ON A WARING-GOLDBACH PROBLEM FOR MIXED POWERS

YINGJIE LI AND YINGCHUN CAI

ABSTRACT. Let P_r denote an almost-prime with at most r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity. In this paper, it is proved, among other results, that, for every sufficiently large, even integer N satisfying the congruence condition $N \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, the equation

$$N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4$$

is solvable with x being a P_5 and the other variable primes. This result constitutes an enhancement upon that of Vaughan [10] and Mu [7].

1. Introduction. The Waring problem of mixed type concerns the representation of a natural number N as the form

$$(1.1) N = x_1^{k_1} + \dots + x_s^{k_s}, \quad k_1 \le \dots \le k_s.$$

Little is known about results of this type. For references, we refer the reader to the bibliography in [13].

In principle, the Hardy-Littlewood method is applicable to problems of this type, but various difficulties not experienced in the pure Waring problem (1.1) with $k_1 = \cdots = k_s$ must be overcome. In particular, the choice of relevant parameters in the definitions of major and minor arcs tends to become complicated if a deeper representation problem (1.1) is under consideration.

Vaughan [9, 10] obtained the asymptotic formula for the number of representations of the equation

$$N = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^3 + y_2^4 + y_3^4 + y_4^4.$$

Received by the editors on February 4, 2016.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2017-47-6-1947

Copyright ©2017 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 11N36, 11P32.

Keywords and phrases. Waring-Goldbach problem, Hardy-Littlewood method, almost-prime, sieve theory.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant No. 11771333 and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province, grant No. 1208085QA01. The second author is the corresponding author.

Afterwards, motivated by [2, 3, 4], Mu [7] proved that, for every sufficiently large, even integer N satisfying specific congruence conditions, the equation

$$N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^k, \quad k = 4, 5,$$

is solvable with x an almost-prime P_r and the other variables primes, where r=6 for k=4 and r=9 for k=5.

In this paper, P_r denotes an almost-prime with at most r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity. We obtain the next refinements of the result of Mu [7].

Theorem 1.1. For every sufficiently large, even integer N with $N \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, the number of solutions of the equation

(1.2)
$$N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4,$$

with x a P_5 and the other variables primes, is

$$\gg N^{13/12} \log^{-6} N.$$

Theorem 1.2. For every sufficiently large, even integer N, the number of solutions of the equation

(1.3)
$$N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^5,$$

with x a P_8 and the other variables primes, is

$$\gg N^{31/30} \log^{-6} N$$
.

In this paper, we present a detailed proof of Theorem 1.1 only. By $Q_1 = N^{7/15+6\varepsilon}$, $Q_2 = N^{1/2}$ and $D = N^{1/40-7\varepsilon}$, Theorem 1.2 can be proved using a similar argument.

2. Notation and some preliminary lemmas. In this paper, $\varepsilon \in (0, 10^{-10})$ and N denotes a sufficiently large, even integer in terms of ε . The constants in O-term and \ll -symbol depend at most on ε . By $A \times B$, we mean that $A \ll B$ and $B \ll A$. The letter p, with or without subscript, is reserved for a prime number. We denote by (m,n) the greatest common divisor of m and n. As usual, $\varphi(n)$ and $\mu(n)$ denote Euler's function and the Mőbius function, respectively. By $\tau(n)$, we denote the divisor function, and, by a(n), we denote an

arithmetical function bounded above by $\tau(n)$. We use $e(\alpha)$ to denote $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ and $e_q(\alpha)=e(\alpha/q)$. We denote, by $\sum_{x(q)}$ and $\sum_{x(q)*}$, sums with x running over a complete system and a reduced system of residues modulo q, respectively. We always denote by χ a Dirichlet character (mod q) and by χ^0 the principal Dirichlet character (mod q). By $\sum_{\chi(q)}$, we denote a sum with χ running over the Dirichlet characters (mod q). Let $A=10^{10}$, $Q_0=\log^{20A}N$, $Q_1=N^{5/12+6\varepsilon}$, $Q_2=N^{1/2}$, $D=N^{1/16-7\varepsilon}$, $z=D^{1/3}$, $U_k=0.5N^{1/k}$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{r} = \{ m \mid U_{2} < m \leq 2U_{2}, \ m = p_{1} \cdots p_{r}, \ z \leq p_{1} \leq \cdots \leq p_{r} \},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{r} = \{ n \mid n = p_{1} \cdots p_{r-1}, \ z \leq p_{1} \leq \cdots \leq p_{r-1}, \ p_{1} \cdots p_{r-2}p_{r-1}^{2} \leq 2U_{2} \},$$

