

EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION FOR THE PROBLEM WITH A CONCENTRATED SOURCE IN A SUBDIFFUSIVE MEDIUM

C.Y. CHAN AND H.T. LIU

Communicated by Colleen Kirk

ABSTRACT. By using Green's function, the problem is converted into an integral equation. It is shown that there exists a t_b such that, for $0 \leq t < t_b$, the integral equation has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u ; if t_b is finite, then u is unbounded in $[0, t_b)$. Then, u is proved to be the solution of the original problem.

1. Introduction. Let a, b, α and T be positive real numbers with $0 < b < a, 0 < \alpha < 1$. We consider the following fractional diffusion problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} u(x,t) \\ \quad + \delta(x-b)f(u(x,t)) & \text{in } (0, a) \times (0, T], \\ u(x,0) = \phi(x) & \text{on } [0, a], \\ u(0,t) = 0 = u(a,t) & \text{for } 0 < t \leq T, \end{cases}$$

where $D_t^{1-\alpha}$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, $\delta(x-b)$ is the Dirac delta function and f and ϕ are given functions. We assume that $f(0) \geq 0, f'(u) > 0, f''(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, and that ϕ is nontrivial and nonnegative on $[0, a]$ such that $\phi(0) = 0 = \phi(a)$.

When $\alpha = 1$, the problem (1.1) describes the heat diffusion problem involving a concentrated source at a particular position b . This local-

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 35R11, 35R12.

Keywords and phrases. Green's function, fractional diffusion equations, fractional derivatives.

The second author was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, R.O.C., under contract No. MOST 105-2115-M-036-001.

Received by the editors on November 28, 2016, and in revised form on January 20, 2017.

ized input of thermal energy may lead to the blow-up of the solution. For references, we quote the papers by Chan [1], Chan and Boonklurb [2], Chan and Tian [4, 5, 6], Chan and Tragoonsirisak [7, 8], Chan and Treeyaprasert [9] and Olmstead and Roberts [15]. The effect of the moving source was studied by Kirk and Olmstead [11], and, more recently, by Chan, Sawangtong and Treeyaprasert [3].

For the case when $0 < \alpha < 1$, the fractional derivative problem is introduced to model diffusive behavior of mean square displacement of Brownian motion evolving on a slower than normal time scale. These problems were discussed by authors [12, 13, 14, 16, 18]. When applied to certain porous materials in which microscopic pores are filled with a substance that has a lower conductivity than that of the basic matrix material, the model can be formulated by the subdiffusive process.

Olmstead and Roberts [14] studied the subdiffusive problem with a concentrated source in the form

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} u(x, t) + \delta(x - b)f(u(x, t)),$$

$$(x, t) \in (0, l) \times (0, T),$$

where $f > 0$, $f' > 0$, $f'' \geq 0$, and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u) = \infty$. By using Green's function $G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau)$, they investigated the blow-up and asymptotic behavior of the solution at the singular point b , given by

$$u(b, t) = \int_0^t G_\alpha(b, t - \tau; b)f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau.$$

In this paper, the properties of Green's function $G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ are investigated, and the existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem (1.1) is proved.

2. Green's function. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is discussed in [16], and the limiting case of $\alpha = 1$ is associated with classical diffusion since D_t^0 is the identity operator. The Green function $G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ corresponding to the problem (1.1) satisfies: for x and ξ in $[0, a]$, and t and τ in $(-\infty, \infty)$,

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau) + \delta(x - \xi)\delta(t - \tau),$$

subject to $G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau) = 0$ for $t < \tau$ and $G_\alpha(0, t; \xi, \tau) = 0 = G_\alpha(a, t; \xi, \tau)$.

