

HARDY CLASSES AND RANGES OF FUNCTIONS

Lowell J. Hansen

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Let D be a region (that is, a connected, nonempty, open set) in the complex plane \mathcal{C} . Following M. Parreau [6] and W. Rudin [8], for each positive real number p , we let $H_p(D)$ denote the collection of functions f , analytic on D , for which $|f|^p$ has a harmonic majorant. (In the case where D is the unit disk, $H_p(D)$ as just defined coincides with the usual Hardy class H_p .) We let $H_0(D)$ denote the collection of analytic functions on D . For each fixed function $f \in H_0(D)$, we seek to determine, by studying $f(D)$, the numbers p for which $f \in H_p(D)$.

One of the first results in this direction is due to Smirnov [7, p. 64]. He showed that if f is analytic on Δ , where $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$, and has positive real part, then $f \in H_p(\Delta)$ ($0 < p < 1$). It is an easy step to go from Smirnov's Theorem to the result that $f \in H_p(\Delta)$ ($0 < p < \pi/\alpha$) if $f(\Delta)$ is contained in a sector whose angular opening is α ($0 < \alpha \leq 2\pi$). This was pointed out by G. T. Cargo [2], who also proved the following results for a function $f \in H_0(\Delta)$:

(1) If $f(\Delta) \subseteq \Omega \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$, where Ω is simply-connected, then $f \in H_p(\Delta)$ ($0 < p < 1/2$).

(2) If $f(\Delta)$ is contained in an infinite strip, then $f \in H_p(\Delta)$, for all positive numbers p .

Cargo proved these last two results using the principle of subordination. Thus, the existing results are limited to the case where $f(\Delta) \subseteq \Omega \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$ and Ω is simply-connected.

We begin by introducing the *Hardy number* $h(\Omega)$ of a region $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ (Chapter II). The Hardy number $h(\Omega)$ has the property that if $f \in H_0(D)$, $f(D) \subseteq \Omega$, and $h(\Omega) > 0$, then $f \in H_p(D)$ ($0 < p < h(\Omega)$). Therefore, progress in solving the stated problem will come from a study of Hardy numbers; in particular, from lower bounds for Hardy numbers. Chapter III is a step in this direction. Whereas the existing results are limited to functions whose image lies in a proper simply-connected subregion of \mathcal{C} , we give a lower bound for the Hardy number of an arbitrary region (Section 3). Some theorems of M. Tsuji play the central role here. The bound in Section 3 permits us to determine exactly the Hardy number of a starlike region (Section 4). We also derive a lower bound for the Hardy number of a simply-connected region whose boundary is sufficiently regular (Section 5). In some cases this is an improvement of the bound in Section 3, since it takes into account a rotational factor. Our tool here is Ahlfors' distortion theorem [1].

Received August 7, 1969.

This paper is based upon the author's doctoral dissertation, written at the University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, in 1969, and directed by Professor Maurice Heins. This paper was written while the author was a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellow.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).

In the special case where $f \in H_0(\Delta)$, existing theorems for Hardy classes on Δ allow us to relate the Hardy number of $f(\Delta)$ with the growth of the maximum modulus and with the Taylor coefficients of f (Chapter IV).

Finally, we prove a theorem for an arbitrary region, of which the Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorem for a half-plane is a special case (Chapter V). This enables us to give a lower bound for the lower order of an entire function f in terms of the Hardy numbers of the components of the sets $\{|f| > c\}$.

I wish to express my thanks to my teacher and advisor, Maurice Heins, for his advice during the preparation of this paper.

II. THE NOTION OF A HARDY NUMBER

2. Let $D \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a region, and let $f \in H_0(D)$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a region containing $f(D)$. If $g \in H_p(\Omega)$ for some $p > 0$, then $|g|^p$ has a harmonic majorant u . Therefore $|g \circ f|^p \leq u \circ f$, and thus, since $u \circ f$ is again harmonic, we conclude that $g \circ f \in H_p(D)$. In particular, if g is the identity map on Ω , then $f \in H_p(D)$.

We are thus motivated to make the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a region. The *Hardy number* of Ω is defined by the condition

$$h(\Omega) = \sup \{p \geq 0: I_\Omega \in H_p(\Omega)\},$$

where I_Ω is the identity map on Ω .

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a region with $h(\Omega) > 0$, and let $0 < p < q$. Since $|z|^p \leq 1 + |z|^q$, it follows that $I_\Omega \in H_p(\Omega)$ as long as $0 \leq p < h(\Omega)$. The significance of Definition 2.1 is that if $f \in H_0(D)$ and $f(D) \subseteq \Omega$, then $f \in H_p(D)$ for all p satisfying the inequality $0 \leq p < h(\Omega)$.