$$G_{k}(\chi, a) = \sum_{r(q)} \chi(r)e_{q}(ar^{k}),$$

$$S_{k}^{*}(q, a) = G_{k}(\chi^{0}, a), \qquad S_{k}(q, a) = \sum_{r(q)} e_{q}(ar^{k}),$$

$$B_{d}(q, N) = \sum_{a(q)^{*}} S_{2}(q, ad^{2})S_{2}^{*}(q, a)S_{3}^{*}(q, a)S_{4}^{*3}(q, a)e_{q}(-aN),$$

$$A_{d}(q, N) = \frac{B_{d}(q, N)}{q\varphi^{5}(q)}, \qquad A(q, N) = A_{1}(q, N),$$

$$\mathfrak{G}_{d}(N) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_{d}(q, N), \qquad \mathfrak{S}(N) = \mathfrak{S}_{1}(N),$$

$$f_{k}(\alpha) = \sum_{u_{k}
$$g_{r}(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{r}} e(\alpha(np)^{2}) \frac{\log p}{\log(U_{2}/n)},$$

$$F_{k}(\alpha) = \sum_{u_{k} < n \leq 2U_{k}} e(\alpha n^{k}),$$

$$u_{k}(\lambda) = \int_{U_{k}}^{2U_{k}} e(\lambda u^{k}) du,$$

$$\mathfrak{I}(N) = \int_{U_{k}}^{\infty} u_{2}^{2}(\lambda)u_{3}(\lambda)u_{4}^{3}(\lambda)e(-\lambda N) d\lambda.$$$$

Lemma 2.1 ([1]). Let $2 \le k_1 \le k_2 \le \cdots \le k_s$ be natural numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{i=j+1}^{s} \frac{1}{k_i} \le \frac{1}{k_j}, \quad 1 \le j \le s - 1.$$

Then, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \prod_{i=1}^s F_{k_i}(\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha \le N^{1/k_1 + \dots + 1/k_s + \varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 2.2. We have

(i)
$$\int_0^1 |F_2(\alpha)F_3(\alpha)F_4^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{5/3}$$
,

(ii)
$$\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha)f_3(\alpha)f_4^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{5/3} \log^8 N$$
.

Proof. This is [7, Lemma 3].

Lemma 2.3. For $\alpha = (a/q) + \beta$, let

(2.1)
$$W(\alpha) = \sum_{d < D} \frac{a(d)}{dq} S_2(q, ad^2) u_2(\beta),$$

(2.2)
$$\Delta_k(\alpha) = f_k(\alpha) - \frac{S_k^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{U_k < n \le 2U_k} e(\beta n^k),$$

(2.3)
$$\mathcal{I}(q,a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_0}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_0}\right].$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{q \le Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \\ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W^2(\alpha) \Delta_k^2(\alpha)| \, d\alpha \ll N^{2/k} \log^{-100A} N.$$

Proof. This is [7, Lemma 4].

Lemma 2.4. For $\alpha = (a/q) + \beta \in \mathcal{I}(q, a)$, let

(2.4)
$$U_k(\alpha) = \frac{S_k^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} u_k(\beta).$$

Then, we have

(2.5)
$$\sum_{q \le Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |U_k(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{2/k-1} \log^{21A} N,$$

(2.6)
$$\sum_{q \le Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \log^{21A} N,$$

where $W(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{I}(q,a)$ are defined by (2.1) and (2.3), respectively.

Proof. This is
$$[7, Lemma 5]$$
.

