i \overset{2}{u}_i = -\overset{3}{u}^i \overset{3}{u}_i = \overset{4}{u}^i \overset{4}{u}_i = 1, \quad \overset{\alpha}{u}^i \overset{\beta}{u}_i = 0, \quad (\alpha \neq \beta);$$

$$(1.7) \quad \overset{1}{V}_i \overset{1}{u}_j = -\overset{2}{\lambda}_2 \overset{2}{u}_i \overset{2}{u}_j - \overset{3}{\lambda}_3 \overset{3}{u}_i \overset{3}{u}_j + \overset{4}{\lambda}_4 \overset{4}{u}_i \overset{4}{u}_j, \\ \overset{a}{V}_i \overset{a}{u}_j = \overset{a}{\lambda}_a \overset{a}{u}_i \overset{a}{u}_j, \quad (\text{not summed for } a);$$

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla_i \lambda_a = \mu_a^1 u_i.$$

Further, we have

$$(1.9) \quad K_i^j u^i = \nu_a^j u^j, \quad (\text{not summed for } \alpha),$$

where K_i^j is the Ricci tensor and ν 's are the principal values (i. e. eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor), and

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= -(\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{14}) (= K_1^1), & \nu_2 &= -(\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23} + \lambda_{24}) (= K_2^2), \\ \nu_3 &= -(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23} + \lambda_{34}) (= K_3^3), & \nu_4 &= -(\lambda_{14} + \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{34}) (= K_4^4). \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [1] that a necessary and sufficient condition that a space-time be a V is given by the existence of a set $\{u_i, \lambda_a, \mu_a\}$ satisfying (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8). Further some relations satisfied by the members of c.s. are obtained and some fundamental theorems concerning the freedom of c.s. are proved. These results will be used without detailed explanations in the following.

As is stated at the beginning of this section, the purpose of the present paper is *to make clear* some *invariant* properties of V , *to classify* V 's using these investigations, and *to make preparation for solving* the problem of the freedom of c.s.

§ 2. Classification of V 's in terms of ν 's.

As is seen from (1.9), u_i^a 's are eigenvectors of the Ricci tensor (i. e. they are unit vectors in the principal directions). We can easily find that the problem of the freedom has an intimate connection with the properties of ν_a 's. Moreover ν_a 's are invariant under coordinate transformations. Therefore, we classify all V 's in terms of ν_a 's as follows:

V_I : The case of 4 simple eigenvalues or, in terms of ν_a 's in (1.10), the case of $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \neq\}$.

V_{II} : The case of 2 simple eigenvalues and 1 double eigenvalue. V_{II} 's are further classified into the following four types:

$$V_{III} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_{IIIa}: \text{ The case of } \{\nu_2 = \nu_3; (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_4 \neq)\} \\ V_{IIIb}: \quad \quad \quad \{ \nu_1 = \nu_2; (\nu_1, \nu_3, \nu_4 \neq) \} \quad \text{or} \quad \{ \nu_1 = \nu_3; \\ \quad \quad \quad (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_4 \neq) \} \end{array} \right.$$

V_{III} : The case of 1 simple eigenvalue and 1 triple eigenvalue. V_{III} 's are further classified into the following three types:

$$V_{\text{III2}} \begin{cases} V_{\text{IIIb}} : & \text{''} \quad \text{''} \quad \left\{ \nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_3 \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ \nu_1 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_2 \right\}. \\ V_{\text{IIIc}} : & \text{''} \quad \text{''} \quad \left\{ \nu_1 \neq \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 \right\}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} V_{IVa}: & \text{The case of } \{\nu_1 = \nu_2 \neq \nu_3 = \nu_4\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{\nu_1 = \nu_3 \neq \nu_2 = \nu_4\}. \\ V_{IVb}: & \text{'' '' } \quad \{\nu_1 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_2 = \nu_3\}. \end{cases}$$

Here we shall add a proposition which is closely connected with the classifications in the above:

PROOF. Both u_i^1 and u_i^2 are space-like unit eigenvectors of K_i^j . We have $u_i^1 \nabla_i u_j^1 = 0$ and $u_i^2 \nabla_i u_j^2 = -\lambda_2 u_j^2 \neq 0$, when $\lambda_2 \neq 0$. Next, if $\lambda_2 = 0$, we have $\nabla_i u_j^2 = 0$ and $\nabla_i u_j^1 = -\lambda_3 u_i^3 u_j^3 + \lambda_4 u_i^4 u_j^4 \neq 0$. (Note that V is flat when $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$ holds.) Similarly, we can distinguish invariantly u_i^1 from u_i^3 . O.E.D.

In the following sections, we shall make clear the actual methods of classifying the eleven types of V 's listed above when the fundamental tensors are given.

§3. Some preparatory propositions, 1.

It is evident that V 's of type V_I or V_V are completely characterized by the condition $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \neq\}$ or $\{\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4\}$ respectively, and that the problem of the invariant classification is out of the question for V 's of these

two types. The problem is important when we consider the classifications of V_{II} 's, V_{III} 's and V_{IV} 's. In this section we first prove the following proposition which will play an important role in the theory of classification:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let (1.1) be the line element of a V . If we assume that all six eigenvalues of K_A^B ($\equiv K_{ij}^{mn}$; $A \equiv (ij)$, $B \equiv (mn)$; $1 \equiv (12)$, $2 \equiv (13)$, \dots , $6 \equiv (34)$; $A, B = 1, 2, \dots, 6$) are constants, then the possible (β, γ, δ) and the corresponding (B, C, D) are given by the following four types:*

(I) When $\beta\gamma\delta \neq 0$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \beta = 2p_2, \quad \gamma = 2p_3, \quad \delta = 2p_4,$$

$$(3.2) \quad B = c_2 \exp(2p_2x), \quad C = c_3 \exp(2p_3x), \quad D = c_4 \exp(2p_4x),$$

where (and throughout the remainder of the paper) c_2, c_3 and c_4 are arbitrary positive constants, and p 's arbitrary non-vanishing constants. In this case, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_{1a} = (p_a)^2, \quad \lambda_{ab} = p_a p_b.$$

When and only when $p_2 = p_3 = p_4$ holds, the V is $S(A)$.