This may not always lead to a significant result. For example, if

$$F(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^3 \quad (|z| < 1),$$

then $F \in H_p(\Delta)$ ($0 < p < 1/3$). However, $F(\Delta) = \mathcal{E} - \{0\}$, which has Hardy number zero (see observation 4 below).

We may make the following observations.

(1) If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are regions with $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, then $h(\Omega_2) \leq h(\Omega_1)$.

(2) Let $\Omega_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a region, and suppose that $\Omega_2 = \{az + b: z \in \Omega_1\}$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$ and $a \neq 0$. Then $h(\Omega_1) = h(\Omega_2)$.

(3) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a bounded region. Then $h(\Omega) = +\infty$. Thus, in the sequel, the only case of interest will be the case where Ω is unbounded.

(4) If $\mathcal{E} - \Omega$ is bounded, then $h(\Omega) = 0$. For, if $\mathcal{E} - \Omega \subseteq \{|z| \leq R\}$ ($R > 0$), then $F(z) = R \exp\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ maps Δ into Ω , and F belongs to $H_p(\Delta)$ for no $p > 0$.

(5) Smirnov's Theorem shows that $J \in H_p(\Delta)$ ($0 < p < 1$), where $J(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$. Since J maps Δ conformally onto $\{\Re z > 0\}$, we have that $h[\{\Re z > 0\}] \geq 1$. However, since $J \notin H_1(\Delta)$, we must have that $h[\{\Re z > 0\}] = 1$.

Similarly, one shows that $h(S) = \pi/\alpha$, where S is a sector whose angular opening is α ($0 < \alpha \leq 2\pi$).

III. LOWER BOUNDS FOR HARDY NUMBERS

In Section 3, we derive a lower bound for the Hardy number of an arbitrary region $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. We show in Section 4 that if Ω is unbounded and starlike with respect to the point $z = 0$, then the lower bound of Section 3 determines $h(\Omega)$ exactly. We use the Ahlfors Distortion Theorem to give a lower bound for the Hardy number of a simply-connected region whose boundary is sufficiently regular (Section 5).

3. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be an unbounded region. Let $\rho_0 = \inf \{|z| : z \in \Omega\}$ and $\rho_1 = 1 + \rho_0$. For $t \in (\rho_0, +\infty)$, we define

$$(1) \quad \alpha_\Omega(t) = \max \{m(E) : E \text{ is a subarc of } \Omega \cap \{|z| = t\}\},$$

where $m(E)$ denotes the angular Lebesgue measure of E . The function $1/\alpha_\Omega$ is upper-semicontinuous and hence is bounded on compact subsets of $(\rho_0, +\infty)$. For $t \geq 0$, we define

$$(2) \quad \chi_\Omega(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{|z| = t\} \subseteq \Omega, \\ 1 & \text{if } \{|z| = t\} \not\subseteq \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We note that χ_Ω is the characteristic function of the circular projection of $\mathcal{E} - \Omega$ onto the nonnegative real axis. For $t > \rho_1$, let

$$(3) \quad B_\Omega(t) = \frac{\pi}{\log t} \int_{\rho_1}^t \frac{\chi_\Omega(r) dr}{r\alpha_\Omega(r)}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *If $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is an unbounded region, then*

$$(4) \quad h(\Omega) \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} B_\Omega(t).$$

Proof. Since the theorem holds trivially otherwise, we consider only the case where $\ell = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} B_\Omega(t) > 0$. We must show that if $0 < p < \ell$, then there exists a function u , harmonic on Ω , that satisfies the inequality $|z|^p \leq u(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$. This follows from the proof of Theorem III. 70 of M. Tsuji [10, pp. 118-119], if, instead of the estimate for harmonic measure that is used there, we use the stronger estimate proved by Tsuji in [9].

In general, equality does not hold in (4), as the examples at the end of Section 5 illustrate. However, we shall show in Section 4 that if $\Omega \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$ is an unbounded region that is starlike with respect to the point $z = 0$, then equality does hold in (4), and

$$h(\Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{\alpha_\Omega(t)}.$$

Using the method of proof of Theorem 3.1, one could get an upper bound for $h(\Omega)$, if a lower estimate for harmonic measure were available (just as a better

upper estimate for harmonic measure would give a better lower bound for $h(\Omega)$. In general, however, lower estimates for harmonic measure do not exist.