For $(a, q) = 1, 1 \le a \le q$, set

$$\mathfrak{M}_{0}(q,a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q_{0}}{N}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q_{0}}{N}\right], \qquad \mathfrak{M}_{0} = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_{0}^{5}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \ (a,q)=1}}^{q} \mathfrak{M}_{0}(q,a),$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(q,a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_2}\right], \quad \mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq Q_0^5 \\ (a,c) = 1}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,c) = 1}}^q \mathfrak{M}(q,a),$$

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{M}_{1}(q,a) &= \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qN^{7/12-6\varepsilon}}, \, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qN^{7/12-6\varepsilon}}\right], \\ \mathfrak{m}_{1} &= \bigcup_{\substack{Q_{0}^{5} < q \leq Q_{1} \\ (a,q) = 1}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q) = 1}}^{q} \mathfrak{M}_{1}(q,a), \quad \mathfrak{m} = \bigcup_{\substack{Q_{0}^{5} < q \leq Q_{1} \\ (a,q) = 1}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q) = 1}}^{q} \mathfrak{M}(q,a), \end{split}$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_0 = \left(\frac{1}{Q_2}, 1 + \frac{1}{Q_2}\right],$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_0, \qquad \mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}_1, \qquad \mathfrak{m}_3 = \mathfrak{J}_0 \setminus (\mathfrak{M} \mid \mathfrak{m}).$$

Then, we have the Farey dissection

(2.7)
$$\mathfrak{J}_0 = \mathfrak{M}_0 \bigcup \mathfrak{m}_0 \bigcup \mathfrak{m}_1 \bigcup \mathfrak{m}_2 \bigcup \mathfrak{m}_3.$$

Lemma 2.5. For $\alpha = (a/q) + \beta \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, we have

(i)
$$f_k(\alpha) = U_k(\alpha) + O(U_k \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)),$$

(ii)
$$g_r(\alpha) = (c_r U_2(\alpha))/(\log U_2) + O(U_2 \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)),$$

where $U_k(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.4), and

$$c_r = (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \int_{r-1}^{23} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{r-2}^{t_1-1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \dots \int_{3}^{t_{r-4}-1} \frac{dt_{r-3}}{t_{r-3}} \int_{2}^{t_{r-3}-1} \frac{\log(t_{r-2}-1) dt_{r-2}}{t_{r-2}}.$$

П

Proof. This is [7, Lemma 6].

Lemma 2.6. Let

$$h(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{m \le D^{2/3} \\ n \le D^{1/3}}} u(m)v(n) \sum_{U_2/mn < l \le 2U_2/mn} e(\alpha(mnl)^2),$$

where $|u(m)| \le 1, |v(n)| \le 1$. Then, for $\alpha = (a/q) + \beta$, (a,q) = 1, $q \le N^{1/2}$, $|\beta| \le 1/qN^{1/2}$, we have

$$h(\alpha) \ll \frac{N^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{q^{1/2}(1+N|\beta|)^{1/2}} + N^{(1/4)+\varepsilon}D^{2/3}.$$

Proof. This is
$$[4, (4.6)]$$
.

3. Mean value theorems. In this section, we prove two mean value theorems for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.1. Let

$$J_d(N) = \sum_{\substack{(dl)^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_2 < dl, \ p \le 2U_2 \\ U_3 < p_1 \le 2U_3 \\ U_4 < p_2, \ p_3, p_4 \le 2U_4} (\log p) (\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_4).$$

Then, for $|u(m)| \le 1$, $|v(n)| \le 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m \le D^{2/3} \\ n < D^{1/3}}} u(m)v(n) \left(J_{mn}(N) - \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn} \, \mathfrak{I}(N) \right) \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-A} N.$$

Proof. Let

$$K(\alpha) = h(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^3(\alpha) e(-\alpha N).$$

Then, by Farey dissection (2.7), we have

(3.1)

$$\sum_{\substack{m \le D^{2/3} \\ n \le D^{1/3}}} u(m)v(n)J_{mn}(N) = \int_{\mathfrak{J}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_0} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_3} \right) K(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

From Schwartz's inequality and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

(3.2)
$$\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha)f_3(\alpha)f_4^3(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha)f_4^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\left(\int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha)f_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha\right)^{1/2} \ll N^{(19/24)+\varepsilon}.$$

By (3.2), we obtain

(3.3)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_3} K(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll \max_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_3} |h(\alpha)| \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^3(\alpha)| \, d\alpha \right) \\ \ll N^{13/12 - \varepsilon},$$

where the bound $h(\alpha) \ll N^{7/24-2\varepsilon}$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_3$ is used, which follows from Lemma 2.6.