It follows from Wyss and Wyss [19, Theorem 1] that Green's function $G_\alpha(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ can be expressed in terms of Green's function of the case $\alpha = 1$:

$$G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi) = \int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) G_1(x, (t - \tau)^\alpha z; \xi) dz,$$

where

$$G_1(x, t - \tau; \xi) = \frac{2H(t - \tau)}{a} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)}$$

with $H(t)$ being the Heaviside function, and

$$g_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k z^k}{k! \Gamma(1 - \alpha - \alpha k)} \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1, z > 0,$$

known as Mainardi's function with $g_\alpha(z) \geq 0$ for $z \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) dz = 1,$$

and $g_\alpha(z)$ tends to 0 exponentially as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi) = \frac{2H(t - \tau)}{a} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \times \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} \left(\int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz \right),$$

which is positive for x and ξ in $(0, a)$, and $t > \tau$. In order to study the behavior of $G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi)$, we consider the integral

$$\int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz.$$

Let

$$I_k = \int_0^\infty z^k e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz$$

for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Upon integration, we get for $k = 0$,

$$I_0 = \int_0^\infty e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz = \frac{1}{(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t - \tau)^\alpha};$$

for $k \geq 1$,

$$I_k = \frac{k!}{[(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha]^{k+1}}.$$

For any fixed positive integer n , the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(1-\alpha-\alpha k)[(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha]^{k+1}}$$

converges uniformly for t in any compact subset of $(\tau, T]$. This gives

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz \\ = \frac{1}{(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(1-\alpha-\alpha k)[(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha]^k}.$$

For $\mu, \nu > 0$, the Mittag-Leffler function is defined as

$$E_{\mu, \nu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\nu + \mu k)},$$

which is entire for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex plane (cf., [10]). It follows from [10, page 10, Lemma 9.1] that the function has another representation form

$$E_{\mu, \nu}(z) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-k}}{\Gamma(\nu - \mu k)}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Based on the integral form of Mittag-Leffler $E_{\mu, \nu}(z)$, given by

$$E_{\mu, \nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{\mu-\nu} e^t}{t^\mu - z} dt$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $z, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$, and Ω being a loop starting and ending at $-\infty$ and encircling the circular disk $|t| < |z|^{1/\alpha}$ in the positive sense, $|\arg t| < \pi$ on Ω , we obtain the asymptotic expansion (cf., [16, page 33])

$$(2.3) \quad E_{\mu, \nu}(z) = - \sum_{r=1}^N \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \mu r) z^r} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{N+1}}\right),$$

as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, $\beta \leq |\arg z| \leq \pi$ with $\beta \in (\pi\alpha/2, \alpha\pi)$.

From (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha} \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(1-\alpha-\alpha k)[(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha]^k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} - E_{\alpha,1-\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the recurrence results $E_{\mu,\nu}(z) = zE_{\mu,\mu+\nu}(z) + (1/\Gamma(\nu))$ from [10, page 5, Theorem 5.1], we obtain

$$E_{\alpha,1-\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha \right) = -\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.$$

Then, (2.2) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) e^{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha z} dz = E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha \right).$$

It follows from (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; \xi) = \frac{2H(t-\tau)}{a} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha \right).$$

Our next result gives the properties of $G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; \xi)$.

Lemma 2.1.

- (a) For $\tau \in [0, T)$, $(x, t; \xi) \in ([0, a] \times (\tau, T]) \times [0, a]$, $G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; \xi)$ is continuous.
- (b) For each $(\xi, \tau) \in [0, a] \times [0, T)$, $(G_\alpha)_t(x, t-\tau; \xi) \in C([0, a] \times (\tau, T])$.
- (c) For each $(\xi, \tau) \in [0, a] \times [0, T)$, $D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; \xi)$ is continuous for $[0, a] \times (\tau, T]$.
- (d) For each $(\xi, \tau) \in [0, a] \times [0, T)$, $(D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_x(x, t-\tau; \xi)$ and $(D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, t-\tau; \xi)$ are in $C([0, a] \times (\tau, T])$.

(e) If $s \in C[0, T]$, then

$$\int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) s(\tau) d\tau$$

is continuous for $x \in [0, a]$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

(f) If $s \in C[0, T]$, then

$$\int_0^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha}(G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) s(\tau) d\tau$$

is continuous for $x \in [0, a]$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof.

(a) From (2.5),

$$(2.6) \quad |G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi)| \leq \frac{2}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t - \tau)^\alpha \right) \right|.$$

For t in a compact subset of (τ, T) , it follows from (2.3) that there exist some positive integer N_1^* and positive constant K_1 such that

$$(2.7) \quad \left| E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t - \tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \leq \frac{K_1}{n^2 (t - \tau)^\alpha}$$

for any $n \geq N_1^*$. Then, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t - \tau)^\alpha \right) \right|$$

converges uniformly for t in any compact subset of (τ, T) . The result then follows.

