

GERBES, 2-GERBES AND SYMPLECTIC FIBRATIONS

TSEMO ARISTIDE

ABSTRACT. Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic bundle whose typical fiber is the symplectic manifold (F, ω) . McDuff has defined a subgroup $\text{Ham}^s(F, \omega)$ of the group of symplectic automorphisms of (F, ω) and has shown that the cohomology class $[\omega]$ extends to P if and only if p has a $\text{Ham}^s(F, \omega)$ reduction. The purpose of this paper is to interpret the result of McDuff using gerbe theory. We define fundamental gerbes in symplectic geometry which allows us to define a 2-gerbe which represents the geometric obstruction to lift ω to P . Using these gerbes, we define a geometric quantization of symplectic manifolds.

1. Introduction. A *symplectic fibration* $P \rightarrow N$ is a differentiable fibration whose typical fiber is the closed connected symplectic manifold (F, ω) , and such that there exists a trivialization (U_i, g_{ij}) , such that $g_{ij}(u)$ is a symplectic automorphism of the fiber over u , endowed with a symplectic structure ω_u , symplectomorphic to (F, ω) . We suppose that the cohomology class $[\omega_u]$ of ω_u is fixed. The theory of symplectic bundles has been studied by different authors, see [8, 9, 12, 16]. One purpose of the paper [16] is to determine whether the structural group of the symplectic bundle can be reduced to the Hamiltonian group of (F, ω) , that is, whether there exists a symplectic bundle $P' \rightarrow N$ isomorphic to P , whose coordinate changes $g'_{ij}(u)$ are Hamiltonian automorphisms of the fiber above u ; such a reduction will be called a *Hamiltonian structure*, or a *Ham-reduction*. In [16], it is shown that the existence of such Hamiltonian reductions on a finite cover of N is equivalent to the following two conditions:

(i) There exists a closed 2-form Ω defined on P whose cohomology class $[\Omega]$ extends $[\omega]$. This means that the restriction to the fiber above u of the cohomology class $[\Omega]$ is the cohomology class $[\omega]$. Following McDuff, we will call the form Ω a *closed connection form*.

(ii) Let $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ be the connected component of the group of symplectomorphisms $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$, of (F, ω) . The symplectic bundle is

Received by the editors on September 8, 2005, and in revised form on October 5, 2005.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2008-38-3-727 Copyright ©2008 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

isomorphic to a symplectic bundle whose coordinate changes take their values in $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$.

In [16] it was necessary to impose condition (ii) because the Hamiltonian subgroup is connected. In [16], McDuff has defined a disconnected subgroup Ham^s of the group $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$, and has shown that the existence of a Ham^s -reduction of a symplectic bundle is equivalent to the existence of a closed connection form.

One purpose of this paper is to study the problem of the existence of Hamiltonian and Ham^s -reductions of a symplectic bundle using gerbes, and 2-gerbes. The theory of gerbes has been defined by Giraud [6] with the purpose of giving geometric interpretations of cohomology classes. These classes represent the obstruction to globally extending locally defined bundles, as is the case for Hamiltonian bundles. Breen [2] has also defined a theory of 2-gerbes. A 2-gerbe represents geometrically the obstruction for a 2-geometric type structure to be defined globally. This theory will be also involved here. For $n \geq 2$, such a geometric obstruction theory has been defined by Tsemo [20].

Let ω be a 2-closed form defined on the manifold F , and let T^1 be the circle. It has been shown by Kostant and Weil that the cohomology class $[\omega]$ of ω is integral, if and only if $[\omega]$ is the Chern class of a T^1 -bundle. When the class is not integral, we define a flat gerbe $C'(\omega)$ bounded by the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions defined on F which represents the obstruction of $[\omega]$ to zero. We can construct from this gerbe, another gerbe $C(\omega)$ bounded by the sheaf of locally constant T^1 -functions defined on F , which represents the obstruction of $[\omega]$ to integral (see 2.4). These gerbes are used to study the extension of $[\omega]$. We have:

Theorem 2.5.4. *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic bundle whose typical fiber is (F, ω) . There exists a gerbe $C_F^1(\omega)$ whose classifying cocycle $c_F^1(\omega)$ represents the obstruction of the symplectic bundle p to a Hamiltonian reduction.*

To show an analogous theorem for Ham^s -reductions, one has to show first, as in [12], that the automorphisms group of a Ham^s -reduction of a symplectic bundle is independent of the chosen Ham^s -reduction, in

order to define the band of the classifying gerbe. We prove also the following result:

Theorem 8.2, 8.2.2. *There exists a 2-gerbe $C_F^2(\omega)$ whose classifying cocycle $c_F^2(\omega)$ represents the obstruction of the class $[\omega]$ to be extended to P . The class $[c_F^2(\omega)]$ can be deduced from $[c_F^1(\omega)]$ as follows: Let L_1 and L_0 be the respective bands of $C_F^1(\omega)$ and $C_F^2(\omega)$. There exists an exact sequence of sheaves $1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow L'_1 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow 1$, such that the class $[c_F^2(\omega)]$ is the image of the class $[c_F^1(\omega)]$ by the connecting morphism $H^2(N, L_1) \rightarrow H^3(N, L_0)$ of the last exact sequence. This shows that the existence of a Hamiltonian reduction implies that the form ω can be extended to P .*

In [16], McDuff defines a discrete subgroup $H^1(F, P_\omega)$ of $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})$ and a class in $H^2(N, H^1(F, P_\omega))$ which is the obstruction to have a Ham^s -reduction, that is, to obtain a closed connection form. We show that this last class and $[c_F^2(\omega)]$ are the image of the Chern class of an $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ -principal bundle by connecting morphisms related to exact sequences of sheaves, see subsection 8.3.

The holonomy of a connective structure defined on a gerbe is an analogue of the holonomy of a connection. It is used to represent the action in string theory. We relate the holonomy of the gerbe $C(\omega)$ to the flux, see Section 4.

We generalize the methods applied here to solve other geometric problems, as for example to find an H -reduction of a G -bundle such that G/H is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space. For this problem, we define also a gerbe C_H which represents the geometric obstruction to solve it: More precisely we have:

Theorem 2.6.3. *Let $f : P \rightarrow N$ be a G -bundle defined on N , and let H be a subgroup of G such that the right quotient of G by H , G/H is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space. Suppose that:*

(i) *either the coordinate changes take their values in $\text{Nor}(H)$, the normalizer of H in G . This condition is satisfied for symplectic bundles whose coordinate changes take their values in the connected component $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ of the group of symplectic automorphisms $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$.*

We consider G to be $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$, and H to be $\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$ the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, or

(ii) H intersects every connected component of G , and there exists a commutative group L , a continuous and surjective cocycle $F : G \rightarrow L$, for a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow L$, such that $\rho(G_0)$ the image of the connected component G_0 of G is the identity of L , and the kernel of F is H . Here L is a quotient of a vector space by a discrete subgroup. This condition is satisfied if H is the subgroup Ham^s , and G is $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$.

Then there exists a gerbe C_H , whose classifying cocycle represents the obstruction to reduce G to H .

When the gerbe C_H is defined by a cocycle F , (ii) the classifying cocycle of this gerbe is the Chern class of a $G/H = L$ -bundle.

Analogues of the gerbe which appear in the last theorem can be constructed in more abstract situations: we generalize this construction to the case of topoi (elementary topoi). This will perhaps suggest applications to algebraic geometry and arithmetic.

The fact that the pull-back of an $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ -bundle endowed with a closed connection form to a finite cover of the base space has Hamiltonian reductions, suggests that the natural category for the study of Hamiltonian reductions is the étale topos of the base, see Section 3.

The last part of the paper is devoted to geometric quantization. We give an extension of the Kostant-Souriau quantization whenever the class $[\omega]$ is not supposed to be integral, using the gerbe $C(\omega)$. In particular we obtain the following:

Theorem. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, and let $(C^\infty(M), \{, \})$ be the Poisson algebra of (M, ω) . There exists a pre-Hilbert space H , and a representation $(C^\infty(M), \{, \}) \rightarrow (\text{Aut}(H), [\cdot]) where $(\text{Aut}(H), [\cdot]) is the algebra of operators of H endowed with the commutator bracket.$$*

The contents are as follows: Section 2 is on Gerbes theory, Section 3 is on the group Ham^s and the étale topos of a manifold, Section 4 is on flux and holonomy of gerbes, the classifying cocycle, Section 5 is a geometric interpretation of a section $H_1(F, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow SH_1(F, \mathbf{R})$, Section 6

is on existence of symplectic bundles and gerbes, Section 7 discusses 2-gerbes and 2-gerbed towers, Section 8 is the general case and Section 9 is on quantization of the symplectic gerbe.

2. Gerbes theory. The notion of gerbe has been defined by Giraud [6] to give a geometric interpretation of 2-Cech cohomology classes and to find obstructions to solve gluing problems. The basic example of a gerbe is defined as follows: consider a G -principal bundle defined on the manifold N , and $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ a central extension. The geometric obstruction to the existence of a G' -principal bundle over N , whose quotient by H is the original G -bundle is defined by the classifying cocycle of a gerbe. Gerbe theory also has a lot of applications in algebraic geometry. In theoretical physics, a notion of holonomy of gerbe allows us to represent geometrically the action in string theory. In this part, we summarize the results of gerbe theory used here. We prefer the point of view of sheaf of categories rather to the one of descent.

Definition 2.1. Let N be a category. A *sieve* T is a subclass of objects of N , such that if u is an element of T , and $v \rightarrow u$ an arrow of N , then v is an element of T .

Recall that the category N_u is the category whose objects are objects v of N such that there exists an arrow $h_v : v \rightarrow u$, a morphism between two objects v and v' of N_u is an arrow $h : v \rightarrow v'$ such that $h_{v'} \circ h = h_v$.

A *topology on the category N* is defined as follows: for each object u of N , there is a family of sieves $J(u)$ of N_u , such that:

(i) If $h : v \rightarrow u$ is an arrow, and T an element of $J(u)$, then $T^h = \{v' \in \text{Ob}(N) : v' \in T, \text{ there exists } h' : v' \rightarrow v\}$ is an element of $J(v)$.

(ii) Suppose that T is a sieve of the sub-category N_u above u , if for each map $h : v \rightarrow u$, T^h is an element of $J(v)$, then T is an element of $J(u)$.

For example, one can define a topology J on the category $\text{Top}(N)$, whose objects are open sets of a topological manifold N , and morphisms are canonical inclusions as follows: For each open set U of N , an element of $J(U)$ is a sieve of the category above U , which contains a family of open subsets of $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U whose union is U .

We will suppose in the sequel that our category is a topos; readers unfamiliar with this notion can make the stronger assumptions that the category is stable by finite sums, and products, and that final and initial objects exist, and the limits exist and are universal.

We will also suppose that the topology is generated by a covering family $(u_i)_{i \in I}$, where u_i is an object of N . This means that: for each object u , there exists a subset I_u contained in I , such that for each $i \in I_u$, there exists a map $u_i \rightarrow u$ of N , the subcategory $u_{(u_i)_{i \in I_u}}$ whose objects are objects v of N such that there exists a map $v \rightarrow u_i$, $i \in I_u$ is an element of $J(u)$. A generating family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of a topological space N generates the topology of the category $\text{Top}(N)$.

Definition 2.2. Let (N, J) be a category N endowed with a topology J . A *sheaf of categories* defined on (N, J) is a correspondence C :

$$U \longrightarrow C(U)$$

where $C(U)$ is a category, and U an object of N , which verifies the following properties:

(i) For each map $U \rightarrow V$, there exists a restriction map $r_{U,V} : C(V) \rightarrow C(U)$ such that

$$r_{U_1, U_2} \circ r_{U_2, U_3} = r_{U_1, U_3}.$$

In fact, while the previous equality is verified in many examples, only an isomorphism between $r_{U_1, U_2} \circ r_{U_2, U_3}$ and r_{U_1, U_3} is needed. The last relation defined the notion of *presheaf of categories*.

The following properties need to be verified to complete the notion of sheaf of categories.

(ii) **Gluing properties for objects.** Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a covering family of the object U of N , and let e_i be an object of $C(U_i)$. We denote abusively by N the final object of N . Suppose there are morphisms

$$g_{ij} : r_{U_i \times_U U_j, U_j}(e_j) \longrightarrow r_{U_i \times_U U_j, U_i}(e_i)$$

such that on $U_{i_1} \times_N U_{i_2} \times_N U_{i_3}$, the restrictions of the morphisms $g_{i_1 i_2} g_{i_2 i_3}$, and $g_{i_1 i_3}$ between the respective restrictions of e_{i_3} and e_{i_1} to

$U_{i_1} \times_N U_{i_2} \times_N U_{i_3}$ are equal. Then there exists an object e_U of U such that $r_{U_i, U}(e_U) = e_i$.

(iii) **Gluing conditions for maps.** For each of the objects e, e' of $C(U)$, the correspondence defined on the category above U by

$$V \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(r_{U, V}(e), r_{U, V}(e'))$$

is a sheaf of sets.

A correspondence C which satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iii) is a sheaf of categories. A *gerbe* is a sheaf of categories which satisfies the following conditions:

(iv) There exists a covering family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of N such that $C(U_i)$ is not empty for each i ,

(v) **Local connectivity.** For each object U of N , there exists a covering family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U such that, for each pair of elements e and e' of $C(U)$, $r_{U_i, U}(e)$ and $r_{U_i, U}(e')$ are isomorphic.

(vi) There exists a sheaf L on N such that, for each object e_U of $C(U)$, $\text{Hom}(e_U, e_U) = L(U)$, and this identification commutes with restrictions and arrows. The sheaf L is called the *band* of the gerbe C , or we say that the gerbe C is *bounded* by L •.