(II) When one of (β, γ, δ) is 0 and the remaining two are non-zero, i.e. when one of (II_2) ($\beta = 0, \gamma\delta \neq 0$), (II_3) ($\gamma = 0, \delta\beta \neq 0$) and (II_4) ($\delta = 0, \beta\gamma \neq 0$) holds, we have, for example, for (II_4)

$$(II_{4a}): \quad (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) \text{ with } (p_4 = 0, p_2 p_3 \neq 0),$$

$(II_{4b}):$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= 2p(ae^{px} - be^{-px})(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^{-1}, \\ \gamma &= 2p(ae^{px} + be^{-px})(ae^{px} - be^{-px})^{-1}, \quad \delta = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5) \quad B = c_2(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^2, \quad C = c_3(ae^{px} - be^{-px})^2, \quad D = c_4,$$

or $(II_{4b'}):$

$$(3.4') \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= 2p(a \cos px - b \sin px)(a \sin px + b \cos px)^{-1}, \\ \gamma &= -2p(a \sin px + b \cos px)(a \cos px - b \sin px)^{-1}, \quad \delta = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5') \quad B = c_2(a \sin px + b \cos px)^2, \quad C = c_3(a \cos px - b \sin px)^2, \quad D = c_4,$$

where $p (\neq 0)$, a and b are arbitrary constants, which do not satisfy $a = b = 0$. We have for (II_{4b}) and $(II_{4b'})$

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_{14} = \lambda_{24} = \lambda_{34} = 0, \quad \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{23} = \pm p^2 \quad (\equiv P),$$

where $+$ and $-$ correspond to (II_{4b}) and $(II_{4b'})$ respectively. Similar results hold for (II_2) and (II_3) . The V in (II_{4b}) or $(II_{4b'})$ is nothing but $S(C)$, and the

corresponding ones in (Π_{2b}) , $(\Pi_{2b'})$, (Π_{3b}) and $(\Pi_{3b'})$ are of the same character except the signature, i.e. each V is a direct product of a straight line and a 3-dimensional space of constant curvature.

(III) When two of (β, γ, δ) are 0 and the remaining one is non-zero, i.e. when one of (III_2) ($\beta \neq 0, \gamma = \delta = 0$), (III_3) ($\gamma \neq 0, \delta = \beta = 0$) and (III_4) ($\delta \neq 0, \beta = \gamma = 0$) holds, we have, for example, for (III_4)

(III_{4a}) :

$$(3.7) \quad \beta = \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = 2(x+c)^{-1},$$

$$(3.8) \quad B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(x+c)^2,$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. In this case, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda_{1a} = \lambda_{ab} = 0, \quad \text{and accordingly } K_A^B = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } K_{ij}^{mn} = 0,$$

which means that the V is $S(B)$.

(III_{4b}) :

$$(3.10) \quad \beta = \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = 2p(ae^{px} - be^{-px})(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^{-1},$$

$$(3.11) \quad B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^2;$$

$(\text{III}_{4b'})$:

$$(3.10') \quad \beta = \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = 2p(a \cos px - b \sin px)(a \sin px + b \cos px)^{-1},$$

$$(3.11') \quad B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(a \sin px + b \cos px)^2,$$

where p, a and b are of the same meanings as in (Π_{4b}) and $(\Pi_{4b'})$. In this case, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{23} = \lambda_{24} = \lambda_{34} = 0, \quad \lambda_{14} = \pm p^2 \equiv P.$$

Similar results hold for (III_2) and (III_3) .

(IV) When all of (β, γ, δ) vanish, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \beta = \gamma = \delta = 0; \quad B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4.$$

PROOF. The proof is easy if we use the relations:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 4\lambda_{12} &= 2\beta' + \beta^2, & 4\lambda_{13} &= 2\gamma' + \gamma^2, & 4\lambda_{14} &= 2\delta' + \delta^2, \\ 4\lambda_{34} &= \gamma\delta, & 4\lambda_{24} &= \beta\delta, & 4\lambda_{23} &= \beta\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which are obtained from (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5). Hence we omit it. (See §7 below).

Here the notations $S(A)$, $S(B)$ and $S(C)$ denote respectively *de Sitter's* space-time (or geometrically, the space-time of constant curvature), *Min-*

kowski's space-time (or geometrically, the flat space-time) and *Einstein's* space-time. These notations were introduced in [2], and we use them only for brevity's sake. As is well-known, both $S(A)$ and $S(C)$ played important roles in the early stage of relativistic cosmology as models of the universe.

We shall denote in the following by V_0 a V whose six eigenvalues of K_A^B are all constants, and further by $S(\bar{C})$ the V which belongs to (II_{2b}) , $(II_{2b'})$, (II_{3b}) or $(II_{3b'})$ and corresponds to $S(C)$. In more detail, (B, C, D) of an $S(\bar{C})$ are obtained from (3.5) or (3.5') by interchanging, for example, C with D .

It should be noted here that the cases obtained from (I) by putting, for example, $(p_2 \neq 0, p_3 = p_4 = 0)$ are included in $(III_{\rho b})$ or $(III_{\rho b'})$, $(\rho = 2, 3, 4)$, and that we have no need of dealing with such cases separately.

Next, we proceed to the consideration of the relation between the V 's obtained in the above proposition, and to the classification of V 's in terms of the four eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor given in §2. By examining in detail the V 's in the classification table of §2 from the standpoint of the proposition, we have

PROPOSITION 3.2. V_0 's belonging to V_I, V_{II}, \dots, V_V respectively are given by the following table:

(I), $(II_{\rho a})$	V_I	
(I)	$V_{IIa}, V_{IIb}, V_{IIc}, V_{IId}$: V_{II}
(I)	$V_{IIIc},$	} : V_{III}
$(II_{\rho a})$	$V_{IIIa}, V_{IIIb}, V_{IIIc}$	
$(II_{\rho b}), (II_{\rho b'})$	$V_{IIIa}, V_{IIIb}, V_{IIIc}$	
$(III_{\rho b}), (III_{\rho b'})$	V_{IVa}, V_{IVb}	: V_{IV}
(I)	$S(A)$	} : V_V
$(III_{\rho a})$	$S(B)$	
(IV)	$S(B)$	

($\rho = 2, 3, 4$).

The meanings of the table will easily be understood. More detailed results have been obtained. For example, V_{IIIa} belonging to $(II_{\rho a})$ is possible only for $\rho = 4$. But we omit them for brevity's sake.

§4. Invariant classification of V_{II} 's.

A V_{II} is characterized by {2 simple eigenvalues and 1 double eigenvalue} of the Ricci tensor. As is seen in §2, V_{II} 's are classified into two classes

V_{III} and V_{II2} , and each class into two subclasses. When all eigenvectors corresponding to the double eigenvalue are space-like, the V_{II} is V_{III} . In this case, eigenvectors corresponding to the two simple eigenvalues are space-like and time-like respectively. On the other hand, when all eigenvectors corresponding to the simple eigenvalues are space-like, the V_{II} is V_{II2} , and in this case, the eigenvectors corresponding to the double eigenvalue can be space-like or null or time-like.

