

Distributors on a tensor category

D. TAMBARA

(Received September 30, 2004)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor category and let \mathcal{V} denote the category of vector spaces. A distributor on \mathcal{A} is a functor $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. We are concerned with distributors with two-sided \mathcal{A} -action. Those distributors form a tensor category, which we denote by ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$. The functor category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ is also a tensor category and has the center $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. We show that if \mathcal{A} is rigid, then ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ are equivalent as tensor categories.

Key words: tensor category, distributor, center.

Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor category over a field k and let \mathcal{V} denote the category of vector spaces over k . A distributor on \mathcal{A} is a functor $L: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ([1]). We say L admits two-sided \mathcal{A} -action if maps

$$L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(A \otimes X, A \otimes Y), \quad L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(X \otimes A, Y \otimes A)$$

are given for all objects $A, X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ so that they satisfy certain conditions. Distributors with two-sided \mathcal{A} -action form a tensor category, which we denote by ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Such distributors arise in studying extensions of a tensor category. Given a tensor functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, set $L(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then L is a monoid object of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$. Conversely a monoid object of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ produces a tensor category having the same objects as \mathcal{A} .

On the other hand there is a notion of the center of a tensor category ([3], [4], [5]). The center $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is the category consisting of objects $X \in \mathcal{A}$ equipped with isomorphisms $X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying certain conditions. The center is a braided tensor category. When \mathcal{A} is the category of representations of a Hopf algebra H , $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ is the category of representations of the double Hopf algebra $D(H)$ ([4]).

Now the category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ of functors $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a tensor category ([2]). So it has the center $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. We assume that \mathcal{A} is

rigid, that is, every object of \mathcal{A} has left and right dual objects. Our result is as follows.

Theorem *We have an equivalence of tensor categories*

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

The equivalence is sketched as follows. Let $L \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$. For $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ let Y^c be a left dual of Y and Y^d a right dual of Y . It is proved that the left \mathcal{A} -action on L yields an isomorphism $L(X, Y) \cong L(Y^c \otimes X, I)$ with I unit object, and the right \mathcal{A} -action on L yields $L(X, Y) \cong L(X \otimes Y^d, I)$. Hence $L(Y^c \otimes X, I) \cong L(X \otimes Y^d, I)$. Thus the functor $F: \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ given by $F(X) = L(X, I)$ admits isomorphisms $F(Y^c \otimes X) \cong F(X \otimes Y^d)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. This makes F an object of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. The correspondence $L \mapsto F$ gives the equivalence of the theorem.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections 1 and 2 contain basic definitions about tensor categories, tensor linear functors, and distributors. In Section 3 we show the isomorphisms $L(X, A \otimes Y) \cong L(A^c \otimes X, Y)$, $L(X, Y \otimes A) \cong L(X \otimes A^d, Y)$ for a distributor L with \mathcal{A} -action. In Section 4 we consider the centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ of \mathcal{A} in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. This category is isomorphic to the center $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. An object of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is described in two ways: as a functor $F: \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ equipped with isomorphisms $F(A^c \otimes X) \cong F(X \otimes A^d)$, and as a functor $F: \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ equipped with morphisms $F(X) \rightarrow F(A \otimes X \otimes A^d)$. In Section 5 we prove the equivalence ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ as plain categories.

In the remaining sections we consider tensor structures. The tensor product (composition product) in ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined in Section 6, and the tensor product (Day's product) in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ is defined in Section 7. The tensor product in $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is described in Section 8. Then we prove in Section 9 that the equivalence ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ preserves tensor products.

1. Tensor categories and tensor linear functors

Throughout the paper categories and functors are linear over a field k . The category of k -vector spaces is denoted by \mathcal{V} . The category of functors $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

In this section we review basic definitions for tensor categories, tensor

linear functors, and centralizers.

Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor category. The tensor product of objects X and Y of \mathcal{A} is denoted by XY . The tensor product of morphisms $f: X \rightarrow X'$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$ of \mathcal{A} is denoted by $fg: XY \rightarrow X'Y'$, while the composition of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is denoted by $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$. The unit object of \mathcal{A} is denoted by I . The identity morphism on an object X is denoted by 1_X , and often abbreviated as 1 .

For simplicity we assume that \mathcal{A} is a strict tensor category, that is, the equalities

$$(XY)Z = X(YZ), \quad XI = X = IX$$

for objects and the equalities

$$(fg)h = f(gh), \quad f1_I = f = 1_I f$$

for morphisms hold.

We review the language of modules over tensor categories ([6]). A left \mathcal{A} -module is a category \mathcal{X} equipped with a bilinear functor $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, called an \mathcal{A} -action, satisfying the axiom of associativity and unitality analogous to the axiom for a module over a ring. We write the \mathcal{A} -action as $(A, X) \mapsto AX$ for objects and $(e, f) \mapsto ef$ for morphisms. Then the axiom says

$$(AA')X = A(A'X), \quad IX = X, \\ (ee')f = e(e'f), \quad 1_I f = f$$

for objects A, A' of \mathcal{A} and X of \mathcal{X} , and morphisms e, e' of \mathcal{A} and f of \mathcal{X} .

A right \mathcal{A} -module is similarly defined.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be strict tensor categories. An $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule is a category \mathcal{X} equipped with bilinear functors $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, called actions, satisfying the axiom analogous to the axiom for a usual bimodule. With the notation for the actions similar to the above, the axiom consists of the equalities

$$(AA')X = A(A'X), \quad IX = X, \\ (AX)B = A(XB), \\ X(BB') = (XB)B', \quad XI = X$$

for objects A, A' of \mathcal{A} , X of \mathcal{X} , and B, B' of \mathcal{B} , and the corresponding equal-

ities for morphisms. The tensor category \mathcal{A} itself is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule in which AX, XA are tensor products in \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be left \mathcal{A} -modules. An \mathcal{A} -linear functor $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a functor $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms $\lambda_{A, X}: F(AX) \rightarrow AF(X)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (1.1.i) $\lambda_{A, X}$ is natural in A and X .
- (1.1.ii) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(AA'X) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A, A'X}} & AF(A'X) \\
 \searrow \lambda_{AA', X} & & \downarrow 1\lambda_{A', X} \\
 & & AA'F(X)
 \end{array}$$

commutes for all $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

- (1.1.iii) $\lambda_{I, X} = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

We call the family of $\lambda_{A, X}$ the *left \mathcal{A} -linear structure* of F .

If \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} are right \mathcal{B} -modules, a \mathcal{B} -linear functor $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a functor $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms $\rho_{X, B}: F(XB) \rightarrow F(X)B$, called the *right \mathcal{B} -linear structure*, satisfying similar conditions.

If \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} are $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules, an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -linear functor $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a functor $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms $\lambda_{A, X}: F(AX) \rightarrow AF(X)$ and $\rho_{X, B}: F(XB) \rightarrow F(X)B$ satisfying (1.1.i)–(1.1.iii) for λ , the corresponding conditions for ρ , and the following:

- (1.2) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(AXB) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A, XB}} & AF(XB) \\
 \rho_{AX, B} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1\rho_{X, B} \\
 F(AX)B & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A, X}1} & AF(X)B
 \end{array}$$

commutes for all $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}, X \in \mathcal{X}$.

If \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} are left \mathcal{A} -modules and F, G are \mathcal{A} -linear functors $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, an \mathcal{A} -linear natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$ is a natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$ commuting with the left \mathcal{A} -linear structure of F and G . We then have the category $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ whose objects are \mathcal{A} -linear functors $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and whose morphisms are \mathcal{A} -linear natural transformations.

Similarly, for $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} we have the category of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -linear functors $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, which we denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

The following is an analogue of the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$ for an R -module M , and can be proved easily.

Proposition 1.3 *Let \mathcal{X} be a right \mathcal{A} -module. We have an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{X}$$

which takes an object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ to an object $G \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ as follows. We have

$$G(A) = XA$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and the right \mathcal{A} -linear structure

$$\rho_{A,B}: G(AB) \rightarrow G(A)B$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ is the identity

$$XAB \rightarrow XAB.$$

For an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule \mathcal{X} , the centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the category defined as follows. An object of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ is an object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms

$$\omega_A: AX \rightarrow XA \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

satisfying the following conditions.

(1.4.i) ω_A is natural in A .

(1.4.ii) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ABX & \xrightarrow{1\omega_B} & AXB \\ & \searrow \omega_{AB} & \downarrow \omega_A 1 \\ & & XAB \end{array}$$

commutes for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

(1.4.iii) ω_I is the identity.

We call the family of ω_A the *central structure*.

A morphism of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a morphism of \mathcal{X} commuting with central structures.

The following is also an analogue of the well-known isomorphism for a usual bimodule.

Proposition 1.5 *We have an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$$

which takes an object $X \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ to an object $G \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ as follows. We have

$$G(A) = XA$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The right \mathcal{A} -linear structure $\rho_{A, B}: G(AB) \rightarrow G(A)B$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ is the identity

$$XAB \rightarrow XAB.$$

The left \mathcal{A} -linear structure $\lambda_{B, A}: G(BA) \rightarrow BG(A)$ is

$$\omega_B^{-1}1: XBA \rightarrow BXA,$$

where ω_B is the central structure of X .

For the $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule \mathcal{A} , the centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the center of \mathcal{A} , and denoted by $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. This is a tensor category: the tensor product of $X, Y \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ is the object XY of \mathcal{A} with central structure given by the composite

$$AXY \xrightarrow{\omega_A^1} XAY \xrightarrow{1\omega_A} XYA.$$

For details see [4, p. 330].

2. Distributors with tensor action

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be categories. Let \mathcal{V} denote the category of k -vector spaces. A distributor from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} is a bilinear functors $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ([1, Chapter 7]). Namely a distributor L from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} consists of vector spaces $L(X, Y)$ for all objects X of \mathcal{X} and Y of \mathcal{Y} , and linear maps $L(f, g): L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(X', Y')$ for all morphisms $f: X' \rightarrow X$ of \mathcal{X} and $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$ of \mathcal{Y} satisfying the following conditions.

(2.1.i) For morphisms $f: X' \rightarrow X$, $f': X'' \rightarrow X'$ of \mathcal{X} and $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$ and $g': Y' \rightarrow Y''$ of \mathcal{Y} , we have

$$L(f \circ f', g' \circ g) = L(f', g') \circ L(f, g).$$

(2.1.ii) $L(1, 1) = 1$.

(2.1.iii) $L(f, g)$ is bilinear in f and g .

