

SPACES OF λ -MULTIPLIER CONVERGENT SERIES

JUNDE WU, LINSONG LI AND CHENGRI CUI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the quasi 0-gliding hump property of sequence spaces and study a series of elementary properties of spaces of λ -multiplier convergent series.

1. Introduction. Let (X, T) be a Hausdorff locally convex space, X^* the topological dual space of (X, T) and λ a scalar-valued sequence space. A series $\sum_j x_j$ in X is said to be λ -multiplier T -convergent if, for each $(t_j) \in \lambda$, there exists an $x \in X$ such that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j$ is T -convergent to x .

Let c_{00} be the scalar valued sequence space which are 0 eventually, the β -dual space of λ to be defined by: $\lambda^\beta = \{(u_j) : \sum_j u_j t_j \text{ is convergence for each } (t_j) \in \lambda\}$. It is obvious that if $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, then $[\lambda, \lambda^\beta]$ is a dual pair with respect to the bilinear pairing $[\bar{t}, \bar{u}] = \sum_j u_j t_j$, where $\bar{t} = (t_j) \in \lambda$, $\bar{u} = (u_j) \in \lambda^\beta$. Let $\tau(\lambda, \lambda^\beta)$ denote the Mackey topology of λ with respect to the dual pair $[\lambda, \lambda^\beta]$, i.e., the topology of uniform convergent on all absolutely convex $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ -compact subsets of λ^β , and $k(\lambda, \lambda^\beta)$ the topology of uniform convergent on all $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ -compact subsets of λ^β . It is clear that $k(\lambda, \lambda^\beta)$ is stronger than $\tau(\lambda, \lambda^\beta)$.

Lemma 1 [14]. *Let $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$ and τ_1 be a vector topology on λ^β such that τ_1 is stronger than the coordinate convergence topology. Then the following states are equivalent:*

- (1) $B \subseteq \lambda^\beta$ is τ_1 -compact;
- (2) $B \subseteq \lambda^\beta$ is τ_1 -sequentially compact.

Received by the editors on October 31, 2002.

AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46A03, 46E40.

Key words and phrases. Locally convex space, sequence space, λ -multiplier convergent series.

The project was supported by the Natural Science Fund of China (10471124) and (10361005) and the BK21 Post-Doctor Research Fund of Seoul National University of Korea.

Lemma 2 [17]. *If (X, T_1) is a sequentially complete locally convex space and $\{x_i\} \subseteq X$ is a T_1 convergent sequence, then the absolutely convex closure of $\{x_i\}$ is a T_1 -compact set and is also a T_1 -sequentially compact set.*

It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 easily that:

Lemma 3. *If $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ is a sequentially complete space, then $k(\lambda, \lambda^\beta) = \tau(\lambda, \lambda^\beta)$.*

A nonzero sequence $\{\bar{t}^{(n)}\}$ in c_{00} is said to be a block sequence if there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$ of integers with $k_0 = 0$ such that

$$\bar{t}^{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, t_{k_{n-1}+1}^{(n)}, \dots, t_{k_n}^{(n)}, 0, \dots).$$

The sequence space λ is said to have the signed-weak gliding hump property if, given any $\bar{t} = (t_i) \in \lambda$ and any block sequence $\{\bar{t}^{(n)}\}$ with $\bar{t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{t}^{(n)}$ (pointwise sum), then each strictly increasing positive integer sequence $\{m_k\}$ has a further subsequence $\{n_k\}$ and a signed sequence $\{\theta_k\}$ with $\theta_k = 1$ or $\theta_k = -1$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $\bar{t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k \bar{t}^{(n_k)} \in \lambda$ (pointwise sum) [3].

The sequence space λ is said to have the strong gliding hump property if $\{\bar{t}^{(n)}\}$ is a bounded block sequence. Then, for each strictly increasing positive integers sequence, $\{m_k\}$ has a further subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $\bar{t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{t}^{(n_k)} \in \lambda$ (pointwise sum) [8].

Let (λ, τ_0) be a topological vector space, (λ, τ_0) is said to be a K -space, if for each $j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, the coordinate mapping I_{j_0} of λ to scalar field C , $I_{j_0}((t_j)) = t_{j_0}$ is continuous.