We now fix some notation for the remainder of this section. Let E be a Lebesgue-measurable set of positive real numbers. We let $m_\ell(E)$ denote its logarithmic measure: $m_\ell(E) = \int_E \frac{1}{t} dt$. If r is a real number ($r \geq 1$), we put $E(r) = E \cap [1, r]$. Then the lower logarithmic density of E is given by the expression

$$\underline{d}_\ell(E) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{m_\ell[E(r)]}{\log r}.$$

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a region, we let $P_\Omega = \{|z|: z \in \mathcal{C} - \Omega\}$, the circular projection of $\mathcal{C} - \Omega$ onto the nonnegative real axis.

Corollaries 3.2 to 3.4 follow easily from Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be an unbounded region. Let $E \subseteq P_\Omega$ be a measurable set and χ its characteristic function. Let $\alpha_0 = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [\chi(t)\alpha_\Omega(t)]$. If $\underline{d}_\ell(E) > 0$, then $h(\Omega) \geq (\pi/\alpha_0)\underline{d}_\ell(E)$.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a region. Then $h(\Omega) \geq \underline{d}_\ell(P_\Omega)/2$.*

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$ be a simply-connected region. Then $h(\Omega) \geq 1/2$. (This is equivalent to a result of Cargo [2].)*

We conclude this section with a theorem that is similar to the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors Theorem [1].

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $\{\Omega_k\}$ be a collection of n ($n \geq 2$) unbounded disjoint subregions of \mathcal{C} . Let α_k, χ_k , and B_k be defined relative to Ω_k as in (1), (2), and (3). If $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} B_k(t)$ exists (finite or infinite) for each k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then $h(\Omega_{k_0}) \geq n/2$ for some k_0 .*

Proof. Let R ($R \geq 1$) be so large that $\Omega_k \cap \{|z| < R\} \neq \emptyset$ for each k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Then $\chi_k(t) = 1$ for $t \geq R$, and hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} B_k(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi}{\log t} \int_R^t \frac{dr}{r \alpha_k(r)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_k(r)} \geq n^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(r) \right)^{-1} \geq \frac{n^2}{2\pi},$$

we have the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h(\Omega_k) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{\log t} \int_R^t \frac{dr}{r \alpha_k(r)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{n \log t} \int_R^t \frac{1}{r} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_k(r)} \right] dr \\
 &\geq \frac{n}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log t/R}{\log t} = \frac{n}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h(\Omega_{k_0}) \geq n/2$ for some k_0 .

We suspect that the theorem is true without the regularity hypothesis that each $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} B_k(t)$ exist. If so, in view of Theorem 7.2, Theorem 3.5 could be used to give another proof of the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors Theorem.

4. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$(5) \quad h(\Omega) \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi}{\alpha_\Omega(t)},$$

if Ω is unbounded and $\chi_\Omega(t) = 1$ for $t \geq t_0$. In this section, we prove the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$ be an unbounded region that is starlike with respect to the point $z = 0$. Then*

$$h(\Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi}{\alpha_\Omega(t)}.$$

Proof. Since Ω is starlike with respect to $z = 0$, the function $t \rightarrow \alpha_\Omega(t)$ is non-increasing and positive, and therefore $A = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_\Omega(t)$ exists. Thus inequality (5) becomes

$$(6) \quad h(\Omega) \geq \frac{\pi}{A}.$$

If $A = 0$, then $h(\Omega) = \pi/A = +\infty$.

Suppose that $A > 0$. For each $t > 0$, there are only finitely many subarcs E of $\Omega \cap \{|z| = t\}$ with $m(E) > \alpha_\Omega(t)/2$, where $m(E)$ is the angular Lebesgue measure of E . We let E_t be some subarc of $\Omega \cap \{|z| = t\}$ satisfying the condition $m(E_t) = \alpha_\Omega(t)$. For each $t > 0$, let $k(t)$ have the following properties:

(i) $k(t) \in [0, 2\pi)$;

(ii) if $E_t \neq \{|z| = t\}$, the ray $\{xe^{ik(t)}: x \geq 0\}$ is the bisector of E_t . Otherwise, let $k(t) = 0$.