Now we shall study how to classify invariantly V_{IIa} and V_{IIb} , and then V_{IIc} and V_{IIa} .

First we consider V_{III} denoting by ν the simple eigenvalue to which the unit space-like eigenvector u_i corresponds. Take any V_{IIa} , and it is evident that we have $\nu = \nu_1$ and $u_i = \varepsilon u_i^1$, ($\varepsilon^2 = 1$), which is evidently a gradient. On the other hand, if the V_{III} is V_{IIb} , we have $\nu = \nu_3$ (or ν_2) and $u_i = \varepsilon u_i^3$ (or εu_i^2). Further, the condition that the u_i^3 (or u_i^2) be a gradient is given by $\lambda_3 = \gamma = 0$ (or $\lambda_2 = \beta = 0$), from which we have $\nu_3 = 0$ (or $\nu_2 = 0$). Thus we can conclude that, when u_i of a V_{III} is not a gradient, the V_{III} is V_{IIb} , and further, when u_i is a gradient and $\nu \neq 0$, the V_{III} is V_{IIa} .

Next we consider the case in which u_i is a gradient and $\nu = 0$. Then it is easy to see that such a V_{III} cannot be a V_0 . In other words, at least one of six eigenvalues of K_A^B is non-constant. If we denote by λ any of such non-constant eigenvalues, then it is evident that when $\nabla_i \lambda$ is proportional to the u_i , the V_{III} is V_{IIa} , and otherwise it is V_{IIb} . These results can be written in a table as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 u_i \text{ is not a gradient} & \dots\dots\dots V_{IIb} \\
 u_i \text{ is a gradient} & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \nu \neq 0 & \dots\dots\dots V_{IIa} \\ \nu = 0 & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_i \propto \nabla_i \lambda & \dots\dots\dots V_{IIa} \\ u_i \not\propto \nabla_i \lambda & \dots\dots\dots V_{IIb} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.
 \end{array}$$

Now we proceed to the classification of V_{II2} 's. Let (ν, u_i) and (ν', u_i') be two sets of a simple eigenvalue and the corresponding unit space-like eigenvector. It is evident that when both u_i and u_i' are not gradients, the V_{II2} is V_{IIc} . Next we consider the case in which u_i is a gradient but u_i' is not. If the V_{II2} is V_{IIc} , we have $\nu = 0$ from (1.7). Thus, when $\nu \neq 0$, the V_{II2} is V_{IIa} . When u_i is a gradient, $\nu = 0$ and u_i' is not a gradient, we can find, just as in the case of V_{III} , that any V_{II2} satisfying these conditions cannot be V_0 , and that the V_{II2} is V_{IIc} or V_{IIa} according as $u_i \propto \nabla_i \lambda$ or $u_i' \not\propto \nabla_i \lambda$ respectively. Lastly we deal with the case in which both u_i and u_i' are not gradients. We can easily see that any V_{IIc} cannot satisfy this

condition, and hence the V_{II2} is V_{IIc} . Corresponding to the table of V_{III} , we have :

<i>both u_i and u'_i are gradients</i>	V_{IIc}
<i>both u_i and u'_i are not gradients</i>	V_{IIa}
<i>one is a gradient but</i>	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$ V_{IIc}
<i>the other is not</i>	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu = 0 \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_i \propto \nabla_i \lambda \\ u_i \not\propto \nabla_i \lambda \end{array} \right.$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{..... } V_{IIc} \\ \text{..... } V_{IIa} \end{array} \right.$

Lastly it should be noted that the V_{II} 's of the four types dealt with in this section really exist and that it is not difficult to give some examples of the actual forms of their line elements.

§ 5. Some preparatory propositions, 2.

We proceed to the classification of V_{III} 's. V_{III} is characterized by {1 *simple eigenvalue* and 1 *triple eigenvalue*}. Further $V_{III} (= V_{IIIa})$ is characterized by that the eigenvectors corresponding to the simple eigenvalue is time-like, while V_{III2} (i. e. V_{IIIb} or V_{IIIc}) is by that they are space-like. The reason why we distinguish V_{IIIb} from V_{IIIc} lies in Proposition 2. 1.

First we prove some propositions which are necessary when we deal with the freedom of c. s. of V_{IIIa} .

PROPOSITION 5. 1. *Let D in the coordinate system of (1. 1) satisfies $D'=0$ (and accordingly, $\delta=0$) and ν 's be of the form $\nu_1=\nu_2=\nu_3\neq\nu_4=0$. Then ν_1 must be a constant ($\neq 0$).*

PROOF. From the actual expression of ν 's given in §1 and the assumption, we have

$$(5. 1) \quad -2\nu_1 = \beta\gamma, \quad 2\beta' + \beta^2 = \beta\gamma = 2\gamma' + \gamma^2,$$

from which we can easily obtain $\nu_1'=0$. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 5. 2. *The line element of the V_{IIIa} stated in Proposition 5. 1 is reducible to the form*

$$(5. 2) \quad ds^2 = -dx^2 - Bdy^2 - Cdz^2 + dt^2,$$

where B and C are functions of x given by

$$(5. 3) \quad (3. 5) \quad \text{when } \nu_1 < 0,$$

$$(5. 3') \quad (3. 5') \quad \text{when } \nu_1 > 0.$$

Corresponding to (5. 3) or (5. 3'), we have respectively

$$(5. 4) \quad \nu_1 = -2p^2, \quad (5. 4') \quad \nu_1 = 2p^2.$$

Note that we have $K=3\nu_1=\mp 6p^2$ respectively.

PROOF. It is evident that $\beta \neq 0$. Hence we have from (5.1)

$$(5.5) \quad 2\beta'/\beta + \beta - \gamma = 0, \quad \text{i. s.} \quad 2\beta'/\beta + B'/B - C'/C = 0,$$

the integration of which gives $B'/2\sqrt{B} = e_1\sqrt{C}$. Similarly we have $C'/2\sqrt{C} = e_2\sqrt{B}$. Here e_1 and e_2 are arbitrary non-vanishing constants. When $e_1e_2 > 0$, putting $\sqrt{e_1e_2} = p$ and integrating these equations, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \sqrt{B} = m_1e^{px} + m_2e^{-px}, \quad \sqrt{C} = \sqrt{e_2/e_1}(m_1e^{px} - m_2e^{-px}), \quad (\beta\gamma = 4p^2),$$

where m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary constants which do not satisfy $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. Then it is evident that we have (5.3) by a simple transformation. On the other hand, when $e_1e_2 < 0$, we can similarly obtain (5.3') by putting $\sqrt{-e_1e_2} = p$. The remaining part is evident. Q. E. D.