Let $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$ and $\bar{t} = (t_i) \in \lambda$, denote $\bar{t}^{[n]} = (t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n, 0, \dots)$. If, for each $\bar{t} \in \lambda$, $\{\bar{t}^{[n]}\}_n$ converges to \bar{t} with respect to the topology τ_0 , then (λ, τ_0) is said to be an AK -space.

Let B be a bounded subset of (λ, τ_0) , if $\{\bar{t}^{[n]} : \bar{t} \in B, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is also a bounded subset of (λ, τ_0) . Then (λ, τ_0) is said to have the section uniform bounded property.

It is clear that if (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property, then for each bounded subset B of (λ, τ_0) and $j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, $\sup\{|t_{j_0}| : (t_j) \in B\} < \infty$.

Now, we introduce the following quasi 0-gliding hump property:

The sequence space (λ, τ_0) is said to have the quasi 0-gliding hump property if, for each bounded block sequence $\{\bar{t}^{(n)}\}$ of (λ, τ_0) and each scalar sequence $\{s_n\}$ which converges to 0, then for each strictly increasing positive integers sequence $\{m_k\}$ has a further subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n_k} \bar{t}^{(n_k)} \in \lambda$ (pointwise sum).

We would like to show that many classical sequence spaces have the quasi 0-gliding hump property:

Example 1. If $c_0 \subseteq S \subseteq l^\infty$, then $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ has the quasi 0-gliding hump property.

Example 2. For each $0 < p < \infty$, $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ has the quasi 0-gliding hump property.

In fact, for each bounded block sequence $\{\bar{t}^{(n)}\}$ of $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ and each scalar sequence $\{s_n\}$ which converges to 0, there exist $M > 0$ and a subsequence $\{s_{n_k}\}$ of $\{s_n\}$ such that $\|t^{(n)}\|_p \leq M$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sum_k |s_{n_k}|^p < \infty$. Thus, $\sum_k s_{n_k} \bar{t}^{(n_k)} \in l^p$. So $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ has the quasi 0-gliding hump property.

In this paper, the space $X(\lambda) = \{(x_j) : \text{for every } (t_j) \in \lambda, \text{ the series } \sum_j t_j x_j \text{ is } T\text{-convergence}\}$ is said to be the λ -multiplier convergent series space.

As we know, the study of the multiplier convergent series is an interesting topic in functional analysis [2, 5, 7, 10, 13–16]. When (X, T) is a Banach space and $\lambda = l^\infty$, Bu and Wu in [4] introduced and studied the bounded multiplier convergent series space $X(l^\infty)$; when (X, T) is a Banach space and $c_0 \subseteq S \subseteq l^\infty$, Aizpuru and Perez-Fernandez in [1] introduced and studied the S -multiplier convergent series space $X(S)$.

Now, if (X, T) is a locally convex space and λ has the quasi 0-gliding hump property, we study the λ -multiplier convergent series space $X(\lambda)$.

We obtain a series of elementary properties of the space $X(\lambda)$.

Let \mathcal{B} be all bounded subsets of (λ, τ_0) , and \mathcal{P} be all continuous semi-norms of (X, T) , for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\bar{x} \in X(\lambda)$, define

$$(1) \quad P_B(\bar{x}) = \sup \left\{ P \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j \right) : (t_j) \in B \right\}.$$

2. The uniform bounded principle on $X(\lambda)$.

Theorem 1. *If (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property, then for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$, P_B is a semi-norm of $X(\lambda)$.*