(b) From [10, page 13, Theorem 11.1],

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dz} [z^{2\alpha} E_{\alpha,1+2\alpha}(Cz^\alpha)] = z^{2\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,2\alpha}(Cz^\alpha)$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and any constant C . Making use of the recurrence relation

$$E_{\alpha,1}(z) = z^2 E_{\alpha,1+2\alpha}(z) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1)} + \frac{z}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we rewrite

$$E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) = \left(\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right)^2 E_{\alpha,1+2\alpha}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1)} + \frac{-(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}.$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to t , and making use of (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) &= \left(\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}\right)^2 (t-\tau)^{2\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,2\alpha}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) - \frac{\alpha(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.3), for large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha,2\alpha}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) &= -\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)(-n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{r=3}^N \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(2-r))(-n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\frac{1}{(-n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^\alpha} \right)^{N+1}\right]; \end{aligned}$$

this gives, for some large positive number N_2^* with $n \geq N_2^*$, and some positive constant K_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt}E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) \right| &= \frac{1}{(n^2\pi^2/a^2)(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}} \\ &\quad \times \left| \sum_{r=3}^N \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(2-r))(n^2\pi^2/a^2)^{r-3}(t-\tau)^{(r-3)\alpha}} \right| \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2(N-1)}(t-\tau)^{(N-1)\alpha+1}}\right) \leq \frac{K_2}{n^2(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2}(t-\tau)^\alpha\right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_2}{n^2 (t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}},$$

which converges uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, a]$ and t in any compact subset of $(\tau, T]$. This proves Lemma 2.1 (b).

(c) It follows from [10, page 14, Theorem 11.5] that

$$D_t^{1-\alpha} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) = (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right).$$

Using (2.3) and a similar argument as in the proof of (b), we obtain for some large positive number N_3^* with $n \geq N_3^*$, and some positive constant K_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \\ & \leq (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left| \sum_{r=2}^N \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(1-r)) (n^2 \pi^2 / a^2) (t-\tau)^\alpha r} \right| \\ & \quad + O \left(\frac{1}{n^{2(N+1)} (t-\tau)^{N\alpha+1}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{K_3}{n^4 (t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_t^{1-\alpha} \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_3}{n^4 (t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, a]$ and t in any compact subset of $(\tau, T]$. This proves Lemma 2.1 (c).

(d) From Lemma 2.1 (c),

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_t^{1-\alpha} \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\pi}{a} \left| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi K_3}{an^3(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, a]$ and t in any compact subset of $(\tau, T]$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} \sin \frac{n\pi\xi}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} \left| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^2 K_3}{a^2 n^2 (t-\tau)^{\alpha+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, a]$ and t in any compact subset of $(\tau, T]$. Lemma 2.1 (d) is then proved.

(e) Let $S = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |s(t)|$, and let ϵ be any positive number such that $t - \epsilon > 0$. For any $x \in [0, a]$ and $\tau \in [0, t - \epsilon]$, it follows from (2.7) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{2}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) s(\tau) \right| \leq \frac{2S}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^2 K_1}{n^2 \pi^2 \epsilon^\alpha},$$

which converges uniformly. From the Weierstrass M-test,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{t-\epsilon} G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; b) s(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) s(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) s(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{2S}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{2SK_1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \frac{1}{n^2(t-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau = \frac{2SK_1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{1-\alpha} - \epsilon^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)n^2},$$

which converges uniformly with respect to ϵ for $x \in [0, a]$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Since the above inequality holds for $\epsilon = 0$, it follows that, for $s \in C[0, T]$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) s(\tau) d\tau$$

is a continuous function of x , t and ϵ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; b) s(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (t-\tau)^\alpha \right) s(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous function for $x \in [0, a]$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

(f) Let $S = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |s(t)|$, and let ϵ be any positive number such that $t - \epsilon > 0$. For any $x \in [0, a]$ and $\tau \in [\epsilon, t]$, it follows from (2.10) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{2}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} D_\tau^{1-\alpha} \left(E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (\tau)^\alpha \right) \right) s(\tau) \right| \leq \frac{2S}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^2 K_3}{n^4 \epsilon^{\alpha+1}},$$

which converges uniformly. From the Weierstrass M-test,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad & \int_\epsilon^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) s(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_\epsilon^t \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} D_\tau^{1-\alpha} \left(E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (\tau)^\alpha \right) \right) s(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From [10, pages 13, 14, Theorems 11.4, 11.5],