The classifying cocycle of a gerbe. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a covering family of N such that, for each i , $C(U_i)$ is not empty, and e_i is an object of $C(U_i)$. Choose maps $g_{ij} : r_{U_i \times_N U_j, U_j}(e_j) \rightarrow r_{U_i \times_N U_j, U_i}(e_i)$ for all i, j . Denote by $g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3}$ the restriction of $g_{i_1 i_2}$ between the restrictions of e_{i_2} and e_{i_1} to $U_{i_1} \times_N U_{i_2} \times_N U_{i_3}$. Then the map

$$c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} g_{i_3 i_1}^{i_2}$$

is an automorphism of $r_{U_{i_1} \times_N U_{i_2} \times_N U_{i_3}, U_{i_1}}(e_1)$ which may be thought of as an element of $L(U_{i_1} \times_N U_{i_2} \times_N U_{i_3})$. The assignment $U_{i_1} \times_N U_{i_2} \times_N U_{i_3} \rightarrow c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ is called the classifying cocycle of the gerbe. If the band is commutative, it is a Čech-cocycle in the classical sense. It has been shown by Giraud [6] that the isomorphism classes of gerbes bounded by the sheaf L is one to one with the Čech cohomology group $H^2(N, L)$, when L is commutative. If the band is not commutative $H^2(N, L)$ is defined to be set the of equivalence classes of gerbes bounded by L . The

trivial gerbe is a gerbe such that $C(N)$ is not empty. The elements of $C(N)$ are called global sections. They are one-to-one with $H^1(N, L)$ when the gerbe is trivial.

2.2 Notations. Let U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_p} be objects of a topos N , and let C be a presheaf defined on N . We will denote by U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} the fiber product of U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_p} on the final object. If e_{i_1} is an object of $C(U_{i_1})$, $e_{i_1}^{i_2 \dots i_p}$ will be the restriction of e_{i_1} to $U_{i_1 \dots i_p}$. For a map $h : e \rightarrow e'$ between two objects of $C(U_{i_1 \dots i_p})$, we denote by $h^{i_{p+1} \dots i_n}$ the restriction of h to a morphism between $e^{i_{p+1} \dots i_n} \rightarrow e'^{i_{p+1} \dots i_n}$.

Now we provide details on the classic example of sheaf of categories given at the beginning. Consider an extension:

$$1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

such that H is a central group in G' , and the map $G' \rightarrow G$ has local sections. Supposed a G -principal bundle p_G is defined over N . The obstruction of the existence of a G' -principal bundle over N , whose quotient by H is p_G , is the cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of the following gerbe C_H defined on the categories of open subsets of N as follows: for each open subset U of N , we define $C_H(U)$ to be the category whose objects are principal G' -bundles over U whose quotient by H is the restriction of p_G to U . To make explicit the classifying cocycle c_H of this gerbe, consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of N , which trivializes the bundle p_G . We denote by $g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$ the transition functions. Since the projection $G' \rightarrow G$ has local sections, we can suppose that we can lift each map g_{ij} to a map $\hat{g}_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G'$. The classifying cocycle of C_H is defined by:

$$c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \hat{g}_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} \hat{g}_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} \hat{g}_{i_3 i_1}^{i_2}.$$

This situation applies to the case where H is $\mathbf{Z}/2$, G' the spin group, and G the orthogonal group $O(n)$. The $O(n)$ -bundle is the orthogonal reduction of the bundle of linear frames of the n -dimensional manifold N , defined by a Riemannian metric. The gerbe represents the geometric obstruction of the existence of a spin structure on N . The cocycle in this case is the second Stiefel-Whitney class.

2.3 Connective structures on gerbes. The notion of a connective structure on a gerbe has been defined by Deligne, see [3]. It is analogous to the notion of a connection on a principal bundle.

Definition 2.3.1. Consider a gerbe C defined on a manifold whose band is L . A *connective structure* on C is a correspondence which associates to each object e_U of $C(U)$ a torsor $Co(e_U)$, called the torsor of connections, that is, an affine space whose underlying vector space is a subset of the set of 1-forms defined on U . The following properties are supposed to be satisfied by this assignment:

(i) The correspondence $e_U \rightarrow Co(e_U)$ is functorial with respect to restrictions to smaller subsets.

(ii) For every isomorphism $h : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ between objects of $C(U)$, there exists an isomorphism of torsors $h^* : Co(e_U) \rightarrow Co(e'_U)$ compatible with the composition of morphisms of $C(U)$, and the restrictions to smaller subsets.

Suppose now that the band of the gerbe is a T^1 -sheaf, where T^1 is the circle. Then, for each morphism g of the object e_U of C , and ∇_{e_U} a connection of $Co(e_U)$,

$$g^* \nabla_{e_U} = \nabla_{e_U} + g^{-1} dg.$$

A *curving* of a connective structure Co is an assignment to each object e_U , and each element ∇ of $Co(e_U)$, a 2-form $D(e_U, \nabla)$ defined on U such that for each morphism $h : e'_U \rightarrow e_U$, $D(e_U, \nabla) = D(e'_U, h^* \nabla)$.

If α is a 1-form on U such that $\nabla + \alpha$ is an element of $Co(e_U)$, then

$$D(e_U, \nabla + \alpha) = D(e_U, \nabla) + d\alpha.$$

The assignment $e_U \rightarrow D(e_U, \nabla)$ is compatible with restrictions to smaller subsets.

The *curvature of the curving* is the form whose restriction to each open subset such that $C(U)$ is not empty is $dD(e_U, \nabla)$, where e_U is an object of $C(U)$, and ∇ an element of $Co(e_U)$. \square

2.4 The gerbe associated to a closed 2-form. Let (N, ω) be a manifold N , endowed with a closed 2-form ω ; (N, ω) is often called

a *Dirac manifold*. There exists a Čech-Weil isomorphism between the De Rham cohomology groups of N and the Čech-cohomology groups of the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions defined on N . Thus, using the theorem of Giraud [6], we deduce that the cohomology class $[\omega]$ of ω classifies a gerbe $C'(\omega)$ defined on N and bounded by the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions.

In this part, we present the construction of the classifying cocycle of this gerbe. This is in fact the classic explanation of the Čech-Weil isomorphism. This gerbe is the fundamental gerbe used to define many of the geometric obstructions involved in this paper.

Let N be a manifold, ω a closed 2-form defined on N , and $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ a cover of N by contractible open subsets. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $U_i \cap U_j$ is connected. The Poincaré lemma implies the existence of a family of 1-forms $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ such that

$$d(\alpha_i) = \omega|_{U_i},$$

where $\omega|_{U_i}$ is the restriction of ω to U_i . Let α_j^i and α_i^j be the respective restrictions of α_j and α_i to $U_i \cap U_j$. Denote by α_{ij} , the form $\alpha_j^i - \alpha_i^j$ on $U_i \cap U_j$. The form α_{ij} is closed. By applying the Poincaré lemma to α_{ij} , we obtain a family of real-valued functions u_{ij} defined on $U_i \cap U_j$ such that

$$d(u_{ij}) = \alpha_{ij}.$$

On $U_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$, the differential of $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = u_{i_2 i_3} - u_{i_1 i_3} + u_{i_1 i_2}$ is zero. This implies that it is a constant map. The family of functions $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ is a 2-Čech cocycle of the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions.

If $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \in \mathbf{Z}$, the functions $h_{ij} = \exp(2i\pi u_{ij})$ defines a line bundle over N . This bundle is the well known Kostant-Weil construction. In this case, the cohomology class $[\omega]$ of ω is an element of $H^2(N, \mathbf{Z})$.

Suppose that $[\omega]$ is not necessarily an element of $H^2(N, \mathbf{Z})$. Using Giraud's theorem concerning the classification of gerbes, we can associate to ω a gerbe $C'(\omega)$ bounded by the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions, whose classifying cohomology class is the image of $[\omega]$ by the De Rham Čech isomorphism. This gerbe represents the obstruction of the class $[\omega]$ to be zero. The objects of $C'(\omega)(U)$ when it is not empty, can be represented by flat \mathbf{R} -bundles by using the reconstruction theorem of Giraud presented in Brylinski [3]. We denote by c'_ω the classifying cocycle of $C'(\omega)$.

The following proposition describes a gerbe bounded by T^1 which will play a fundamental role in this paper.

Proposition 2.4.1. *Let U be an open subset of N , and denote by $C(\omega)(U)$ the category whose objects are circle bundles over U , endowed with a connection whose curvature is $\omega|_U$ the restriction of ω to U . We will denote by (e_U, ∇_{e_U}) an object of $C(\omega)(U)$; e_U represents a T^1 -bundle and ∇_{e_U} the connection on e_U whose curvature is the restriction of ω to U . The set of morphisms between two objects (e_U, ∇_{e_U}) , and $(e'_U, \nabla_{e'_U})$ is the set of morphisms of differential bundles over the identity $f : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ such that $f^*(\nabla_{e'_U}) = \nabla_{e_U}$. The correspondence $U \rightarrow C(\omega)(U)$ is a gerbe bounded by the sheaf of locally constant T^1 -valued functions. The class of its classifying cocycle is the obstruction of $[\omega]$ to be integral.*

Proof. First, we show that $C(\omega)$ is a sheaf of categories.

Gluing conditions for objects. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of an open set U of N , (e_i, ∇_{e_i}) an object of $C(\omega)(U_i)$ and $g_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ a morphism such that, on $U_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$, $g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = g_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. Since the elements of the family $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ are bundles, we deduce that there exists a bundle e over U whose restriction to U_i is e_i . The bundle e is endowed with a connection whose curvature is the restriction of ω to U since the restriction of this curvature to U_i is the restriction of ω to U_i .

Gluing conditions for arrows. Let e, e' be a pair of elements of $C(\omega)(U)$, the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(r_{U,V}(e), r_{U,V}(e'))$ is a sheaf of sets, since it is the sheaf of morphisms between two bundles.

It remains to be verified that the sheaf of categories is a gerbe.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of N by contractible open subsets. For each pair of objects (e, ∇_e) and $(e', \nabla_{e'})$ of $C(\omega)(U)$ we have to show that these objects are locally isomorphic.

To show this, consider two objects (e_i, ∇_{e_i}) and $(e'_i, \nabla_{e'_i})$ of $C(\omega)(U_i)$. The bundle e_i and e'_i are isomorphic to the trivial bundle $U_i \times T^1$. Let d be the differential, $\nabla_{e_i} = d + \alpha_i$, and $\nabla_{e'_i} = d + \alpha'_i$. For each section $u : U_i \rightarrow i\mathbf{R}$ of the Lie algebra bundle associated to this bundle, and each automorphism g defined by a differentiable map $U_i \rightarrow T^1$, we

have:

$$(1) \quad g^*(d + \alpha_i)(u) = g^{-1}(d + \alpha_i)(gu) = (g^{-1}dg + d + \alpha_i)(u).$$

Since the connections ∇_{e_i} and $\nabla_{e'_i}$ have the same curvature, there exists a function v_i such that $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i + dv_i$. We can suppose (by shrinking U_i if needed) that the logarithm is defined on U_i , thus $g^{-1}dg = d \log(g)$. If we take $g = \exp(v_i)$, where $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i + dv_i$, then $g^*(d + \alpha_i) = d + \alpha'_i$. We obtain that the respective restrictions (e_i, ∇_{e_i}) and $(e'_i, \nabla_{e'_i})$ of (e, ∇_e) and $(e', \nabla_{e'})$ to U_i are isomorphic.

The automorphism group of the object (e, ∇_e) of $C(\omega)(U)$ is the group of gauge transformations which preserve the connection ∇_e . These gauge transformations are necessarily constant maps, as is shown by (1).

Now we have to interpret geometrically the vanishing of the cohomology class $[c_\omega]$, of the classifying cocycle c_ω of $C(\omega)$. The theorem of Giraud [6] implies that this is equivalent to the existence of a global object of the gerbe, that is, a T^1 -bundle over N whose curvature is ω . The Kostant-Weil construction implies that this is equivalent to the fact that the class $[\omega]$ of ω is integral. \square

Now we establish the relation between the gerbes $C'(\omega)$ and $C(\omega)$.

Proposition 2.4.2. *Consider the exact sequence of sheaves of locally constant functions:*

$$(2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow T^1 \longrightarrow 1$$

where the map $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the canonical injection, and $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow T^1$ is the exponential map of the Lie group T^1 , that is, the composition of the multiplication by $2\pi i$ and the usual exponential. We obtain the following exact sequence in cohomology:

$$H^1(N, T^1) \longrightarrow H^2(N, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(N, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow H^2(N, T^1) \cdots$$

The class $[c_\omega]$ is the image of the class $[c'_\omega]$, by the map $H^2(N, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^2(N, T^1)$ of this sequence.

Proof. Consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of N , such that for each i , U_i is contractible and $U_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ is connected (using a theorem of Weil, we can suppose $U_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ to be connected). Let $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ be the classifying cocycle of the gerbe C'_ω . The image of $[c'_\omega]$ by the map $H^2(N, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^2(N, T^1)$ is represented by the cocycle $\exp(2i\pi c_{i_1 i_2 i_3})$. Recall that to construct the cocycle $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ we have considered the restriction $\omega|_{U_i}$ of ω to U_i . There exists a form α_i such that $d\alpha_i = \omega|_{U_i}$. We can define the object $e_i = (U_i \times T^1, d + \alpha_i)$ of $C(\omega)(U_i)$. Let α_j^i be the restriction of α_j to U_{ij} ; then there exists a function u_{ij} such that $d(u_{ij}) = \alpha_j^i - \alpha_i^j$. The functions $\exp(2i\pi u_{ij})$ defines a morphism between e_j^i and e_i^j (see the proof of 2.4.1). The classifying cocycle of $C'(\omega)$ is $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = u_{i_2 i_3} - u_{i_1 i_3} + u_{i_1 i_2}$, and the classifying cocycle of $C(\omega)$ is $\exp(2i\pi u_{i_2 i_3}) \exp(-2i\pi u_{i_1 i_3}) \exp(2i\pi u_{i_1 i_2}) = \exp(2i\pi c_{i_1 i_2 i_3})$.

Now, we are going to endow the gerbe $C(\omega)$ with a connective structure.

Proposition 2.4.3. *For each open set U of N , and the object e_U of $C(\omega)(U)$, the set $Co(\omega)(e_U)$ of connections defined on e_U whose curvature is the restriction of ω to U defines a connective structure on $C(\omega)$. The restriction $\omega|_U$ of ω to U , is the curving of each object e_U of $C(\omega)(U)$. The curvature of this curving is zero.*

Proof. Let α and α' be two elements of $Co(e_U)$, and $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ a contractible open cover of U . It is a well-known fact that there exists a 1-form v such that $\alpha' = \alpha + v$. The restriction of e_U to U_i is diffeomorphic to the trivial T^1 -bundle. This implies that, under this identification, the respective restrictions α_i and α'_i of the connections α and α' to U_i , have the form $d + u_i$, and $d + u_i + v|_{U_i}$ where u_i is a 1-form defined on U_i , and $v|_{U_i}$ is the restriction of v to U_i . The respective curvatures of $d + u_i$ and $d + u_i + v|_{U_i}$ are the 2-forms du_i and $d(u_i + v)$. Since they coincide with the restriction of ω to U_i , we deduce that $dv = 0$; thus, $Co(\omega)(e_U)$ is an affine space whose underlying vector space is the vector space of closed 1-forms. We deduce that it is a torsor.