Proof. We only need to prove that, for each $\bar{x} \in X(\lambda)$, $P_B(\bar{x}) < \infty$. If not, we can find an $\bar{x} \in X(\lambda)$ such that $P_B(\bar{x}) = \infty$. Thus, for each $M > 0$, there exists $(t_j) \in B$ such that $P(\sum_j t_j x_j) > M$. Let $M = 1 + 1$, we can pick $\bar{t}^{(1)} \in B$ such that $P(\sum_j \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j) > 1 + 1$. Since the series $\sum_j \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j$ is convergent, there exists a $j_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $P(\sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\infty} \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j) < 1$, so $P(\sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j) > 1$. Let $M = \sup\{P(\sum_{j=1}^{j_1} t_j x_j) : (t_j) \in B\} + 2^2 + 1$. Since (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and (λ, τ_0) has the section uniform bounded property, $M < \infty$. Furthermore, we can find a $(t_j^{(2)}) \in B$ such that $P(\sum_j t_j^{(2)} x_j) > M$, so $P(\sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\infty} t_j^{(2)} x_j) > 2^2 + 1$. Similarly, since the series $\sum_j t_j^{(2)} x_j$ is convergent, there exists a $j_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $P(\sum_{j=j_1+1}^{j_2} t_j^{(2)} x_j) > 2^2$. Inductively, we can obtain a bounded block sequence $\{\bar{t}_0^{(n)}\}$ such that

$$(2) \quad P \left(\sum_j^{\infty} \bar{t}_{0j}^{(n)} x_j \right) > n^2,$$

where $\bar{t}_0^{(1)} = (t_{0j}^{(1)}) = (t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}, \dots, t_{j_1}^{(1)}, 0, \dots)$, $t_0^{(2)} = (t_{0j}^{(2)}) = (0, \dots, 0, t_{j_1+1}^{(2)}, t_{j_1+2}^{(2)}, \dots, t_{j_2}^{(2)}, 0, \dots), \dots$. Let $s^{(n)} = (\bar{t}_0^{(n)})/n$, it follows from the quasi 0-gliding hump property of (λ, τ_0) that there exists a subsequence $\{s^{(n_k)}\}$ of $\{s^{(n)}\}$ such that $\sum_k s^{(n_k)} \in \lambda$ (pointwise convergent).

Note that (x_j) is λ -multiplier convergent, so we have

$$\lim_k P\left(\sum_{j=j_{k-1}}^{j_k} s_j^{(n_k)} x_j\right) = 0.$$

This contradicts (2) and so the theorem holds. \square

Similarly, we can prove the following:

Theorem 2. *If (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property, then for each bounded subset B of (λ, τ_0) and each $(u_j) \in \lambda^\beta$, $\sup\{|\sum_j u_j t_j| : (t_j) \in B\} < \infty$.*

Theorem 1 showed that if (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property and the quasi-0-gliding hump property, then $X(\lambda)$ equipped by the all semi-norms $\{P_B : B \in \mathcal{B}, P \in \mathcal{P}\}$, is a locally convex Hausdorff space. We denote the locally convex topology of $X(\lambda)$ by $T_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Let $M(\lambda, X)$ denote the bounded linear operators mapping (λ, τ_0) to (X, T) . Theorem 1 showed that for each $\bar{x} \in X(\lambda)$, $\bar{x} \in M(\lambda, X)$. Now we establish a uniform boundedness principle on $(X(\lambda), T_{\mathcal{B}})$. That is:

Theorem 3. *If $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property, then $(X(\lambda), T_{\mathcal{B}})$ has the uniform boundedness property, i.e., if $\{\bar{x}^{(\alpha)} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ is pointwise bounded on λ , then $\{\bar{x}^{(\alpha)} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ is uniformly bounded on each bounded subset of (λ, τ_0) , i.e., $\{\bar{x}^{(\alpha)} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ -bounded.*

Proof. Without loss generality, we may assume $\{\bar{x}^{(\alpha)} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ is a sequence $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ of $X(\lambda)$.