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad & \int_0^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (\tau)^\alpha \right) d\tau = \int_0^t \tau^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} \tau^\alpha \right) d\tau \\ &= t^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} t^\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4) and the monotone decreasing property of $E_{\alpha,1}(z)$ for $z \in [0, \infty)$, we have $|E_{\alpha,1}(z)| \leq 1$. It follows from the recurrence relation

$$E_{\alpha,1}(z) = zE_{\alpha,1+\alpha}(z) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1)}$$

in [10, page 5, Theorem 5.1] that

$$\left| t^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} t^\alpha \right) \right| \leq \frac{a^2}{n^2\pi^2} \left| 1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} t^\alpha \right) \right|.$$

By (2.11) and (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_{\epsilon}^t \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha} \left(E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (\tau)^\alpha \right) \right) s(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{2S}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\epsilon}^t \tau^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} \tau^\alpha \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{2SK_1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^2}{n^2\pi^2} \left(\left| 1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} t^\alpha \right) \right| \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \left| 1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} \epsilon^\alpha \right) \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

which converges uniformly with respect to ϵ for $x \in [0, a]$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Since the above inequality holds for $\epsilon = 0$, it follows that, for $s \in C[0, T]$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_{\epsilon}^t \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha} \left(E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (\tau)^\alpha \right) \right) s(\tau) d\tau$$

is a continuous function of x , t and ϵ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha} (G_{\alpha}(x, \tau; b)) s(\tau) d\tau \\ & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{a} \int_{\epsilon}^t \sin \frac{n\pi b}{a} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha} \left(E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{a^2} (\tau)^\alpha \right) \right) s(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous function for $x \in [0, a]$ and $t \in [0, T]$. □

3. Integral equation for problem (1.1). By using Green's function $G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi)$, we obtain the integral equation

$$(3.1) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau$$

for problem (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a t_b such that, for $0 \leq t < t_b$, the integral equation (3.1) has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u . If t_b is finite, then u is unbounded in $[0, t_b)$.*

Proof. For $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, T]$, let us construct a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ by

$$u_0(x, t) = \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi,$$

and, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_{i+1}(x, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} u_{i+1}(x, t) + \delta(x - b) f(u_i(x, t)) \text{ in } (0, a) \times (0, T], \\ u_{i+1}(x, 0) &= \phi(x) \text{ on } [0, a], \\ u_{i+1}(0, t) = 0 &= u_{i+1}(a, t) \text{ for } 0 < t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1),

$$u_{i+1}(x, t) = \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u_i(b, \tau)) d\tau.$$

We show that, for any $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3.2) \quad u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{i+1} \quad \text{in } (0, a) \times (0, T].$$

Since

$$u_1(x, t) - u_0(x, t) = \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u_0(b, \tau)) d\tau,$$

it follows from G_α , u_0 and $f(u_0)$ being positive that $u_1(x, t) - u_0(x, t) > 0$ for $(x, t) \in (0, a) \times (0, T]$. Assume that, for some positive integer j , $u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_j$ in $(0, a) \times (0, T]$. Since $u_{j-1} < u_j$ and $f' > 0$,

we have

$$u_{j+1}(x, t) - u_j(x, t) = \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) (f(u_j(b, \tau)) - f(u_{j-1}(b, \tau))) d\tau > 0.$$

By the principle of mathematical induction, (3.2) holds.

Let $u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i$, and let M be a positive number such that $M > \sup_{x \in [0, a]} \phi(x)$. Since each u_i is nonnegative, we have that u is nonnegative. From Lemma 2.1 (a) and (3.1), u_i is continuous for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We would like to show that there exists a $t_1 \leq T$ such that $u(x, t)$ is continuous for $t \in [0, t_1]$. Note that

$$u_{i+1}(x, t) - u_i(x, t) = \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) (f(u_i(b, \tau)) - f(u_{i-1}(b, \tau))) d\tau.$$

Let $S_i = \sup_{(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, t_1]} |u_i(x, t) - u_{i-1}(x, t)|$. It follows from the mean value theorem, (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$S_{i+1} \leq f'(M) S_i \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) d\tau \leq f'(M) \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2Kt^{1-\alpha}}{an^2(1-\alpha)} \right) S_i$$

for some positive constant K . By taking $\sigma_1 \leq t_1$ such that

$$f'(M) \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2Kt^{1-\alpha}}{an^2(1-\alpha)} \right) < 1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \sigma_1],$$

the sequence $\{u_i\}$ converges uniformly for $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, \sigma_1]$, and hence, u is a nonnegative continuous solution of (3.1) for $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, \sigma_1]$.