The fact that, for each automorphism g of e_U , $g^* \nabla_{e_U} = \nabla_{e_U} + g^{-1} dg$ results from the fact that ∇_{e_U} is a connection.

For each map $h : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$, we define the map $h^* : Co(\omega)(e_U) \rightarrow Co(\omega)(e'_U)$, to be the pull-back of connections by h^{-1} . This implies that h^* behave naturally in respect to restrictions to smaller subsets and compositions.

Let ∇_{e_U} be an element of $Co(e_U)$, the curvature of ∇_{e_U} is the restriction of ω to U , $\omega|_U$. It is also the curvature of $h^{-1*}(\nabla_{e'_U})$. This can be shown using (1). This implies that ω defines a curving for this connective structure. The fact that the curvature of this connective structure is zero follows from the fact that the form ω is closed. \square

At the end of this paper, we will present a quantization of symplectic manifolds using the gerbe $C(\omega)$. This gerbe thus appears to be fundamental in symplectic geometry.

2.5 Symplectic fibrations and gerbes. Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic fibration, whose fiber F is the closed symplectic manifold (F, ω) . We study the following problem: extend $[\omega]$ to a class $[\Omega]$ defined on P , that is, find a cohomology class $[\Omega] \in H^2(P, \mathbf{R})$ such that for every $u \in N$, consider the canonical embedding $i_u : F \rightarrow F_u \rightarrow P$, $i_u^*([\Omega]) = [\omega]$. A result of Thurston [18] implies that in this situation there exists a form Ω such that $i_u^*\Omega = \omega_u$ for all $u \in N$.

To use the theory of gerbes, we must suppose that the class $[\omega]$ of the symplectic form ω is integral. Thus, it is the Chern class of a circle bundle h_F over F . In the general case, we will use the gerbe $C'(\omega)$ to define a 2-gerbe which represents the geometric obstruction of the class $[\omega]$ to be lifted to P . We have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.5.1. *Suppose that $[\omega]$ is integral, and consider for each open set U of N the category $C_F(\omega)(p^{-1}(U))$ of circle bundles over $p^{-1}(U)$ whose Chern class is $[\Omega_U]$, an element of $H^2(p^{-1}(U), \mathbf{R})$ which extends $[\omega]$. The correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of P by $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow C_F(p^{-1}(U))$, defines a gerbe on P , where P is endowed with the topology structure generated by $p^{-1}(U)$, where U is an open subset of N , and its differential structure is modeled on $\mathbf{R}^n \times F$, where n is the dimension of N . The cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of this gerbe is the obstruction to extend $[\omega]$.*

Proof. Gluing conditions for objects. Recall that for each object e_U and e'_U of $C_F(\omega)(U)$, $\text{Hom}(e_U, e'_U)$ are morphisms of circle bundles which project to the identity. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of the open set U of N by open subsets, e_i an object of $C_F(\omega)(p^{-1}(U_i))$, and $u_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ a morphism which verifies $u_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} u_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = u_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. Then there exists a bundle e_U on $p^{-1}(U)$ whose restriction to each U_i is e_i . This is deduced from the classical definition of a T^1 -bundle e_U over $p^{-1}(U)$. Consider a 2-closed form Ω which represents the Chern class of e_U , since the restriction of e_U to $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is e_i , its Chern class which is the restriction of the class $[\Omega]$ of Ω to $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is the Chern class of e_i . This implies that $[\Omega]$ extends to $p^{-1}(U)$ the class $[\omega]$.

Gluing condition for arrows. The correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(e_U|_V, e'_U|_V)$ defines a sheaf on this category, since it is a sheaf of morphisms between two bundles.

This shows that $C_F(\omega)$ is a sheaf of categories. It remains to prove that it is a gerbe.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a cover of N by contractible open subsets, $p^{-1}(U_i) = U_i \times F$. This implies that $H^*(p^{-1}(U_i)) = H^*(F)$; thus, there exists a class $[\Omega_i]$ on $p^{-1}(U_i)$ which extends $[\omega]$, and which is integral. Thus, $C_F(\omega)(p^{-1}(U_i))$ is not empty.

We deduce from (1) that the group of automorphisms of the objects of $C_F(\omega)(p^{-1}(U))$ are sections of the sheaf circle valued functions defined on $p^{-1}(U)$.

Connectivity. Let e_U and e'_U be a pair of objects of $C_F(\omega)(U)$. Denote respectively by e_i and e'_i the respective restrictions of e_U and e'_U to $p^{-1}(U_i)$, where $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of U by contractible open subsets. Since U_i is contractible, the Chern class of the differentiable bundle e_i and e'_i are mapped to $[\omega]$ by the isomorphism $H^2(U_i \times F, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbf{R})$. This implies that they are isomorphic since they have the same Chern class.

If the classifying cocycle of the gerbe $C_F(\omega)$ has a trivial cohomology class, then by a theorem of Giraud [6], the gerbe $C_F(\omega)$ has a global section e . Let u be an element of the contractible open subset U_i of N . The restriction of e to $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is an element e_i of $C_F(\omega)(U_i)$, by definition, its restriction to F_u has Chern class $[\omega]$. \square

Remark. Denote the classifying cocycle of the gerbe $C_F(\omega)$ by $c_F(\omega)$. Its cohomology class is an element of the sheaf cohomology group of differentiable functions $H^2(P, T_1)$. We can consider the exact sequence of sheaves of differentiable functions:

$$(2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow T^1 \longrightarrow 1.$$

We deduce an isomorphism between $H^2(P, T^1)$ and $H^3(P, \mathbf{Z})$, since $H^*(P, \mathbf{R})$ the cohomology of the sheaf of \mathbf{R} -differentiable functions is zero, because there exist partitions of unity. Thu, the gerbe $C_F(\omega)$ is classified by an element of $H^3(P, \mathbf{Z})$.

In [3] Brylinski has studied the following problem: Suppose there is defined on P a 2-form Ω whose restriction to each fiber is closed, integral and symplectic. Find obstructions to build a closed 2-form whose restriction to a fiber F_u above u coincides with the restriction of Ω on the fiber F_u . If $H^1(F, \mathbf{R}) = 0$, the obstruction to find such a class is a gerbe $C_p(\omega)$ defined on N .

Recall the construction of $C_p(\omega)$. For every open set U , $C_p(\omega)(U)$ is the category whose objects are T^1 -bundles over $p^{-1}(U)$, endowed with a connection such that the restriction of its curvature to a fiber F_u above u , coincide with the restriction of Ω to F_u . A morphism between two objects (e_U, ∇_{e_U}) and $(e'_U, \nabla_{e'_U})$ is a morphism $f : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ of T^1 -bundles such that $f^*(\nabla_{e'_U}) = \nabla_{e_U}$. The group of automorphisms of (e_U, ∇_U) is the set of T^1 -differentiable functions defined on U . This gerbe is trivial, since as remarked by McDuff in [16], in this case the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg method allows to construct a closed form which extends $[\omega]$ if $H^1(F, \mathbf{R}) = 0$.

Remark. Suppose that the symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ has a Hamiltonian reduction. Then there exists an extension Ω of ω , see [12], which defines the distribution D^Ω on P as follows: let u be an element of P , $T_u P$ and $TF_{p(u)}$ the respective tangent spaces of P at u and at the fiber of $p(u)$.

$$D^\Omega_u = \{v \in T_u P : \Omega_u(v, y) = 0, y \in TF_{p(u)}\}.$$

When the bundle is Hamiltonian, we can suppose that the holonomy of the closed connection form is Hamiltonian. And, using a standard

process, we can reduce the structural group of this connection to its holonomy and thus obtain the Hamiltonian reduction.

Proposition 2.5.2. *Suppose that there exists an extension $[\Omega]$ of the class ω . Let Ω be a fixed representative. Then the set of cohomology classes of closed 2-forms Ω' whose restriction to any fiber F_u coincides with the restriction of Ω to the fiber F_u and such that $D^\Omega = D^{\Omega'}$ is isomorphic to $H^2(N, \mathbf{R})$.*

Proof. We remark that, while this proposition is very similar to the problem of the Brylinski's book [3] mentioned above, we cannot apply the result obtained by Brylinski since we do not suppose that the class $[\omega]$ is integral and $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})$ may not vanish.

Let Ω' be a representative of a cohomology class whose restriction to the fiber F_u of $p : P \rightarrow N$ coincides with the restriction of Ω to F_u and such that $D^\Omega = D^{\Omega'}$. Then the form $\Omega - \Omega'$ projects to a closed 2-form $p(\Omega - \Omega')$ defined on the base; we have thus defined a map between the set of extensions of $[\omega]$ which has a representative whose restriction to a fiber F_u coincides with the restriction of Ω to F_u and also defines the distribution D^Ω and $H^2(N, \mathbf{R})$ by assigning to the class of Ω' the class of $\Omega - \Omega'$. We have to show that this map is an isomorphism.

Suppose that the class of $p(\Omega - \Omega')$ is trivial. Then there exists a 1-form α on N such that $d(\alpha) = p(\Omega - \Omega')$. We denote by $p^*(\alpha)$ the pulls-back of α to P . This implies that $\Omega' = \Omega + d(p^*(\alpha))$; thus, the classes of Ω and Ω' coincide. This shows that the map $[\Omega'] \rightarrow [p(\Omega - \Omega')]$ is injective.

To show that this map is surjective, consider a closed 2-form v of N , $p(\Omega - (\Omega - p^*(v))) = v$. \square

The initial problem studied by Mc Duff was to find a Hamiltonian reduction of the bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$, that is, a symplectic bundle isomorphic to p , whose transition functions take their values in the Hamiltonian group of (F, ω) . This problem can be studied by a sheaf of categories. The definition of this sheaf of category uses the following result of Lalonde-McDuff [13], which allows to define its band:

Proposition 2.5.3. *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic bundle. Suppose that there exists a Hamiltonian reduction of p . Then there exists an extension Ω of ω , such that the Hamiltonian reduction is defined by the holonomy of the closed connection form Ω . A Hamiltonian automorphism of the bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism ϕ of P which covers the identity, such that the restriction of ϕ to the fiber over $n \in N$ is a Hamiltonian automorphism of (F, ω_n) , and such that $\phi^*(\Omega) = \Omega$. We denote by $\text{Aut}(P, \Omega)$ the group of Hamiltonian automorphisms of the Hamiltonian reduction (P, Ω) . The group $\text{Aut}(P, \Omega)$ does not depend of the Hamiltonian reduction.*

Remark. In fact a more general result is shown in Lalonde-McDuff [12], that is, the group of diffeomorphisms $G(P, \omega)$ which cover the identity and such that the restriction of each of its element ϕ to a fiber F_u belongs to the connected component of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms of (F_u, ω_u) , and which preserves the symplectic class which defines the Hamiltonian reduction does not depend of the chosen Hamiltonian reduction. This result implies the one stated in the proposition above since this group $G(P, \omega)$ contains $\text{Aut}(P, \Omega)$. The elements of $\text{Aut}(P, \Omega)$ are the elements of $G(P, \Omega)$ which when restricted to (F_u, ω_u) are Hamiltonian. We see that this last condition is independent of the chosen Hamiltonian connection Ω which defines any Hamiltonian reduction of $p : P \rightarrow N$. A morphism $f : P \rightarrow P'$ between the Hamiltonian bundles P and P' is a morphism of fiber bundles f such that $f^*(\Omega') = \Omega$, where Ω and Ω' are the closed connections forms whose holonomy define respectively the Hamiltonian reduction of P and P' .

Now we can show the following:

Proposition 2.5.4. *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic fibration. For any open set U of N , we define $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$ to be the category whose objects are Hamiltonian structures on the symplectic bundle $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$. A morphism between the objects (e_U, Ω_U) , and (e'_U, Ω'_U) of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$ is a morphism of bundles $f : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ such that $f^*(\Omega'_U) = \Omega_U$. The correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of N by $U \rightarrow C_F^1(\omega)(U)$ is a gerbe whose band L is the sheaf induced by the presheaf of Hamiltonian automorphisms such that, for each open set*

U of N , and each e_U of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$, $L(U)$ is the group of Hamiltonian automorphisms of e_U , see Proposition 2.5.3. The cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of $C_F^1(\omega)$ is the obstruction for the existence of a Hamiltonian reduction of $p : P \rightarrow N$.

Proof. Gluing conditions of objects. Consider $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ an open cover of the open subset U of N , such that $C_F^1(\omega)(U_i)$ is not empty, and (e_i, Ω_i) an object of $C_F^1(\omega)(U_i)$. Suppose that there exists a family of morphisms $u_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ such that $u_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} u_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = u_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. Then there exists an F -bundle e over U whose restriction to U_i is e_i . We have to show that this bundle is Hamiltonian. Since $u_{ij}^*(\Omega_j) = \Omega_i$, the forms Ω_i glue together to define on e an extension Ω of ω . Consider a path $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$; we can suppose that $[0, 1]$ is a union of intervals I_l such that I_l is contained in U_l , an open set of the above cover. The holonomy of the connection form Ω along I_l is the holonomy of Ω_l along I_l . We conclude that the holonomy of Ω along I is Hamiltonian, since each closed form Ω_l define an Hamiltonian reduction on e_l .

Gluing conditions of arrows. Let e_U and e'_U be a pair of objects of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$. The correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U which associates to V the set of Hamiltonian morphisms $\text{Ham}(e_U, e'_U)$ is a sheaf since it is the subsheaf of the sheaf of morphisms between two bundles.

Connectivity. Let e_U and e'_U be a pair of objects of $C_F^1(\omega)$. We can suppose that the open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U is a Hamiltonian trivialization of the both bundles e_U and e'_U . This implies that the restrictions of e_U and e'_U to U_i are isomorphic as Hamiltonian bundles to the trivial Hamiltonian bundle $U_i \times (F, \omega)$. We deduce that these Hamiltonian bundles are locally isomorphic.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a symplectic trivialization of $p : P \rightarrow N$. The trivial symplectic bundle $U_i \times (F, \omega)$ is an element of $C_F^1(\omega)(U_i)$, which is not empty.