If the conclusion is not true, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$(3) \quad \sup\{P_B(\bar{x}^{(n)}) : n \in \mathbf{N}\} = \infty.$$

Thus, for each $M > 0$, there exists an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $P_B(\bar{x}^{(n)}) > M$. Let $M = 1 + 1$. We can pick a $\bar{x}^{(n_1)}$ such that $P_B(\bar{x}^{(n_1)}) > 1 + 1$. By the definition of P_B that there exists a $\bar{t}^{(1)} \in B$ and $P(\sum_j \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j^{(n_1)}) > 1 + 1$. Since the series $\sum_j \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j^{(n_1)}$ is convergent, there exists a $j_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $P(\sum_{j=j_1+1}^\infty \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j^{(n_1)}) < 1$, so $P(\sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \bar{t}_j^{(1)} x_j^{(n_1)}) > 1$. Let $M = \sup\{P(\sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \bar{t}_j x_j^{(n)}) : (t_j) \in B, n \in \mathbf{N}\} + \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} P_B(\bar{x}^{(n)}) + 2^2 + 1$. Note that since $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$ and $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ is pointwise bounded on λ , for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$, $\{x_j^{(n)}\}_n$ is a bounded subset of (X, T) . Thus, since (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property, Theorem 1 implies that $M < \infty$. Furthermore, we can find a $\bar{x}^{(n_2)}$ such that $P_B(\bar{x}^{(n_2)}) > M$. So there exists a $\bar{t}^{(2)} \in B$ such that $P(\sum_j \bar{t}_j^{(2)} x_j^{(n_2)}) > M$. It follows from the definition of M that $n_2 > n_1$ and $P(\sum_{j=j_1}^\infty \bar{t}_j^{(2)} x_j^{(n_2)}) > 2^2 + 1$. Since the series $\sum_j \bar{t}_j^{(2)} x_j^{(n_2)}$ is convergent, there exists a $j_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j_2 > j_1$ such that $P(\sum_{j=j_2+1}^\infty \bar{t}_j^{(2)} x_j^{(n_2)}) < 1$, so $P(\sum_{j=j_1+1}^{j_2} \bar{t}_j^{(2)} x_j^{(n_2)}) > 2^2$. Inductively, we can obtain a bounded block sequence $\{\bar{t}_0^{(k)}\}$ of (λ, τ_0) and a subsequence $\{\bar{x}^{(n_k)}\}$ of $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ such that

$$P\left(\sum_j \bar{t}_{0j}^{(k)} x_j^{(n_k)}\right) > k^2, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let $\bar{s}^{(k)} = (\bar{t}_0^{(k)})/k$. Then we have:

$$(4) \quad P\left(\sum_j \bar{s}_j^{(k)} x_j^{(n_k)}\right) > k, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem we can obtain a sequence of continuous linear functionals $\{f_k\}$ of (X, T) such that $\|f_k\|_P = \sup\{|f_k(x)| : x \in X, P(x) \leq 1\} \leq 1$ and

$$(5) \quad f_k\left(\sum_j \bar{s}_j^{(k)} x_j^{(n_k)}\right) = P\left(\sum_j \bar{s}_j^{(k)} x_j^{(n_k)}\right) > k, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

That $\{f_k\}$ is an equicontinuous sequence is obvious. Now, we consider the infinite matrix $[(f_i)/i(\sum_j \bar{s}_j^{(k)} x_j^{(n_i)})]_{ik}$. For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, since

$\{\bar{x}^{(n)} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is pointwise bounded and $\{f_k\}$ is an equicontinuous sequence,

$$\lim_i \frac{f_i}{i} \left(\sum_j s_j^{(k)} x_j^{(n_i)} \right) = 0$$

is obvious. If $\{k_p\}$ is an increasing sequence from \mathbf{N} , it follows from the quasi 0-gliding hump property of (λ, τ_0) that there exists a subsequence $\{k_{p_m}\}$ of $\{k_p\}$ such that $\sum_m \bar{s}^{(k_{p_m})} \in \lambda$. Noting that $\{\bar{x}^{(n)} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is pointwise bounded and $\{f_k\}$ is an equicontinuous sequence, we have

$$\lim_i \frac{f_i}{i} \left(\sum_m \sum_j s_j^{(k_{p_m})} x_j^{(n_i)} \right) = 0.$$

From the basic matrix theorem of Antosik and Mikusinski [9], it follows that

$$\lim_k \frac{f_k}{k} \left(\sum_j s_j^{(k)} x_j^{(n_k)} \right) = 0.$$

This contradicts (5), and the theorem is proved. \square

Now we present an example to show the necessity of the gliding hump assumptions in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

Example 3. Let $\lambda = (c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and C be the complex numbers field. Then λ is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property, but λ does not have the quasi 0-gliding hump property. The λ -multiplier convergent series space $C(\lambda)$ is the space of all complex numbers sequences ω . Let $\bar{x} = (j)_{j=1}^\infty$ and e_j denote the sequence whose j th coordinate is 1 and other coordinates are 0. Then $\bar{x} \in C(\lambda)$ and $B = \{e_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a bounded subset of $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. But $P_B(\bar{x}) = \infty$. This shows that Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 do not hold.