Noting that $\{k(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence, we conclude that there exists a subsequence $\{k(n_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $k(n_j) \rightarrow k_0$. Thus, for each δ ($0 < \delta < A/2$), there exists N so large that

$$\left\{ xe^{i\theta}: 0 < x < n_j \text{ and } k_0 - \frac{A}{2} + \delta < \theta < k_0 + \frac{A}{2} - \delta \right\} \subseteq \Omega$$

for all $j \geq N$. Since $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have the inclusion

$$\left\{ x e^{i\theta} : x > 0 \text{ and } k_0 - \frac{A}{2} + \delta < \theta < k_0 + \frac{A}{2} - \delta \right\} \subseteq \Omega$$

for all δ ($0 < \delta < A/2$). Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$S = \left\{ x e^{i\theta} : x > 0 \text{ and } k_0 - \frac{A}{2} < \theta < k_0 + \frac{A}{2} \right\} \subseteq \Omega.$$

Since $h(S) = \pi/A$ (by observation 5 of Section 2) and $S \subseteq \Omega$, we have that

$$h(\Omega) \leq h(S) = \frac{\pi}{A}.$$

This, together with inequality (6) above, completes the proof.

Remark I. We have determined the Hardy number of every starlike region: If Ω is bounded, then $h(\Omega) = +\infty$; if Ω is unbounded, an appropriate translation takes Ω into a region satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, and this region has the same Hardy number as Ω .

Remark II. Let Ω satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $A = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_\Omega(t) > 0$. We showed that for some k_0 ,

$$S = \left\{ x e^{i\theta} : x > 0 \text{ and } k_0 - \frac{A}{2} < \theta < k_0 + \frac{A}{2} \right\} \subseteq \Omega$$

and $h(S) = h(\Omega) = \pi/A$. Therefore, each region G with $S \subseteq G \subseteq \Omega$ has Hardy number π/A also.

5. The object of this section is to develop a lower bound for $h(\Omega)$ in the case where the region $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is simply-connected and has a regular boundary (satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2). We derive this bound using the Ahlfors Distortion Theorem [1], which may be stated as follows.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let G be a simply-connected region in the s -plane ($s = x + iy$). Let $\Gamma: (0, 1) \rightarrow G$ be continuous, one-to-one, and suppose Γ satisfies the conditions*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Re \Gamma(t) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \Re \Gamma(t) = +\infty.$$

Suppose that on each line $\Re s = x$ there exists a segment θ_x satisfying the conditions

- (1) θ_x has finite length $\theta(x)$;
- (2) θ_x lies, except for its endpoints, in G ;
- (3) θ_x separates $\Gamma(t)$, for t near 0, from $\Gamma(t)$, for t near 1; and,
- (4) whenever $x_1 < x_2$, θ_{x_2} separates θ_{x_1} from $\Gamma(t)$, for t near 1.

Suppose F is one-to-one and maps G conformally onto $T = \{w : |\Im w| < \pi/2\}$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Re F[\Gamma(t)] = -\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \Re F[\Gamma(t)] = +\infty$. Put

$$\xi_1(x) = \inf_{s \in \theta_x} \Re F(s) \text{ and } \xi_2(x) = \sup_{s \in \theta_x} \Re F(s).$$

Then

$$\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_2(x_1) \geq \pi \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{dx}{\theta(x)} - 4\pi,$$

provided $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{dx}{\theta(x)} > 2$.

In Theorem 5.2, we follow Ahlfors by letting θ_x^j denote the cross-cut lying on $\{\Re s = x\}$, which, among those cross-cuts satisfying condition (3) in Theorem 5.1, is met first if the curve Γ_j is described in the positive direction.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a simply-connected region whose boundary contains the point $z = 0$. Let $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an analytic logarithm of the identity map on Ω , and let $G = g(\Omega)$. Suppose that G satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *There exist a finite collection of curves $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n$, as in the Ahlfors theorem, with respective cross-cuts $\{\theta_x^j\}_{j=1}^n$, and a positive real number R so that if $s \in G$ and $\Re s = x > R$, then $s \in \theta_x^j$, for some j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$).*
2. *For $x > R$, each component of $G \cap \{\Re s > x\}$ intersects a unique curve Γ_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$).*

Let $y_j(x)$ denote the ordinate of the lower endpoint of the cross-cut θ_x^j . Then

$$h(\Omega) \geq \frac{\pi(1 + \lambda^2)}{\beta},$$

where

$$\beta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{ \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \theta^j(x) \}$$

and

$$\lambda = \liminf_{\substack{x \rightarrow +\infty \\ x > x_1}} \left| \frac{y_j(x) - y_j(x_1)}{x - x_1} \right|$$

for some fixed j ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

Proof. Let F_j denote a univalent analytic map of G onto the strip $T = \{\xi + i\eta: |\eta| < \pi/2\}$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Re F_j[\Gamma_j(t)] = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \Re F_j[\Gamma_j(t)] = +\infty$$

(see [5, p. 19] for a theorem that can be used to establish the existence of such a map). Let $\xi_1^j(x)$ and $\xi_2^j(x)$ denote, respectively, the infimum and supremum of $\Re F_j$ on the cross-cut θ_x^j .