The result of Lalonde and McDuff [12] recalled above shows that the group $\text{Aut}(e_U, \Omega_U)$ of Hamiltonian automorphisms of the Hamiltonian reduction of the restriction of p to $p^{-1}(U)$ does not depend of the chosen object in $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$. This implies that the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of N by $U \rightarrow \text{Aut}(e_U, \Omega_U)$ defines a presheaf L' on U . We denote by L the sheaf associated to this presheaf.

We remark that if $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$ is not empty, then $L(U) = \text{Aut}(e_U, \Omega_U)$ for each object e_U of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$. This implies that the gerbe is bounded by L . \square

2.6 The McDuff construction of Ham^s , and closed connection forms. The existence of a closed connection form Ω on the symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ does not insure the existence of a Hamiltonian reduction of this bundle. This has motivated McDuff to introduce the group denoted Ham^s , such that the existence of a closed connection form is equivalent to the existence of a Ham^s -reduction. We will now present the construction of the group Ham^s and show using gerbe theory that a Ham^s -reduction implies the existence of a closed connection form on a symplectic bundle.

Definition 2.6.1 (McDuff). Let $H_1(F, \omega, \mathbf{Z})$ be the first homology group of F with integral coefficients, we define $SH_1(F, \omega, \mathbf{Z})$ to be the quotient of the integral 1-cycles of F by the image under the boundary of 2-cycles with zero symplectic area. We denote $SH_1(F, \omega, \mathbf{Q})$ to be the tensor product $SH_1(F, \omega, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Often we will respectively denote $SH_1(F, \omega, \mathbf{Z})$, and $SH_1(F, \omega, \mathbf{Q})$ by $SH_1(F, \mathbf{Z})$ and $SH_1(F, \mathbf{Q})$. Let P_ω be the values of ω on rational cycles. We have the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/P_\omega \longrightarrow SH_1(F, \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H_1(F, \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider a section s of $H_1(F, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow SH_1(F, \mathbf{Q})$. Then we can define on $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ the group of symplectomorphisms of (F, ω) , the map $F_s : \text{Symp}(F, \omega) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{R}/P_\omega) = H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ by:

$$F_s(g)(u) = g(su) - s(gu). \quad \square$$

Recall that the group $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ acts canonically on $SH_1(F, \mathbf{Q})$ and $H_1(F, \mathbf{Q})$. McDuff has shown that the application F_s is a 1-cocycle for the canonical representation defined on $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ which takes its values in the group of linear automorphisms of $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ and has defined Ham^s to be the kernel of this cocycle F_s .

Theorem 2.6.2 (McDuff). *A symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ has a Ham^s -reduction if and only if there exists a closed connection form.*

Moreover, the group Ham^s intersects every connected component of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$.

We will now present a proof of the first part of this theorem using gerbe theory. In fact this problem can be reformulated in a more general situation: Let G be a Lie group whose dimension can be infinite and H a subgroup of G ; we suppose that G/H is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space, that is, its universal cover is contractible and its fundamental group is π . We are looking for conditions which insure the existence of a H -reduction. This problem can be formulated using gerbe theory. We have:

Theorem 2.6.3. *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a G -principal bundle defined on N . Suppose either:*

(i) *the transition functions $u_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$ take their values in the normalizer $\text{Nor}(H)$ of H in G , where H is a subgroup of G , and G/H is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space, or*

(ii) *there exists a continuous representation $h : G \rightarrow L$ where L is an abelian group isomorphic to the quotient of a vector space V by a discrete subgroup π such that the restriction of h to the connected component of the identity G_0 of G is trivial, a continuous surjective 1-cocycle for this representation whose kernel H intersects every connected component of G .*

Then there exists a gerbe C_H defined on N , bounded by the locally constant sheaf defined on N by π which represents the obstruction of the bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ to have an H -reduction.

Proof. The proof is a corollary of the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.6.4. *Suppose first that there exists a subgroup H of G , such that the transition functions u_{ij} of $p : P \rightarrow N$ are contained in the normalizer $\text{Nor}(H)$ of H in G . Then the right quotient fiber by fiber of the bundle p by H defines a G/H -bundle $\bar{p} : \bar{P} \rightarrow N$. Let $\widehat{G/H}$ be the universal cover of G/H . For each open subset U of N , define the category $C_H(U)$ to be the category whose objects are $\widehat{G/H}$ -bundles whose quotient fiber by fiber by π (recall that π is the fundamental group of G/H) is the restriction of \bar{p} to U , a morphism $f : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ between*

two objects e_U and e'_U of $C_H(U)$ is a morphism of $\widehat{G/H}$ -bundles which projects to the identity on their quotient by π . Then the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of N , $U \rightarrow C_H(U)$ defines a gerbe, whose classifying cocycle is the obstruction for reducing the structural group G of the bundle p to H .

Proof. We first have to show the existence of the bundle \bar{p} . Let (U_i, u_{ij}) be a trivialization of the bundle p . Since u_{ij} take their values in $\text{Nor}(H)$, for each element x of $U_i \cap U_j$, the right multiplication by $u_{ij}(x)$ of G gives rise to a G/H -action of $u_{ij}(x)$ on G/H . We denote by $\overline{u_{ij}}(x)$ this induced action. The map $\overline{u_{ij}} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$, $x \rightarrow \overline{u_{ij}}(x)$ verified the Chasle relation and thus defines a G/H -bundle \bar{p} over N . Now we show that the correspondence $U \rightarrow C_H(U)$ is a gerbe.

Gluing condition for objects. Let U be an open set of N , $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ an open cover of U , and e_i an object of $C_H(U_i)$. We suppose that there exist maps $g_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ such that $g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = g_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. Since e_i is a bundle, there exists a bundle e over U whose restriction to U_i is e_i . Since the restriction to U_i of the quotient fiber by fiber, of e by π is the quotient fiber by fiber of e_i by π , we deduce that e is an element of $C_H(U)$.

Gluing condition for arrows. For each pair of objects e and e' , of $C_H(U)$ the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(e_V, e'_V)$ where e_V and e'_V are the respective restrictions of e and e' to V defines a sheaf, since it is the sheaf of morphisms between two bundles.

This shows that the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of N by $U \rightarrow C_H(U)$ is a sheaf of categories. Now we show that it is a gerbe.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a trivialization of the bundle \bar{p} . Then we can lift the restriction of \bar{p} to U_i to a bundle $U_i \times \widehat{G/H}$. This shows that $C_H(U_i)$ is not empty.

Let U be an open set of N . Consider two objects e_U , and e'_U of $C_H(U)$. The restriction of e_U and e'_U to $U_i \cap U$ are isomorphic to $U_i \cap U \times \widehat{G/H}$. This implies that the connectivity property holds.

The definition of $\text{Hom}(e_U, e_U)$, the group of automorphisms of an object e_U , shows that its elements coincide with the action of π , which thus defines a locally constant sheaf on N , which is the band of C_H .

It remains to show that the triviality of the gerbe C_H is equivalent to the existence of a H -reduction of G . Let \widehat{G} and \widehat{H} be respectively the universal cover of G and H . The homotopy sequence applied to the fibration $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}/\widehat{H}$ implies that \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} is simply connected. The map $\widehat{G}/\widehat{H} \rightarrow G/H$ is a covering map, thus \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} is the universal cover of G/H . Suppose that the gerbe C_H is trivial. Then a global object of this gerbe is a \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} -bundle. Since \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} is contractible, we deduce that this bundle is trivial, and thus have a global section. This section projects to a section of \bar{p} . This implies that the bundle p has a H -reduction. \square

Lemma 2.6.5. *Suppose that there exists a continuous representation $h : G \rightarrow L$ (where L is a quotient of a vector space V by a discrete subgroup π), whose restriction to the connected component G_0 of G is trivial. Suppose also the existence of a continuous cocycle F , surjective, for this representation whose kernel H intersects every connected component of G . Then for every principal G -bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$, there exists a gerbe C_H , which represents the geometric obstruction for the bundle p to have a H -reduction.*

Proof. For each of the elements $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, we have $F(gh) = F(g) + gF(h) = F(g)$. This implies that the cocycle F defines a map $\overline{F} : G/H \rightarrow L$. The map \overline{F} is surjective since F is surjective. Let $[g]$ and $[g']$ be two elements of G/H , suppose that $\overline{F}([g]) = \overline{F}([g'])$. Since H intersects every connected component of G , we can choose two elements g and g' in G_0 , and respectively in the class $[g]$ and $[g']$ such that $F(g) = F(g')$.

$$F(gg'^{-1}) = F(g) + h(g)F(g'^{-1}) = F(g) + F(g'^{-1}) = 0$$

since $g \in G_0$, and the restriction of h to G_0 is trivial. We deduce that $F(g) = F(g')$, thus \overline{F} is a diffeomorphism.

We remark that $H \cap G_0 = H_0$ is a normal subgroup of G_0 . Since \overline{F} is a diffeomorphism, we deduce that $G/H = G_0/H_0$ is diffeomorphic to L .

Consider now a G -bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$, defined by the trivialization $u_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$. Then $F(u_{ij})$ defines a $L = G/H$ -bundle \bar{p} over N . The action of $F(u_{ij}(x))$ on an element $[g]$ of G/H is defined by $F(gu_{ij}(x))$ where g is an element of $[g]$ in G_0 . For each open subset U of N , we define $C_H(U)$ to be the category whose objects are V -bundles over U , whose quotient fiber by fiber by π is the restriction of \bar{p} to U . Recall that L is the quotient of V by π . The set of morphisms $\text{Hom}(e, e')$ between two objects e and e' of $C_H(U)$ is the set of morphisms of L -bundles which project to the identity on the restriction of \bar{p} to U . We are going to show that the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of N , by $U \rightarrow C_H(U)$ is a gerbe which represents the geometric obstruction to reduce G to H .

Gluing property for objects. Consider an open subset U of N and an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U . Let e_i be an element of $C_H(U_i)$. Consider a morphism $g_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ such that $g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = g_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. Since e_i are bundles, there exists a bundle e over U whose restriction to U_i is e_i . Since the restriction to U_i of the quotient fiber by fiber of e by π is the quotient fiber by fiber of e_i by π , we deduce that e is an element of $C_H(U)$.

Gluing condition for arrows. For each pair of objects e and e' , the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(e_V, e'_V)$, where e_V and e'_V are the respective restrictions of e and e' to V defines a sheaf, since it is the sheaf of morphisms between two bundles.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a trivialization of the bundle \bar{p} . Then we can lift the restriction of \bar{p} to U_i , to a bundle $U_i \times \widehat{G/H}$. This shows that $C_H(U_i)$ is not empty.

Consider two objects e_U and e'_U of $C_H(U)$. The restrictions of e_U and e'_U to $U_i \cap U$ are isomorphic to $U_i \cap U \times \widehat{G/H}$. This implies that the connectivity property holds.

The definition of $\text{Hom}(e_U, e_U)$, the group of automorphisms of the bundle e_U , shows that it can be identified with π , which thus defines a locally constant sheaf on N which is the band of C_H .

It remains to show that the triviality of the classifying cocycle of the gerbe c_H implies the existence of an H -reduction. Let \widehat{G} and \widehat{H} be respectively the universal cover of G and H . The homotopy sequence

applied to the fibration $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}/\widehat{H}$ implies that \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} is simply connected. The map $\widehat{G}/\widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{G/\widehat{H}}$ is a covering map, thus \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} is the universal cover of G/H . Suppose that the gerbe C_H is trivial. Then a global object of this gerbe is a \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} -bundle. Since \widehat{G}/\widehat{H} is contractible, we deduce that this bundle is trivial and thus have a global section. This section projects to a section of \bar{p} . This implies that the bundle p has a H -reduction. \square

Remark. In the case of Lemma 2.6.5, above, the cohomology class of the classifying cocycle c_H is the obstruction for the bundle \bar{p} to be flat. This implies that it is the Chern class of this bundle.

We are going to apply the above result to study the problem of the existence of Ham^s -reductions.

Theorem 2.6.6. *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic bundle whose typical fiber is (F, ω) . Then there exists a gerbe C_{Ham^s} such that the cohomology class $[c_{\text{Ham}^s}] \in H^2(N, H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega))$ of its classifying cocycle c_{Ham^s} is the obstruction to reduce the structural group of the bundle to Ham^s . If the coordinate changes of the bundle take their values in the connected component $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$, then there exists a gerbe C_{Ham} whose classifying cocycle is the obstruction for reduce the structural group to $\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$.*

Proof. The group Ham^s is the kernel of the continuous surjective 1-cocycle F_s , and it intersects every connected component of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$. The quotient of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ by Ham^s is $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$. We can apply Theorem 2.6.4.

Suppose that the coordinate changes take their values in $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$, since $\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$, and the flux homomorphism allow us to identify $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0/\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$ with $H^1(F, \Gamma)/H^1(F, \Gamma)$, where Γ is the flux group, we can apply Theorem 2.6.4. \square

Remark. In differential geometry, as in the theory of G -structures, the question of finding reductions of a G -bundle is intensively studied. Let H be a subgroup of G ; if the left quotient H/G is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space,

it is possible to write a similar theorem to the one above and obtain an obstruction cocycle whose cohomology class decides upon the existence of a H -reduction. This can be, for example, applied to the existence of a Riemannian structure on a manifold and also to solve differential equations defined on jet-bundles, since in many cases the existence of solutions is equivalent to the existence of reductions of jet-bundles.

We will give now another proof of the theorem of McDuff mentioned above which says that the existence of a closed connection form on a symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ implies the existence of a Ham^s -reduction.