Let $[K]$ be the c. s. for which the coordinate system of (5.2) with (5.3) (or (5.3')) is standard. Then we have from (1.3)

$$(5.7) \quad \lambda_4 = 0, \quad \lambda_2\lambda_3 = \beta\gamma/4 = \pm p^2 \equiv P,$$

from which we have

PROPOSITION 5.3. *If we use $[K]$, the classification of (5.3) and (5.3'), or equivalently, that of (5.4) and (5.4'), is equivalent to*

$$(5.8) \quad \lambda_2\lambda_3 > 0, \quad (5.8') \quad \lambda_2\lambda_3 < 0.$$

Further we have

PROPOSITION 5.4. *In the primed case, we cannot have $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ so far as we are dealing with real quantities. In the unprimed case, on the other hand, a necessary and sufficient condition for $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ is given by $(a \neq 0, b = 0)$ or $(a = 0, b \neq 0)$, and it holds that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = p$ in the former case and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -p$ in the latter.*

Note that in the primed case, if we admit complex quantities, the condition for $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ is given by $a = \pm ib$, and again we have $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \text{const.} \neq 0$.

For V_{IIIa} of Proposition 5.1, we have from (1.5)

$$(5.9) \quad \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{23} = P, \quad \lambda_{14} = \lambda_{24} = \lambda_{34} = 0.$$

The V_{IIIa} under consideration is nothing but the $S(C)$ as is elucidated in §3. It is easy to see from (5.1), etc. that it satisfies

$$(5.10) \quad K_{\rho\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot\mu\nu} = (\nu_1/2)(\delta_\rho^\mu \delta_\sigma^\nu - \delta_\sigma^\mu \delta_\rho^\nu), \quad (\rho, \sigma, \dots = 1, 2, 3),$$

where $\nu_1 = -2P$. (5.10) together with (5.2) shows that the 3-dimensional space of (x, y, z) is of constant curvature. Here it should be noted that we

use the notation $S(C)$ irrespectively of whether $\nu_1 = -2p^2$ or $\nu_1 = 2p^2$ holds. The most familiar form of its line element in relativistic theories is

$$(5.11) \quad ds^2 = -(1 + r^2/4R^2)^{-2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + dt^2,$$

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ and $1/R^2$ is a constant. It is easy to see that the relation between R^2 and $P(= \pm p^2)$ is given by

$$(5.12) \quad 1/R^2 = P = -\nu_1/2.$$

From the results obtained above, we have

PROPOSITION 5.5. *In $S(C)$ of the unprimed type, (i) we have c.s. satisfying $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ and those satisfying $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3$, (ii) the former satisfy either $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = p$ or $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -p$, and (iii) when λ_2 (or λ_3) is a constant (accordingly λ_3 (or λ_2) is also a constant), we have $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$.*

PROOF. We have only to prove (iii). Take the standard coordinate system for the c.s. If we put $\lambda_2 = p_2$ and $\lambda_3 = p_3$, where p 's are constants satisfying $p_2 p_3 = p^2$, then from $\lambda_2 = -\beta/2$ and $\lambda_3 = -\gamma/2$, we have $B = c_2 \exp(-2p_2 x)$ and $C = c_3 \exp(-2p_3 x)$, where c 's are arbitrary positive constants. From the relations $-4\nu_1 = \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = \beta^2 + \beta\gamma = \gamma^2 + \beta\gamma$, we have $\beta = \gamma$, $p_2 = p_3$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ in turn. This result is seen also from the fact that when $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3$, both λ_2 and λ_3 cannot be constants. Q.E.D.

Now we shall add some propositions concerning $S(C)$. The meaning of the results so far obtained will be understood more deeply by these propositions. A *parallel* vector field ('field' will be omitted hereafter) v_i is defined by

$$(5.13) \quad \nabla_i v_j = 0,$$

from which follows $v_i = \partial_i v$. Now we consider the general V . In the coordinate system of (1.1), (5.13) becomes by virtue of (2.2) of [1]

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{11} v &= \partial_{23} v = \partial_{24} v = \partial_{34} v = \{ \partial_{12} - (\beta/2) \partial_2 \} v \\ &= \{ \partial_{13} - (\gamma/2) \partial_3 \} v = \{ \partial_{14} - (\delta/2) \partial_4 \} v = \{ \partial_{22} + (B'/2) \partial_1 \} v, \\ &= \{ \partial_{33} + (C'/2) \partial_1 \} v = \{ \partial_{44} - (D'/2) \partial_1 \} v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By solving (5.14), we can easily obtain (cf. Proposition 3.1)

PROPOSITION 5.6. *When $\beta\gamma\delta \neq 0$, the V admits no parallel vector. (II) when one of (II₂) ($\beta=0, \gamma\delta \neq 0$), (II₃) ($\gamma=0, \delta\beta \neq 0$) and (II₄) ($\delta=0, \beta\gamma \neq 0$) holds, we have one and only one parallel vector v_i . It is $c\delta_i^2, c\delta_i^3$ (space-like), and $c\delta_i^4$ (time-like) respectively. Here c is a non-vanishing arbitrary constant. (III) When one of (III₂) ($\gamma=\delta=0, \beta \neq 0$), (III₃) ($\delta=\beta=0, \gamma \neq 0$) and (III₄) ($\beta=\gamma$*

$=0, \delta \neq 0$) holds and the V is non-flat, we have two linearly independent (with constant coefficients) parallel vectors. They are $c\delta_i^3 + c'\delta_i^4$, $c\delta_i^4 + c'\delta_i^2$ (space-like, null, time-like) and $c\delta_i^2 + c'\delta_i^3$ (space-like) respectively. Here c and c' are arbitrary constants which do not satisfy $c=c'=0$. When the V is flat, i.e. when (3.7) or a similar relation holds, we have evidently four linearly independent parallel vectors. In other words, we have parallel vectors in any direction at any point. (IV) When $\beta=\gamma=\delta=0$ holds, the V is flat, and we have four linearly independent parallel vectors.

Consequently, we find that, for example, there exists no V admitting three parallel vectors, which is also seen from the well-known theorem of Walker [3].

Evidently $S(C)$ belongs to the type (II₄) and admits only one parallel vector which is time-like. (See also [2].) We have further

PROPOSITION 5.7. V_{IIIa} (more generally, V) which satisfies $\delta \neq 0$ in the coordinate system of (1.1) is not $S(C)$.