Following the method of Ahlfors in his proof of a sharpening of the Denjoy Conjecture [1, pp. 25-27], we put in the s -plane a new rectangular coordinate system (u, v) whose axes make an acute angle α with the positive x - and y -axes, respectively. That is, for a fixed real number α ($|\alpha| < \pi/2$), the positive u -axis is the set $\{re^{i\alpha}: r > 0\}$ and the positive v -axis is the set $\{re^{i(\alpha+\pi/2)}: r > 0\}$. We shall dispose of α shortly.

Fix x_1 and x_2 ($x_1 < x_2$). Choose u_1 and u_2 as follows: If $\alpha \geq 0$, let

$$u_1 = x_1 \cos \alpha + [y_j(x_1) + 2\pi] \sin \alpha$$

and

$$u_2 = x_2 \cos \alpha + y_j(x_2) \sin \alpha;$$

if $\alpha < 0$, let

$$u_1 = x_1 \cos \alpha + y_j(x_1) \sin \alpha$$

and

$$u_2 = x_2 \cos \alpha + [y_j(x_2) + 2\pi] \sin \alpha,$$

for some fixed j ($1 \leq j \leq n$). When u_1 and u_2 are chosen in this manner, we have that

(i) the line $u = u_1$ passes through the point having (x, y) -coordinates $(x_1, y_j(x_1) + 2\pi)$ or $(x_1, y_j(x_1))$, according as $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\alpha < 0$, and

(ii) the line $u = u_2$ passes through the point having (x, y) -coordinates $(x_2, y_j(x_2))$ or $(x_2, y_j(x_2) + 2\pi)$, according as $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\alpha < 0$.

With u_1 and u_2 chosen as above, we have the relation

$$(7) \quad (u_2 - u_1) = (x_2 - x_1) \cos \alpha + [y_j(x_2) - y_j(x_1) \mp 2\pi] \sin \alpha,$$

where we use the upper or lower sign according as $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\alpha < 0$. Now fix α so that

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{y_j(x_2) - y_j(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}.$$

With this value of α , we have that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (u_2 - u_1) &= \{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + [y_j(x_2) - y_j(x_1)]^2\}^{1/2} - 2\pi \sin |\alpha| \\ &\geq \{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + [y_j(x_2) - y_j(x_1)]^2\}^{1/2} - 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

For each $u_0 \in [u_1, u_2]$, there exist a finite number of cross-cuts on the line $u = u_0$ that separate $\theta_{x_1}^j$ from $\theta_{x_2}^j$. Let δ_{u_0} be the cross-cut, among those satisfying this condition, that is first met if we describe the curve Γ_j in the positive direction. Let δ_{u_0} have length $\delta(u_0)$. For $u \in [u_1, u_2]$, we let $\xi_1(u)$ and $\xi_2(u)$ denote, respectively, the infimum and supremum of $\mathfrak{N} F_j$ on the cross-cut δ_u . Then, by Theorem 5.1,

$$(9) \quad \xi_1(u_2) - \xi_2(u_1) \geq \pi \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{du}{\delta(u)} - 4\pi,$$

provided

$$(10) \quad \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{du}{\delta(u)} > 2.$$

An application of the Schwarz Inequality yields the inequality

$$(11) \quad \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{du}{\delta(u)} \geq \frac{(u_2 - u_1)^2}{\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \delta(u) du}.$$

Combining inequalities (9) and (11), we see that

$$(12) \quad \xi_1(u_2) - \xi_2(u_1) \geq \frac{\pi(u_2 - u_1)^2}{\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \delta(u) du} - 4\pi,$$

whenever condition (10) is satisfied.

Hypotheses 1 and 2 imply that

$$\bigcup_{[u_1, u_2]} \delta_u \subseteq G \cap \{x_1 \leq \Re s \leq x_2\} \quad (x_1 > R).$$

Hence we must have that $\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \delta(u) du \leq 2\pi(x_2 - x_1)$. Using this fact and inequalities (8) and (11), we find that (10) is satisfied if $x_1 > R$ and $(x_2 - x_1) > 4\pi(1 + \sqrt{3}/2)$.