If $\sigma_1 < t_1$, we replace the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$ by $u(x, \sigma_1)$, which is known. Then, for $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [\sigma_1, t_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i+1}(x, t) &= \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t - \sigma_1; \xi) u(\xi, \sigma_1) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\sigma_1}^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u_i(b, \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i+1}(x, t) - u_i(x, t) &= \int_{\sigma_1}^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) (f(u_i(b, \tau)) \\ &\quad - f(u_{i-1}(b, \tau))) d\tau > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$S_{i+1} \leq f'(M)S_i \int_{\sigma_1}^t G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; b) d\tau \leq f'(M) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2K(t-\sigma_1)^{1-\alpha}}{an^2(1-\alpha)} \right) S_i.$$

From the previous choice of σ_1 , we have $f'(M)(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2K(t-\sigma_1)^{1-\alpha})/(an^2(1-\alpha))) < 1$ for $t \in [\sigma_1, \min\{2\sigma_1, t_1\}]$. Repeating the process, the sequence $\{u_i\}$ converges uniformly for any $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, t_1]$, and hence, u is continuous.

In order to show the continuity of $D_t^{1-\alpha}u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{1-\alpha}u(x, t) &= D_t^{1-\alpha} \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + D_t^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 (c), $D_t^{1-\alpha}G_\alpha(x, t; \xi)$ is continuous. We have

$$D_t^{1-\alpha} \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi$$

is continuous for $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times (0, t_1]$. It follows from [16, page 99, (2.213)] that

$$\begin{aligned} &D_t^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t-\tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= \int_0^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t-\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} f(u(b, t-\tau)) D_\tau^{-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, \tau; b). \end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of f and Lemma 2.1 (c), we have

$$\int_0^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t-\tau)) d\tau$$

is continuous for $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times (0, t_1]$. Since $G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)$ is continuous for any $\tau \in (0, t_1]$ and $|G_\alpha(x, \tau; \xi)| \leq K\tau^{-\alpha}$ for some positive constant K , we have

$$D_\tau^{-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, \tau; b) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau-s)^\alpha G_\alpha(x, s; b) ds$$

is continuous for any $\tau \in [0, t_1]$. Hence,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} f(u(b, t - \tau)) D_\tau^{-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, \tau; b) = 0.$$

Then, $D_t^{1-\alpha} u(x, t)$ is continuous for $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times (0, t_1]$, and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D_t^{1-\alpha} u(x, t) &= \int_0^a D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ \int_0^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show uniqueness, we suppose that u and \tilde{u} are distinct solutions of the integral equation (3.1) on the interval $[0, t_1]$. Let $\Phi = \sup_{(x,t) \in [0,a] \times [0,t_1]} |u(x, t) - \tilde{u}(x, t)| > 0$. From

$$|u(x, t) - \tilde{u}(x, t)| = \left| \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) (f(u(b, \tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(b, \tau))) d\tau \right|,$$

we obtain

$$\Phi \leq f'(M) \Phi \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) d\tau < \Phi,$$

a contradiction when $t \in [0, \sigma_1]$. Thus, the solution u is unique.

For each $M > 0$, there exist t_1 such that the integral equation (3.1) has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u . Let t_b be the supremum of all t_1 such that the integral equation has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u . Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is bounded for any $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, t_b]$. We consider the integral equation (3.1) for any $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [t_b, \infty)$ with the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$ replaced by $u(x, t_b)$, which is known:

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t - t_b; \xi) u(\xi, t_b) d\xi + \int_{t_b}^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For any given positive constant $M_1 > \sup_{x \in [0, a]} u(x, t_b)$, an argument as before shows that there exists some positive t_2 such that the equation has a unique continuous nonnegative solution u for any $(x, t) \in [0, a] \times [t_b, t_2]$. This contradicts the definition of t_b . Hence, u is unbounded in $[0, t_b)$ if t_b is finite. □