PROPOSITION 5.8. $S(C)$ cannot admit a c.s. satisfying $\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 \neq 0$.

§ 6. Invariant classification of V_{III2} 's.

Let V be a V_{III2} , i.e. V_{IIIb} or V_{IIIc} . We consider the problem of classifying invariantly V_{IIIb} and V_{IIIc} . Let ν and ν' be the simple and triple eigenvalues respectively. In any V_{III2} , the unit eigenvector u_i corresponding to ν is space-like, and further we have

PROPOSITION 6.1. In V_{IIIc} , the unit eigenvector v_i is a gradient. Accordingly, V_{III2} is V_{IIIb} if u_i is not a gradient.

The proof is evident, since u_i is nothing but ϵu_i ($\epsilon^2=1$).

PROPOSITION 6.2. Assume that u_i in a V_{III2} be a gradient. Then the V_{III2} is V_{IIIb} or V_{IIIc} according as u_i is a parallel field or not.

PROOF. The proof is easy if we use Proposition 5.6 and the fact that the condition $\overset{3}{u}_i = \sqrt{C}\delta_i^3$ be a gradient (i.e. $\nabla_{[i}u_{j]}=0$) is given by $\lambda_3=0$ (i.e. $\gamma=0$) and that we have $\nabla_i u_j=0$ in this case.

In connection with this proposition, we add the following:

PROPOSITION 6.3. V_{III2} cannot admit a c.s. satisfying $\lambda_2=\lambda_3=0$.

PROPOSITION 6.4. Any c.s. of the V_{IIIb} stated in Proposition 6.2 satisfies either $(\lambda_2 \neq 0, \lambda_3=0)$ or $(\lambda_2=0, \lambda_3 \neq 0)$. For this V_{IIIb} , we have $\nu=0$.

PROPOSITION 6.5. When a c.s. of V_{IIIc} satisfies either $(\lambda_2 \neq 0, \lambda_3=0)$ or $(\lambda_2=0, \lambda_3 \neq 0)$, we have $\nu'=0$.

The proofs of these propositions are easy, so we omit them.

From the discussions of the last and the present sections, we can conclude that when a V_{III} is given in any coordinate system, we can determine whether it is V_{IIIa} or V_{IIIb} or V_{IIIc} . The classifications are *independent* of the coordinate system.

REMARK. As is seen in §4, the classification of V_{II} 's is easy if we use Proposition 3.1. However, it is not so easy to classify V_{III} 's by using the same proposition. The reason is that we have many V_{III2} 's belonging to V_0 .

Now we shall write down some propositions corresponding to those in §5. These propositions will be of use when we consider the freedom of c. s. in V_{IIIb} . The proofs are similar to those in §5.

PROPOSITION 6.6. *Let C in the coordinate system of (1.1) satisfy $C'=0$ (i. e. $\gamma=0$) and ν 's be of the form $\nu_1=\nu_2=\nu_4\neq\nu_3=0$. Then ν_1 (i. e. ν') must be a non-vanishing constant.*

PROPOSITION 6.7. *The line element of the V_{IIIb} stated above is reducible to the form*

$$(6.1) \quad ds^2 = -dx^2 - Bdy^2 - dz^2 + Ddt^2,$$

where B and D are functions of x given by

$$(6.2) \quad B = c_2(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(ae^{px} - be^{-px})^2, \\ \text{when } \nu' = -2p^2 < 0,$$

$$(6.2') \quad B = c_2(a \sin px + b \cos px)^2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(a \cos px - b \sin px)^2, \\ \text{when } \nu' = 2p^2 > 0.$$

Here p , c 's, a and b are of the same meanings as in Proposition 3.1, and again we have $K=3\nu'=\mp 6p^2$ respectively.

Thus the V_{IIIb} is nothing but $S(\bar{C})$. We can obtain various results concerning $S(\bar{C})$ similar to those obtained in §5 concerning $S(C)$. We have, for example,

$$(6.3) \quad \lambda_2\lambda_4 = -\nu'/2 = \pm p^2 \quad (\equiv P),$$

and we can easily find, just as in the case of $S(C)$, that many kinds of c. s. are possible in $S(\bar{C})$. It goes without saying that we can obtain similar results for the V_{IIIb} of the type $\nu_1=\nu_3=\nu_4\neq\nu_2=0$.

§7. Invariant classification of V_{IV} 's.

In this section we deal with the case of two double eigenvalues. V_{IVa}

and V_{IVb} belong to this case. In both V_{IV} 's, eigenvectors corresponding to the one double eigenvalue ν are space-like and those corresponding to the other eigenvalue ν' are space-like or null or time-like. In V_{IVa} in which $\nu_1 = \nu_2 \neq \nu_3 = \nu_4$ (or $\nu_1 = \nu_3 \neq \nu_2 = \nu_4$) holds, we have $\nu = \nu_1$ and $\nu' = \nu_4$, while in V_{IVb} in which $\nu_1 = \nu_4 \neq \nu_2 = \nu_3$ holds, we have $\nu = \nu_2$ and $\nu' = \nu_4$.

We can solve the problem of invariant classification of V_{IV} 's by considering the eigenvalues of K_A^B , i.e. λ_{1a} and λ_{ab} . First we can prove the following proposition by examining the results of Proposition 3.1 in detail:

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Let a V_{IV} be V_0 . Then only the following 3 cases are possible: (i) V_{IV} of type (III_{2b}) or (III_{2b'}): (B, C, D) are given by*

$$(7.1) \quad B = c_2(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^2 \text{ or } = c_2(a \sin px + b \cos px)^2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4.$$

(ii) V_{IV} of type (III_{3b}) or (III_{3b'}): (B, C, D) are given by the expressions which are obtained from (7.1) by interchanging B with C , and c_2 with c_3 .

The V_{IV} in (i) or (ii) is V_{IVa} and we have $(\nu = -P, \nu' = 0)$.

(iii) V_{IV} of type (III_{4b}) or (III_{4b'}): (B, C, D) are given by

$$(7.2) \quad B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(ae^{px} + be^{-px})^2 \text{ or } = c_4(a \sin px + b \cos px)^2.$$

The V_{IV} is V_{IVb} and we have $(\nu = 0, \nu' = -P)$.

Here P, p, c 's, a and b are of the same meanings as in Proposition 3.1.