Theorem 2.6.7 (see McDuff [16]). *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic bundle endowed with a closed connection form. Then there exists on P a Ham^s -reduction.*

Other proof. Suppose the existence of a closed connection form defined on the bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$. We have to show that the cohomology class $[c_{\text{Ham}^s}]$ is trivial. It has been shown by McDuff-Lalonde [12], that the holonomy around a contractible loop is Hamiltonian. Consider the reduction of the symplectic bundle to the holonomy of the closed connection form. Since the Hamiltonian group is the connected component of Ham^s , we deduce that the composition of the transitions functions u_{ij} and of F_s , $F_s(u_{ij})$ is constant, if needed, we shrink the open set U_i such that $u_{ij}(U_i \cap U_j)$ is contained in the same connected component of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$. This implies that the bundle \bar{p} (defined in the proof of Lemma 2.6.5) is flat. Thus, its Chern class is a torsion class. Since the lattice π in this case is a \mathbf{Q} -vector space, we deduce that the Chern class of this bundle is zero. \square

Sketch of the proof of McDuff [16]. McDuff defines for each symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ of fiber (F, ω) , a cohomology 2-class in $H^2(N, H^1(F, P_\omega))$ (in fact it is the class of 2.6.5) as follows: The bundle p is defined by a classifying map $p' : N \rightarrow B\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$. The map F_s induces a map $F'_s : B\text{Symp}(F, \omega) \rightarrow BH^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$. There exists a Ham^s -reduction if and only if the composition $F'_s \circ p'$ is null homotopic, since we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Ham}^s \longrightarrow \text{Symp}(F, \omega) \longrightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The space $BH^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ is a $K(H^1(F, P_\omega), 2)$ -space, and the set of homotopy classes of maps $N \rightarrow K(H^1(F, P_\omega), 2)$ is one-to-one with $H^2(N, P_\omega)$. The obstruction class of McDuff is defined to be the homotopy class of $F'_s \circ p'$.

The proof of McDuff of the previous result is done by showing that the previous class vanishes on the 2 sub-complex of the CW -complex N . In this regard, she shows that it is the image by a null connecting homomorphism related to an exact sequence of a one class. \square

2.7. The universal obstruction of McDuff. In this part, we will show how the universal class defined by McDuff can be defined using gerbe theory.

Let $ESymp(F, \omega) \rightarrow BSymp(F, \omega)$ be the universal bundle of the group $Symp(F, \omega)$. The 1-cocycle $F_s : Symp(F, \omega) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ defined by McDuff induces an $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ -bundle on $BSymp(F, \omega)$. See Lemma 2.6.5. The Chern class U_F of this bundle is the universal class U_M defined by McDuff; it can be viewed as the cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of the gerbe which represents geometrically the obstruction for the previous $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ -bundle to be trivial. Since each (F, ω) -symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$, is classified by a classifying map $f : N \rightarrow BSymp(F, \omega)$, the obstruction class to obtain a Ham^s -reduction is $f^*(U_F)$. This is the class defined in the sketch of the proof of McDuff in 2.6.

2.8. Generalizations to topoi. The previous construction applied to symplectic bundles can be generalized to other situations; algebraic geometry, arithmetic, etc. In this regard we will adapt this result to topoi.

Definition 2.8.1. Let G be a group endowed with a topology. The topology can be the Zariski, etale, the Lie topology, etc. A continuous right G -action of G on the topos (P, J_P) is a continuous functor $d_G : P \times G \rightarrow P$ such that if u is the multiplication of G by g , $d_G \circ (\text{Id}_P \times u) = d_G(d_G \times \text{Id}_G)$.

A G -torsor defined on a topos N is a continuous functor $p : (P, J_P) \rightarrow (N, J_N)$ such that:

(i) (P, J_P) is endowed with an action of G , p commutes with the action of G that is, the composition $P \times G \rightarrow P \rightarrow N$, (where $P \times G \rightarrow P$ is the canonical projection, and $P \rightarrow N$ is p) and $P \times G \rightarrow P \rightarrow N$ (where $P \times G \rightarrow P$ is the multiplication d_G and $P \rightarrow N$ is p) coincide.

(ii) The canonical map $P \times G \rightarrow P \times P \times G \rightarrow P \times P$ which is the composition of the canonical embedding $P \times G \rightarrow P \times P \times G$, and the product of the identity on the first factor, and the multiplication d_G on the second and third factor is an isomorphism. We suppose that the quotient of P by G is N . Recall that the quotient of P by G is the initial element in the category of maps $p' : P \rightarrow N'$ such that p' commutes with the action of G . \square

We will assume that the torsor is locally trivial. This means that there exists a covering family of N , $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ such that: There exists an isomorphism $u_i : P|_{U_i} \rightarrow U_i \times G$ between the restriction $P|_{U_i}$ of P to U_i and $U_i \times G$. We can thus define $u'_{ij} = u_i \circ u_j^{-1}|_{U_i \times_N U_j \times G} : U_i \times_N U_j \times G \rightarrow U_i \times_N U_j \times G$. Let $e' : G \rightarrow G$, $g \rightarrow e$, where e is the neutral of G and $e_{ij} : U_i \times_N U_j \times G \rightarrow G$ the canonical projection. We can define $u_{ij} : U_i \times_N U_j \rightarrow G$ by $e_{ij} \circ u'_{ij} \circ (\text{Id}_{U_i \times_N U_j} \times e')$. We have $u'_{i_1 i_2} \circ u'_{i_2 i_3} \circ u'_{i_3 i_1} = u'_{i_1 i_3} \circ u'_{i_3 i_2}$; P is obtained by gluing the family of $(U_i \times G)_{i \in I}$ using u'_{ij} .

Let H be a subgroup of G . We say that the torsor $P \rightarrow N$ has an H -reduction if and only if it is isomorphic to a torsor whose transition functions u_{ij} take their values in H .

Theorem 2.8.2. *Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a G -torsor. Suppose that either*

1. *there exists a subgroup H of G such that G/H is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space, and the torsor has a $\text{Nor}(H)$ -reduction, or*

2. *there exists a 1-cocycle surjective and continuous $F : G \rightarrow L$ for a representation h of G , where L is the quotient of a vector space by a discrete subgroup π , such that the restriction of h to the connected component G_0 of G is trivial, and the kernel H of F intersects every connected component of G , or*

3. *the left quotient H/G is a $K(\pi, 1)$ -space.*

Then there exists a gerbe C_H defined on N such that the cohomology class of its classifying cocycle is the obstruction for reduce G to H .

Proof. We will only give the proof in the first case. The fact that the torsor has a $\text{Nor}(H)$ -reduction implies the existence of a G/H -torsor \overline{P} , which is the right quotient of P by H .

For each object U of N , we define $C_H(U)$ to be the category whose objects are $\widehat{G/H}$ -torsors whose quotient by π is the restriction of \overline{P} to U . A morphism between two objects of $C_H(U)$ is a morphism of torsors which projects to the identity on the restriction of \overline{P} to U .

Now we show that the correspondence $U \rightarrow C_H(U)$ is a gerbe.

Gluing condition for objects. Let U be an object of N , $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ a covering family of U , e_i an object of $C_H(U_i)$. We suppose that there exist maps $g_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ such that $g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = g_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. Since e_i are torsors, there exists a torsor e over U whose restriction to U_i is e_i . Since the restriction to U_i of the quotient of e by π is the quotient of e_i by π , we deduce that e is an element of $C_H(U)$.

Gluing condition for arrows. For each of the objects e and e' , the set of morphisms defined on the sub-topos over U , by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(e_V, e'_V)$, where e_V and e'_V are the respective restrictions of e and e' to V defines a sheaf of sets, since it is the sheaf of morphisms between two torsors.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a trivialization of the torsor \overline{P} . We can lift the restriction of \overline{P} to U_i to the torsor $U_i \times \widehat{G/H}$. This shows that $C_H(U_i)$ is not empty.

Consider two objects e_U and e'_U of $C_H(U)$. The restrictions of e_U and e'_U to $U_i \times_N U$ are isomorphic to $U_i \times_N U \times \widehat{G/H}$, this implies that the connectivity property holds.

The group $\text{Hom}(e_U, e_U)$ is the group of automorphisms of the torsor e_U which project to the identity isomorphism of the restriction of \overline{p} to U . This group is identified to π , which thus defines a locally constant sheaf on N which is the band of C_H . \square

Remark. The triviality of the gerbe C_H does not necessarily imply the existence of an H -reduction, if N is not a manifold. Since, for other categories, homotopy is not well-understood, there are no precise definitions of null-homotopic maps.

3. The group Ham^s and the etale topos of a manifold. The group Ham^s introduced by McDuff allows to characterize symplectic bundles whose closed connection forms are the symplectic bundles endowed with a Ham^s -reduction. In [16] it is shown that a $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ -bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ is endowed with a closed connection form if and only if there exists a finite cover \widehat{N} of N , such that the pull-back of p to \widehat{N} has a Hamiltonian reduction. This motivates to define $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ -bundles on the etale topos of N . The motivation is due to this historical remark: In algebraic geometry, algebraic principal bundles are locally trivial up to a finite etale cover. This has motivated the definition of the etale topology.

Definition 3.1. The *etale topos* of a manifold N is the category whose objects are differentiable maps $c : U \rightarrow N$ which are finite covering maps onto their images. A morphism between two objects is a covering map.

A covering family of the etale topos, $\text{Et}(N)$ of N , is a family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ such that the arrow $u_i : U_i \rightarrow N$ is a finite etale cover, and the union of $(u_i(U_i))_{i \in I}$ is N .

A symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow \text{Et}(N)$ whose typical fiber is the symplectic manifold (F, ω) defined by a covering family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\text{Et}(N)$ for the etale topology. The transition functions are symplectic bundles isomorphisms of the trivial symplectic bundle $U_i \times_N U_j \times \text{Symp}(F, \omega)$, defined by $u_{ij} : U_i \times_N U_j \rightarrow \text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ such that $u_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} u_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} = u_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$.

A closed connection form on the symplectic bundle is defined by a family of closed connections forms Ω_i of the bundle $e_i : U_i \times (F, \omega)$ (recall that Ω_i is a 2-form which extends ω), such that on $U_i \times_N U_j$, we have: $u_{ij}^*(\Omega_i|_{U_i \times_N U_j}) = \Omega_j|_{U_i \times_N U_j}$, where $\Omega_i|_{U_i \times_N U_j}$ and $\Omega_j|_{U_i \times_N U_j}$ are the respective restrictions of Ω_i and Ω_j to $U_i \times_N U_j$.

A symplectic bundle defined on N induces canonically a symplectic bundle on $\text{Et}(N)$, since an open covering of N defines an étale covering of N . \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let P be a symplectic bundle defined on the étale topos of a manifold N . Then there exists a symplectic bundle \hat{P} defined on a covering space \hat{N} of N , such that the symplectic bundle induced by \hat{P} on $\text{Et}(\hat{N})$, is the pull-back of P by the covering map $\hat{N} \rightarrow N$. If N is compact we can suppose that \hat{N} is a finite cover.*

Proof. Let $(d_i : U_i \rightarrow N)_{i \in I}$ be the étale covering family of N which defines the symplectic bundle. Then we can define a manifold \hat{N} as follows: \hat{N} is the quotient of the union of U_i by identifying the elements $u_i \in U_i$, and $u_j \in U_j$ such that $d_i(u_i) = d_j(u_j)$. We denote by $l_i : U_i \rightarrow \hat{N}$ the canonical map. The manifold \hat{N} is a cover of N since the restriction of the canonical projection $\hat{N} \rightarrow N$ to $l_i(U_i)$ is $d_i l_i^{-1}$.

There exists a diffeomorphism $l_{ij} : l_i(U_i) \cap l_j(U_j) \rightarrow U_i \times_N U_j$, such that on

$$l_{i_1}(U_{i_1}) \cap l_{i_2}(U_{i_2}) \cap l_{i_3}(U_{i_3}), l_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1 - 1} l_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} = \text{Id}_{l_{i_1}(U_{i_1}) \cap l_{i_2}(U_{i_2}) \cap l_{i_3}(U_{i_3})};$$

thus, we can define the symplectic bundle \hat{P} on \hat{N} by gluing $l_i(U_i) \times (F, \omega)$ using $u'_{ij} = u_{ij} \circ l_{ij}$, where u_{ij} are the transition functions of P .

The construction of \hat{P} shows that the induced bundle on $\text{Et}(\hat{N})$, by \hat{P} , is the pull-back of P by the canonical map $\hat{N} \rightarrow N$. If N is compact, then we can suppose that there exists a finite number of U_i . This implies that \hat{N} is compact and therefore is a finite cover of N . \square

We can rewrite the theorem of McDuff [16] as follows:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p : P \rightarrow \text{Et}(N)$ be an $\text{Sym}(F, \omega)_0$ -bundle defined on the étale topos of a compact manifold N . Then P has a closed connection form if and only if it has a Hamiltonian reduction.*

Proof. The previous proposition shows that there exists a finite cover \hat{N} of N and an induced symplectic bundle \hat{P} over \hat{N} . Suppose that the closed connection form is defined on P by the family of 2-forms

Ω_i defined on the etale cover $(l_i : U_i \rightarrow N)_{i \in I}$. As in the previous proposition, we can show that there exists a finite cover N' of N such that the pull-back P' of \widehat{P} to N' is endowed with a closed connection form, such that the closed connection form induced on its etale cover is defined on $U'_i = P' \times_N U_i$ by the pull-back of Ω_i by $P' \times_N U_i \rightarrow U_i$. We can apply the result of McDuff and obtain a Hamiltonian reduction $P'' \rightarrow N''$ on the pull-back of P'' of P' to a finite cover N'' of N' . We denote by l''_i the canonical map $l''_i : U''_i = U'_i \times_{N'} P'' \rightarrow N''$. There exists a family of maps $u''_i : l''_i(U''_i) \rightarrow \text{Symp}(F, \omega)$ such that $u''_i u''_{ij} u''_j{}^{-1} \in \text{Ham}(F, \omega)$, where u''_{ij} are the coordinate changes of P'' ; thus, $u''_i u''_{ij} u''_j{}^{-1} l''_j{}^{-1}$ defined a Hamiltonian reduction of P . Since the family $(U''_i \rightarrow N'')_{i \in I}$ is an etale cover of N'' , $(U''_i \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow N)_{i \in I}$ is also an etale cover of N . \square

4. Flux and holonomy of gerbes. In this part, we will relate the flux of a symplectic manifold (F, ω) to the holonomy of the gerbe $C(\omega)$ defined in subsection 2.4.