An easy consequence of (2.1.i) is

$$L(f, g) = L(f, 1) \circ L(1, g) = L(1, g) \circ L(f, 1).$$

We also denote $L(f, 1) = f^*$, $L(1, g) = g_*$.

We denote by $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ the category of distributors from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor category and let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be left \mathcal{A} -modules. A distributor from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} with left \mathcal{A} -action is a distributor L from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} equipped with linear maps

$$A!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(AX, AY)$$

for all objects A of \mathcal{A} , X of \mathcal{X} , and Y of \mathcal{Y} , satisfying the following conditions.

(2.2.i) For morphisms $f: X' \rightarrow X$ of \mathcal{X} and $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$ of \mathcal{Y} , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AY) \\ L(f, g) \downarrow & & \downarrow L(1f, 1g) \\ L(X', Y') & \xrightarrow[A!]{} & L(AX', AY') \end{array}$$

(2.2.ii) For a morphism $e: A \rightarrow A'$ of \mathcal{A} , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AY) \\ A! \downarrow & & \downarrow L(1, e1) \\ L(A'X, A'Y) & \xrightarrow[L(e1, 1)]{} & L(AX, A'Y) \end{array}$$

(2.2.iii) For objects A, A' of \mathcal{A} , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AY) \\ & \searrow (A'A)! & \downarrow A! \\ & & L(A'AX, A'AY) \end{array}$$

(2.2.iv) For the unit object I , $I!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is the identity.

We denote by ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ the category of distributors from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} with left \mathcal{A} -action.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be tensor categories and let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules. A distributor from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} with $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -action is a distributor L equipped with linear maps

$$A!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(AX, AY)$$

$$!B: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(XB, YB)$$

for all objects A of \mathcal{A} , B of \mathcal{B} , X of \mathcal{X} , and Y of \mathcal{Y} , satisfying (2.2.i)–(2.2.iv) for $A!$, the analogous conditions for $!B$, and the following:

(2.3) For objects A of \mathcal{A} , B of \mathcal{B} , X of \mathcal{X} , and Y of \mathcal{Y} , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AY) \\ !B \downarrow & & \downarrow !B \\ L(XB, YB) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AXB, AXB). \end{array}$$

We denote by ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{B}}$ the category of distributors from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} with $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -action.

3. Duality isomorphism

In this section we show that if \mathcal{A} is rigid, distributors with \mathcal{A} -action can be identified with \mathcal{A} -linear functors.

Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor category. We call a quadruple (A, A', ϵ, η) a *duality* if A, A' are objects of \mathcal{A} and $\epsilon: AA' \rightarrow I, \eta: I \rightarrow A'A$ are morphisms of \mathcal{A} such that the composites

$$A \xrightarrow{1\eta} AA'A \xrightarrow{\epsilon 1} A, \quad A' \xrightarrow{\eta 1} A'AA' \xrightarrow{1\epsilon} A'$$

are the identity morphisms.

It is well-known that a duality (A, A', ϵ, η) gives rise to the adjoint isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(AX, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(X, A'Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. We will show that Hom of the both sides may be replaced by any object L of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be left \mathcal{A} -modules and let $L \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. Suppose that (A, A', ϵ, η) is a duality in \mathcal{A} . Then we have an isomorphism*

$$L(AX, Y) \cong L(X, A'Y)$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{X}, Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. This is given by the maps

$$\sigma: L(AX, Y) \xrightarrow{A'!} L(A'AX, A'Y) \xrightarrow{L(\eta^1, 1)} L(X, A'Y),$$

$$\tau: L(X, A'Y) \xrightarrow{A!} L(AX, AA'Y) \xrightarrow{L(1, \epsilon^1)} L(AX, Y),$$

which are inverse to each other.

Proof. We will show that σ, τ are inverse to each other. (a) By (2.2.i) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L(AX, Y) & \xrightarrow{A'!} & L(A'AX, A'Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AA'AX, AA'Y) \\ & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow L(\eta^1, 1) & & \downarrow L(1\eta^1, 1) \\ & & L(X, A'Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AA'Y) \\ & & & \searrow \tau & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon^1) \\ & & & & L(AX, Y). \end{array}$$

Hence by (2.2.iii) and (2.1.i)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(AX, Y) & \xrightarrow{(AA')!} & L(AA'AX, AA'Y) \\ \tau \circ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon^1) \\ L(AX, Y) & \xleftarrow{L(1\eta^1, 1)} & L(AA'AX, Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative. On the other hand, by (2.2.ii) applied for the morphism $\epsilon: AA' \rightarrow I$ and (2.2.iv),

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(AX, Y) & \xrightarrow{(AA')!} & L(AA'AX, AA'Y) \\ & \searrow L(\epsilon^{11}, 1) & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon^1) \\ & & L(AA'AX, Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then we have

$$\tau \circ \sigma = L(1\eta^1, 1) \circ L(\epsilon^{11}, 1) = 1.$$

(b) We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L(X, A'Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AA'Y) & \xrightarrow{A'!} & L(A'AX, A'AA'Y) \\
 & \searrow \tau & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon 1) & & \downarrow L(1, 1\epsilon 1) \\
 & & L(AX, Y) & \xrightarrow{A'!} & L(A'AX, A'Y) \\
 & & & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow L(\eta 1, 1) \\
 & & & & L(X, A'Y).
 \end{array}$$

Hence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(X, A'Y) & \xrightarrow{(A'A)!} & L(A'AX, A'AA'Y) \\
 \sigma \circ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow L(\eta 1, 1) \\
 L(X, A'Y) & \xleftarrow{L(1, 1\epsilon 1)} & L(X, A'AA'Y)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. But

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(X, A'Y) & \xrightarrow{(A'A)!} & L(A'AX, A'AA'Y) \\
 & \searrow L(1, \eta 11) & \downarrow L(\eta 1, 1) \\
 & & L(X, A'AA'Y)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence

$$\sigma \circ \tau = L(1, 1\epsilon 1) \circ L(1, \eta 11) = 1.$$

This proves the proposition. □

Proposition 3.2 *Under the assumption of the previous proposition, the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(X, Y) & & L(X, Y) \\
 A! \downarrow & \searrow L(1, \eta 1) & A! \downarrow \\
 L(AX, AY) & \xleftarrow{\tau} L(X, A'AY) & L(A'X, A'Y) \xleftarrow{\sigma} L(AA'X, Y)
 \end{array}$$

are commutative.

Proof. The first one follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(1, \eta 1)} & L(X, A'AY) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & L(AX, AY) \\
 A! \downarrow & & A! \downarrow & \nearrow L(1, \epsilon 11) & \\
 L(AX, AY) & \xrightarrow{L(1, 1\eta 1)} & L(AX, AA'AY) & &
 \end{array}$$

and the equality $\epsilon 1 \circ 1\eta = 1$.

The second follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(\epsilon 1, 1)} & L(AA'X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & L(A'X, A'Y) \\
 A! \downarrow & & A! \downarrow & \nearrow L(\eta 11, 1) & \\
 L(A'X, A'Y) & \xrightarrow{L(1\epsilon 1, 1)} & L(A'AA'X, A'Y) & &
 \end{array}$$

and the equality $1\epsilon \circ \eta 1 = 1$. □

For the convenience in later use we record the right-sided version of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3 *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be right \mathcal{A} -modules and let $L \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose that (A, A', ϵ, η) is a duality in \mathcal{A} . Then we have an isomorphism*

$$L(XA', Y) \cong L(X, YA)$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{X}, Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. This is given by the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma &: L(XA', Y) \xrightarrow{!A} L(XA'A, YA) \xrightarrow{L(1\eta, 1)} L(X, YA), \\
 \tau &: L(X, YA) \xrightarrow{!A'} L(XA', YAA') \xrightarrow{L(1, 1\epsilon)} L(XA', Y),
 \end{aligned}$$

which are inverse to each other.

We assume that \mathcal{A} is left rigid, that is, for every object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a duality (A', A, ϵ, η) . We choose such a duality for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and denote it by

$$(A^c, A, \epsilon_A: A^cA \rightarrow I, \eta_A: I \rightarrow AA^c).$$

Then the assignment $A \mapsto A^c$ becomes a contravariant functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. For a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ one has a morphism $f^c: B^c \rightarrow A^c$ so that the

following diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B^c A & \xrightarrow{f^c 1} & A^c A & I & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & BB^c \\
 1f \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_A & \eta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow 1f^c \\
 B^c B & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_B} & I & AA^c & \xrightarrow{f1} & BA^c.
 \end{array}$$

We have also natural isomorphisms $(AB)^c \cong B^c A^c$, $I^c \cong I$. For simplicity we assume that $(AB)^c = B^c A^c$, $I^c = I$ and the natural isomorphisms are the identities. This means that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B^c A^c AB & \xlongequal{\quad} & (AB)^c AB & I & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & AA^c \\
 1\epsilon_A 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_{AB} & \eta_{AB} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1\eta_B 1 \\
 B^c B & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_B} & I & AB(AB)^c & \xlongequal{\quad} & ABB^c A^c
 \end{array}$$

commute for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $L \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the following two objects:*

- a family of maps

$$A!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(AX, AY)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying (2.2.i)–(2.2.iv).

- a family of isomorphisms

$$\tau_A: L(X, AY) \rightarrow L(A^c X, Y)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The maps τ_A are natural in X, Y .
- (ii) The maps τ_A are natural in A in the sense that for any morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{A} we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(X, AY) & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & L(A^c X, Y) \\
 L(1, f1) \downarrow & & \downarrow L(f^c 1, 1) \\
 L(X, BY) & \xrightarrow{\tau_B} & L(B^c X, Y).
 \end{array}$$

(iii) *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, ABY) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{AB}} & L((AB)^c X, Y) \\ \tau_A \downarrow & & \parallel \\ L(A^c X, BY) & \xrightarrow{\tau_B} & L(B^c A^c X, Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(iv) $\tau_I = 1$.

Proof. (a) Construction of $A! \mapsto \tau_A$. Suppose that the maps $A!$ are given. We define τ_A to be the isomorphism τ of Proposition 3.1 for the duality $(A^c, A, \epsilon_A, \eta_A)$:

$$\tau_A: L(X, AY) \xrightarrow{A^c!} L(A^c X, A^c AY) \xrightarrow{L(1, \epsilon_{A^c 1})} L(A^c X, Y).$$

Its inverse is given by

$$\sigma_A: L(A^c X, Y) \xrightarrow{A!} L(AA^c X, AY) \xrightarrow{L(\eta_{A^c 1}, 1)} L(X, AY).$$

Let us verify (i)–(iv). (i) and (iv) are obvious.