3. The completeness and Banach-Steinhaus property of $X(\lambda)$. At first, we study the sequentially completeness of $X(\lambda)$. We have:

Theorem 4. *If $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, (λ, τ_0) is a K -space and has the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property, and (X, T) is a sequentially complete Hausdorff space, then $(X(\lambda), T_{\mathcal{B}})$ is also a sequentially complete space.*

Proof. Let $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ be a $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ -Cauchy sequence. It follows from the sequential completeness of (X, T) that there exists a $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)})$ satisfying $x_j^{(0)} = \lim_n x_j^{(n)}$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$. Now, we only need to prove that $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)}) \in X(\lambda)$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\bar{t} = (t_j) \in \lambda$, note that (λ, τ_0) has the section uniform bounded property, so $B = \{\bar{t}^{[l]} - \bar{t}^{[k]} : k, l \in \mathbf{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ is a $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ -Cauchy sequence, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that when $m, n \geq n_0$, for any $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$P\left(\sum_{j=k}^l t_j(x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)})\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since $\bar{x}^{(n_0)} \in X(\lambda)$, there exists $p_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that when $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$ and $p, q \geq p_0$,

$$P\left(\sum_p^q t_j x_j^{(n_0)}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

On the other hand, since $x_j^{(0)} = \lim_n x_j^{(n)}$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m_0 > n_0$ and

$$P\left(\sum_p^q t_j(x_j^{(m_0)} - x_j^{(0)})\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

So, when $p, q \geq p_0$, we have:

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sum_p^q t_j x_j^{(0)}\right) &\leq P\left(\sum_p^q t_j(x_j^{(m_0)} - x_j^{(n_0)})\right) + P\left(\sum_p^q t_j(x_j^{(m_0)} - x_j^{(0)})\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\sum_p^q t_j x_j^{(n_0)}\right) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)}) \in X(\lambda)$. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 5. *Let $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, (λ, τ_0) be a K -space and have the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property, (X, T) a sequentially complete Hausdorff space. If $(\lambda, k(\lambda, \lambda^\beta))$ is an AK -space, then $(X(\lambda), \sigma(X(\lambda), \lambda))$ is sequentially complete, i.e., if $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ and, for each $\bar{t} = (t_j) \in \lambda$, $\{\sum_j t_j x_j^{(n)}\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence of (X, T) , then $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)}) \in X(\lambda)$, and $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ pointwise converges to $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)})$ on λ . Here $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)})$ is such that $x_j^{(0)} = \lim_n x_j^{(n)}$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. It follows from (6) that we only need to prove that, for each $(t_j) \in \lambda$, $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist k_0 and n_0 when $k, l \geq k_0$ and $m, n \geq n_0$,

$$P\left(\sum_{j=k}^l t_j(x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)})\right) < \varepsilon.$$

If not, there exist strictly increasing positive integer sequences $\{k_q\}$, $\{l_q\}$, $\{m_q\}$, $\{n_q\}$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$P\left(\sum_{j=k_q}^{l_q} t_j(x_j^{(m_q)} - x_j^{(n_q)})\right) \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem that we can obtain a sequence of continuous linear functionals $\{f_q\}$ of (X, T) such that

$$\|f_q\|_P = \sup\{|f_q(x)| : x \in X, P(x) \leq 1\} \leq 1,$$

and

$$(7) \quad f_q\left(\sum_{j=k_q}^{l_q} t_j(x_j^{(m_q)} - x_j^{(n_q)})\right) = P\left(\sum_{j=k_q}^{l_q} t_j(x_j^{(m_q)} - x_j^{(n_q)})\right) \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