Let E be a T^1 -gerbe defined on P , that is, a gerbe such that for each open set U of P , $E(U)$ is a category of T^1 -bundles defined on U . Consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of P such that U_i is contractible. Let e^j_i be the restriction of an object e_i of $E(U_i)$ to $U_i \cap U_j$. There exists a morphism $u_{ij} : e^i_j \rightarrow e^j_i$. We denote by c_{ijl} , the automorphism $u_{li} u_{ij} u_{jl}$ of the restriction of e_l to $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_l$. It is defined by a T^1 -differentiable function. Since c_{ijl} is the classifying 2-cocycle of E , there exists a 1-chain h_{ij} of 1-forms such that:

$$h_{jl} - h_{il} + h_{ij} = -\frac{i}{2\pi} d(\text{Log}(c_{ijl}));$$

since $d(h_{ij})$ is a 1-cocycle, there exists a 0-chain of 2-forms L_i such that

$$L_j - L_i = d(h_{ij}).$$

Definition 4.1. The family of forms h_{ij} is called a *connection of the gerbe*, and the family of forms $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ is the curving of the gerbe. This means that there exists a related connective structure Co defined on the gerbe, and elements α_i of $Co(e_i)$, such that $h_{ij} = \alpha_j - u_{ij}^* \alpha_i$.

The 3-form whose restriction to U_i is dL_i is the curvature of the connective structure. Suppose that the curvature is zero. Then $L_i = d(L'_i)$, $h_{ij} = L'_j - L'_i + d(h'_{ij})$, and we denote by $c'_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = -i/(2\pi) \text{Log}(c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{-1}) + h'_{i_2 i_3} - h'_{i_1 i_3} + h'_{i_1 i_2}$ to be the *holonomy of the connection*, $c'_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ is constant and is a 2-cocycle. \square

Definition 4.2. For each map $l : N_2 \rightarrow P$, where N_2 is a surface without a boundary, the pull-back of the gerbe, and its connective structure to N_2 , by l has a vanishing curving. Using the Čech-de Rham isomorphism, we can identify the holonomy cocycle of this gerbe with a 2-form $\text{Hol}(h_{ij}, N_2)$. The holonomy of the connection on N_2 is

$$\int_{N_2} \text{Hol}(h_{ij}, N_2). \quad \square$$

Let (F, ω) be a symplectic manifold, and let $C_F(\omega)$, the T^1 -gerbe representing the obstruction of $[\omega]$, be integral. If the band of this gerbe is extended to the sheaf of differentiable T^1 -functions, it becomes trivial and flat.

For each open set U of F , the set of connections defined on an object e_U of $C_F(\omega)(U)$ whose curvature is the restriction of ω to U defines a connective structure, the curving of the connective structure is the restriction of ω to U_i . The cocycle representing the holonomy of this connective structure is the image of ω by the Čech-de Rham isomorphism. This can be deduced from subsection 2.4.3.

Let $l : N_2 \rightarrow F$ be a differentiable map defined on the surface N_2 ; the holonomy of this connective structure around N_2 is:

$$\int_{N_2} l^*(\omega).$$

This definition is related to the definition of the flux, since for each path $\gamma = c_t$ of N , and each path ϕ_t of the connected component of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$, $\phi_t(\gamma)$ is a map from $h : I_2 \rightarrow F$, the flux of $\phi_t(\gamma)$ is nothing but the half of the holonomy around the sphere S^2 obtained by gluing two copies of I_2 along their boundaries. The map $f : S^2 \rightarrow N$

is obtained by restricting h to each copy of I_2 . The holonomy of f is defined to be the limit of the holonomy of a sequence of differentiable maps which converges towards f .

5. A geometric interpretation of a section of $H_1(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow SH_1(M, \mathbf{R})$. In [16], McDuff gives a geometric interpretation of a section $p : H_1(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow SH_1(M, \mathbf{R})$, when the cohomology class $[\omega]$ is integral. In this section we generalize this interpretation when $[\omega]$ is not necessarily integral. We denote by $\pi : SH_1(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H_1(M, \mathbf{R})$ the projection map. Suppose that the class $[\omega]$ of the symplectic manifold (F, ω) is not necessarily integral. Consider a cycle $[\gamma]$ represented by the chain $h : T^1 \rightarrow F$, where T^1 is the circle, and the pull-back by h , of the gerbe $C_F(\omega)$, to T^1 is trivial.

Proposition 5.1. *Consider an object e of $h^*(C_F(\omega))$ which is the pull-back of an object e' of a tubular neighborhood of $h(T^1)$. Let L be a connection in $h^*Co(e')$. Denote by $h'_L(\gamma)$ the holonomy around γ of L . It does not depend on the element chosen in $h^*(Co(e'))$.*

Proof. To show this, consider another connection L' in $h^*(Co(e'))$. We can suppose that $h(T^1)$ is covered by $(U_i)_{i \in I}$, the union of U_i is a tubular neighborhood of $h(T^1)$, and $C_F(\omega)(U_i)$ is not empty. The fact that the union of U_i is a tubular neighborhood of $h(T^1)$ implies that the restrictions of L and L' to $I_i = h^{-1}(h(T^1) \cap U_i)$ can be supposed to be the pull-back of elements $d + \alpha_i$ and $d + \alpha'_i$ of $Co(e_i)$, where d is the differential e_i is an object of $C_F(\omega)(U_i)$ and α_i and α'_i are 1-forms defined on U_i . We have $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i + df'_i$ where f'_i is a function defined on U_i since the curving of the gerbe is the closed form ω . Denote by L_i and L'_i the restrictions of L and L' to I_i . On I_i , $L_i = d + du_i$, the coordinate changes v_{ij} of the bundle e are defined by $du_j - du_i = -i(1/2\pi)d\text{Log}(v_{ij})$, the holonomy cocycle of L is given by $-(i/2\pi)\text{Log}(v_{ij}^{-1}) - u_j + u_i$. Since $L'_i = d + d(u_i + f_i)$, where f_i is the pull-back of f'_i by h . We deduce that the holonomy cocycles of L and L' coincide up to a boundary. Thus, their cocycles have the same cohomology class. \square

We can define $h_L(\gamma)$ to be the image of the holonomy of this connection in \mathbf{R}/P_ω . Let $[\gamma] \in H_1(F, \mathbf{R})$ define the section $p([\gamma])$ to be the class of elements γ' in $\pi^{-1}([\gamma])$ such that the holonomy around γ' is in P_ω .

6. Existence of symplectic bundles and gerbes. Let F be the flux, and let Γ_ω be the flux group. The flux conjecture has been shown recently by Ono, thus Γ_ω is a discrete subgroup of $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})$. There exists an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Ham}(F, \omega) \longrightarrow \text{Symp}_0(F, \omega) \longrightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_\omega \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let $p : P \rightarrow N$ be a symplectic bundle defined by the coordinate changes $g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{Symp}_0(F, \omega)$ on the trivialization $(U_i)_{i \in I}$. We can project the cocycle g_{ij} to maps $F(g_{ij}) = g'_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_\omega$ and obtain an $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_\omega$ -bundle as in subsection 2.6.5. A natural question is the following: given an $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_\omega$ -bundle \bar{p} , is there a symplectic bundle which gives rise to \bar{p} ?

This problem is an example of the basic examples which have motivated the definition of gerbes theory. Consider an open set U of N , and $C(U)$ a category of symplectic bundles whose transition functions take their values in $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ and which induces the restriction of \bar{p} to U . Suppose that \bar{p} is defined by the transition functions g'_{ij} , and there exist elements g_{ij} over g'_{ij} such that the conjugation by g_{ij} in $\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$ defined a bundle over N whose typical fiber is $\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$. We suppose also that the automorphism group of an object e_U of $C(U)$ are the sections of the previous $\text{Ham}(F, \omega)$ -bundle. We denote by L_1 the sheaf of those sections. The correspondence $U \rightarrow C(U)$ is a gerbe bounded by L_1 .

Denote by l the rank of the group Γ_ω , the torus T^l is the maximal compact subgroup of $H^1(M, \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_\omega$. The bundles defined over N , whose fiber is T^l , are classified by their first Chern class. This can enable to construct symplectic bundles which does not admit Hamiltonian reductions if the Chern class is not zero.

7. 2-gerbes, 2-gerbed towers. The notion of 2-gerbe has been defined by Lawrence Breen [2, 3]. It allows one to represent geometrically 3-cohomology classes. In the preprint [20], Tsemo has defined the notion of gerbed towers; this is a recursive definition of geometric representations of cohomology classes. We will now present the notion of 2-gerbes and 2-gerbed towers, which enable us to cope with the extension problem when $[\omega]$ is not necessarily an integral class. An alternative discussion has been presented above using the group Ham^s ;

the construction given in this section allows to show the existence of a connection on a bundle which has a Hamiltonian reduction without using the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg construction. The definition of the sheaf of 2-categories uses the definition of 2-categories or bicategories which has been defined by Benabou.

Definition. A *bicategory* C is defined by a class of objects C , for each pair of objects u and v of C , a category $\text{Hom}(u, v)$. The objects of $\text{Hom}(u, v)$ are called the 1-arrows, and the arrows of $\text{Hom}(u, v)$ are the 2-arrows. There exists a composition map:

$$\text{Hom}(u_2, u_3) \times \text{Hom}(u_1, u_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(u_1, u_3).$$

For each quadruple (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) , there exists an isomorphism between the functors

$$(\text{Hom}(u_3, u_4) \times \text{Hom}(u_2, u_3)) \times \text{Hom}(u_1, u_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(u_1, u_4)$$

and

$$\text{Hom}(u_3, u_4) \times (\text{Hom}(u_2, u_3) \times \text{Hom}(u_1, u_2)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(u_1, u_4)$$

which satisfies more compatibility axioms which can be found in Breen [2]. \square

Definition. Let N be a manifold; a *sheaf of 2-categories* is a correspondence C defined on the category of open subsets of N by:

$$U \longrightarrow C(U)$$

where $C(U)$ is a 2-category, which verifies the following properties: for each embedding map $U \rightarrow V$, there exists a restriction functor $r_{U,V} : C(V) \rightarrow C(U)$, such that

$$r_{U_1, U_2} \circ r_{U_2, U_3} = r_{U_1, U_3}.$$

Gluing properties for objects. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a covering family of an open set U of N , e_i an object of $C(U_i)$, and a 1-arrow $g_{ij} :$

$r_{U_i \cap U_j, U_j}(e_j) \rightarrow r_{U_i \cap U_j, U_i}(e_i)$. Suppose that there exists a 2-arrow $h_{i_1 i_2 i_3} : g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} \rightarrow g_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$ which satisfies:

$$h_{i_1 i_2 i_4}^{i_3} (\text{Id} \circ h_{i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_1}) = h_{i_1 i_3 i_4}^{i_2} (h_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{i_4} \circ \text{Id}).$$

Then there exists an object e of $C(U)$ whose restriction to U_i is e_i .

Gluing conditions for arrows. For each pair of objects e and e' of U , the correspondence defined on the category of open sets contained in U by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(r_{U,V}(e), r_{U,V}(e'))$ defines a sheaf of categories.

A 2-gerbe is a sheaf of bicategories which satisfies the following:

1. The bicategory $C(U)$ is a 2-groupoid; this means that 1-arrows are invertible up to 2-arrows, and 2-arrows are invertible.
2. For every point x of N , there exists a neighborhood U_x of x , such that $C(U_x)$ is not empty.
3. Any pair of objects e and e' of $C(U)$ is locally isomorphic. This means that there exists an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U such that the restrictions e_i and e'_i of respectively e and e' to U_i are isomorphic.

We say that a 2-gerbe is bounded by the sheaf of abelian groups L , if the following two conditions are satisfied:

4. Any pair of 1-arrows can be joined by a 2-arrow.
5. Let e_U and e'_U be a pair of objects of $C(U)$. For any 1-arrow $h : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$, there is a specified isomorphism $L(U) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(h)$, compatible with compositions and with restrictions \bullet . We say that the sheaf L is the band of the 2-gerbe, or that the gerbe is bounded by L .

7.2. Classifying cocycle of a 2-gerbe. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of N such that $C(U_i)$ is not empty. Consider an object e_i of $C(U_i)$, and $g_{ij} : r_{U_{ij}, U_j}(e_j) \rightarrow r_{U_{ij}, U_i}(e_i)$. There exists a 2-arrow $h_{i_1 i_2 i_3} : g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} \rightarrow g_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$, and on $U_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}$ a 2-arrow $u_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}$ which verifies:

$$h_{i_1 i_2 i_4}^{i_3} (\text{Id} \circ h_{i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_1}) = u_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} (h_{i_1 i_3 i_4}^{i_2} (h_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{i_4} \circ \text{Id})).$$

The family $u_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}$ is the classifying 2-cocycle of C ; if the sheaf L is commutative, it is a Čech cocycle in the classical sense, and the set of

isomorphic classes of 2-gerbes bounded by L is isomorphic to $H^3(N, L)$. If L is not commutative, we define $H^3(N, L)$ to be the set of isomorphic classes of 2-gerbes bounded by L .

In [20] we have given a simplified version of 2-gerbes, which we have named 2-gerbed towers.

Definition 7.2.1. A 2-gerbed tower defined on N , is defined by a gerbe C on N and, for each object e_U of $C(U)$, a gerbe $C_1(e_U)$ defined on U such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For each embedding map $U \rightarrow V$, there exists a restriction functor $r_{U,V}^1 : C_1(e_U) \rightarrow C_1(r_{U,V}(e_U))$ such that $r_{V,W}^1 \circ r_{U,V}^1 = r_{U,W}^1$, where r is the restriction functor of the gerbe C .

(ii) There exists a commutative sheaf L_1 defined on N , such that, for each object e_U of $C(U)$, the band of $C_1(e_U)$ is the restriction of L_1 to U .

(iii) For each morphism $h : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ of objects of $C(U)$, there exists a functor $h^* : C_1(e_U) \rightarrow C_1(e'_U)$ which is compatible with restrictions, such that for a morphism $h' : e'_U \rightarrow e''_U$, there exists a natural transformation between the functors $(h'h)^*$ and h'^*h^* . We suppose also the functors $(h'h)^*$ and h'^*h^* coincide on objects. This implies the existence of an element $l_{h',h}$ of $L_1(U)$ such that $(h'h)^* = l_{h',h} \circ h'^*h^*$.

7.3. The classifying cocycle of a 2-gerbed tower. We can associate to a 2-gerbed tower, a 3-Cech cocycle defined as follows: Consider an object e_i of $C(U_i)$ and a morphism $g_{ij} : r_{U_{ij},U_j}(e_j) \rightarrow r_{U_{ij},U_i}(e_i)$. The arrow $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = g_{i_3 i_1}^{i_2} g_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} g_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1}$ is the Cech classifying cocycle of the gerbe C . It can be identified to an element of the band of C .