Proof of (ii): Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L(X, AY) & \xrightarrow{A^c!} & L(A^c X, A^c AY) \\ & \swarrow L(1, f1) & \downarrow B^c! & \searrow L(f^c 1, 1) & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon_{A^c 1}) \\ L(X, BY) & & L(B^c X, B^c AY) & \xrightarrow{L(1, f^c 11)} & L(B^c X, A^c AY) & \xrightarrow{L(1, \epsilon_{A^c 1})} & L(A^c X, Y) \\ & \swarrow B^c! & \downarrow L(1, 1f1) & \searrow L(1, \epsilon_{A^c 1}) & \downarrow L(f^c 1, 1) \\ L(B^c X, B^c BY) & \xrightarrow{L(1, \epsilon_{B^c 1})} & L(B^c X, Y) \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the bottom quadrangle follows from that of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^c A & \xrightarrow{f^c 1} & A^c A \\ 1f \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_A \\ B^c B & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_B} & I. \end{array}$$

Hence, looking at the surrounding arrows, we obtain the commutative dia-

gram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(X, AY) & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & L(A^c X, Y) \\
 L(1, f1) \downarrow & & \downarrow L(f^c 1, 1) \\
 L(X, BY) & \xrightarrow{\tau_B} & L(B^c X, Y).
 \end{array}$$

Proof of (iii): Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L(X, ABY) & \xrightarrow{A^c!} & L(A^c X, A^c ABY) & \xrightarrow{B^c!} & L(B^c A^c X, B^c A^c ABY) \\
 & \searrow \tau_A & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon_A 11) & & \downarrow L(1, 1 \epsilon_A 11) \\
 & & L(A^c X, BY) & \xrightarrow{B^c!} & L(B^c A^c X, B^c BY) \\
 & & & \searrow \tau_B & \downarrow L(1, \epsilon_B 1) \\
 & & & & L(B^c A^c X, Y).
 \end{array}$$

The upper horizontal arrows yield $(B^c A^c)!$ and the right vertical arrows yield $L(1, \epsilon_{AB} 1)$, and the composition of these is τ_{AB} . Hence $\tau_{AB} = \tau_B \circ \tau_A$.

(b) Construction of $\tau_A \mapsto A!$. Suppose that the maps τ_A are given. Let $\sigma_A = \tau_A^{-1}$. Define $A!$ to be the composite

$$L(X, Y) \xrightarrow{L(\epsilon_A 1, 1)} L(A^c AX, Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_A} L(AX, AY).$$

Let us verify (2.2.i)–(2.2.iv). (2.2.i) and (2.2.iv) are obvious.

Proof of (2.2.ii): Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(\epsilon_A 1, 1)} & L(A^c AX, Y) & & \\
 L(\epsilon_B 1, 1) \downarrow & & \downarrow L(f^c 11, 1) & \searrow \sigma_A & \\
 L(B^c BX, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(1f1, 1)} & L(B^c AX, Y) & & L(AX, AY) \\
 & \searrow \sigma_B & \searrow \sigma_B & & \downarrow L(1, f1) \\
 & & L(BX, BY) & \xrightarrow{L(f1, 1)} & L(AX, BY).
 \end{array}$$

Hence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & L(AX, AY) \\
 B! \downarrow & & \downarrow L(1, f1) \\
 L(BX, BY) & \xrightarrow{L(f1, 1)} & L(AX, BY)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof of (2.2.iii): Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(\epsilon_A 1, 1)} & L(A^c AX, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(1 \epsilon_B 11, 1)} & L(A^c B^c BAX, Y) \\
 & \searrow A! & \downarrow \sigma_A & & \downarrow \sigma_A \\
 & & L(AX, AY) & \xrightarrow{L(\epsilon_B 11, 1)} & L(B^c BAX, AY) \\
 & & & \searrow B! & \downarrow \sigma_B \\
 & & & & L(BAX, BAY).
 \end{array}$$

The upper horizontal arrows yield $L(\epsilon_{BA} 1, 1)$, and the right vertical arrows yield σ_{BA} , and the composition of these is $(BA)!$. Hence $(BA)! = B! \circ A!$.

(c) Let us verify that the constructions of (a) and (b) are inverse to each other.

Firstly let $A! \mapsto \tau_A$ by construction (a). Proposition 3.2 tells us that $\tau_A \mapsto A!$.

Secondly let $\tau_A \mapsto A!$ by construction (b). We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & L(AA^c X, AY) & \xrightarrow{L(\eta 1, 1)} & L(X, AY) \\
 & \nearrow A! & \downarrow \tau_A & & \downarrow \tau_A \\
 L(A^c X, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(\epsilon 11, 1)} & L(A^c AA^c X, Y) & \xrightarrow{L(1 \eta 1, 1)} & L(A^c X, Y).
 \end{array}$$

Since the lower horizontal composite is the identity, we have

$$\sigma_A = \tau_A^{-1} = L(\eta 1, 1) \circ A!.$$

This means that $A! \mapsto \tau_A$. This concludes the proof. □

For any categories \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} we have an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Here \mathcal{V} denotes the category of k -vector spaces, and $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ means

the functor category. This isomorphism connects $L \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $K \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ so that

$$L(X, Y) = K(Y)(X).$$

Let \mathcal{X} be a left \mathcal{A} -module. Then the category $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ becomes a left \mathcal{A} -module with action

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}): (A, F) \mapsto AF$$

defined by

$$(AF)(X) = F(A^c X).$$

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be left \mathcal{A} -modules. Let

$$L \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad K \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$$

correspond under the above isomorphism. Then a map

$$L(X, AY) \rightarrow L(A^c X, Y)$$

is rewritten as

$$K(AY)(X) \rightarrow (A(K(Y)))(X).$$

A family of isomorphisms

$$\tau_A: L(X, AY) \rightarrow L(A^c X, Y)$$

for all A, X, Y satisfying (i)–(iv) of Proposition 3.4 is the same thing as a family of isomorphisms

$$\lambda_{A,Y}: K(AY) \rightarrow A(K(Y))$$

for all A, Y satisfying (1.1.i)–(1.1.iii) for K . So Proposition 3.3 says that there is a one-to-one correspondence between a family of maps $A!$ giving L a left \mathcal{A} -action and a family of isomorphisms $\lambda_{A,Y}$ giving K a left \mathcal{A} -linear structure. Thus we obtain

Proposition 3.5 *We have an isomorphism of categories*

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Under this isomorphism objects $L \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and

$K \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ correspond in the following way. We have

$$L(X, Y) = K(Y)(X)$$

and the map

$$A!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(AX, AY)$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} K(Y)(X) &\xrightarrow{K(Y)(\epsilon_{A^1})} K(Y)(A^c AX) \\ &= (A(K(Y)))(AX) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A,Y}^{-1}} K(AY)(AX), \end{aligned}$$

where λ is the left \mathcal{A} -linear structure of K .

Let \mathcal{B} be a tensor category. Assume that \mathcal{B} is right rigid, namely for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a duality (B, B', ϵ, η) . We choose such a duality for each B and denote it by

$$(B, B^d, \epsilon_B: BB^d \rightarrow I, \eta_B: I \rightarrow B^d B).$$

Then the assignment $B \mapsto B^d$ becomes a functor $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. We assume that the natural isomorphisms $(AB)^d \cong B^d A^d$ and $I^d \cong I$ are identities.

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be right \mathcal{B} -modules. The category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ becomes a right \mathcal{B} -module with action $(F, B) \mapsto FB$ defined by

$$(FB)(X) = F(XB^d).$$

For the sake of later use we state versions of the previous proposition for right modules and bimodules.

Proposition 3.6 *We have an isomorphism of categories*

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Under this isomorphism objects $L \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $K \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ correspond in the following way: We have

$$L(X, Y) = K(Y)(X)$$

and the map

$$!B: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(XB, YB)$$

equals

$$K(Y)(X) \xrightarrow{K(Y)(1_{\epsilon_B})} K(Y)(XBB^d) = (K(Y)B)(XB) \xrightarrow{\rho_{Y,B}^{-1}} K(YB)(XB),$$

where ρ is the right \mathcal{A} -linear structure of K .

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules. The category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ becomes an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule.

Proposition 3.7 *We have an isomorphism of categories*

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Later we will use this in the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$.

4. Centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$

Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor category. The functor category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ becomes a tensor category (Section 7) and has the center $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. When \mathcal{A} is rigid, the center is isomorphic to the centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ (Section 8). Our purpose is to show the equivalence

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

In what follows we assume that \mathcal{A} is left and right rigid, and we choose for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ dualities

$$(A^c, A, \epsilon_A: A^c A \rightarrow I, \eta_A: I \rightarrow AA^c)$$

and

$$(A, A^d, \epsilon_A: AA^d \rightarrow I, \eta_A: I \rightarrow A^d A).$$

Though we use the same letters ϵ_A, η_A for different morphisms, it will not cause confusion. We further assume that the natural isomorphisms

$$(AB)^c \cong B^c A^c, \quad I^c \cong I, \quad (AB)^d \cong B^d A^d, \quad I^d \cong I$$

are all identities.

As \mathcal{A} is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule, the category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ becomes an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule by the recipe of Section 3. So we have the centralizer

$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. In this section we describe an object of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ in two ways.

For $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and a morphism f of \mathcal{A} , we write $f^* = F(f)$. Recall from Section 3 that for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ the objects $AF, FA \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ are defined by

$$(AF)(X) = F(A^c X), \quad (FA)(X) = F(XA^d)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Recall also that an object of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is an object $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms $\omega_A: AF \rightarrow FA$ for all A satisfying (1.4.i)–(1.4.iii). The isomorphism ω_A is in itself a family of isomorphisms

$$(\omega_A)_X: (AF)(X) = F(A^c X) \rightarrow F(XA^d) = (FA)(X)$$

for all X which are natural in X . (1.4.i)–(1.4.iii) are rephrased into the following:

(4.1.i) For a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{A} the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{(\omega_A)_X} & F(XA^d) \\ (f^c 1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (1 f^d)^* \\ F(B^c X) & \xrightarrow{(\omega_B)_X} & F(XB^d) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(4.1.ii) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(B^c A^c X) & \xrightarrow{(\omega_B)_{A^c X}} & F(A^c X B^d) \\ & \searrow (\omega_{AB})_X & \downarrow (\omega_A)_{X B^d} \\ & & F(X B^d A^d) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(4.1.iii) $(\omega_I)_X = 1$.