Similarly, applying Lemma 2.6 and (3.2) again, we obtain

(3.4)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_0} K(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll N^{13/12 - \varepsilon}.$$

Write

$$a(d) = \sum_{\substack{m \le D^{2/3} \\ n \le D^{1/3} \\ n \ge n - d}} u(m)v(n), \qquad h(\alpha) = \sum_{d \le D} a(d) \sum_{U_2/d \le l \le 2U_2/d} e(\alpha(dl)^2).$$

Then, from [11, Theorem 4.1], for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, we obtain

$$(3.5) \qquad h(\alpha) = W(\alpha) + O(DN^{5/24 + 4\varepsilon}) = W(\alpha) + O(N^{13/48 - 2\varepsilon}),$$

where $W(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.1). Let

$$K_1(\alpha) = W(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^3(\alpha) e(-\alpha N).$$

Then, by (3.2) and (3.5), we have

(3.6)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K_1(\alpha) d\alpha + O(N^{13/12 - \varepsilon}).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{I}_0(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{N^{37/48}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{N^{37/48}}\right],$$

$$\mathcal{I}_1(q, a) = \mathcal{I}(q, a) \setminus \mathcal{I}_0(q, a),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(q, a)$ is defined by (2.3). Then, we have

(3.7)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} K_{1}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \sum_{q \leq Q_{0}} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{1} \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{0}(q,a)} |K_{1}(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$+ \sum_{q \leq Q_{0}} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{1} \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{1}(q,a)} |K_{1}(\alpha)| d\alpha.$$

From (3.2), we have

(3.8)
$$\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_1(q,a)} |K_1(\alpha)| \, d\alpha$$

$$\ll N^{(7/24) - 2\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^3(\alpha)| \, d\alpha \ll N^{(13/12) - \varepsilon},$$

where the bound $W(\alpha) \ll N^{(7/24)-2\varepsilon}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_1(q,a)$ is used, which follows from [7, (3.6), (3.7)].

By [8, Lemma 4.8], we obtain

$$(3.9)$$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_{1} \cap \mathcal{I}_{0}(q,a)} |K_{1}(\alpha)| d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{1} \cap \mathcal{I}_{0}(q,a)} |W(\alpha)U_{4}(\alpha)f_{2}(\alpha)f_{3}(\alpha)f_{4}^{2}(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$+ \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{1} \cap \mathcal{I}_{0}(q,a)} |W(\alpha)\Delta_{4}(\alpha)f_{2}(\alpha)f_{3}(\alpha)f_{4}^{2}(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$+ \, O\bigg(\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \bigcap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} \lvert W(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^2(\alpha) \rvert \, d\alpha \bigg),$$

where $\Delta_4(\alpha)$ and $U_4(\alpha)$ are defined by (2.2) and (2.4), respectively.

From Schwartz's inequality and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain

$$\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_4(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^2(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$(3.10) \qquad \ll \left(\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\times \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha\right)^{1/2} \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-10A} N.$$

It follows from Schwartz's inequality and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 (i) that

$$\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \\ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha)U_4(\alpha)f_2(\alpha)f_3(\alpha)f_4^2(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$(3.11) \qquad \ll N^{1/2} \log^{-49A} N \left(\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \\ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |U_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\times \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha)f_3(\alpha)f_4^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-10A} N,$$

where the bound $W(\alpha) \ll N^{1/2} \log^{-49A} N$ is used for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, which follows from [7, (3.6), (3.7)].

By Schwartz's inequality and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 (ii), we have

$$\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \\ (a,q) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \bigcap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^2(\alpha)| \, d\alpha$$

$$\ll \left(\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \\ q \leq Q_0}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\times \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_3(\alpha) f_4^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-10A} N.$$

It follows from (3.9)-(3.12) that

(3.13)
$$\sum_{q \le Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a = -q \ (a, a) = 1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q, a)} K_1(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-10A} N.$$

From (3.6)–(3.8) and (3.13), we obtain

(3.14)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-10A} N.$$

By arguments similar to, but simpler than, those leading to (3.14), we obtain

(3.15)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_0} K(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-10A} N.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, let

(3.16)
$$K_0(\alpha) = W(\alpha)U_2(\alpha)U_3(\alpha)U_4^3(\alpha)e(-\alpha N).$$