As long as $x_1 > R$, we have the inclusion

$$\bigcup_{[u_1, u_2]} \delta_u \subseteq \bigcup_{[x_1, x_2]} \theta_x^j,$$

and thus

$$\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \delta(u) du \leq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \theta^j(x) dx \leq (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \sup_{x \geq x_1} \theta^j(x).$$

Therefore, if $x_1 > R$ and $(x_2 - x_1) > 4\pi(1 + \sqrt{3}/2)$, we get the inequality

$$(13) \quad \xi_1(u_2) - \xi_2(u_1) \geq \frac{\pi(u_2 - u_1)^2}{(x_2 - x_1) \cdot \sup_{x \geq x_1} \theta^j(x)} - 4\pi.$$

We observe that $\xi_1^j(x_2) \geq \xi_1(u_2)$ and $\xi_2^j(x_1) \leq \xi_2(u_1)$. Combining inequalities (8) and (13) with this observation, we find that

$$(14) \quad \xi_1^j(x_2) - \xi_2^j(x_1) \geq \frac{\pi(x_2 - x_1)}{\sup_{x \geq x_1} \theta^j(x)} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{y_j(x_2) - y_j(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \right)^2} - \frac{2\pi}{(x_2 - x_1)} \right]^2 - 4\pi,$$

as long as $x_1 > R$ and $(x_2 - x_1) > 4\pi(1 + \sqrt{3}/2)$.

Hypotheses 1 and 2 imply that λ is independent of (i) the choice of the analytic logarithm g , (ii) the choice of x_1 , and (iii) the choice of j ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

Let ε and Λ be fixed ($\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \Lambda < 1 + \lambda^2$). Fix x_1 ($x_1 = x_1(\varepsilon) > R$) so large that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left[\sup_{x \geq x_1} \theta^j(x) \right] \leq \beta + \varepsilon.$$

Then pick N ($N > x_1 + 4\pi(1 + \sqrt{3}/2)$) so large that when $x_2 > N$, we have that

$$\left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{y_j(x_2) - y_j(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \right)^2} - \frac{2\pi}{(x_2 - x_1)} \right]^2 > \Lambda$$

for each j ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

By (14), we thus have, for x_1 as fixed above and for $x_2 > N$, the inequality

$$\xi_1^j(x_2) - \xi_2^j(x_1) \geq (x_2 - x_1) \left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right] - 4\pi \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Hence for $x > N$,

$$\left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right] x \leq \left\{ 4\pi + \left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right] x_1 - \xi_2^j(x_1) \right\} + \xi_1^j(x) = K_j + \xi_1^j(x)$$

(K_j is hereby defined). Therefore, if $\Re s > N$ and $s \in \theta_x^j$, we have the inequalities

$$\left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right] \Re s \leq K_j + \xi_1^j(\Re s) \leq K_j + \Re F_j(s).$$

Recall that g is an analytic logarithm of the identity map on Ω . We conclude that if $z \in \Omega$, $\log |z| > N$, and $g(z) \in \theta_x^j$, then, for $q > 0$, we have the relation

$$q \left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right] \log |z| \leq q \{ K_j + \Re F_j[g(z)] \},$$

and hence

$$|z|^{q \left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right]} \leq \exp \{ q [K_j + \Re F_j[g(z)]] \} = |\exp \{ K_j + F_j[g(z)] \}|^q.$$

Note that $\exp \{ K_j + F_j[g(z)] \}$ is an analytic function on Ω with positive real part. Therefore, by Smirnov's Theorem [7, p. 64], it follows that

$$|\exp \{ K_j + F_j \circ g \}|^q$$

has a harmonic majorant U_j for fixed q ($0 < q < 1$). It is clear that $U_j \geq 0$ on Ω . Consequently, we see that

$$|z|^{q \left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta + \varepsilon} \right]} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n U_j(z),$$

if $|z| > e^N$ and $0 < q < 1$. Therefore,

$$|z|^q \left[\frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta+\varepsilon} \right] \leq e \left[\frac{qN\pi\Lambda}{\beta+\varepsilon} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^n U_j(z)$$

for all $z \in \Omega$, as long as q is fixed ($0 < q < 1$). Hence $h(\Omega) \geq q \frac{\pi\Lambda}{\beta+\varepsilon}$. Letting $q \rightarrow 1$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $\Lambda \rightarrow 1 + \lambda^2$, we conclude that

$$(15) \quad h(\Omega) \geq \frac{\pi(1 + \lambda^2)}{\beta}.$$

By way of interpretation of this conclusion, we remark that β is the upper limit of the angular measures of the components of $\Omega \cap \{|z| = r\}$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. The presence of the rotational factor λ in the lower bound (15) seems to indicate that $h(\Omega)$ increases as "the complement of Ω is wrapped more tightly about the origin."