The classifying cocycle of the 2-gerbed tower is defined by considering the family of automorphisms

$$c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} = (c_{i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_1})^* (-c_{i_1 i_3 i_4}^{i_2})^* (c_{i_1 i_2 i_4}^{i_3})^* (-c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{i_4})^*.$$

Property (iii) implies that $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}$ is an element of $L_1(U_{i_1} \cdots i_4)$. Contrary to the case of 2-gerbes, it is after having defined the classifying cocycle that we set the axiom concerning the gluing property for objects:

Gluing property for objects. Suppose that the cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of a 2-gerbed tower is zero. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be the open covering of N used to construct the cocycle. Then there exists a gerbe C_0 , such that for each open subset U of N , the restriction of C_0 to $U \cap U_i$ is $C_1(e_{iU})$, where e_{iU} is the restriction of e_i to $U_i \cap U$, and e_i is the object of $C(U_i)$ used to construct the 2-cocycle.

Proposition 7.3.1. *Let (C, C_1) be a 2-gerbed tower defined on N , the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of N as follows:*

To each open set U of N , $C'(U)$ is the bicategory whose objects are gerbes E_U such that, for every open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U such that $C(U_i)$ is not empty, the restriction of E_U to U_i is isomorphic to a gerbe $C_1(e_i)$ where e_i is an object of $C(U_i)$. A 1-arrow $h : E_U = C_1(e_U) \rightarrow E'_U = C_1(e'_U)$ between two objects of $C'(U)$ is a functor h^ , where $h : e_U \rightarrow e'_U$ is an arrow. A 2-arrow is a natural transformation l_U between two 1-arrows which coincide on objects.*

Proof. Gluing property for objects. Consider an open covering family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of N . Let $E_i = C_1(e_i)$ be an object of $C'(U_i)$, a morphism between $g_{ij} : E_j^i \rightarrow E_i^j$ is a functor $h_{ij}^* : C_1(e_j^i) \rightarrow C_1(e_i^j)$, where $h_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ is an arrow. A 2-arrow between $h_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3*} h_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1*}$ and $h_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2*}$ is a natural transformation

$$c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} : h_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3*} h_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1*} \longrightarrow h_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2*},$$

defined by an element of $L_1(U_{i_1 i_2 i_3})$. The fact that:

$$c_{i_1 i_3 i_4}^{i_2} (c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{i_4} \circ \text{Id}) = c_{i_1 i_2 i_4}^{i_3} (\text{Id} \circ c_{i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_1})$$

is equivalent to the gluing property of objects of a 2-gerbed tower. This implies by definition the existence of an object E_U whose restriction to U_i is E_i .

Gluing conditions of arrows. Let E_U and E'_U be two respective objects of $C'(U)$. The correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U by $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_U|_V, E'_U|_V)$, where $E_U|_V$ and $E'_U|_V$ are the respective restrictions of E_U and E'_U to V is a sheaf of categories since it is the sheaf of morphisms between two gerbes.

Let U be an open subset of N ; the objects E_U and E'_U of $C'(U)$ are locally isomorphic, since the restrictions of E_U and E'_U to an open cover of $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U such that the objects of $C(U_i)$ are isomorphic. If we replace U by N , and choose a covering family such that $C(U_i)$ is not empty, we obtain that $C'(U_i)$ is not empty.

The set of automorphisms of a 1-arrow is isomorphic to $L_1(U)$ by definition. \square

The notion of 2-gerbed tower is easier to understand than the one of 2-gerbe, principally because we do not need the notion of bicategory to define it. In practice, many of the examples of 2-gerbed are defined using the notion of 2-gerbed tower; another advantage of this notion is the fact that the classifying cocycle of a 2-gerbed tower (C, C_1) is the image of a 2-cocycle, that is, the classifying cocycle of C by a connecting morphism in cohomology.

8. The general case. We will now describe the 2-gerbe and 2-gerbed towers bounded by the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions which represent the geometric obstruction to extend ω to P when the cohomology class $[\omega]$ of ω is not necessarily integral.

Let U be an open subset of N , and let $[\Omega_U]$ be an extension of $[\omega]$ to $p^{-1}(U)$. We cannot define a T^1 -bundle over $p^{-1}(U)$ (as in the integral case) whose Chern class is $[\Omega_U]$.

Definition 8.1. We denote by $C'_F(\Omega, p^{-1}(U))$ the gerbe defined on $p^{-1}(U)$ which is the obstruction of the class $[\Omega_U]$ to be trivial. See subsection 2.4.

Let U be an open subset of N . We define the bicategory $C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U))$ to be the class whose elements are categories $C'_F(\Omega, p^{-1}(U))$. Let e_1 and e_2 be two objects of $C_F^2(p^{-1}(U))$, a 1-arrow $f : e^1 = C'_F(\Omega_1, p^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow e^2 = C'_F(\Omega_2, p^{-1}(U))$ is an isomorphism of gerbes between e^1 and e^2 , and a 2-arrow is a natural transformation between those functors.

More precisely, on a contractible cover $(U'_i)_{i \in I}$ of $p^{-1}(U)$, the restrictions of the objects of e^1 are torsors whose objects are isomorphic

to trivial \mathbf{R} -bundles $U'_i \times \mathbf{R}$, a 1-arrow f is defined by the respective objects e_i^1 and e_i^2 of the respective restrictions of e^1 to U'_i , and of e^2 to U'_i , and an isomorphism f_i between e_i^1 and e_i^2 . Due to the natural properties of f , we can use these morphisms to completely rebuild f . This implies that these data satisfy the following properties:

The identification of e_i^1 and e_i^2 to $U'_i \times \mathbf{R}$ allows us to represent that f_i has a morphism of the trivial torsor $U'_i \times \mathbf{R}$; the fact that f behaves naturally in respect to restrictions implies the existence of a morphism u_{ij} of $U'_{ij} \times \mathbf{R}$ such that $f_i = u_{ij}f_j$. We have $u_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} u_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} = u_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$. The map u_{ij} is a translation by an element of \mathbf{R} . The family $(u_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ defines a 1-cocycle, thus a closed 1-form on $p^{-1}(U)$. Conversely, a 1-cocycle of the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -maps defines a torsor, and a 1-arrow between e^1 and e^2 by using the previous identification of e_i^1 and e_i^2 to $U'_i \times \mathbf{R}$.

Using the identification above, a 2-arrow is defined locally by a chain of constant sections u_i defined on $U'_i \times \mathbf{R}$ such that $u_i = u_{ij}u_j$. Thus, a morphism between two objects is defined by a 1-cocycle of the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions, that is, a torsor, and a 2-arrow is an element of \mathbf{R} .

Theorem 8.2. *The correspondence $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U))$ defines a 2-gerbe such that the cohomology class of its classifying cocycle is the obstruction for extending $[\omega]$ to P .*

Proof. Gluing conditions for objects. Consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of an open subset U of N , and $(e_i, [\Omega_i])$ an object of $C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U_i))$ where $[\Omega_i]$ is a cohomology class defined on $p^{-1}(U_i)$ which extends $[\omega]$. Suppose that there exist 1-arrows $h_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$ and a 2-arrow $d_{i_1 i_2 i_3} : h_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} h_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1} \rightarrow h_{i_1 i_3}^{i_2}$ such that $d_{i_1 i_3 i_4}^{i_2} (d_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{i_4} \circ \text{Id}) = d_{i_1 i_2 i_4}^{i_3} (\text{Id} \circ d_{i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_1})$. The maps $d_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ can be identified with a 2-Cech cocycle of the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions defined on $p^{-1}(U)$. We can identify it using the de Rham-Weil isomorphism with an element $[\Omega_U]$ of $H^2(p^{-1}(U), \mathbf{R})$. The class $[\Omega_U]$ is the classifying cocycle of a gerbe e_U defined on U bounded by the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions. We have to show now that this gerbe is an element of $C_F^2(p^{-1}(U))$.

The fact that the family $d_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ is a 2-Cech cocycle implies that there exists a gerbe bounded by the sheaf of locally constant functions whose restriction to U_i is e_i , (see the proof of the classifying theorem for gerbes presented in the book of Breen [2]). This gerbe is isomorphic to e_U . This implies that the restriction of $[\Omega_U]$ to $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is the classifying cocycle of e_i , and that $[\Omega_U]$ extends $[\omega]$, since the restriction of $[\Omega_U]$ to U_i is $[\Omega_i]$. We deduce that it is the cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of an element of $C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U))$ whose restriction to $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is e_i .

Gluing conditions for arrows. Let e and e' be a pair of objects of $C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U))$, the correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of U by $U' \rightarrow \text{Hom}(e|_{U'}, e'|_{U'})$ is a sheaf of categories, since it is the sheaf of categories of morphisms between two gerbes.

This shows that $C_F^2(\omega)$ is a sheaf of 2-categories.

Consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of N by contractible open sets. Since $H^*(U_i \times F) = H^*(F)$, we can extend $[\omega]$ to $p^{-1}(U_i) = U_i \times F$, and two such extension classes are equal to the class $[\omega]$ as the identification $H^*(U_i \times F) = H^*(F)$ shows. This implies that $C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U_i))$ is not empty, and its objects are isomorphic.

The sheaf of 2-categories $C_F^2(\omega)$ is bounded by the sheaf of \mathbf{R} -locally constant functions defined on P . This is shown in the paragraph above this theorem.

Suppose that the class of the classifying cocycle of $C_F^2(\omega)$ vanishes; then the 2-gerbe has a global section e , its restriction to $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is an element of $C_F^2(\omega)(p^{-1}(U_i))$ whose classifying cocycle extends $[\omega]$. This implies that the classifying cocycle of e extends $[\omega]$. \square

The cocycle defined by McDuff, and the classifying cocycle c_F^2 of the 2-gerbe $C_F^2(\omega)$ solve the same geometric problem: decide if the class $[\omega]$ can be extended to the total space of the symplectic bundle $p : P \rightarrow N$ whose typical fiber is (F, ω) . We will show now that they are related by an isomorphism of cohomology groups.

Suppose that the family $(U_i)_{i \in I}, g_{ij}$ defines the coordinate changes of P . Let $\widehat{\text{Symp}}(F, \omega)$ be the universal cover of $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)$. Consider an element h_{ij} of Ham^s such that $g_{ij}(x)h_{ij} = g'_{ij}(x)$ is contained in $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$, and a lift: $\widehat{g'_{ij}} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Symp}}(F, \omega)$ of the functions

g'_{ij} . We remark that an element $\widehat{g'_{ij}}(x)$ is an equivalence class of a path in $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Symp}(F, \omega)$. We choose a path u_{ij} which represents it and set:

$$\int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{d}{dt}u_{ij}(x), \cdot\right) = g''_{ij}(x).$$

Proposition 8.3. *The chain $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = g''_{i_2 i_3}{}^{i_1} - g''_{i_1 i_3}{}^{i_2} + g''_{i_1 i_2}{}^{i_3}$ is a 2-Cech cocycle whose cohomology class is identified using the Cech-Weil isomorphism to the McDuff obstruction class.*

Proof. The element $g''_{ij}(x)$ is a lift of $F_s(g'_{ij})$ in $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})$, since the restriction of F_s to $\text{Symp}(F, \omega)_0$ factors by the flux. This implies that g''_{ij} represents the classifying cocycle of the $H^1(F, \mathbf{R})/H^1(F, P_\omega)$ -bundle (see subsection 2.6.5) whose coordinate changes are the functions $F_s(g'_{ij})$. \square

We can use the Cech-Weil isomorphism to identify $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ to a closed 2-form Ω' defined on N which takes values in the vector bundle p_ω of closed P_ω 1-forms defined on F induced by g_{ij} . Let $\Omega(F, P_\omega)$ be the vector space of closed P_ω 1-forms defined on F . The bundle p_ω is the quotient of the union of $U_i \times \Omega(F, P_\omega)$ by the following transition functions:

$$(x, \alpha) \longrightarrow (x, g_{ij}(x)^*(\alpha))$$

where $g_{ij}(x)^*(\alpha)(y)$ is defined by:

$$g_{ij}(x)^*(\alpha)(y) = \alpha(d(g_{ij}(x)^{-1})(y)).$$

The identification of $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ to Ω' defines a 3-form Ω on P by $\Omega(x, y, z) = \Omega'(x, y)(z)$ where x and y are elements of $T_n N$, the tangent space of N at n , and z is an element of the tangent space to the fiber at n .

Consider the Leray-Serre spectral sequence related to the fibration $p : P \rightarrow N$. The McDuff obstruction class is an element of $E_2^{2,1}$ which converges to $[c_F^2(\omega)]$.

Theorem 8.3. *Under the notation introduced just above, the cohomology class of Ω is the obstruction to lift $[\omega]$ to P . Its cohomology class can be identified to the class of the classifying cocycle of $C_F^2(\omega)$.*

Proof. Let e_i be the gerbe defined on $U_i \times F$ whose classifying cohomology class is the image of the class of the 2-form Ω_i which is the product of 0 and ω by the Čech-Weil isomorphism. The gerbe e_i is an object of $C_F^2(\omega)(U_i)$. The morphism g''_{ij} defined at the proposition above is a morphism between e_j^i and e_i^j . This implies that $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ represents also the classifying cocycle of $C_F^2(\omega)$. \square

8.2 Hamiltonian reduction and closed connection forms. We have given a gerbe formulation to the problem of the existence of a Hamiltonian reduction by defining the gerbe $C_F^1(\omega)$. Now we are going to show how the classifying cocycles of $C_F^1(\omega)$ and $C_F^2(\omega)$ are related.