Then, it follows from Lemma 2.5 and (3.5), which holds for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, that we have

(3.17)
$$K(\alpha) - K_0(\alpha) \ll N^{25/12} \exp(-\log^{1/4} N).$$

By (3.17), we obtain

(3.18)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K(\alpha) \, d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K_0(\alpha) \, d\alpha + O(N^{13/12} \log^{-A} N).$$

Now, the well-known standard endgame technique in the Hardy-Littlewood method establishes that (3.19)

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K_0(\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{\substack{m \le D^{2/3} \\ n \le D^{1/3}}} u(m) v(n) \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn} \, \mathfrak{I}(N) + O(N^{13/12} \log^{-A} N),$$

(3.20)
$$\Im(N) \approx N^{13/12}.$$

Now, upon combining (3.1), (3.3), (3.4), (3.14), (3.15), (3.18) and (3.19), the proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete.

By the same method, we have:

Proposition 3.2. For $6 \le r \le 23$, let

$$J_d^{(r)}(N) = \sum_{\substack{(dl)^2 + (np)^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_2 < dl, \, np \le 2U_2 \\ U_3 < p_1 \le 2U_3, \, n \in \mathcal{N}_r \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \le 2U_4}} (\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_4) \left(\frac{\log p}{\log(U_2/n)}\right).$$

Then, for $|u(m)| \le 1$, $|v(n)| \le 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m \le D^{2/3} \\ n < D^{1/3}}} u(m)v(n) \left(J_{mn}^{(r)}(N) - c_r \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn \log U_2} \, \mathfrak{I}(N) \right) \ll N^{13/12} \log^{-A} N,$$

where c_r is defined in Lemma 2.5.

4. On the function $\omega(d)$ **.** In this section, we investigate the function $\omega(d)$ which is defined in (4.1) and is required in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. The series $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ is convergent, and $\mathfrak{S}(N) > 0$.

Proof. This is
$$[7, Lemma 9]$$
.

In view of Lemma 4.1, for square-free natural number d, we define

(4.1)
$$\omega(d) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}_d(N)}{\mathfrak{S}(N)}.$$

Lemma 4.2. For every sufficiently large, even integer N with $N \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, the function $\omega(d)$ is multiplicative, and

$$0 \le \omega(p) < p, \quad \omega(p) = 1 + O(p^{-1}),$$

for each prime p.

Proof. This is
$$[7, Lemma 10]$$
.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this section, f(s) and F(s) denote the classical functions in linear sieve theory, and $\gamma = 0.577...$ denotes Euler's constant. It is well known that

$$f(s) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}\log(s-1)}{s}, \quad 2 \le s \le 4;$$

$$F(s) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s}, \quad 1 \le s \le 3.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we adopt the following notation:

$$\mathfrak{P} = \prod_{2$$

$$\log \mathbf{U} = (\log U_2)(\log U_3)(\log^3 U_4).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{N}(z) = \prod_{2$$

Then, by Lemma 4.2 and Merten's prime number theorem, we obtain

(5.1)
$$\mathfrak{N}(z) \asymp \frac{1}{\log N}.$$

Let R(N) denote the number of solutions of equation (1.2) with x a P_5 and the other variables primes. Then, we have

$$R(N) \geq \sum_{\substack{l^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_2 < l, \, p \leq 2U_2 \\ U_3 < p_1 \leq 2U_3 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P}) = 1 \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ \geq \sum_{\substack{l^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ U_3 < p_2 \leq 2U_3 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P}) = 1 \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ \geq \sum_{\substack{l^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_2 < l, \, p \leq 2U_2 \\ U_3 < p_1 \leq 2U_3 \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ \geq \sum_{\substack{l^2 + p^2 + p_3^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_2 < l, \, p \leq 2U_2 \\ U_3 < p_1 \leq 2U_2 \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ \leq 2U_3 \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ \leq 2U_3 \\ U_4 < p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_4 \\ \leq$$

$$= \mathcal{R}(N) - \sum_{r=6}^{23} \mathcal{R}_r(N).$$

Next, we shall give a non-trivial lower bound for R(N) by the linear sieve theory with the assistance of the bilinear error term in [5].