We have from this proposition

PROPOSITION 7.2. *Let V_{IV} be V_0 . Then one of ν and ν' is 0 and the remaining one is a non-vanishing constant $-P$. The V_{IV} is V_{IVa} or V_{IVb} according as $\nu = -P$ or $\nu = 0$ respectively.*

Next we consider V_{IV} which is not V_0 . Then at least one of six eigenvalues is not constant. We denote one of such eigenvalues by λ using the same notation as in §4. Then we have from Proposition 7.1

PROPOSITION 7.3. *Consider a V_{IV} which is not V_0 . Denote by u_i the unit vector proportional to the gradient $\nabla_i \lambda$. Then u_i is a unit eigenvector of K_i^j . The V_{IV} is V_{IVa} or V_{IVb} according as u_i corresponds to ν or ν' respectively.*

Here it should be noted that even when two or more eigenvalues of K_A^B are not constants, the u_i is determined uniquely to within its sign.

Thus the problem of discriminating whether the given V_{IV} is V_{IVa} or V_{IVb} has been completely solved.

§8. Some preparatory propositions, 3.

V_V is characterized by the condition $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 \equiv \nu$, that is, in V_V ,

the Ricci tensor has a quadruple eigenvalue ν . In terms of the usual terminology in Riemannian geometry, V_ν belongs to the Einstein space. As is easily seen, any V of constant curvature (i.e. $S(A)$), including a flat V (i.e. $S(B)$), is V_ν .

PROPOSITION 8.1. *In an Einstein V , ν is a constant, and accordingly $K(=4\nu)$ is also a constant.*

PROOF. From the actual expressions of ν 's in the coordinate system of (1.1) given in §1, we find that the condition for the Einstein V is given, in terms of λ 's, by

$$(8.1) \quad \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{34}, \quad \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{24}, \quad \lambda_{14} = \lambda_{23},$$

or, in terms of β, γ and δ , by

$$(8.2) \quad 2\beta' + \beta^2 = \gamma\delta, \quad 2\gamma' + \gamma^2 = \delta\beta, \quad 2\delta' + \delta^2 = \beta\gamma.$$

Further we have

$$(8.3) \quad \nu = -(\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{14}) = -(1/4)(\beta\gamma + \gamma\delta + \delta\beta), \quad K = 4\nu.$$

From (8.3) and (8.2), we can obtain $\nu' = 0$. Q.E.D.

Although we proved directly in the above, it is well-known that the scalar curvature K of an Einstein space is a constant. ([4], p. 93.)

Next we can easily obtain from Proposition 3.1

PROPOSITION 8.2. *The line element of $S(B)$ in the form of (1.1) is given by the following four types:*

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{III}_{2a}) \quad & B = c_2(x+c)^2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4, \\ (\text{III}_{3a}) \quad & B = c_2, \quad C = c_3(x+c)^2, \quad D = c_4, \\ (\text{III}_{4a}) \quad & B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4(x+c)^2, \\ (\text{IV}) \quad & B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4, \end{aligned}$$

where c 's are of the same meanings as those in Proposition 3.1.

It should be noted here that β, γ and δ in $(\text{III}_{\rho a})$ respectively are not constants. The above proposition can be rewritten in the form:

PROPOSITION 8.3. *C.s. of $S(B)$ must satisfy one of the following conditions:*

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{III}_{2a}) \quad & \lambda_2 \neq \text{const.}, \quad \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0, \\ (\text{III}_{3a}) \quad & \lambda_3 \neq \text{const.}, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0, \\ (\text{III}_{4a}) \quad & \lambda_4 \neq \text{const.}, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0, \\ (\text{IV}) \quad & \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider a non-flat space-time of constant curvature, i.e. $S(A)$. We can easily obtain from Proposition 3.1

PROPOSITION 8.4. *The line element of $S(A)$ in the form of (1.1) is given by*

$$(8.6) \quad B = c_2 e^{px}, \quad C = c_3 e^{px}, \quad D = c_4 e^{px},$$

where $p = \pm\sqrt{-K/3}$. Thus we have a real metric of the form (1.1) when and only when K is negative.

PROPOSITION 8.5. *C.s. of $S(A)$ must satisfy*

$$(8.7) \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = -p/2, \quad (p^2 = -K/3).$$

Thus c.s. is real when and only when K is negative.

Evidently, $S(B)$ and $S(A)$ are characterized by that \mathbf{K}_A^B has one sextuple constant eigenvalue. Of course, this eigenvalue is 0 or a non-vanishing constant according as the V_v is $S(B)$ or $S(A)$ respectively. It should especially be noted that there exist some sets of $(\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ whose λ 's are not necessarily 0, for the Minkowski space-time $S(B)$.

§9. Some preparatory propositions, 4.

In the present and the next sections, we shall integrate (8.2) and determine the actual forms of the line element of V_v . As a matter of course, $S(B)$ and $S(A)$ dealt with in the last section are included in the following discussions. From (8.2) and (8.3), we can easily obtain

$$(9.1) \quad f' + f^2/2 + 3K/2 = 0,$$

where we put $f = \beta + \gamma + \delta$. Then if we put $f = 2v'/v$, (9.1) becomes

$$(9.2) \quad v'' = -(3K/4)v.$$

(A) In this section, we consider *the case of $K=0$* . In this case g_{ij} of the space-time under consideration satisfies the Einstein equation for purely gravitational field:

$$(9.3) \quad K_{ij} = 0,$$

and the results are especially significant from the physical point of view. Therefore we shall state the results somewhat in detail.

We have from (9.2), or directly from (9.1),

$$(9.4) \quad (a) \ f = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (b) \ f = 2M^{-1}, \quad (M \equiv x + c),$$

where c is an arbitrary constant.

(A_a) In the first place we consider the case of $f=0$. This condition gives $BCD=\text{const.}$ When (i) $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$ holds, we have $\beta\gamma\delta \neq 0$ from (8.2). Further we can easily find that we cannot have such a case so far as we are dealing with real quantities. We can also prove that we cannot have the cases of (ii₂) $(\beta \neq \gamma = \delta)$, (ii₃) $(\gamma \neq \beta = \delta)$ and (ii₄) $(\beta = \gamma \neq \delta)$. If we consider finally the case of (iii) $(\beta = \gamma = \delta)$, we arrive at $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore we have

PROPOSITION 9.1. *When $K=0$ holds, the only possible solution of (8.2) is given by $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$, if we assume $f=0$. That is, the V_v is $S(B)$, the line element is given by (IV) of Proposition 8.2, and the c.s. satisfies (IV) of Proposition 8.3.*

(A_b) Now we consider the case in which $f=2M^{-1} \neq 0$ holds.