For each $q \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\bar{z}^{(q)} = (z_j^{(q)}) = (x_j^{(m_q)} - x_j^{(n_q)})$. Then, by the condition of Theorem 5, for each $(t_j) \in \lambda$, $\lim_q \sum_j t_j z_j^{(q)} = 0$. Note that, for each $q \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(t_j) \in \lambda$, since the series $\sum_j t_j z_j^{(q)}$ is convergent in (X, T) , the series $\sum_j t_j f_q(z_j^{(q)})$ is also convergent,

so $(f_q(z_j^{(q)})) \in \lambda^\beta$. It follows from $\|f_q\|_P = \sup\{|f_q(x)| : x \in X, P(x) \leq 1\} \leq 1$ and $\lim_q \sum_j t_j z_j^{(q)} = 0$ that

$$\lim_q \left(\sum_j t_j f_q(z_j^{(q)}) \right) = 0.$$

So, $\{f_q(z_j^{(q)})\}_q \subseteq \lambda^\beta$ is a $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ -sequentially compact set. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\{f_q(z_j^{(q)})\}_q \subseteq \lambda^\beta$ is also a $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ -compact set. Since $(\lambda, k(\lambda, \lambda^\beta))$ is an AK -space,

$$\lim_q f_q \left(\sum_{j=k_q}^{l_q} t_j (x_j^{(m_q)} - x_j^{(n_q)}) \right) = \lim_q \sum_{j=k_q}^{l_q} t_j f_q(z_j^{(q)}) = 0.$$

This contradicts (7) and so the theorem is proved. \square

We know that when λ has the signed-weak gliding hump property, $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ is a sequentially complete space and $\tau(\lambda, \lambda^\beta)$ is an AK -space [9]. Thus, by Lemma 3 and Theorem 5 we have:

Corollary 1. *Let $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, (λ, τ_0) be a K -space and have the section uniform bounded property, the quasi-0-gliding hump property and the signed-weak gliding hump property, (X, T) be a sequentially complete Hausdorff space. Then $(X(\lambda), \sigma(X(\lambda), \lambda))$ is sequentially complete.*

Next, we study the Banach-Steinhaus property of $X(\lambda)$.

We will say that the sequence space (λ, τ_0) has the quasi Banach-Steinhaus property, if $\{u^{(n)}\} \subseteq \lambda^\beta$ is pointwise convergent to $u^{(0)} \in \lambda^\beta$ on λ , then for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\{u^{(n)}\}$ converges to $u^{(0)}$ uniformly on B .

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. We will say that X is a Grothendieck space if each weak* convergent sequence in X^* is weakly convergent [1].

Let \mathcal{M} be a subspace of X^{**} such that $X \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq X^{**}$. We will say that X is \mathcal{M} -Grothendieck if each weak* convergent sequence in X^* is $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{M})$ convergent [1].

Example 4. If $c_0 \subseteq S \subseteq l^\infty$ and $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is an l^∞ -Grothendieck space, then $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ has the quasi Banach-Steinhaus property.

In fact, it follows from [1] that $l^\infty \subseteq S^{**}$, so the condition that $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a l^∞ -Grothendick space is meaningful. Note that $S^\beta = l^1$. Since $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is an l^∞ -Grothendick space, using the Schur lemma [11] it is easy to prove that $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ has the quasi Banach-Steinhaus property.

Theorem 6. *Let $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, (λ, τ_0) be a K -space and have the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property. If (λ, τ_0) has the quasi Banach-Steinhaus property and (X, T) is a sequentially complete Hausdorff space, then $(X(\lambda), T_{\mathcal{B}})$ has the Banach-Steinhaus property, i.e., if $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ and, for each $\bar{t} = (t_j) \in \lambda$, $\{\sum_j t_j x_j^{(n)}\}_n$ is a convergence sequence, then there exists an $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)}) \in X(\lambda)$ such that $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ is $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ converges to $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)})$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4 that we only need to prove that $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ is a $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ -Cauchy sequence. If not, there exist a $P \in \mathcal{P}$, a $B \in \mathcal{B}$, an $\varepsilon > 0$, and a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$P_B(\bar{x}^{(n_k)} - \bar{x}^{(n_{k+1})}) \geq \varepsilon, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