(1) The lower bound for $\mathcal{R}(N)$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}(l) = \sum_{\substack{l^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 = N \\ U_2
$$\mathcal{E}(d) = \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \le 2U_2 \\ l \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod } d)}} \mathcal{N}(l) - \frac{\omega(d)}{d} \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{I}(N).$$$$

Then, by [5, Theorem 1] (see also [6, Lemma 9.1]) and Proposition 3.1, we obtain

(5.3)
$$\mathcal{R}(N) \ge \frac{1}{\log 2\mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \le 2U_2 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P}) = 1}} \mathcal{N}(l)$$

$$\ge (1 + O(\log^{-1/3} D)) \frac{f(3)\mathfrak{S}(N)\mathfrak{I}(N)\mathfrak{N}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} + O(N^{13/12}\log^{-100} N).$$

(2) The upper bound for $\mathcal{R}_r(N)$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{r}(l) = \sum_{\substack{(np)^{2} + l^{2} + p_{3}^{1} + p_{2}^{4} + p_{3}^{4} + p_{4}^{4} = N \\ n \in \mathcal{N}_{r} \\ U_{3} < p_{1} \le 2U_{3} \\ U_{2} < np \le 2U_{2} \\ U_{4} < p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \le 2U_{4}}} (\log p_{1}) \cdots (\log p_{4}) \left(\frac{\log p}{\log U_{2}/n}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{r}(d) = \sum_{\substack{U_{2} < l \le 2U_{2} \\ l = 0 \text{ (pank } l)}} \mathcal{N}_{r}(l) - \frac{c_{r}\omega(d)}{d \log U_{2}} \mathfrak{S}(N)\mathfrak{I}(N),$$

where c_r is defined in Lemma 2.5. Then, by [5, Theorem 1] (see also,

[6, Lemma 9.1]) and Proposition 3.2, we have

(5.4)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_r(N) &\leq \frac{\log U_2}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \leq 2U_2 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P}) = 1}} \mathcal{N}_r(l) \\ &\leq (1 + O(\log^{-1/3} D)) \frac{F(3) c_r \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{I}(N) \mathfrak{N}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} + O(N^{13/12} \log^{-100} N). \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By numerical integration, we obtain

(5.5)

$$c_6 < 0.487$$
, $c_7 < 0.1134$, $c_8 < 0.02$, $c_r < 0.0024$ for $9 \le r \le 23$,
$$\sum_{r=0}^{23} c_r < 0.6564$$
, $\log 2 > 0.6931$.

From (5.1)–(5.5), we have

$$R(N) > 0.0367 \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{3} \frac{\mathfrak{S}(N)\mathfrak{I}(N)\mathfrak{N}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} + O(N^{13/12} \log^{-100} N) \gg \frac{N^{13/12}}{\log^6 N},$$

where (3.20) and Lemma 4.1 are employed. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

REFERENCES

- J. Brüdern, Sums of squares and higher powers, I, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 35 (1987), 233–243.
- 2. _____, Sieves, The circle method and Waring's problem for cubes, Math. Gottingen. 51 (1991), 1–73.
- 3. _____, A sieve approach to the Waring-Goldbach problem, I, Sums of four cubes, Ann. Sci. Ecole. Norm. 28 (1995), 461–476.
- 4. J. Brüdern and K. Kawada, Ternary problems in additive prime number theory, in Analytic number theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- 5. H. Iwaniec, A new form of the error term in the linear sieve, Acta Arith. 37 (1980), 307–320.
- 6. K. Kawada and T.D. Wooley, On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and fifth powers, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 83 (2001), 1–50.
- Q.W. Mu, A Waring-Goldbach type problem for mixed powers, J. Num. Theor. 145 (2014), 109–125.
- 8. E.C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.

- **9.** R.C. Vaughan, On the representation of numbers as sums of squares, cubes and fourth powers and on the representation of numbers as sums of powers of primes, Ph.D. dissertation, University of London, 1969.
 - 10. _____, On sums of mixed powers, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 677–688.
- 11. _____, The Hardy-Littlewood method, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

SHANGHAI OCEAN UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI, 201306 P.R. CHINA

Email address: yj-li@shou.edu.cn

Tongji University, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai, 200092 P.R. China

Email address: yingchuncai@mail.tongji.edu.cn