Thus we may say an object of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is an object $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms $(\omega_A)_X: F(A^c X) \rightarrow F(XA^d)$ which are natural in X and satisfy (4.1.i)–(4.1.iii).

Let us give another description of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. Let $F: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$

be a functor. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$ define the functor $F^A: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ by

$$F^A(X) = F(AXA^d).$$

Proposition 4.2 *Let $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the following two objects.*

- A family of isomorphisms $\omega_A: AF \rightarrow FA$ in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying (4.1.i)–(4.1.iii).
- A family of morphisms $\gamma_A: F \rightarrow F^A$ in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following conditions.
 - (i) For a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{A} the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\gamma_A)_X} & F(AXA^d) \\ (\gamma_B)_X \downarrow & & \downarrow (11f^d)^* \\ F(BXB^d) & \xrightarrow{(f11)^*} & F(AXB^d) \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (ii) For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\gamma_A)_X} & F(AXA^d) \\ & \searrow (\gamma_{BA})_X & \downarrow (\gamma_B)_{AXA^d} \\ & & F(BAXA^dB^d) \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (iii) $\gamma_I = 1$.

We call the family of such γ_A the *conjugate structure*. The proposition says that there is a one-to-one correspondence between central structures and conjugate structures on F .

Proof. (a) Construction of $\omega \mapsto \gamma$: Suppose that a family ω is given. Define $(\gamma_A)_X$ to be the composite

$$F(X) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_A 1)^*} F(A^c AX) \xrightarrow{(\omega_A)_{AX}} F(AXA^d).$$

Let us verify (i)–(iii).

Proof of (i): Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism. We have commutative dia-

grams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{A1})^*} & F(A^c AX) & \xrightarrow{(f^{c11})^*} & F(B^c AX) \\
 \searrow \gamma_A & & \downarrow \omega_A & & \downarrow \omega_B \\
 & & F(AXA^d) & \xrightarrow{(11f^d)^*} & F(AXB^d),
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{B1})^*} & F(B^c BX) & \xrightarrow{(1f1)^*} & F(B^c AX) \\
 \searrow \gamma_B & & \downarrow \omega_B & & \downarrow \omega_B \\
 & & F(BXB^d) & \xrightarrow{(f11)^*} & F(AXB^d),
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{A1})^*} & F(A^c AX) \\
 (\epsilon_{B1})^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (f^{c11})^* \\
 F(B^c BX) & \xrightarrow{(1f1)^*} & F(B^c AX).
 \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & F(AXA^d) \\
 \gamma_B \downarrow & & \downarrow (11f^d)^* \\
 F(BXB^d) & \xrightarrow{(f11)^*} & F(AXB^d)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof of (ii): We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{B1})^*} & F(B^c BX) & \xrightarrow{(1\epsilon_{A11})^*} & F(B^c A^c ABX) \\
 \searrow \gamma_B & & \downarrow \omega_B & & \downarrow \omega_B \\
 & & F(BXB^d) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{A111})^*} & F(A^c ABXB^d) \\
 & & & \searrow \gamma_A & \downarrow \omega_A \\
 & & & & F(ABXB^d A^d).
 \end{array}$$

The composition of the upper horizontal arrows equals $(\epsilon_{AB1})^*$, and the

composition of the right vertical arrows equals ω_{AB} . The composition of these equals γ_{AB} . Hence $\gamma_A \circ \gamma_B = \gamma_{AB}$.

(iii) is obvious.

(b) Construction of $\gamma \mapsto \omega$. Suppose that a family γ is given. Define $(\omega_A)_X, (\omega'_A)_X$ to be the composites

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_A)_X &: F(A^c X) \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} F(AA^c XA^d) \xrightarrow{(\eta_{A11})^*} F(XA^d), \\ (\omega'_A)_X &: F(XA^d) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A^c}} F(A^c XA^d(A^c)^d) \\ &= F(A^c X(A^c A)^d) \xrightarrow{(11\epsilon_A^d)^*} F(A^c X). \end{aligned}$$

Let us verify (4.1.i)–(4.1.iii) and that ω_A and ω'_A are inverse to each other. *Proof of (4.1.i):* Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & & & F(AA^c XA^d) \\ \downarrow (f^c 1)^* & \searrow \gamma_B & & & \downarrow (\eta_{A11})^* \\ F(B^c X) & & F(BA^c XB^d) & \xrightarrow{(f111)^*} & F(AA^c XB^d) & & F(XA^d) \\ & \searrow \gamma_B & \downarrow (1f^c 11)^* & & \downarrow (\eta_{A11})^* & \swarrow (111f^d)^* & \\ & & F(BB^c XB^d) & \xrightarrow{(\eta_{B11})^*} & F(XB^d). & \swarrow (1f^d)^* & \end{array}$$

Hence the composites

$$F(A^c X) \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} F(AA^c XA^d) \xrightarrow{(\eta_{A11})^*} F(XA^d) \xrightarrow{(1f^d)^*} F(XB^d)$$

and

$$F(A^c X) \xrightarrow{(f^c 1)^*} F(B^c X) \xrightarrow{\gamma_B} F(BB^c XB^d) \xrightarrow{(\eta_{B11})^*} F(XB^d)$$

are equal.

By the definition of ω , this means that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\omega_A} & F(XA^d) \\ (f^c 1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (1f^d)^* \\ F(B^c X) & \xrightarrow{\omega_B} & F(XB^d) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof of (4.1.ii): We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(B^c A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_B} & F(BB^c A^c X B^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & F(ABB^c A^c X B^d A^d) \\
 & \searrow \omega_B & \downarrow (\eta_B 111)^* & & \downarrow (1\eta_B 1111)^* \\
 & & F(A^c X B^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & F(AA^c X B^d A^d) \\
 & & & \searrow \omega_A & \downarrow (\eta_A 111)^* \\
 & & & & F(X B^d A^d).
 \end{array}$$

The composition of the upper horizontal arrows equals γ_{AB} and the composition of the right vertical arrows equals $(\eta_{AB} 111)^*$. The composition of these equals ω_{AB} . Hence $(\omega_A)_{X B^d} \circ (\omega_B)_{A^c X} = (\omega_{AB})_X$.

(4.1.iii) is obvious.

Proof of $\omega'_A \circ \omega_A = 1$: We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(X A^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A^c}} & F(A^c X A^d (A^c)^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & F(AA^c X A^d (A^c)^d A^d) \\
 & \searrow \omega'_A & \downarrow (11\epsilon_A^d)^* & & \downarrow (111\epsilon_A^d 1)^* \\
 & & F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & F(AA^c X A^d) \\
 & & & \searrow \omega_A & \downarrow (\eta_A 11)^* \\
 & & & & F(X A^d).
 \end{array}$$

By (ii) this results in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X A^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{AA^c}} & F(AA^c X A^d (A^c)^d A^d) \\
 \omega_A \circ \omega'_A \downarrow & & \downarrow (\eta_A 1111)^* \\
 F(X A^d) & \xleftarrow{(1\epsilon_A^d 1)^*} & F(X A^d (A^c)^d A^d).
 \end{array}$$

By (i) and (iii)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X A^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{AA^c}} & F(AA^c X A^d (A^c)^d A^d) \\
 & \searrow (11\eta_A^d)^* & \downarrow (\eta_A 1111)^* \\
 & & F(X A^d (A^c)^d A^d)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence

$$\omega_A \circ \omega'_A = (1\epsilon_A^d 1)^* \circ (11\eta_A^d)^* = 1.$$

Proof of $\omega_A \circ \omega'_A = 1$: We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & F(AA^c X A^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A^c}} & F(A^c AA^c X A^d (A^c)^d) \\ & \searrow \omega_A & \downarrow (\eta_{A11})^* & & \downarrow (1\eta_{A111})^* \\ & & F(X A^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A^c}} & F(A^c X A^d (A^c)^d) \\ & & & \searrow \omega'_A & \downarrow (11\epsilon_A^d)^* \\ & & & & F(A^c X). \end{array}$$

By (ii) this results in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A^c A}} & F(A^c AA^c X A^d (A^c)^d) \\ \omega'_A \circ \omega_A \downarrow & & \downarrow (1111\epsilon_A^d)^* \\ F(A^c X) & \xleftarrow{(1\eta_{A1})^*} & F(A^c AA^c X). \end{array}$$

By (i) and (iii) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A^c A}} & F(A^c AA^c X A^d (A^c)^d) \\ & \searrow (\epsilon_{A11})^* & \downarrow (1111\epsilon_A^d)^* \\ & & F(A^c AA^c X) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence

$$\omega'_A \circ \omega_A = (1\eta_{A1})^* \circ (\epsilon_{A11})^* = 1.$$

(c) Let us verify that the constructions of (a) and (b) are inverse to each other.

Firstly suppose that ω is given. Let $\omega \mapsto \gamma$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{A11})^*} & F(A^c AA^c X) & \xrightarrow{(1\eta_{A1})^*} & F(A^c X) \\ & \searrow \gamma_A & \downarrow \omega_A & & \downarrow \omega_A \\ & & F(AA^c X A^d) & \xrightarrow{(\eta_{A11})^*} & F(X A^d). \end{array}$$

Since the composition of the horizontal arrows is the identity, we have

$$\omega_A = (\eta_{A11})^* \circ \gamma_A.$$

This means that $\gamma \mapsto \omega$.

Secondly suppose that γ is given. Let $\gamma \mapsto \omega$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{A1})^*} & F(A^cAX) & & \\ \gamma_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_A & \searrow \omega_A & \\ F(AXA^d) & \xrightarrow{(1\epsilon_{A11})^*} & F(AA^cAXA^d) & \xrightarrow{(\eta_{A111})^*} & F(AXA^d). \end{array}$$

Since the composition of the horizontal arrows is the identity, we have

$$\gamma_A = \omega_A \circ (\epsilon_{A1})^*.$$

This means that $\omega \mapsto \gamma$.

The proof is completed. \square

5. Equivalence $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \simeq \mathcal{A}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$

Theorem 5.1 *We have an equivalence*

$$\Delta: \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Under this equivalence an object $F \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is mapped to an object $L \in \mathcal{A}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined as follows: We have

$$L(X, Y) = F(XY^d).$$

The operation $!A: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(XA, YA)$ is given by

$$(1\epsilon_{A1})^*: F(XY^d) \rightarrow F(XAA^dY^d) = F(XA(YA)^d).$$

The operation $A!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(AX, AY)$ is given by

$$(\gamma_A)_{XY^d}: F(XY^d) \rightarrow F(AXY^dA^d),$$

where $\gamma_A: F \rightarrow F^A$ is the conjugate structure of F .