4. Existence of the solution.

Theorem 4.1. *Problem (1.1) has a unique solution for $0 \leq t < t_b$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 (e),

$$\int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau$$

exists for $x \in [0, a]$ and t in any compact subset $[t_3, t_4]$ of $[0, t_b)$. Thus, for any $x \in [0, a]$ and any $t_5 \in (0, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t-1/k} G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{t_5}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\int_0^{\zeta-1/k} G_\alpha(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \right) d\zeta \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{t_5-1/k} G_\alpha(x, t_5 - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\zeta - \tau \geq 1/k$, it follows from (2.9) that

$$|(G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau))| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_2 k^{\alpha+1}}{n^2} f(u(b, \tau)),$$

which is integrable with respect to τ over $(0, \zeta - 1/k)$. It follows from the Leibnitz rule (cf., [17, page 380]) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\int_0^{\zeta-1/k} G_\alpha(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= G_\alpha \left(x, \frac{1}{k}; b \right) f \left(u \left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the problem

$$\frac{\partial w(x, t - \tau; \xi)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} w(x, t - \tau; \xi) \quad \text{for } x \text{ and } \xi \text{ in } (0, a),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 0 \leq \tau < t, \\
 w(0, t - \tau; \xi) &= 0 = w(a, t - \tau; \xi) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \tau < t < T, \\
 \lim_{t \rightarrow \tau^+} w(x, t - \tau; \xi) &= \delta(x - \xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the representation formula (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad w(x, t - \tau; \xi) &= \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \eta) \delta(\eta - \xi) d\eta \\
 &= G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi) \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau^+} G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; \xi) = \delta(x - \xi)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_\alpha\left(x, \frac{1}{k}; b\right) f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t_5} G_\alpha(x, t_5 - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\
 &= \delta(x - b) \int_{t_5}^t f(u(b, \zeta)) d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t_5} G_\alpha(x, t_5 - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$h_k(x, \zeta) = \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For any $k > l$, we have

$$h_k(x, \zeta) - h_l(x, \zeta) = \int_{\zeta-1/l}^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau.$$

Since $(G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, t - \tau; b) \in C([0, a] \times (\tau, T])$ and $f(u(b, \tau))$ is a monotone function of τ , it follows from the second mean value theorem for

integrals (cf., [17, page 328]) that, for any $x \neq b$ and any ζ in any compact subset $[t_6, t_7]$ of $(0, t_b)$, there exists some real number ν such that $\zeta - \nu \in (\zeta - 1/l, \zeta - 1/k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(x, \zeta) - h_l(x, \zeta) &= f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{l}\right)\right) \int_{\zeta-1/l}^{\zeta-\nu} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) d\tau \\ &\quad + f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \int_{\zeta-\nu}^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From $(G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) = -(G_\alpha)_\tau(x, \zeta - \tau; b)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(x, \zeta) - h_l(x, \zeta) &= \left[f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) - f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{l}\right)\right) \right] G_\alpha(x, \nu; b) \\ &\quad + f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{l}\right)\right) G_\alpha\left(x, \frac{1}{l}; b\right) - f\left(u\left(b, \zeta - \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) G_\alpha\left(x, \frac{1}{k}; b\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, for $x \neq b$, $G_\alpha(x, \epsilon; b) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to ζ , $\{h_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and hence, $\{h_k\}$ converges uniformly with respect to ζ in any compact subset $[t_6, t_7]$ of $(0, t_b)$. Hence, for $x \neq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\ &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\ &= \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^\zeta (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For $x = b$, it follows from $g_\alpha(z) > 0$ and $(G_1)_\zeta(b, (\zeta - \tau)^\alpha z; b) < 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &-(G_\alpha)_\zeta(b, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \\ &= -\int_0^\infty g_\alpha(z) (G_1)_\zeta(b, (\zeta - \tau)^\alpha z; b) dz f(u(b, \tau)), \end{aligned}$$

which is positive. Thus, $\{-h_k(b, \zeta)\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative functions with respect to ζ . By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta-1/k} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(b, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\zeta^{-1/k}} (G_\alpha)_\zeta(b, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau \, d\zeta \\
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^\zeta (G_\alpha)_\zeta(b, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau \, d\zeta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau \\
 &= \delta(x - b) \int_{t_5}^t f(u(b, \zeta)) \, d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^\zeta (G_\alpha)_\zeta(x, \zeta - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau \, d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t_5} G_\alpha(x, t_5 - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau \\
 &= \delta(x - b) f(u(b, t)) + \int_0^t (G_\alpha)_t(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) \, d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 (d) and the Leibnitz rule, we have, for any x in any compact subset of $[0, a]$ and t in any compact subset in $(0, t_b)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_\epsilon^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t - \tau)) \, d\tau \\
 = \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha)_x(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t - \tau)) \, d\tau,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_x(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) \, d\tau \\
 = \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) \, d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