Example I. Let $\Omega = \mathcal{C} - \{e^{r(\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha)} : r \text{ real}\}$, where $|\alpha| < \pi/2$ is fixed. Then Ω satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2 with $\beta = 2\pi$ and $\lambda = |\tan \alpha|$. Hence

$$h(\Omega) \geq \frac{1 + \tan^2 \alpha}{2} = \frac{1}{2 \cos^2 \alpha}.$$

This is the exact value of $h(\Omega)$, as can be seen by considering the function mapping $\{|z| < 1\}$ conformally onto Ω . Theorem 3.1 gives only that $h(\Omega) \geq 1/2$.

Example II. Let ψ be an increasing continuous function on $[1, +\infty)$ with $\psi(1) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(r)/r = +\infty$. Let

$$\Omega = \mathcal{C} - ([0, e] \cup \{e^{r+i\psi(r)} : r \geq 1\}).$$

Then, in the notation of Theorem 5.2, $\lambda = +\infty$. Thus $h(\Omega) = +\infty$, whereas Theorem 3.1 again gives only $h(\Omega) \geq 1/2$.

IV. FUNCTIONS ANALYTIC ON THE UNIT DISK

6. Let f be analytic on Δ ($\Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$). Let $f(\Delta) \subseteq \Omega$, where $h(\Omega) > 0$. Then, since $f \in H_p(\Delta)$ ($0 < p < h(\Omega)$), we get the following results from known theorems about $H_p(\Delta)$.

THEOREM 6.1. *If $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} [(1 - r)^{1/p} M(r, f)] = 0 \quad (0 < p < h(\Omega)).$$

(See Hardy and Littlewood [3].)

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $I_1(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta$. Then if $0 < h(\Omega) \leq 1$, we*

have the relation

$$I_1(r, f) = O[(1 - r)^{1-1/p}] \quad (0 < p < h(\Omega)).$$

(See Privalov [7, p. 108].)

THEOREM 6.3. *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ($|z| < 1$).*

(1) *If $h(\Omega) > 1$, then $|a_n| = o(1)$.*

(2) *If $0 < h(\Omega) \leq 1$, then*

$$|a_n| = o(n^{1/p-1}) \quad (0 < p < h(\Omega)).$$

(See Privalov [7, pp. 110-114].)

Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 answer in part the questions raised by W. K. Hayman [4, pp. 28-30] concerning conditions on $f(\Delta)$ that give information on the growth of $I_1(r, f)$ and $|a_n|$.

V. HARDY NUMBERS AND ORDERS OF FUNCTIONS

7. It follows from the classical Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorem that if u is subharmonic on $\{\Re z > 0\}$ and if $\limsup_{z \rightarrow \zeta} u(z) \leq 0$ for each purely imaginary ζ , then either $u \leq 0$ or else

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ M(r, u)}{\log r} \geq 1,$$

where $M(r, u) = \sup_{|\theta| < \pi/2} u(re^{i\theta})$. Since the Hardy number of $\{\Re z > 0\}$ is 1, this is a special case of the following theorem.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be an unbounded region. Let u be subharmonic on Ω , and suppose that $\limsup_{z \rightarrow \zeta} u(z) \leq 0$ for each finite boundary point ζ of Ω . Then either $u \leq 0$ or else*

$$(16) \quad \kappa = \kappa(u) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ M(r, u)}{\log r} \geq h(\Omega),$$

where, whenever $\Omega \cap \{|z| = r\} \neq \emptyset$, we define $M(r, u) = \sup \{u(z) : z \in \Omega, |z| = r\}$.

Proof. (The proof arose during a conversation with Mr. John Lewis.) Since inequality (16) clearly holds when $h(\Omega) = 0$, we shall assume that $h(\Omega) > 0$. Suppose that $\kappa < h(\Omega)$, and choose ε such that $\kappa < \kappa + \varepsilon < h(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [1, +\infty)$ such that $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$\frac{\log^+ M(r_n, u)}{\log r_n} \leq \kappa + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