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.7 to the $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{A}$, we have the isomorphism

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})). \quad (1)$$

Applying Proposition 1.5 to the $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$, we have the equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})). \quad (2)$$

Combining these, we obtain the equivalence

$${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Suppose that an object $F \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is mapped to an object $K \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ under (2), and K is mapped to an object $L \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ under (1). Then we have

$$K(Y) = FY$$

for $Y \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$L(X, Y) = K(Y)(X)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, so

$$L(X, Y) = (FY)(X) = F(XY^d).$$

By Proposition 1.5 the right \mathcal{A} -linear structure $\rho_{Y, \mathcal{A}}: K(YA) \rightarrow K(Y)A$ of K is the identity $FYA \rightarrow FYA$. By Proposition 3.6 the operation

$$!A: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(XA, YA)$$

is the map

$$\begin{aligned} K(Y)(X) &\xrightarrow{K(Y)(1_{\epsilon_A})} K(Y)(XAA^d) \\ &= (K(Y)A)(XA) \xrightarrow{\rho_{Y, \mathcal{A}}^{-1}} K(YA)(XA). \end{aligned}$$

This equals the map

$$(1_{\epsilon_A}1)^*: F(XY^d) \rightarrow F(XAA^dY^d) = F(XA(YA)^d).$$

By Proposition 1.5 the inverse $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}, Y}^{-1}: AK(Y) \rightarrow K(AY)$ of the left

\mathcal{A} -linear structure is given by

$$\omega_A 1: AFY \rightarrow FAY,$$

where $\omega_A: AF \rightarrow FA$ is the central structure of F . By Proposition 3.5 the operation $A!: L(X, Y) \rightarrow L(AX, AY)$ is the map

$$\begin{aligned} K(Y)(X) &\xrightarrow{K(Y)(\epsilon_A 1)} K(Y)(A^c AX) \\ &= (A(K(Y)))(AX) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A,Y}^{-1}} K(AY)(AX). \end{aligned}$$

This equals the map

$$F(XY^d) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_A^{111})^*} F(A^c AX Y^d) \xrightarrow{\omega_A} F(AX Y^d A^d),$$

which is identical to the map $(\gamma_A)_{XY^d}$ by (a) of the proof of Proposition 4.2.

The proof is completed. \square

6. Tensor product in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$

We first review the definition of the tensor product (called also the composition) of distributors ([1]). Let \mathcal{X} be a category. Let $L, M, N \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. A *bilinear morphism* $\pi: (L, M) \rightarrow N$ is a family of linear maps

$$\pi_{X,Y,Z}: L(X, Y) \otimes M(Y, Z) \rightarrow N(X, Z)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) $\pi_{X,Y,Z}$ is natural in X, Y , and Z .
- (ii) If $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$ is a morphism of \mathcal{X} , then the digram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, Y) \otimes M(Y', Z) & \xrightarrow{L(1,g) \otimes 1} & L(X, Y') \otimes M(Y', Z) \\ 1 \otimes M(g, 1) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{X, Y', Z} \\ L(X, Y) \otimes M(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X, Y, Z}} & N(X, Z) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Given $L, M \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$, there is a bilinear morphism $\pi: (L, M) \rightarrow N$ having the universal property: if $\pi': (L, M) \rightarrow N'$ is a bilinear morphism, there exists a unique morphism $f: N \rightarrow N'$ such that $\pi'_{X,Y,Z} = f_{X,Z} \circ \pi_{X,Y,Z}$ for all X, Y, Z . One may construct such an N as

$$N(X, Z) = \text{Coequalizer} \left(\bigoplus_{g: Y \rightarrow Y'} L(X, Y) \otimes M(Y', Z) \right. \\ \left. \Rightarrow \bigoplus_Y L(X, Y) \otimes M(Y, Z) \right),$$

where the two arrows have components $L(1, g) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes M(g, 1)$. We choose a universal bilinear morphism $\pi: (L, M) \rightarrow N$ and write $L \otimes M = N$.

The hom-functor

$$\text{Hom}: \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}: (X, Y) \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, Y)$$

is a distributor on \mathcal{X} . This has the property

$$L \otimes \text{Hom} \cong L \cong \text{Hom} \otimes L$$

for any $L \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. These isomorphisms are given by

$$\pi(x \otimes 1_Y) \leftrightarrow x \leftrightarrow \pi(1_X \otimes x)$$

for $x \in L(X, Y)$.

With the above tensor product and the unit object Hom , the category $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ becomes a tensor category.

Let \mathcal{X} be an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule. Let $L, M, N \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{A}}$ and let $\pi: (L, M) \rightarrow N$ be a bilinear morphism. We say π is $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -linear if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X, Y) \otimes M(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X, Y, Z}} & N(X, Z) \\ A! \otimes A! \downarrow & & \downarrow A! \\ L(AX, AY) \otimes M(AZ, AZ) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{AX, AY, AZ}} & N(AX, AZ) \end{array}$$

is commutative and a similar diagram for $!A$ is commutative for all A, X, Y, Z .

Given $L, M \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{A}}$, the object $L \otimes M \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ naturally admits two-sided action of \mathcal{A} so that $L \otimes M \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the universal bilinear morphism $\pi: (L, M) \rightarrow L \otimes M$ is $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -linear.

With this tensor product the category ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{A}}$ becomes a tensor category.

7. Tensor product in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$

In this section we first review the definition of the tensor product in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ (Day's product [2]) and then examine some isomorphisms of associativity. They are needed later for describing the tensor product in the centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$.

Let $F, G, H \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. A *bilinear morphism* $\pi: (F, G) \rightarrow H$ is a family of linear maps

$$\pi_{X,Y}: F(X) \otimes G(Y) \rightarrow H(XY)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ which are natural in X and Y .

Given $F, G \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$, there is a universal bilinear morphism $\pi: (F, G) \rightarrow F \otimes G$. A construction is given by

$$(F \otimes G)(Z) = \varinjlim F(X) \otimes G(Y),$$

where the limit is taken over morphisms $Z \rightarrow XY$ of \mathcal{A} .

For $A \in \mathcal{A}$ let $h_A: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ denote the representable functor $X \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, A)$. The bilinear morphism $(h_A, h_B) \rightarrow h_{AB}$ given by

$$\text{Hom}(X, A) \otimes \text{Hom}(Y, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(XY, AB): f \otimes g \mapsto fg$$

yields the isomorphism $h_A \otimes h_B \cong h_{AB}$.

For $F, G, H \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ we similarly define the tensor product $F \otimes G \otimes H$ with universal trilinear morphism $(F, G, H) \rightarrow F \otimes G \otimes H$. We have the canonical isomorphisms

$$(F \otimes G) \otimes H \cong F \otimes G \otimes H \cong F \otimes (G \otimes H).$$

The object h_I has the property

$$h_I \otimes F \cong F \cong F \otimes h_I$$

for any $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$.

With this tensor product and the unit object h_I , the category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ becomes a tensor category.

In Section 3 we defined AF and FA for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. We now interpret them in terms of tensor product in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$.

The universal bilinear morphism $(F, G) \rightarrow F \otimes G$ and the universal trilinear morphism $(F, G, H) \rightarrow F \otimes G \otimes H$ are always denoted by π .

Proposition 7.1 *Let $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We have an isomorphism*

$$h_A \otimes F \cong AF.$$

This isomorphism takes an element $b \in (AF)(X) = F(A^c X)$ to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^1} AA^c X)^* \pi_{A, A^c X}(1_A \otimes b) \in (h_A \otimes F)(X),$$

and conversely takes an element

$$\pi_{Y, Z}((Y \xrightarrow{f} A) \otimes c) \in (h_A \otimes F)(YZ)$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(Y, A)$ and $c \in F(Z)$ to the element

$$(A^c Y Z \xrightarrow{1f1} A^c A Z \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^1} Z)^*(c) \in (AF)(YZ).$$

Proof. For an object $B \in \mathcal{A}$, let $L_B: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the functor $X \mapsto BX$. Let $L_B^*: \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ be the functor $F \mapsto F \circ L_B$. Since L_{A^c} is a left adjoint of L_A , the functor $L_{A^c}^*$ is a left adjoint of L_A^* . Thus we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$(\text{morphism } \phi: F \circ L_{A^c} \rightarrow G) \leftrightarrow (\text{morphism } \psi: F \rightarrow G \circ L_A) \quad (1)$$

in which

$$\phi_Z = (F(A^c Z) \xrightarrow{\psi_{A^c Z}} G(AA^c Z) \xrightarrow{(\eta_A^1)^*} G(Z))$$

and

$$\psi_Z = (F(Z) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_A^1)^*} F(A^c A Z) \xrightarrow{\phi_{A Z}} G(AZ))$$

for $Z \in \mathcal{A}$.

As in Yoneda's lemma we have also a one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{bilinear morphism } \theta: (h_A, F) \rightarrow G) \\ \leftrightarrow (\text{morphism } \psi: F \rightarrow G \circ L_A) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

in which

$$\theta_{Y, Z}((Y \xrightarrow{f} A) \otimes c) = (YZ \xrightarrow{f1} AZ)^*(\psi_Z(c))$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(Y, A)$ and $c \in F(Z)$.

Combining (1) and (2), we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{bilinear morphism } \theta: (h_A, F) \rightarrow G) \\ \leftrightarrow (\text{morphism } \phi: F \circ L_{Ac} \rightarrow G) \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\theta_{Y,Z}((Y \xrightarrow{f} A) \otimes c) = \phi_{YZ}((A^c Y Z \xrightarrow{1f1} A^c A Z \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^1} Z)^*(c))$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(Y, A)$ and $c \in F(Z)$. Also $F \circ L_{Ac} = AF$. When ϕ is the identity, the corresponding $\theta: (h_A, F) \rightarrow AF$ is given by

$$\theta_{Y,Z}((Y \xrightarrow{f} A) \otimes c) = (A^c Y Z \xrightarrow{1f1} A^c A Z \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^1} Z)^*(c).$$

This means that we have an isomorphism $h_A \otimes F \cong AF$ taking the element

$$\pi_{Y,Z}((Y \xrightarrow{f} A) \otimes c) \in (h_A \otimes F)(YZ)$$

to

$$(A^c Y Z \xrightarrow{1f1} A^c A Z \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^1} Z)^*(c) \in (AF)(YZ).$$

For every $b \in (AF)(X)$ this isomorphism takes the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^1} AA^c X)^* \pi_{A,AcX}(1_A \otimes b) \in (h_A \otimes F)(X)$$

to

$$(A^c X \xrightarrow{1\eta_A^1} A^c AA^c X \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{11}} A^c X)^*(b) = b.$$

This proves the proposition. □

The version for the right action is as follows.