For each x_1 in any compact subset of $[0, a]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^t D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(x, \tau; b))f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \int_{\epsilon}^t D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(\eta, \tau; b))f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \right) d\eta \\
(4.3) \quad &+ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^t D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(x_1, \tau; b))f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \int_{\epsilon}^t (D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha})_{\eta}(\eta, \tau; b)f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
&+ \int_0^t D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(x_1, \tau; b))f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We would like to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \int_{\epsilon}^t (D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha})_{\eta}(\eta, \tau; b)f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
&= \int_{x_1}^x \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^t (D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha})_{\eta}(\eta, \tau; b)f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini theorem (cf., [17, page 352]),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \int_{\epsilon}^t (D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha})_{\eta}(\eta, \tau; b)f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^t \left(f(u(b, t - \tau)) \int_{x_1}^x (D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha})_{\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) d\eta \right) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^t f(u(b, t - \tau))(D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha}(x, \tau; b) - D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha}(x_1, \tau; b)) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t f(u(b, t - \tau))(D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha}(x, \tau; b) - D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha}(x_1, \tau; b)) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

which exists by Lemma 2.1 (f). Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t f(u(b, t - \tau))(D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha}(x, \tau; b) - D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha}(x_1, \tau; b)) d\tau \\
&= \int_{x_1}^x \int_0^t (D_{\tau}^{1-\alpha}G_{\alpha})_{\eta}(\eta, \tau; b)f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

we have (4.4). From (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^t D_\tau^{1-\alpha} (G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
 = \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_x(x, \tau; b) (u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

For each x_2 in any compact subset of $[0, a]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_x(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
 & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \right) d\eta \\
 & + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x_2, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
 & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
 & + \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x_2, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

We would like to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
 & = \int_{x_2}^x \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
 & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^t \left(f(u(b, t - \tau)) \int_{x_2}^x (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) d\eta \right) d\tau \\
 & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^t f(u(b, t - \tau)) \left((D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x, \tau; b) - (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x_2, \tau; b) \right) d\tau \\
 & = \int_0^t f(u(b, t - \tau)) \left((D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x, \tau; b) - (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x_2, \tau; b) \right) d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

which exists by (4.5). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t f(u(b, t - \tau)) \left((D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x, \tau; b) - (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x_2, \tau; b) \right) d\tau \\ &= \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\ &= \int_{x_2}^x \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where we have (4.7). From (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_x(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\ &= \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{\eta\eta}(\eta, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_\eta(x_2, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_x(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\ = \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\ = \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.1), (3.3), (4.2) and (4.8) that, for $x \in [0, a]$ and $0 < t < t_b$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} u \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^t G_\alpha(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\int_0^a D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha(x, \tau; b)) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \right) \\
 &= \int_0^a (G_\alpha)_t(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi + \delta(x - b) f(u(b, t)) \\
 & \quad + \int_0^t (G_\alpha)_t(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\
 & \quad - \int_0^a (D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \\
 & \quad - \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^a ((G_\alpha)_t - (D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx})(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi + \delta(x - b) f(u(b, t)) \\
 & \quad + \int_0^t (G_\alpha)_t(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\
 & \quad - \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 (d) and the change of variable $s = t - \tau$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
 = - \int_t^0 (D_{t-s}^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, t - s; b) f(u(b, s)) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