(i) We assume $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$. From (8.2), we have

$$(9.5) \quad \beta - \gamma = a_2 M^{-1}, \quad \gamma - \delta = a_3 M^{-1}, \quad \delta - \beta = a_4 M^{-1},$$

where a 's are arbitrary constants satisfying

$$(9.6) \quad a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0.$$

Then from (8.2) and (9.5), we obtain

$$(9.7) \quad \beta = (2 + a_2 - a_4)M^{-1}/3, \quad \gamma = (2 + a_3 - a_2)M^{-1}/3, \quad \delta = (2 + a_4 - a_3)M^{-1}/3.$$

The condition $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$ is equivalent to $a_2 a_3 a_4 \neq 0$. Using (8.2) again, we have

$$(9.8) \quad a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 = 8.$$

Conversely, when $a_2 a_3 a_4 \neq 0$, and (9.6) and (9.8) hold, (9.7) satisfies (8.2) and $K=0$. Therefore we have

PROPOSITION 9.2. *Let $K=0$ hold. If we assume $f=2M^{-1}$ and $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$, the solution of (8.2) is given by (9.7), where a 's are arbitrary non-vanishing constants satisfying (9.6) and (9.8). In this case, we have*

$$(9.9) \quad B = c_2 M^{(2+a_2-a_4)/3}, \quad C = c_3 M^{(2+a_3-a_2)/3}, \quad D = c_4 M^{(2+a_4-a_3)/3}.$$

The actual method of obtaining a 's is as follows: If we eliminate a_2 from (9.6) and (9.8), we have

$$(9.10) \quad a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_3 a_4 = 4.$$

Take a_3 and a_4 satisfying $a_3 a_4 \neq 0$ and (9.10), and determine a_2 from (9.8). Then this set (a_2, a_3, a_4) gives the solution, if $a_2 \neq 0$. An example is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.11) \quad & a_2 = 1, \quad a_3 = (-1 + \sqrt{13})/2, \quad a_4 = -(1 + \sqrt{13})/2; \\
& \beta = (7 + \sqrt{13})/6M, \quad \gamma = (1 + \sqrt{13})/6M, \quad \delta = (2 - \sqrt{13})/3M; \\
& B = c_2 M^{(7+\sqrt{13})/6}, \quad C = c_3 M^{(1+\sqrt{13})/6}, \quad D = c_4 M^{(2-\sqrt{13})/3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further we have

PROPOSITION 9.3. \mathbf{K}_A^B of V_v stated in Proposition 9.2 has 3 double eigenvalues, and these values are not constants. (As a result, they are non-vanishing.)

The proof is easy if we use the fact that, for example, $\beta\delta = \gamma\delta$ is equivalent to $\delta=0$, i.e. $a_3 - a_4 = 2$, which together with (9.10) gives $a_3 a_4 = 0$.

(ii₄) Next, we assume $f = 2M^{-1}$ and $(\beta = \gamma \neq \delta)$. Just as in (i), we obtain from (8.2)

$$(9.12) \quad \delta - \beta = a_4 M^{-1}.$$

Making use of (8.2) again, we find that $(\beta = \gamma, \delta)$ and (B, C, D) must be one of the following two types:

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii_{4a}) \quad & a_4 = 2, \quad \beta = \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = 2M^{-1}; \quad B = c_2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4 M^2. \\
(ii_{4b}) \quad & a_4 = -2, \quad \beta = \gamma = (4/3)M^{-1}, \quad \delta = -(2/3)M^{-1}; \\
& B = c_2 M^{4/3}, \quad C = c_3 M^{4/3}, \quad D = c_4 M^{-2/3}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii_{4a}) is nothing but the one given in (III_{4a}) of Propositions 8.2 and 8.3, and \mathbf{K}_A^B has a sextuple eigenvalue 0. \mathbf{K}_A^B of (ii_{4b}) has a quadruple eigenvalue $-(2/9)M^{-2}$ and a double eigenvalue $(4/9)M^{-2}$. Both eigenvalues are functions of x , and are not constants.

(ii₂) We now assume $f = 2M^{-1}$ and $(\beta \neq \gamma = \delta)$. (The case of (ii₃) ($\gamma \neq \beta = \delta$) can be obtained from the present one by a simple change.) Just as in (ii₄), we can obtain, by making use of $\beta - \gamma = a_2/M$, the following two kinds of solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii_{2a}) \quad & a_2 = 2, \quad \gamma = \delta = 0, \quad \beta = 2M^{-1}; \quad B = c_2 M^2, \quad C = c_3, \quad D = c_4, \\
(ii_{2b}) \quad & a_2 = -2, \quad \beta = -(2/3)M^{-1}, \quad \gamma = \delta = (4/3)M^{-1}; \\
& B = c_2 M^{-2/3}, \quad C = c_3 M^{4/3}, \quad D = c_4 M^{4/3}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii_{2a}) is the one in (III_{2a}) of Propositions 8.2 and 8.3. Concerning the eigenvalues of \mathbf{K}_A^B of (ii_{2b}), we have the same results as in (ii_{4b}).

(iii) The case in which $f = 2M^{-1}$ and $\beta = \gamma = \delta$ hold. We have $\beta = \gamma = \delta = (2/3)M^{-1}$. Since this β does not satisfy $2\beta' + \beta^2 = \beta^2$, i.e. $\beta' = 0$, we cannot have such a solution.

Summarizing the results obtained above, we have

PROPOSITION 9. 4. *When $K=0$, the solutions of (8. 2) are given by those stated in $(ii_{\rho a})$ and $(ii_{\rho b})$, ($\rho=2, 3, 4$), if we assume $f=2M^{-1}$ and exclude the case of $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$. The V_v 's in $(ii_{\rho a})$ are $S(B)$, while those in $(ii_{\rho b})$ are not $S(B)$. K_A^B of $(ii_{\rho b})$ has one quadruple eigenvalue ν and one double eigenvalue $\nu' (= -2\nu)$, where ν is a non-constant function.*

We do not restate, with use of the members of c.s., the results obtained above. But it should be noted that the gradients of eigenvalues of K_A^B in Proposition 9. 3 and those in $(ii_{\rho b})$ of Proposition 9. 4 are proportional to u_i . As is stated at the beginning of this section, these space-times are non-flat exact solutions of the Einstein equation (9. 3).

Thus we have completed the study of the Einstein V_v satisfying $K=0$.

§ 10. Some preparatory propositions, 5.

In this last section, we consider the case of the Einstein V_v satisfying $K \neq 0$, the last case remained. Then we have, corresponding to (9. 3),

$$(10. 1) \quad K_{ij} = (K/4) g_{ij},$$

where $K/4 = \nu = \text{const.}$ (cf. Proposition 8. 1). (10. 1) is nothing but the Einstein field equation with a cosmological term. So, again the results of this section will be of some meanings from the physical point of view. The results obtained in § 8 concerning $S(A)$ will be included in those of this section.