So, there exists a sequence $(t_j^{(k)}) \in B$ such that

$$(8) \quad P\left(\sum_j t_j(x_j^{(n_k)} - x_j^{(n_{k+1})})\right) \geq \varepsilon, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\bar{z}^{(k)} = (z_j^{(k)}) = (x_j^{(n_k)} - x_j^{(n_{k+1})})$. It is clear that $\bar{z}^{(k)} \in X(\lambda)$ and, for each $(t_j) \in \lambda$, $\lim_k \sum_j t_j z_j^{(k)} = 0$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem again we can obtain a sequence of continuous linear functionals $\{f_k\}$ of (X, T) such that $\|f_k\|_P = \sup\{|f_k(x)| : x \in X, P(x) \leq 1\} \leq 1$, and

$$(9) \quad f_k\left(\sum_j t_j^{(k)} z_j^{(k)}\right) \geq \varepsilon, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Similarly, as in Theorem 5 for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $(f_k(z_j^{(k)})) \in \lambda^\beta$, and, for each $(t_j) \in \lambda$, it follows from $\|f_k\|_P = \sup\{|f_k(x)| : x \in X, P(x) \leq 1\} \leq 1$

and $\lim_k \sum_j t_j z_j^{(k)} = 0$ that

$$\lim_k \left(\sum_j t_j f_k(z_j^{(k)}) \right) = 0.$$

So, $\{f_k(z_j^{(k)})\}_k \subseteq \lambda^\beta$ is pointwise convergent to 0. Thus, by the quasi Banach-Steinhaus property of (λ, τ_0) ,

$$\lim_k \left(\sum_j t_j^{(k)} f_k(z_j^{(k)}) \right) = \lim_k f_k \left(\sum_j t_j^{(k)} z_j^{(k)} \right) = 0.$$

This contradicts (9) and so the theorem is true. \square

It follows from Examples 1 and 4 and Theorem 6 that:

Corollary 2 [1]. *If $c_0 \subseteq S \subseteq l^\infty$ and $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is an l^∞ -Grothendick space, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space and, if $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\} \subseteq X(\lambda)$ and, for each $\bar{t} = (t_j) \in S$, $\{\sum_j t_j x_j^{(n)}\}_n$ is a convergence sequence, then $\{\bar{x}^{(n)}\}$ is norm convergent to $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)}) \in X(S)$, where $\bar{x}^{(0)} = (x_j^{(0)})$ is such that $x_j^{(0)} = \lim_n x_j^{(n)}$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$.*

4. The uniform convergent property of $X(\lambda)$.

Finally, we study when $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(t_j) \in \lambda$, under what conditions the series $\sum_j t_j x_j$ converges uniformly with respect to $(t_j) \in B$.

The sequence space (λ, τ_0) is said to have the uniform convergent property if, for each $\sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ -sequentially compact subset F of λ^β and each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the series $\sum_j u_j t_j$ converges uniformly with respect to $(u_j) \in F$ and $(t_j) \in B$.

Ronglu Li and Minhyung Cho in [6] proved the following important conclusion:

Lemma 4 [6, Theorem 1]. *If the sequence space (λ, τ_0) has the section uniform bounded property and the strong gliding hump property, then (λ, τ_0) has the uniform convergent property.*

Example 5. If $c_0 \subseteq S \subseteq l^\infty$ and $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is an l^∞ -Grothendick space, then $(S, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ also has the uniform convergent property.

Theorem 7. Let $c_{00} \subseteq \lambda$, (λ, τ_0) be a K -space and have the section uniform bounded property and the quasi 0-gliding hump property. If (λ, τ_0) has the uniform convergent property, then for each $\bar{x} = (x_j) \in X(\lambda)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the series $\sum_j t_j x_j$ converges uniformly with respect to $(t_j) \in B$.