Proposition 7.2 *Let $F \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We have an isomorphism*

$$F \otimes h_A \cong FA.$$

This isomorphism takes an element $b \in (FA)(X) = F(XA^d)$ to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{1\eta_A} XA^d A)^* \pi_{XA^d,A}(b \otimes 1_A) \in (F \otimes h_A)(X),$$

and conversely takes an element

$$\pi_{Y,Z}(c \otimes (Z \xrightarrow{f} A)) \in (F \otimes h_A)(YZ)$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(Z, A)$ and $c \in F(Y)$ to the element

$$(YZA^d \xrightarrow{1f1} YAA^d \xrightarrow{1\epsilon_A} Y)^*(c) \in (FA)(YZ).$$

Our next task is to describe explicitly the natural isomorphisms

$$AF \otimes G \cong A(F \otimes G), \quad FA \otimes G \cong F \otimes AG, \quad F \otimes GA \cong (F \otimes G)A.$$

Proposition 7.3 *Let $F, G \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We have an isomorphism*

$$AF \otimes G \cong A(F \otimes G)$$

in which the element

$$(A^c X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes c) \in (A(F \otimes G))(X)$$

for $p: A^c X \rightarrow YZ$, $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ is mapped to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^1} AA^c X \xrightarrow{1p} AYZ)^* \pi_{AY,Z}((A^c AY \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^1} Y)^*(a) \otimes c) \in (AF \otimes G)(X),$$

and conversely the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{q} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(b \otimes c) \in (AF \otimes G)(X)$$

for $q: X \rightarrow YZ$, $b \in (AF)(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ is mapped to the element

$$(A^c X \xrightarrow{1q} A^c YZ)^* \pi_{A^c Y,Z}(b \otimes c) \in (A(F \otimes G))(X).$$

Proof. The natural isomorphism of associativity

$$(h_A \otimes F) \otimes G \cong h_A \otimes (F \otimes G)$$

and the isomorphism of Proposition 7.1 yield an isomorphism

$$AF \otimes G \cong A(F \otimes G).$$

We examine the correspondence of elements under this isomorphism.

(a) Under the isomorphism of Proposition 7.1 the element

$$(A^c X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes c) \in (A(F \otimes G))(X)$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ corresponds to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^1} AA^c X)^* \pi_{A, A^c X}(1_A \otimes (A^c X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z}(a \otimes c)) \\ \in (h_A \otimes (F \otimes G))(X).$$

Under the isomorphism $h_A \otimes (F \otimes G) \cong h_A \otimes F \otimes G$ this element corresponds to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^1} AA^c X \xrightarrow{1p} AY Z)^* \pi_{A, Y, Z}(1_A \otimes a \otimes c) \in (h_A \otimes F \otimes G)(X).$$

Thus we have an isomorphism $\alpha: A(F \otimes G) \rightarrow h_A \otimes F \otimes G$ given by

$$(A^c X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z}(a \otimes c) \\ \mapsto (X \xrightarrow{p\sharp} AY Z)^* \pi_{A, Y, Z}(1_A \otimes a \otimes c),$$

where $p\sharp = 1_{Ap} \circ \eta_A^1: X \rightarrow AY Z$.

(b) Under the isomorphism $AF \otimes G \cong (h_A \otimes F) \otimes G$ the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{q} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z}(b \otimes c) \in (AF \otimes G)(X)$$

for $b \in (AF)(Y)$ and $c \in G(Z)$ corresponds to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{q} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z}([(Y \xrightarrow{\eta_A^1} AA^c Y)^* \pi_{A, A^c Y}(1_A \otimes b)] \otimes c) \\ \in ((h_A \otimes F) \otimes G)(X).$$

Under the isomorphism $(h_A \otimes F) \otimes G \cong h_A \otimes F \otimes G$ this corresponds to the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^q} AA^c YZ)^* \pi_{A, A^c Y, Z}(1_A \otimes b \otimes c) \in (h_A \otimes F \otimes G)(X).$$

Thus we have an isomorphism $\beta: AF \otimes G \rightarrow h_A \otimes F \otimes G$ given by

$$(X \xrightarrow{q} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z}(b \otimes c) \\ \mapsto (X \xrightarrow{\eta_A^q} AA^c YZ)^* \pi_{A, A^c Y, Z}(1_A \otimes b \otimes c).$$

(c) To describe the isomorphism $\beta^{-1} \circ \alpha: A(F \otimes G) \rightarrow AF \otimes G$, take an element

$$x = (A^c X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z}(a \otimes c) \in (A(F \otimes G))(X)$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$. Put

$$y = (X \xrightarrow{p\sharp} AY Z)^* \pi_{AY, Z}((A^c AY \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^1} Y)^*(a \otimes c)) \in (AF \otimes G)(X).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(y) &= (X \xrightarrow{\eta_{A^c} p^\sharp} AA^c AY Z)^* \pi_{A, A^c AY, Z} (1_A \otimes (A^c AY \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^c} 1} Y)^*(a) \otimes c) \\
&= (X \xrightarrow{p^\sharp} AY Z)^* (AY Z \xrightarrow{\eta_{A^c} 111} AA^c AY Z \xrightarrow{1\epsilon_{A^c} 11} AY Z)^* \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \pi_{A, Y, Z} (1_A \otimes a \otimes c) \\
&= (X \xrightarrow{p^\sharp} AY Z)^* \pi_{A, Y, Z} (1_A \otimes a \otimes c) \\
&= \alpha(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\beta^{-1}\alpha(x) = y$.

(d) To describe the isomorphism $\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta: AF \otimes G \rightarrow A(F \otimes G)$, take an element

$$z = (X \xrightarrow{q} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z} (b \otimes c) \in (AF \otimes G)(X)$$

for $b \in (AF)(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$. Put

$$w = (A^c X \xrightarrow{1q} A^c YZ)^* \pi_{A^c Y, Z} (b \otimes c) \in (A(F \otimes G))(X).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(w) &= (X \xrightarrow{(1q)^\sharp} AA^c YZ)^* \pi_{A, A^c Y, Z} (1_A \otimes b \otimes c) \\
&= (X \xrightarrow{\eta_{A^c} q} AA^c YZ)^* \pi_{A, A^c Y, Z} (1_A \otimes b \otimes c) \\
&= \beta(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha^{-1}\beta(z) = w$.

Thus $\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta$ is the desired isomorphism. \square

A version for the right action is analogously obtained.

Proposition 7.4 *We have an isomorphism*

$$F \otimes GA \cong (F \otimes G)A$$

in which the element

$$(XA^d \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y, Z} (a \otimes c) \in ((F \otimes G)A)(X)$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ is mapped to the element

$$\begin{aligned}
(X \xrightarrow{1\eta} XA^d A \xrightarrow{p1} YZA)^* \pi_{Y, ZA} (a \otimes (ZAA^d \xrightarrow{1\epsilon} Z)^*(c)) \\
\in (F \otimes GA)(X),
\end{aligned}$$

and conversely the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{q} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes d) \in (F \otimes GA)(X)$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $d \in (GA)(Z)$ is mapped to the element

$$(XA^d \xrightarrow{q^1} YZA^d)^* \pi_{Y,ZA^d}(a \otimes d) \in ((F \otimes G)A)(X).$$

Proposition 7.5 *We have an isomorphism*

$$FA \otimes G \cong F \otimes AG$$

in which the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(b \otimes c) \in (FA \otimes G)(X)$$

for $b \in (FA)(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ is mapped to the element

$$\begin{aligned} (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YA^dAZ)^* \pi_{YA^d,AZ}(b \otimes (A^cAZ \xrightarrow{\epsilon^1} Z)^*(c)) \\ \in (F \otimes AG)(X), \end{aligned}$$

and conversely the element

$$(X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes d) \in (F \otimes AG)(X)$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $d \in (AG)(Z)$ is mapped to the element

$$\begin{aligned} (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YAA^cZ)^* \pi_{YAA^cZ}((YAA^d \xrightarrow{1\epsilon} Y)^*(a) \otimes d) \\ \in (FA \otimes G)(X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) The isomorphism of Proposition 7.2 and the canonical isomorphism yield the isomorphism

$$FA \otimes G \rightarrow (F \otimes h_A) \otimes G \rightarrow F \otimes h_A \otimes G.$$

Denote this composite by α . It effects as

$$\begin{aligned} (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(b \otimes c) \\ \mapsto (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YA^dAZ)^* \pi_{YA^d,A,Z}(b \otimes 1_A \otimes c) \end{aligned}$$

for $b \in (FA)(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$.

(b) The isomorphism of Proposition 7.1 and the canonical isomorphism yield the isomorphism

$$F \otimes AG \rightarrow F \otimes (h_A \otimes G) \rightarrow F \otimes h_A \otimes G.$$

Denote this composite by β . It effects as

$$\begin{aligned} & (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes d) \\ & \mapsto (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YAA^cZ)^* \pi_{Y,A,A^cZ}(a \otimes 1_A \otimes d) \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $d \in (AG)(Z)$.

(c) To describe $\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta: F \otimes AG \rightarrow FA \otimes G$, take an element

$$x = (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ)^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes d) \in (F \otimes AG)(X)$$

for $a \in F(Y)$, $d \in (AG)(Z)$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YAA^cZ)^* \pi_{Y,A,A^cZ}((YAA^d \xrightarrow{1\epsilon} Y)^*(a) \otimes d) \\ & \in (FA \otimes G)(X). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(y) &= (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YAA^cZ \xrightarrow{11\eta^{11}} YAA^dAA^cZ)^* \\ & \quad \pi_{YAA^d,A,A^cZ}((YAA^d \xrightarrow{1\epsilon} Y)^*(a) \otimes 1_A \otimes d) \\ &= (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YAA^cZ \xrightarrow{11\eta^{11}} YAA^dAA^cZ \xrightarrow{1\epsilon^{111}} YAA^cZ)^* \\ & \quad \pi_{Y,A,A^cZ}(a \otimes 1_A \otimes d) \\ &= (X \xrightarrow{p} YZ \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} YAA^cZ)^* \pi_{Y,A,A^cZ}(a \otimes 1_A \otimes d) \\ &= \beta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = y$.

(d) The correspondence in the reverse direction is similarly described. Thus $\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta$ is the desired isomorphism. \square

8. Tensor product in $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$

The purpose of this section is to describe the tensor product in the centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ induced from the tensor product in $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$.