That is, $M(r_n, u) \leq r_n^{\kappa + \varepsilon/2}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Let ω_n denote the harmonic measure of $\Omega \cap \{|z| = r_n\}$ with respect to $\Omega_n = \Omega \cap \{|z| < r_n\}$ (see [10, p. 111]). We let I_n and I_Ω denote the identity map on Ω_n and Ω , respectively. Then if $z \in \Omega_{n_0}$, we have for $n \geq n_0$ the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &\leq M(r_n, u) \omega_n(z) \leq r_n^{\kappa + \varepsilon/2} \omega_n(z) \leq r_n^{\kappa + \varepsilon} \omega_n(z) \\ &\leq \text{LHM}(|I_n|^{K+\varepsilon})(z) \leq \text{LHM}(|I_\Omega|^{K+\varepsilon})(z) < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\kappa + \varepsilon < h(\Omega)$. Here, LHM stands for "least harmonic majorant." Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\{r_n^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \omega_n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded, and hence $r_n^{\kappa+\varepsilon/2} \omega_n(z) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $u \leq 0$.

Let u be a nonconstant, continuous, subharmonic function on \mathcal{E} . Let c be a fixed positive real number, and let $\Phi_c = \{z \in \mathcal{E} : u(z) > c\}$. Let

$$h_c = \sup \{h(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ is a component of } \Phi_c\}.$$

If $c_1 < c_2$, then $\Phi_{c_2} \subseteq \Phi_{c_1}$, and hence $h_{c_1} \leq h_{c_2}$. Thus the limit

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} h_c = h(u)$$

exists. As a corollary to Theorem 7.1, we get the following result.

THEOREM 7.2. *Let u be a nonconstant, continuous, subharmonic function on \mathcal{E} . Then*

$$(17) \quad \kappa(u) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ M(r, u)}{\log r} \geq h(u).$$

Proof. Let c be a fixed positive real number, and let Ω be a component of Φ_c . We apply Theorem 7.1 to $(u - c)|_\Omega$ to get the relations

$$\kappa(u) \geq \kappa[(u - c)|_\Omega] \geq h(\Omega).$$

Taking the supremum over the components Ω of Φ_c , we find that $\kappa(u) \geq h_c$. The theorem follows by letting $c \rightarrow +\infty$.

Equality may hold in (17), as the example $u(z) = \Re z$ shows. It would be of interest to know if equality always holds when u is harmonic.

In general, equality need not hold in (17), as the following example illustrates. Define

$$u(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\Re z \leq 1), \\ n^2 + (2n + 1)(\Re z - n) & (1 \leq n \leq \Re z \leq (n + 1)). \end{cases}$$

Then u is subharmonic on \mathcal{E} , and $M(r, u) = u(r) \geq r^2$. Thus, if $1 < n \leq r \leq n + 1$, we have the inequalities

$$2 \leq \frac{\log M(r, u)}{\log r} \leq \frac{\log M(n + 1, u)}{\log r} \leq \frac{\log u(n + 1)}{\log n} = 2 \left[\frac{\log(n + 1)}{\log n} \right].$$

Therefore $\kappa(u) = 2$. However, $h_c = 1$ for each $c \geq 1$, and hence $h(u) = 1 < \kappa(u)$.

We see from Corollary 3.3 that if $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is a region with $\{|z| = r\} - \Omega \neq \emptyset$ for each $r > 0$, then $h(\Omega) > 1/2$. Thus a special case of Theorem 7.2 is the Wiman Theorem that the lower order of an entire function with bounded minimum modulus must be at least $1/2$.

REFERENCES

1. L. Ahlfors, *Untersuchungen zur Theorie der konformen Abbildung und der ganzen Funktionen*. Acta Soc. Sci. Fenn. (2)A, 1, Nr. 9 (1930), 40 pp.
2. G. T. Cargo, *Some geometric aspects of functions of Hardy class H^p* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 7 (1963), 471-474.
3. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *A convergence criterion for Fourier series*. Math. Z. 28 (1928), 612-634.
4. W. K. Hayman, *Research problems in function theory*. The Athlone Press [University of London], London, 1967.
5. E. Lindelöf, *Sur un principe générale de l'analyse et ses applications à la théorie de la représentation conforme*. Acta Soc. Sci. Fenn. 46 (1920), 35 pp.
6. M. Parreau, *Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 3 (1951), 103-197.
7. I. I. Priwalow, *Randeigenschaften analytischer Funktionen*. VEB Deutscher Verl. Wissensch. Berlin, 1956.
8. W. Rudin, *Analytic functions of class H_p* . Lectures on functions of a complex variable, pp. 387-397. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1955.
9. M. Tsuji, *A theorem on the majoration of harmonic measure and its applications*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 3 (1951), 13-23.
10. ———, *Potential theory in modern function theory*. Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1959.

Wayne State University
Detroit, Michigan 48202