From $D_{t-s}^{1-\alpha} u(t - s) = D_t^{1-\alpha} u(t - s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t (D_\tau^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, \tau; b) f(u(b, t - \tau)) d\tau \\
 = \int_0^t (D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx}(x, t - \tau; b) f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.9),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_t^{1-\alpha} u = \int_0^a ((G_\alpha)_t - (D_t^{1-\alpha} G_\alpha)_{xx})(x, t; \xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \delta(x - b)f(u(b, t)) \\
& + \int_0^t ((G_\alpha)_t - (D_t^{1-\alpha}G_\alpha)_{xx})(x, t - \tau; b)f(u(b, \tau))d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^a ((G_\alpha)_t - (D_t^{1-\alpha}G_\alpha)_{xx})(x, t; \xi)\phi(\xi) d\xi \\
= \delta(t) \int_0^a \delta(x - \xi)\phi(\xi) d\xi = 0 \quad \text{for } t = 0^+,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t ((G_\alpha)_t - (D_t^{1-\alpha}G_\alpha)_{xx})(x, t - \tau; b)f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau \\
= \delta(x - b) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \delta(t - \tau)f(u(b, \tau)) d\tau = 0 \quad \text{for } t > \tau,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}D_t^{1-\alpha}u = \delta(x - b)f(u(b, t)).$$

From (3.1) and (4.1), we have, for $x \in [0, a]$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^a G_\alpha(x, t; \xi)\phi(\xi) d\xi = \phi(x).$$

Since $G_\alpha(0, t - \tau; \xi) = 0 = G_\alpha(a, t - \tau; \xi)$, we have $u(0, t) = 0 = u(a, t)$. Thus, the solution u of (3.1) is a solution of problem (1.1). Since a solution of the latter is a solution of the former, the theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

1. C.Y. Chan, *A quenching criterion for a multi-dimensional parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **235** (2011), 3724–3727.
2. C.Y. Chan and R. Boonklurb, *A blow-up criterion for a degenerate parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source*, Quart. Appl. Math. **65** (2007), 781–787.
3. C.Y. Chan, P. Sawangtong and T. Treeyaprasert, *Single blow-up point and critical speed for a parabolic problem with a moving nonlinear source on a semi-infinite interval*, Quart. Appl. Math. **73** (2015), 483–492.

4. C.Y. Chan and H.Y. Tian, *Single-point blow-up for a degenerate parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source*, Quart. Appl. Math. **61** (2003), 363–385.
5. ———, *Multi-dimensional explosion due to a concentrated nonlinear source*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295** (2004), 174–190.
6. ———, *A criterion for a multi-dimensional explosion due to a concentrated nonlinear source*, Appl. Math. Lett. **19** (2006), 298–302.
7. C.Y. Chan and P. Tragoonsirisak, *A multi-dimensional quenching problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source in R^N* , Nonlin. Anal. **69** (2008), 1494–1514.
8. ———, *A multi-dimensional blow up problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source in R^N* , Quart. Appl. Math. **69** (2011), 317–330.
9. C.Y. Chan and T. Treeyaprasert, *Blow-up criteria for a parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source on a semi-infinite interval*, Quart. Appl. Math. **70** (2012), 159–169.
10. H.J. Haubold, A.M. Mathai and R.K. Saxena, *Mittag-Leffler functions and their applications*, J. Appl. Math. (2011), 1–51, Art. ID 298628.
11. C.M. Kirk and W.E. Olmstead, *Blow-up in a reactive-diffusive medium with a moving heat source*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **53** (2002), 147–159.
12. R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion, A fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep. **339** (2000), 1–77.
13. ———, *The restaurant at the end of the random walk: Recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics*, J. Phys. **37** (2004), 161–208.
14. W.E. Olmstead and C.A. Roberts, *Thermal blow-up in a subdiffusive medium*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **69** (2008), 514–523.
15. ———, *Explosion in a diffusive strip due to a concentrated nonlinear source*, Meth. Appl. Anal. **1** (1994), 434–445.
16. I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
17. K.R. Stromberg, *An introduction to classical real analysis*, Wadsworth International Group, Belmont, CA, 1981.
18. J. Trujillo, *Fractional models: Sub and super-diffusives, and undifferentiable solutions*, in *Innovation in engineering computational technology*, Sax-Coburg Publications, Stirling, Scotland, 2006.
19. M.M. Wyss and W. Wyss, *Evolution, its fractional extension and generalization*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **4** (2001), 273–284.

UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAFAYETTE, LA 70504-1010

Email address: chan@louisiana.edu

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TATUNG UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN 104

Email address: tliu@ttu.edu.tw