Proof. If not, there exist an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, a $P \in \mathcal{P}$, a sequence $\{\bar{t}^{(k)}\} \subseteq B$ and two strictly increasing subsequences $\{j_k\}$ and $\{l_k\}$ of \mathbf{N} satisfies that

$$P\left(\sum_{j=j_k}^{l_k} t_j^{(k)} x_j\right) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem again we can obtain a sequence of equicontinuous continuous linear functional $\{f_k\}$ of (X, T) such that

$$(10) \quad f_k\left(\sum_{j=j_k}^{l_k} t_j^{(k)} x_j\right) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let A_1 be the $\sigma(X^*, X)$ closure of $\{f_k\}$. Then, by the famous Alaogou-Bourbaki theorem, A_1 is a $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -compact subset of X^* [12]. Since $\bar{x} \in X(\lambda)$, for each $(t_j) \in \lambda$, the series $\sum_j t_j x_j$ is convergent. So for each $f \in X^*$, we have

$$f\left(\sum_j t_j x_j\right) = \sum_j t_j f(x_j).$$

Consider the linear operator $\bar{X} : X^* \rightarrow \lambda^\beta$ for $\bar{X}(f) = (f(x_j))_j$. It follows from $\bar{X}(f)(\bar{t}) = \sum_j t_j f(x_j)$ that the linear operator: $\bar{X} : X^* \rightarrow \lambda^\beta$ is $\sigma(X^*, X) - \sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda)$ continuous. So the image $\bar{X}(A_1)$ of A_1 is a compact subset of $(\lambda^\beta, \sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda))$. By Lemma 1, $\bar{X}(A_1)$ is also a sequentially compact subset of $(\lambda^\beta, \sigma(\lambda^\beta, \lambda))$. It follows from the uniform convergent property of (λ, τ_0) that the series $\sum_j t_j f_k(x_j)$ convergent uniformly with respect to $(t_j) \in B$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. This contradicts (10) and the theorem is proved. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to express their thanks to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aizpuru and J. Perez-Fernandez, *Spaces of S -bounded multiplier convergent series*, Acta Math. Hungar. **87** (2000), 135–146.
2. C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **17** (1958), 151–164.
3. J. Boos and T. Leiger, *The signed weak gliding hump property*, Acta Comn. Univ. Tart. **970** (1994), 13–22.
4. Qingying Bu and Congxin Wu, *Unconditionally convergent series of operators on Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **207** (1997), 291–299.
5. Ronglu Li and Qingying Bu, *Locally convex spaces containing no Copy of c_0* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **207** (1993), 205–211.
6. Ronglu Li and Minhyung Cho, *On the gliding hump property*, Taiwanese J. Math. **3** (1999), 115–122.
7. Ronglu Li, Chengri Cui and Minhyung Cho, *An invariant with respect to all admissible polar topologies*, Chinese Ann. Math. **19** (1998), 289–294.
8. C. Swartz, *The gliding hump property in vector sequence spaces*, Monatsh. Math. **116** (1993), 147–158.
9. ———, *Infinite matrices and the gliding hump*, World Scientific Publ., Singapore, 1996.
10. ———, *The Schur lemma for bounded multiplier convergent series*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 283–288.
11. ———, *A general Hahn-Schur theorem*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **20** (1996), 57–58.
12. A. Wilansky, *Modern methods in topological vector spaces*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
13. J. Wu and R. Li, *An Orlicz-Pettis theorem with applications to A -spaces*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **35** (1999), 353–358.
14. Junde Wu and Shijie Lu, *A full invariant theorem and some applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **270** (2002), 397–404.
15. ———, *An automatic adjoint theorem and its applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1735–1741.
16. Junde Wu, Wenbo Qu and Chengri Cui, *On the invariant of λ -multiplier convergent series* **31** (2002), 279–283.
17. Junde Wu and Yajuan Wu, *The null sequences in mapping system*, J. Math. **18** (1998), 264–266.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, YUQUAN CAMPUS, HANG
ZHOU 310027, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: `WJD@math.zju.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-747,
KOREA
E-mail address: `llsong@math.snu.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANBIAN UNIVERSITY, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF
CHINA
E-mail address: `crcui@ybu.edu.cn`