(B) We first assume $K < 0$, and put $p = \sqrt{-3K}/2$. Thus p is a non-vanishing constant. Then from (9. 2), we have

$$(10. 2) \quad v = ae^{px} + be^{-px},$$

where a and b are arbitrary constants which do not satisfy $a=b=0$. Then we can obtain from (8. 2)

$$(10. 3) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= v^{-1} \{a_2 + (2p/3)w\}, \quad \gamma = v^{-1} \{a_3 + (2p/3)w\}, \\ \delta &= v^{-1} \{a_4 + (2p/3)w\}, \quad w \equiv ae^{px} - be^{-px}, \quad (v' = pw, \quad w' = pv), \end{aligned}$$

where a 's are arbitrary constants satisfying

$$(10. 4) \quad a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0.$$

Again substituting (10. 3) into (8. 2), we find that a necessary and sufficient condition that β, γ, δ given by (10. 3) satisfy (8. 2), is given by (10. 4) and

$$(10.5) \quad a_2a_3 + a_3a_4 + a_4a_2 = 16abp^2/3 = -4abK.$$

From (10.3), we have

$$(10.6) \quad B = c_2v^{2/3} \exp(a_2F), \quad C = c_3v^{2/3} \exp(a_3F), \quad D = c_4v^{2/3} \exp(a_4F),$$

where $F = \int v^{-1} dx = \int (ae^{px} + be^{-px})^{-1} dx$. Therefore we have

PROPOSITION 10.1. *When $K < 0$, the general forms of (β, γ, δ) and (B, C, D) satisfying (8.2) are given by (10.3) and (10.6) respectively, where a 's are arbitrary constants satisfying (10.4) and (10.5).*

Especially when $b=0$ (or $a=0$) and $\beta=\gamma=\delta$, we have $a_2=a_3=a_4=0$ and

$$(10.7) \quad \beta = \gamma = \delta = 2p/3; \quad B = c_2G, \quad C = c_3G, \quad D = c_4G, \quad (G = e^{2px/3}),$$

where c 's are new arbitrary positive constants. (10.7) is nothing but those given by (8.6) in which p is replaced by $2p/3$. In connection with this, we have

PROPOSITION 10.2. *When $K < 0$, V_v must be $S(A)$, if $\beta=\gamma=\delta$ holds.*

PROOF. From (10.3) and (10.4), we have $a_2=a_3=a_4=0$, and from (10.5), $ab=0$. Then it is evident that the V_v is $S(A)$ (cf. Proposition 8.4). Q. E. D.

It is easy to show that we have solutions (a_2, a_3, a_4) of (10.4) and (10.5) giving various types: (i) $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$, (ii) $(\beta \neq \gamma = \delta)$, \dots . Examples are

$$(i) \left\{ a_2 = a_3/2 = -a_4/3 = (4/\sqrt{21})p\sqrt{-ab} \right\}, \quad (ii) \left\{ -a_2/2 = a_3 = a_4 = (4/3)p\sqrt{-ab} \right\}, \quad \dots$$

PROPOSITION 10.3. *A necessary and sufficient condition that we have a real solution of (10.4) and (10.5) is $ab \leq 0$.*

PROOF. By virtue of (10.4), we have $a_2a_3 + a_3a_4 + a_4a_2 = -(a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2)/2$ and hence from (10.5), the proposition follows. Q. E. D.

Thus we can say that the space-time under consideration cannot be V_v in our sense when $ab > 0$. Evidently K_A^B has a sextuple eigenvalue for $S(A)$, a quadruple and a double eigenvalues when two of β, γ, δ coincide, and three double eigenvalues when $(\beta, \gamma, \delta \neq)$. Except the case of $S(A)$, the eigenvalues are non-constant functions of x .

(C) Lastly, we consider the case $K > 0$, and put $\sqrt{3K}/2 = p$. Then, corresponding to (10.2), we have from (9.2)

$$(10.8) \quad v = a \sin px + b \cos px,$$

where a and b are arbitrary constants, at least one of which is non-vanishing. The equations corresponding to (10.3), (10.4) and (10.5) are respectively

$$(10.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= v^{-1} \{a_2 + (2p/3)w\}, \quad \gamma = v^{-1} \{a_3 + (2p/3)w\}, \\ \delta &= v^{-1} \{a_4 + (2p/3)w\}; \quad w = a \cos px - b \sin px, \quad (v' = pw, w' = -pv), \end{aligned}$$

$$(10.10) \quad a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0,$$

$$(10.11) \quad a_2 a_3 + a_3 a_4 + a_4 a_2 = -(4/3)p^2(a^2 + b^2) = -(a^2 + b^2)K.$$

The actual forms of B , C and D are given by (10.6) with $F = \int v^{-1} dx = \int (a \sin px + b \cos px)^{-1} dx$.

If we put $\beta = \gamma = \delta$, we have from (10.10) and (10.11), $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a = b = 0$, which cannot be the case. Therefore the V_v cannot be $S(A)$, in conformity with the result in Proposition 8.4. Similarly to the preceding case, we can show the actual examples of the solutions of (10.10) and (10.11) of type (i) and (ii_p). (As is stated in the above, we cannot have the type (iii).) The eigenvalues of K_A^B are of type {1 double and 1 quadruple}- or {3 double}-values and these values are not constants.

Thus in §8, §9 and the present section, we have completed the preparatory investigations concerning the Einstein V 's. When K_A^B has a sextuple eigenvalue, the V is $S(B)$ or $S(A)$. The value is 0 for $S(B)$ and a non-vanishing constant for $S(A)$. If we exclude such cases, the remaining V_v 's are of type {1 double and 1 quadruple}- or {3 double}-eigenvalues, and further the values are non-constant functions of x in all cases. This result will be used in considering the freedom of c.s. in these V_v 's.

Research Institute for Theoretical Physics

Hiroshima University and Fukui University
Takehara-shi, Hiroshima-ken Fukui-shi

References

- [1] H. TAKENO and S. KITAMURA: On some special kind of space-times, I, Tensor, N.S. (In the press).
- [2] H. TAKENO: The theory of spherically symmetric space-times (Revised edition), Sci. Rep. Res. Inst. Theor. Phys., Hiroshima Univ., No. 5 (1966).
- [3] A. G. WALKER: On Ruse's spaces of recurrent curvature, Proc. London Math. Soc., 52 (1950), 36-64.
- [4] L. P. EISENHART: Riemannian geometry, Princeton Univ. Press, (1949).

(Received November 7, 1971)