The link between the classifying cocycles of $C_F^1(\omega)$ and $C_F^2(\omega)$ appears clearly by considering the 2-gerbed towers defined as follows:

Definition 8.2.1. Consider U , an open set of N and e_U an object of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$; it is a Hamiltonian structure defined on the restriction of the symplectic fibration $p : P \rightarrow N$ to U . We deduce that there exists an extension $[\Omega_U]$ of $[\omega]$ to $p^{-1}(U)$ whose holonomy defines the Hamiltonian reduction of e_U . Denote by $C_2(e_U)$ the gerbe which represents the obstruction of $[\Omega_U]$ to be trivial. We have just defined a 2-gerbed tower $(C_F^1(\omega), C_2)$. \square

Let L be the band of the gerbe $C_F^1(\omega)$, and let L_0 be the sheaf of locally constant \mathbf{R} -functions defined on P . We define the following sheaf L' on P : suppose that e_U is an object of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$, V an open subset of $p^{-1}(U)$ and e_V an object of $C_2(e_U)(V)$. An automorphism g of e_U maps e_V to the object $g^{-1*}(e_V)$ of $C_2(e_U)(g(V))$, given $c \in \mathbf{R}$. For each morphism $h : e_V \rightarrow e'_V$ between objects of $C_2(e_U)(V)$ we consider the morphism between $g^{-1*}(e_V) \rightarrow g^{-1*}(e'_V)$ defined by composing $g^{-1*}(h)$ by the translation by c fiber by fiber. The sheaf generated by the set of actions on the gerbe $C_2(e_U)$ that we have just defined is L' . (It does not depend on e_U .) We can suppose that L is defined on P by

setting $L(U) = L(p(U))$, $U \subset P$. We have the exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 1.$$

This gives rise to the following exact sequence in cohomology:

$$H^2(P, L_0) \longrightarrow H^2(P, L') \longrightarrow H^2(P, L) \longrightarrow H^3(P, L_0).$$

Here, if E is a sheaf defined on P , the space $H^2(P, E)$ is the space of isomorphism classes of gerbes bounded by E defined on P . The space $H^3(P, E)$ is the space of isomorphism classes of 2-gerbes bounded by E . See Breen [2].

The next result shows that the class of the classifying cocycle of the 2-gerbe tower $(C_F^1(\omega), C_2)$ is the image of the class of the classifying cocycle of $C_F^1(\omega)$ by the map $H^2(P, L) \rightarrow H^3(P, L_0)$.

Proposition 8.2.2. *The class of the classifying cocycle $c_F^2(\omega)$ of $C_F^2(\omega)$ is the image of the class of the classifying cocycle $c_F^1(\omega)$ of $C_F^1(\omega)$, by the map $H^2(P, L) \rightarrow H^3(P, L_0)$. Suppose that there exists a Hamiltonian reduction of the bundle $P \rightarrow N$. Then we can extend $[\omega]$ to P .*

Proof. The classifying cocycle of this 2-gerbed tower is defined as follows. Consider an object e_i of $C_F^1(\omega)(U_i)$, and a map $u_{ij} : e_j^i \rightarrow e_i^j$. The map $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = u_{i_3 i_1}^{i_2} u_{i_1 i_2}^{i_3} u_{i_2 i_3}^{i_1}$ is an automorphism of $e^{i_1 i_2 i_3}$. We can lift it to a map $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^*$ of $C_2(e^{i_1 i_2 i_3})$. The Čech boundary $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}$ of the chain $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^*$ is the classifying cocycle of the 2-gerbed tower. It appears that $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}$ is the image of $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ by the connecting map $H^2(P, L) \rightarrow H^3(P, L)$. Considered as a 2-gerbe, the 2-gerbed tower involved here is a subgerbe of $C_F^2(\omega)$, since for each object e_U of $C_F^1(\omega)(U)$, the gerbe $C_2(e_U)$ is an object of $C_F^2(\omega)(U)$. This shows that if $[c_F^1(\omega)]$ vanishes, then $[c_F^2(\omega)]$ also vanishes. \square

This result is shown by McDuff in [16] by using the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg construction.

9. Quantization of the symplectic gerbe. Let (F, ω) be a symplectic manifold. When the class $[\omega]$ is integral, there exists a

line bundle L over F whose Chern class is $[\omega]$. This line bundle is endowed with an Hermitian metric. The Hermitian space of sections $L^2(F) = \{u : F \rightarrow L : \int_F |u|^2 < +\infty\}$ is the quantization of the manifold. The elements of this Banach space are used in theoretical physics to describe evolution of particles.

The goal of this part is to associate to any symplectic form, an Hermitian space endowed with a Hermitian form, which is a candidate to represent the phase space in quantum mechanic.

Let $C(\omega)$ be the symplectic gerbe defined on F , which represents the obstruction of $[\omega]$ to be integral, see subsection 2.4. Consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of U , and e_i an object of $C(\omega)(U_i)$. We can define the gerbe $L(\omega)$ on F such that $L(\omega)(U)$ is the category, whose objects are (e_U, e'_U) where e_U is an object of $C(\omega)(U)$, and e'_U the \mathbf{C} -line vector bundle over U , whose transition functions are the transition functions of e_U . The objects of $L(\omega)(U)$ are endowed with a canonical connective structure Co , see subsection 2.4. An element of $Co((e_U, e'_U))$ is a connection on e_U whose curvature is the restriction of ω to U . A morphism between two objects (e_U, e'_U) and (e^1_U, e'^1_U) of $L(\omega)(U)$ is a morphism $e_U \rightarrow e^1_U$. The correspondence defined on the category of open subsets of F by $U \rightarrow L(\omega)(U)$ is a gerbe.

To perform the quantization we need to define the notion of sections. We will propose this definition of sections of vectorial gerbes.

Definition 9.1. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be an open covering family of F , such that $L(\omega)(U_i)$ is not empty, and let (e_i, e'_i) be an object $L(\omega)(U_i)$. A section u of $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of sections $u_i : U_i \rightarrow e'_i$ such that the union of supports of u_i is compact.

We denote by $V((e_i)_{i \in I})$ the vector space generated by those sections of $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$. This vector space is endowed with an Hermitian metric defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \int_{e'_i} \langle u_i, v_i \rangle_{e'_i}$$

where $\langle, \rangle_{e'_i}$ is the Hermitian metric of e'_i .

For each function f , and each section $(u_i)_{i \in I}$, we can define

$$L_f(u_i) = \nabla_{e'_i X_f} u_i + 2i\pi f u_i,$$

where X_f is the Hamiltonian of f , and $\nabla_{e'_i}$ is a connection defined on e'_i whose curvature is the restriction of ω to U_i . The vector field X_f is the vector field such that $\omega(X_f, \cdot) = -df$.

Proposition 9.2. *The family of $L_f(u_i)$ defined a section $L_f(u)$. The map*

$$f \longrightarrow L_f$$

verifies

$$[L_f, L_g] = L_{\{f, g\}}.$$

Proof. We have to show that $L_f(u_i)$ has compact support and that the union of support of the family $(L_f(u_i))_{i \in I}$ is compact. The support of $f u_i$ and $\nabla_{e'_i X_f}(u_i)$ are contained in the support of u_i . The fact that $[L_f, L_g] = L_{\{f, g\}}$ is classical. \square

We have obtained a Souriau-Kostant quantization. This quantization can be written without using the notion of gerbe.

We can define, using the classifying theorem of Giraud [6], the gerbe $L'(\omega)$ on F , such that $L'(\omega)(U)$ is a set of flat \mathbf{C} -bundles defined on U , and the cohomology class of the classifying cocycle of $L'(\omega)$ is the obstruction of the class $[\omega]$ to be integral. This construction of this gerbe using [3] shows that this gerbe is flat, the objects of $L'(\omega)(U)$ are locally flat \mathbf{C} -bundles, and morphisms are morphisms of locally flat \mathbf{C} -bundles.

For $L'(\omega)$, we can also define the following space of sections. Consider an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of F by contractible subsets, e'_i an object of $L'(\omega)(U_i)$, $g_{ij} : e'^i_j \rightarrow e'^j_i$ a family of isomorphisms. A section $u = (u_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of sections $u_i : U_i \rightarrow e'_i$ such that $u_i = g_{ij}(u_j)$. We denote by $V(e_i, g_{ij})$ the set of those sections. The set $V(e_i, g_{ij})$ can be supposed to be different than zero. To see this, we consider an open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$, such that there exists an element $i_0 \in I$ such that $V = U_{i_0} - \cup_{i \neq i_0} U_i$ is not empty: take a section u_{i_0} of e_{i_0} whose support is contained in V , and set $u_i = 0$ if $i \neq i_0$. This vector space can be endowed with the following scalar product.

Consider a partition of the unity p_i subordinate to $(U_i)_{i \in I}$. Let $u = (u_i)_{i \in I}$, and let $u' = (u'_i)_{i \in I}$ be sections of $V(e_i, u_{ij})$. We set

$$\langle u, v \rangle \sum_{i \in I} \int \langle p_i u_i, p_i u'_i \rangle.$$

For each differentiable function f defined on F we can define the operator L_f which acts on the section $u = (u_i)_{i \in I}$ by:

$$L_f(u_i) = \nabla_{X_f} u_i + 2i\pi f u_i.$$

The operator L_f is well defined. Since on $U_i \cap U_j$, we have $L_f(u_i) = u_{ij} L_f(u_j)$ since the gerbe $C(\omega)$ is flat, and the map u_{ij} is identified using a trivialization with the multiplication by an element of T^1 in the trivial bundle $U_i \cap U_j \times \mathbf{C}$.

Quantization of other structures. The methods of quantization of Kostant-Souriau have been extended in many directions. Here we present a quantization described in [13].

Consider a manifold M , such that the ring $C^\infty(M)$ of differentiable functions of M is endowed with a bracket:

$$\{ , \} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

such that $(C^\infty(M), \{ , \})$ is a Lie algebra and there is an \mathbf{R} -linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} H : C^\infty(M) &\longrightarrow \chi(M) \\ f &\longrightarrow X_f \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the space of vector fields of M , such that

$$X_{\{f,g\}} = [X_f, X_g].$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(M) &\longrightarrow \text{End}(C^\infty(M)) \\ f &\longrightarrow (g \rightarrow X_f(g)) \end{aligned}$$

is a representation of the Lie algebra $C^\infty(M)$. We denote $H_C^*(M)$ the cohomology of this representation. The correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(M) \\ \Lambda_M(f, g) &\longrightarrow X_f(g) - X_g(f) - \{f, g\} \end{aligned}$$

is a 2-cocycle of this representation.

There is a canonical map $C' : H_{\text{de Rham}}^*(M) \rightarrow H_C^*(M)$ defined on a chain by $C'(h)(f_1, \dots, f_p) = h(X_{f_1}, \dots, X_{f_p})$. In [13], it is shown that if there exists a line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ such that $C'(\Omega) = \Lambda_M$, then the structure is quantizable, that is, there exists a representation:

$$P : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \text{End}(L^2(L))$$

which verifies

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{f, g\}) &= [P_f, P_g] \\ P(f) &= \nabla_{X_f} + 2i\pi f \end{aligned}$$

where ∇ is the Hermitian connection of the bundle.

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a contractible open covering of M by charts. We can restrict the bracket $\{, \}$ to U_i . Suppose that on 2-chains, the map C restricted to U_i is surjective on closed forms, that is, there exists a 2-closed form Ω_{U_i} on U_i such that $C(\Omega_{U_i}) = \Lambda_{U_i}$. The form Ω_{U_i} is the Chern class of a connection defined on $U_i \times \mathcal{C}$.

We can define on M the gerbe D , such that for each open set U of M , $D(U)$ is the category of line bundles over U endowed with a connection whose curvature Ω_U verifies:

$$C(\Omega_U) = \Lambda_U.$$

Let e_i be an object of $D(U_i)$. We consider the family $(u_i)_{i \in I}$, where $u_i : U_i \rightarrow e_i$ is a section of e_i , whose support is compact, and the union of support of u_i is compact. The family of $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is an Hermitian space. On e_i we consider the connection ∇_{e_i} whose curvature is the restriction of Ω_{U_i}

The representation

$$f \longrightarrow \nabla_{e_i X_f} + 2i\pi f$$

defines a quantization of M .

Acknowledgments. The author had the idea to write this paper after a talk of Dusa McDuff on symplectic fibrations given at Montreal in November 2004. The author wants to thank Dusa McDuff who read, corrected his manuscript and helped him to improve the presentation of the paper, and for helpful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

1. A. Banyaga, *Sur la structure du groupe des automorphismes symplectiques qui preservent une forme symplectique*, Commun. Math. Helv. **53** (1978), 174–227.
2. L. Breen, *On the classification of 2-gerbes and 2-stacks*, Asterisque **225** (1994), Société Mathématique de France, Paris.
3. J.L. Brylinski, *Loops, spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Progress Math. **107**, Birkhauser, New York, 1993.
4. J.L. Brylinski and D.A. McLaughlin, *The geometry of degree four characteristic classes and of line bundles on loop spaces I*, Duke Math. Journal **75** (1994), 603–637.
5. P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge III*, Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques Publications **44** (1974), 5–77.
6. Jean Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
7. R. Godement, *Théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
8. M. Gotay, R. Lashof, J. Sniatycki and A. Weinstein, *Closed form on symplectic bundles*, Commentarii. Math. Helvetici **58** (1983), 617–621.
9. V. Guillemin, E. Lerman and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic fibrations and multiplicity diagrams*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
10. A. Grothendieck, M. Artin and J.L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schemas*, Lecture Notes Math. **269**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
11. J. Kedra and D. McDuff, *Homotopy properties of Hamiltonian group actions*, SG/0404539.
12. F. Lalonde and D. McDuff, *Symplectic structures on fiber bundles*, Topology **42** (2003), 309–347.
13. M. de Leon, J.-C. Marrero and E. Padron, *On the geometric prequantization of brackets*, Rec. Acad. Cien. Mat. **95** (2001), 65–83.
14. J. Leslie, *On a differentiable structure for the group of diffeomorphisms*, Topology **6** (1967), 263–271.
15. M. Mackaay and R. Picken, *Holonomy and parallel transport for Abelian gerbes*, Adv. Math. **170** (2001), 287–339.
16. D. McDuff, *Enlarging the Hamiltonian group*, J. Symplectic Geom. **3** (2006), 1–50.
17. ———, *Quantum homology of fibrations over S^2* , Internat. Math. Journal **11** (2000), 665–721.
18. D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford University Press, London, 1998.

19. D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and quantum cohomology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.

20. Aristide Tsemo, *Non abelian cohomology: The point of view of gerbed tower*, preprint available at arxiv.org.

21. ———, *Fibrés affines*, Michigan Math. Journal **49** (2001), 459–484.

COLLEGE BOREAL, 951 AVENUE CARLAW, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M4K 3M2,
CANADA

Email address: tsemo58@yahoo.ca