Proposition 8.1 *We have an isomorphism of categories*

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \cong \mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ with central structure $\omega_A: AF \rightarrow FA$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We know the isomorphisms $h_A \otimes F \cong AF$ and $F \otimes h_A \cong FA$ of Propositions 7.1 and 7.2. Since representable functors form generators in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$, the morphisms ω_A for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ give rise to morphisms $\omega_G: G \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes G$ for all $G \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. Namely ω_G are natural in G and $\omega_{h_A}: h_A \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes h_A$ corresponds to ω_A through the isomorphisms $h_A \otimes F \cong AF$ and $F \otimes h_A \cong FA$. Then F together with the family $(\omega_G)_G$ is an object of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$. The correspondence $(\omega_A)_A \mapsto (\omega_G)_G$ of central structures on F gives the desired isomorphism of categories. \square

The center $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is a tensor category (the end of Section 1). Its tensor product is defined as follows. Let F and G be objects of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ with central structures

$$\omega_H: H \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes H, \quad \omega_H: H \otimes G \rightarrow G \otimes H$$

for $H \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. Then the tensor product of F and G in $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ has the underlying functor $F \otimes G \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and the central structure

$$\omega_H: H \otimes (F \otimes G) \rightarrow (F \otimes G) \otimes H$$

given as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} H \otimes (F \otimes G) &\cong (H \otimes F) \otimes G \xrightarrow{\omega_H \otimes 1} (F \otimes H) \otimes G \\ &\cong F \otimes (H \otimes G) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \omega_H} F \otimes (G \otimes H) \cong (F \otimes G) \otimes H. \end{aligned}$$

The centralizer $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ becomes a tensor category via the isomorphism of Proposition 8.1. Let F and G be objects of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ with central structures

$$\omega_A: AF \rightarrow FA, \quad \omega_A: AG \rightarrow GA$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the tensor product of F and G in $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$ has the underlying functor $F \otimes G \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ and the central structure

$$\omega_A: A(F \otimes G) \rightarrow (F \otimes G)A$$

given as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} A(F \otimes G) &\cong AF \otimes G \xrightarrow{\omega_A \otimes 1} FA \otimes G \\ &\cong F \otimes AG \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \omega_A} F \otimes GA \cong (F \otimes G)A, \end{aligned}$$

where the unlabeled isomorphisms are those of Propositions 7.3–7.5. This is obvious from the definition of those isomorphisms.

Proposition 8.2 *The map $\omega_A: (A(F \otimes G))(X) \rightarrow ((F \otimes G)A)(X)$ takes the element*

$$p^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes c)$$

for $p: A^c X \rightarrow YZ$, $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ to the element

$$q^* \pi_{AYA^d, AZA^d}(b \otimes d),$$

where q is the composite

$$XA^d \xrightarrow{\eta^{11}} AA^c XA^d \xrightarrow{1p^1} AYZA^d \xrightarrow{11\eta^{11}} AYA^d AZA^d,$$

b is the image of a under the map

$$F(Y) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon 1)^*} F(A^c AY) \xrightarrow{\omega_A} F(AYA^d),$$

and d is the image of c under the map

$$G(Z) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon 1)^*} G(A^c AZ) \xrightarrow{\omega_A} G(AZA^d).$$

Proof. We follow the definition of $\omega_A: A(F \otimes G) \rightarrow (F \otimes G)A$. The isomorphism $A(F \otimes G) \cong AF \otimes G$ of Proposition 7.3 takes the element

$$x = p^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes c) \in A(F \otimes G)(X)$$

for $p: A^c X \rightarrow YZ$, $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$ to the element

$$x_1 = p_{\sharp}^* \pi_{AY,Z}(a' \otimes c) \in (AF \otimes G)(X),$$

where

$$p_{\sharp}: X \xrightarrow{\eta^1} AA^c X \xrightarrow{1p} AYZ,$$

and a' is the image of a under the map $F(Y) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon 1)^*} F(A^c AY)$. The map

$\omega_A \otimes 1: (AF \otimes G)(X) \rightarrow (FA \otimes G)(X)$ takes x_1 to the element

$$x_2 = p_{\sharp}^* \pi_{AY, Z}(b \otimes c) \in (FA \otimes G)(X),$$

where $b = \omega_A(a')$. The isomorphism $FA \otimes G \cong F \otimes AG$ of Proposition 7.5 takes x_2 to the element

$$x_3 = r^* \pi_{AYA^d, AZ}(b \otimes c') \in (F \otimes AG)(X),$$

where

$$r: X \xrightarrow{p_{\sharp}} AY Z \xrightarrow{11\eta^1} AYA^d AZ,$$

and c' is the image of c under the map $G(Z) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon 1)^*} G(A^c AZ)$. The map $1 \otimes \omega_A: (F \otimes AG)(X) \rightarrow (F \otimes GA)(X)$ takes x_3 to the element

$$x_4 = r^* \pi_{AYA^d, AZ}(b \otimes d) \in (F \otimes GA)(X),$$

where $d = \omega_A(c')$. Finally the isomorphism $F \otimes GA \cong (F \otimes G)A$ of Proposition 7.4 takes x_4 to the element

$$x_5 = q^* \pi_{AYA^d, AZA^d}(b \otimes d) \in ((F \otimes G)A)(X),$$

where

$$q: XA^d \xrightarrow{r^1} AYA^d AZA^d.$$

Then

$$q: XA^d \xrightarrow{\eta^{11}} AA^c XA^d \xrightarrow{1p^1} AYZA^d \xrightarrow{11\eta^{11}} AYA^d AZA^d$$

and

$$b = \omega_A(\epsilon 1)^*(a), \quad d = \omega_A(\epsilon 1)^*(c).$$

Thus the map $\omega_A: (A(F \otimes G))(X) \rightarrow ((F \otimes G)A)(X)$ takes x to x_5 . This proves the proposition. \square

By Proposition 4.2 the central structures

$$\omega_A: AF \rightarrow FA, \quad \omega_A: AG \rightarrow GA$$

correspond to the conjugate structures

$$\gamma_A: F \rightarrow F^A, \quad \gamma_A: G \rightarrow G^A.$$

Define a morphism

$$\gamma_A: F \otimes G \rightarrow (F \otimes G)^A$$

so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(Y) \otimes G(Z) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A \otimes \gamma_A} & F(AY A^d) \otimes G(AZ A^d) \\ \downarrow \pi_{Y,Z} & & \downarrow \pi_{AY A^d, AZ A^d} \\ & & (F \otimes G)(AY A^d AZ A^d) \\ & & \downarrow (\eta 11)^* \\ (F \otimes G)(YZ) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & (F \otimes G)(AY Z A^d) \end{array}$$

commutes for every Y, Z .

Proposition 8.3 *The morphism $\gamma_A: F \otimes G \rightarrow (F \otimes G)^A$ is the conjugate structure of $F \otimes G$ corresponding to $\omega_A: A(F \otimes G) \rightarrow (F \otimes G)A$.*

Proof. By the definition of the correspondence between ω and γ ((b) of the proof of Proposition 4.2), it is enough to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F \otimes G)(A^c X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & (F \otimes G)(AA^c X A^d) \\ & \searrow \omega_A & \downarrow (\eta 11)^* \\ & & (F \otimes G)(X A^d) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Take an element $x = p^* \pi_{Y,Z}(a \otimes c) \in (F \otimes G)(A^c X)$ for $p: A^c X \rightarrow YZ$, $a \in F(Y)$, $c \in G(Z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A(x) &= (AA^c X A^d \xrightarrow{1p1} AY Z A^d \xrightarrow{11\eta 11} AY A^d AZ A^d)^* \\ &\quad \pi_{AY A^d, AZ A^d}(\gamma_A(a) \otimes \gamma_A(c)) \end{aligned}$$

by definition, so

$$(\eta 11)^* \gamma_A(x) = q^* \pi_{AY A^d, AZ A^d}(\gamma_A(a) \otimes \gamma_A(c)),$$

where q is the composite

$$X A^d \xrightarrow{\eta 11} AA^c X A^d \xrightarrow{1p1} AY Z A^d \xrightarrow{11\eta 11} AY A^d AZ A^d.$$

This coincides with $\omega_A(x)$ by Proposition 8.2. \square

9. Tensor equivalence $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \simeq {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$

The purpose of this section is to show the equivalence

$$\Delta: \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$$

of Theorem 5.1 preserves tensor products. This equivalence is a restriction of the functor

$$\Delta: \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$$

given by

$$\Delta F(X, Y) = F(XY^d)$$

for $F \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. We first construct an isomorphism $\Delta F \otimes \Delta G \cong \Delta(F \otimes G)$ of $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ for every $F, G \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$, and then show that this is an isomorphism of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ if $F, G \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$.

Let $F, G \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$. Let

$$\pi_{X,Y}: F(X) \otimes G(Y) \rightarrow (F \otimes G)(XY)$$

be the universal bilinear morphism. Define the map

$$\mu_{X,Y,Z}: \Delta F(X, Y) \otimes \Delta G(Y, Z) \rightarrow \Delta(F \otimes G)(X, Z)$$

to be the composite

$$F(XY^d) \otimes G(YZ^d) \xrightarrow{\pi} (F \otimes G)(XY^dYZ^d) \xrightarrow{(1\eta_Y 1)^*} (F \otimes G)(XZ^d).$$

Proposition 9.1 *There exists a unique morphism*

$$\xi: \Delta F \otimes \Delta G \rightarrow \Delta(F \otimes G)$$

of $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta F(X, Y) \otimes \Delta G(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X, Y, Z}} & (\Delta F \otimes \Delta G)(X, Z) \\ & \searrow \mu_{X, Y, Z} & \downarrow \xi_{X, Z} \\ & & \Delta(F \otimes G)(X, Z) \end{array}$$

commutes, where π is the universal bilinear morphism.

Proof. It is enough to show that the maps $\mu_{X,Y,Z}$ form a bilinear morphism $(\Delta F, \Delta G) \rightarrow \Delta(F \otimes G)$. Let $g: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a morphism. Put $H = F \otimes G$. We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(XY_1^d) \otimes G(Y_2Z^d) & \xrightarrow{(1g^d)^* \otimes 1} & F(XY_2^d) \otimes G(Y_2Z^d) & & \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes (g1)^* & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \pi & \searrow \pi & \\
 F(XY_1^d) \otimes G(Y_1Z^d) & & H(XY_1^dY_2Z^d) & \xrightarrow{(1g^d11)^*} & H(XY_2^dY_2Z^d) \\
 & \searrow \pi & \downarrow (11g1)^* & & \downarrow (1\eta 1)^* \\
 & & H(XY_1^dY_1Z^d) & \xrightarrow{(1\eta 1)^*} & H(XZ^d)
 \end{array}$$

in which the three quadrangles are commutative. Hence the surrounding hexagon is commutative. This means that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta F(X, Y_1) \otimes \Delta G(Y_2, Z) & \xrightarrow{g^* \otimes 1} & \Delta F(X, Y_2) \otimes \Delta G(Y_2, Z) \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes g^* & & \downarrow \mu_{X, Y_2, Z} \\
 \Delta F(X, Y_1) \otimes \Delta G(Y_1, Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X, Y_1, Z}} & \Delta H(X, Z)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Thus μ is a bilinear morphism. \square

Proposition 9.2 *For every $F, G \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$, $\xi: \Delta F \otimes \Delta G \rightarrow \Delta(F \otimes G)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Since \otimes is right exact and representable functors form generators in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$, it is enough to show that

$$\xi: \Delta h_A \otimes \Delta h_B \rightarrow \Delta(h_A \otimes h_B)$$

is an isomorphism for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

For an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ define $U_A \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ by

$$U_A(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(X, AY).$$

We have an isomorphism $\lambda: \Delta h_A \cong U_A$ given by

$$(\Delta h_A)(X, Y) = h_A(XY^d) = \text{Hom}(XY^d, A) \cong \text{Hom}(X, AY).$$

For $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{A}$ we define a map

$$\nu_{X,Y,Z}: U_A(X, Y) \otimes U_B(Y, Z) \rightarrow U_{AB}(X, Z)$$

by

$$\nu_{X,Y,Z}((X \xrightarrow{f} AY) \otimes (Y \xrightarrow{g} BZ)) = (X \xrightarrow{f} AY \xrightarrow{1g} ABZ).$$

It is easy to see that the maps $\nu_{X,Y,Z}$ give a bilinear morphism

$$\nu: (U_A, U_B) \rightarrow U_{AB}.$$

We claim that ν is universal. To prove this, let

$$\pi': (U_A, U_B) \rightarrow L$$

be a bilinear morphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_{X,Y,Z}((X \xrightarrow{f} AY) \otimes (Y \xrightarrow{g} BZ)) \\ = \pi'_{X,BZ,Z}((X \xrightarrow{f} AY \xrightarrow{1g} ABZ) \otimes (BZ \xrightarrow{1} BZ)). \end{aligned}$$

Define $\phi: U_{AB} \rightarrow L$ by

$$\phi_{X,Z}(X \xrightarrow{h} ABZ) = \pi'_{X,BZ,Z}((X \xrightarrow{h} ABZ) \otimes (BZ \xrightarrow{1} BZ)).$$

Then $\pi'_{X,Y,Z} = \phi_{X,Z} \circ \nu_{X,Y,Z}$. This proves the claim. Therefore ν yields an isomorphism

$$\zeta: U_A \otimes U_B \rightarrow U_{AB}.$$

So we will know that

$$\xi: \Delta h_A \otimes \Delta h_B \rightarrow \Delta(h_A \otimes h_B)$$

is an isomorphism once we show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta h_A \otimes \Delta h_B & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \Delta(h_A \otimes h_B) \\ \downarrow \lambda \otimes \lambda & & \downarrow \Delta(\theta) \\ & & \Delta h_{AB} \\ & & \downarrow \lambda \\ U_A \otimes U_B & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & U_{AB} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\theta: h_A \otimes h_B \rightarrow h_{AB}$ is the canonical isomorphism of Section 7.

In order to show this, it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta h_A(X, Y) \otimes \Delta h_B(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X, Y, Z}} & \Delta(h_A \otimes h_B)(X, Z) \\
 \lambda \otimes \lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta(\theta) \\
 & & \Delta h_{AB}(X, Z) \\
 & & \downarrow \lambda \\
 U_A(X, Y) \otimes U_B(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{X, Y, Z}} & U_{AB}(X, Z)
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

is commutative for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{A}$.

By the definition of μ and θ , the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta h_A(X, Y) \otimes \Delta h_B(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X, Y, Z}} \Delta(h_A \otimes h_B)(X, Z) \xrightarrow{\Delta(\theta)} \Delta h_{AB}(X, Z)
 \end{aligned}$$

is equal to the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa: \text{Hom}(XY^d, A) \otimes \text{Hom}(YZ^d, B) & \rightarrow \text{Hom}(XY^dYZ^d, AB) \xrightarrow{(1\eta 1)^*} \text{Hom}(XZ^d, AB),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first arrow is the tensor product of morphisms of \mathcal{A} . So it suffices to show the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(XY^d, A) \otimes \text{Hom}(YZ^d, B) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \text{Hom}(XZ^d, AB) \\
 \lambda \otimes \lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\
 \text{Hom}(X, AY) \otimes \text{Hom}(Y, BZ) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{X, Y, Z}} & \text{Hom}(X, ABZ).
 \end{array}$$

Let $f': XY^d \rightarrow A$ correspond to $f: X \rightarrow AY$ under the isomorphism λ , and $g': YZ^d \rightarrow B$ correspond to $g: Y \rightarrow BZ$. We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & XY^dYZ^d & \xrightarrow{f'g'} & AB \\
 & \nearrow 1\eta 1 & \downarrow f'11 & \nearrow 1g' & \uparrow 11\epsilon \\
 XZ^d & \xrightarrow{f1} & AY^dZ^d & \xrightarrow{1g1} & ABZ^d
 \end{array}$$

in which the three triangles are commutative. Hence the surrounding pen-

tagon is commutative. This means that the map

$$\kappa(f' \otimes g'): XZ^d \xrightarrow{1\eta^1} XY^dYZ^d \xrightarrow{f'g'} AB$$

corresponds to the map

$$\nu(f \otimes g): X \xrightarrow{f} AY \xrightarrow{1g} ABZ$$

under λ . This proves the commutativity of (1), and completes the proof. \square

Let

$$F, G \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Then

$$F \otimes G \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}))$$

as defined in Section 8. By Theorem 5.1 the distributors $\Delta(F)$, $\Delta(G)$, and $\Delta(F \otimes G)$ admit two-sided \mathcal{A} -action.

Proposition 9.3 *The isomorphism*

$$\xi: \Delta(F) \otimes \Delta(G) \rightarrow \Delta(F \otimes G)$$

is a morphism of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. We have to show that ξ commutes with the operations $A!$ and $!A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. For $A!$ we have to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta F \otimes \Delta G)(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & (\Delta F \otimes \Delta G)(AX, AY) \\ \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ \Delta(F \otimes G)(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & \Delta(F \otimes G)(AX, AY) \end{array}$$

is commutative. By the definition of ξ it is enough to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta F)(X, Z) \otimes (\Delta G)(Z, Y) & \xrightarrow{A! \otimes A!} & (\Delta F)(AX, AZ) \otimes (\Delta G)(AZ, AY) \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \Delta(F \otimes G)(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{A!} & \Delta(F \otimes G)(AX, AY) \end{array}$$

is commutative. By the definition of μ and the description of $A!$ in terms

of γ_A in Theorem 5.1, this diagram reads

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(XZ^d) \otimes G(ZY^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A \otimes \gamma_A} & F(AXZ^d A^d) \otimes G(AZY^d Z^d) \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
(F \otimes G)(XZ^d ZY^d) & & (F \otimes G)(AXZ^d A^d AZY^d A^d) \\
(1\eta_Z 1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (11\eta_{AZ} 11)^* \\
(F \otimes G)(XY^d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & (F \otimes G)(AXY^d A^d),
\end{array}$$

where π is the universal map. This is commutative by the description of γ_A for $F \otimes G$ in Proposition 8.3.

For $!A$ it is enough to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Delta F)(X, Z) \otimes (\Delta G)(Z, Y) & \xrightarrow{!A \otimes !A} & (\Delta F)(XA, ZA) \otimes (\Delta G)(ZA, YA) \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\
\Delta(F \otimes G)(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{!A} & \Delta(F \otimes G)(XA, YA)
\end{array}$$

is commutative. By the description of $!A$ in Theorem 5.1 this diagram reads

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(XZ^d) \otimes G(ZY^d) & \xrightarrow{(1\epsilon_A 1)^* \otimes (1\epsilon_A 1)^*} & F(XAA^d Z^d) \otimes G(ZAA^d Y^d) \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
(F \otimes G)(XZ^d ZY^d) & & (F \otimes G)(XAA^d Z^d ZAA^d Y^d) \\
(1\eta_Z 1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (11\eta_{ZA} 11)^* \\
(F \otimes G)(XY^d) & \xrightarrow{(1\epsilon_A 1)^*} & (F \otimes G)(XAA^d Y^d).
\end{array}$$

We are reduced to showing the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
XZ^d ZY^d & \xleftarrow{1\epsilon_A 11\epsilon_A 1} & XAA^d Z^d ZAA^d Y^d \\
1\eta_Z 1 \uparrow & & \uparrow 11\eta_{ZA} 11 \\
XY^d & \xleftarrow{1\epsilon_A 1} & XAA^d Y^d.
\end{array}$$

But this follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z^d Z & \xleftarrow{\epsilon_A 1 \epsilon_A} & A A^d Z^d Z A A^d \\
 \eta_Z \uparrow & & \uparrow 1 \eta_Z 1 \\
 I & \xleftarrow{\epsilon_A \epsilon_A} & A A^d A A^d \\
 & \swarrow \epsilon_A & \uparrow 1 \eta_A 1 \\
 & & A A^d.
 \end{array}$$

The proof is completed. □

From Propositions 9.2 and 9.3 we obtain

Theorem 9.4 *The equivalence $\Delta: \mathbf{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})) \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}}$ preserves tensor products.*

References

- [1] Borceux F., *Handbook of Categorical Algebra 1*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [2] Day B.J., *On closed categories of functors*. in: MacLane S. (Ed), Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar IV, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 137, Springer, Berlin, 1970, pp. 1–38.
- [3] Joyal A. and Street A., *Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **71** (1991), 43–51.
- [4] Kassel C., *Quantum Groups*. Springer, New York, 1995.
- [5] Majid S., *Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories*. Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo (2) Suppl. vol. 26, 1991, pp. 197–206.
- [6] Tambara D., *A duality for modules over monoidal categories of representations of semisimple Hopf algebras*. Journal of Algebra **241** (2001), 515–547.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
 Hirosaki University
 Hirosaki 036-8561, Japan
 E-mail: tambara@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp