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## Introduction

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ on a compact complex manifold $M$ of dimension $n$. Let $P=\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{E})$ be the associated $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$-bundle and let $H=H(\mathscr{E})$ be the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $P$. $\mathscr{E}$ is said to be ample if so is $H$ on $P$. In this case $A=\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{E})$ is also ample on $M$. The $c_{1}$-sectional genus $g$ of $\mathscr{E}$ is defined to be $g(M, A)$, which is determined by the formula $2 g(M, A)-2=(K+(n-$ 1) $A$ ) $A^{n-1}$, where $K$ is the canonical bundle of $M$. Then $g(M, A)$ is a non-negative integer by [F5]. In this paper we establish a classification theory of the case $g(M, A) \leqq 2$. The case $r=1$ was treated in [F6] and we study here the case $r>1$. In $\S 1$, we study the case $g=0$ or 1 . The case $g=2$ is studied in $\S 2$. The main theorem is in (2.25). In §3, we give a classification according to the sectional genus of $(P, H)$.

The author thanks Professor S. Mukai for helpful comments during the preparation of this paper.

We employ similar notation to that in our previous papers on polarized manifolds.

## §1. The case $g \leqq 1$

(1.1) Throughout this paper let $\mathscr{E}, M, P, H, A$ and $K$ be as in the introduction. We further assume that $n \geqq 2$ and $r \geqq 2$.
(1.2) The canonical bundle $K^{P}$ of $P$ is $\pi^{*}(K+A)-r H$, where $\pi$ is the projection $P \rightarrow M$. So $2 g(P, H)-2=\left(K^{P}+(n+r-2) H\right) H^{n+r-2}=(n-2) H^{n+r-1}+$ $H^{n+r-2} \pi^{*}(K+A)=(n-2) s_{n}(\mathscr{E})+(K+A) s_{n-1}(\mathscr{E})$, where $s_{j}(\mathscr{E})$ is the $j$-th Segre class of $\mathscr{E}$. The total Segre class $s(\mathscr{E})$ is related to the Chern class by the formula $s(\mathscr{E}) c\left(\mathscr{E}^{\circ}\right)=1$. Thus, in particular, we have $2 g(P, H)-2=(K+A) A=2 g(M, A)-$ 2 and $g(P, H)=g(M, A)$ in case $n=2$.

Remark. If $M$ were a curve, both $g(P, H)$ and $g(M, A)$ would be equal to the genus of $M$. However, if $n \geqq 3, g(P, H) \neq g(M, A)$ in general.
(1.3) Lemma. $A C \geqq r$ for any rational curve $C$ in $M$.

Proof. Let $f: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization. Then $f^{*} \mathscr{E}_{C}$ is ample and is a direct sum of line bundles. Hence $A C=\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{*} \mathscr{E}_{C}\right) \geqq r$.

Remark. If $A C=r$, then $f^{*} \mathscr{E}_{C}$ is a direct sum of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 's.
(1.4) Theorem. If $g(M, A)=0$, then $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}, \mathscr{E}=H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}, P \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$ and $H=H_{\alpha}+H_{\beta}$.

Proof. We have $\Delta(M, A)=0$ by [F5]. Using (1.3) and [F1] we infer $(M, A) \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}, 2 H_{\alpha}\right)$. Moreover $\mathscr{E}_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ for any line $\ell$ in $M$. This implies $\mathscr{E} \simeq H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$ by [V].

Alternately, one can argue as follows: By (1.2) we have $g(P, H)=0$. So $\Delta(P, H)=0$. Obviously $(P, H)$ is a scroll over $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. By the theory in [F1], this implies $(P, H) \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}, H_{\alpha}+H_{\beta}\right)$.
(1.5) Theorem. If $g(M, A)=1$, then $(M, \mathscr{E})$ is one of the following:

1) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq 2 H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$.
2) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ is the tangent bundle of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$.
3) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$.
4) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq\left[H_{\alpha}+H_{\beta}\right] \oplus\left[H_{\alpha}+H_{\beta}\right]$.
5) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{3}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$.

Proof. By (1.3), $(M, A)$ cannot be a scroll. So $(M, A)$ is a Del Pezzo manifold. Moreover, by (1.3), the two-dimensional rung $S$ of $(M, A)$ contains no exceptional curve. Hence $S \simeq \mathbf{P}^{2}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. This implies $(M, A) \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}, 3 H_{\alpha}\right)$, $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}, 2 H_{\alpha}+2 H_{\beta}\right)$ or $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{3}, 2 H_{\alpha}\right)$ by [F2].

If $n=2$, we have $g(P, H)=1$ by (1.2). So $(P, H)$ is also a Del Pezzo manifold. Since $b_{2}(P) \geqq 2$ and $\operatorname{dim} P \geqq 3$, using the theory in [F2] we infer that $P$ is either

1) the blowing-up of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ at a point,
2) a general member of $\left|H_{\alpha}+H_{\beta}\right|$ on $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{2}$,
3) $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{2}$ or
4) $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\tau}^{1}$.

In these cases we easily see that $(M, \mathscr{E})$ is of the corresponding type in the statement of the theorem.

If $(M, A) \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{3}, 2 H_{\alpha}\right)$, then $\mathscr{E}_{f} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ for any line $\ell$ in $M$. So $\mathscr{E} \simeq$ $H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$. Thus we are in case 5).

Remark. In case 5), $(P, H)$ is the Segre product of $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{3}, H_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}, H_{\beta}\right)$. So $g(P, H)=0$.

## §2. The case $\boldsymbol{g}=\mathbf{2}$

(2.1) In this section we assume $g(M, A)=2$. First we study the case $n=2$.
(2.2) $d(P, H)=H^{r+1}=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=c_{1}(\mathscr{E})^{2}-c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$. Since $c_{2}>0$ by [BG], we have $A^{2}=d(P, H)+c_{2} \geqq 2$.
(2.3) In view of (1.3), (2.2) and the classification theory of polarized surfaces of sectional genus two (see $[\mathrm{F} 6 ; \S 4]$ ), we infer that $(M, A)$ is one of the following types:

1) $K \sim 0$ and $A^{2}=2$.
2) $M$ is a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle over an elliptic curve $C, A F=2$ for any fiber $F$ and $A^{2}=4$.
3) $\quad M$ is as above and $A F=A^{2}=3$.
4) $-K$ is ample, $A=-2 K$ and $K^{2}=1 . \quad A^{2}=4$.
$\left.5_{0}\right) \quad M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$ and $A=2 H_{\alpha}+3 H_{\beta} . \quad A^{2}=12$.
$5_{1}$ ) $M$ is the blowing-up $\Sigma_{1}$ of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ at a point and $A=4 H_{\alpha}-2 E$, where $E$ is the exceptional curve. $A^{2}=12$.

The case 1) will be studied after the others. See (2.11).
(2.4) Suppose that $(M, A)$ is of the type (2.3; 2). Then $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{C}(\mathscr{F})$ for some vector bundle $\mathscr{F}$ of rank two over $C$ with $f=c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=0$ or 1 . Moreover, by [F6; (4.5)], $A=2 H(\mathscr{F})+\rho^{*} B$ for some $B \in \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ with $b=\operatorname{deg} B=1-f$, where $\rho$ is the map $M \rightarrow C$ and $H(\mathscr{F})$ is the tautological line bundle on $\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{F})$.

Since $A F=2$, we have $\mathscr{E}_{X} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ for every fiber $X$ of $\rho$. Hence $\mathscr{G}=$ $\rho_{*}(\mathscr{E} \otimes[-H(\mathscr{F})])$ is a locally free sheaf of rank two on $C$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq \rho^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$. Clearly $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=B, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=c_{1}(\mathscr{G}) H(\mathscr{F})+H(\mathscr{F})^{2}=1$ and $d(P, H)=H^{3}=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3$.

Since $\mathscr{E} \simeq \rho^{* \mathscr{G}} \otimes H(\mathscr{F}),(P, H)$ can be viewed as a scroll over $N=\mathbf{P}_{C}(\mathscr{G})$. More precisely, $P \simeq \mathbf{P}_{N}\left(\mathscr{F}_{N} \otimes H(\mathscr{G})\right)$ and $H$ is the tautological line bundle on it. Furthermore, $P$ is the fiber product of $M$ and $N$ over $C$.

We claim that $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ are semistable. By the above symmetry it suffices to consider $\mathscr{F}$ only. Let $Q$ be a quotient line bundle of $\mathscr{F}$ and set $q=c_{1}(Q)$. Then $\rho$ has a section $Z$ with $H(\mathscr{F}) Z=q$. Since $0<A Z=2 q+1-f$, we have $q>0$ if $f=1$, and $q \geqq 0$ if $f=0$. This implies the claim.
(2.5) Conversely, let $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G}$ be semistable vector bundles of rank two over an elliptic curve $C$ with $\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{F}), c_{1}(\mathscr{G})\right)=(1,0)$ or $(0,1)$. Then $\mathscr{E}=\rho^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$ is an ample vector bundle on $M=\mathbf{P}_{C}(\mathscr{F})$ of the type (2.3; 2).

This is clear except the ampleness of $\mathscr{E}$. We should show the ampleness of $H(\mathscr{E})$ on $P=\mathbf{P}_{M}(\mathscr{E})$. We may assume $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=1$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ by symmetry. Then $H(\mathscr{F})$ is ample on $M$ and $H(\mathscr{G})$ is nef on $N=\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{G})$ by the theory in [ $M ; \S 3$ ]. So we easily see that $H(\mathscr{F})+H(\mathscr{G})=H(\mathscr{E})$ is ample on $P$. Thus we complete the proof.
(2.6) Suppose that $(M, A)$ is of the type $(2.3 ; 3) . \quad$ By $[\mathrm{F} 6 ;(4.5)], M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{C}(\mathscr{F})$ for some indecomposable vector bundle $\mathscr{F}$ of rank two over $C$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=1$ and $A=3 H(\mathscr{F})-F$ for some fiber $F$ of $\rho: M \rightarrow C$. We have $r \leqq A F=3$ by (1.3). Note that $\mathscr{F}$ is stable.

When $r=3$, similarly as in (2.4), we see $\mathscr{E} \simeq \rho^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$ for some vector bundle $\mathscr{G}$ of rank three on $C$. So $c_{1}\left(\rho^{*} \mathscr{G}\right)=-F, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=2 c_{1}(\mathscr{G}) H(\mathscr{F})+3 H(\mathscr{F})^{2}$ $=1$ and $d(P, H)=H^{4}=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=2$.

Let $Q$ be any quotient bundle of $\mathscr{G}$ of rank one. Then $Q+H(\mathscr{F})$ is an ample
line bundle since it is a quotient of $\mathscr{E}$. Restricting to a section $Z$ of $\rho$ with $H(\mathscr{F}) Z=1$, we get $\operatorname{deg}(Q) \geqq 0$. We have $t=\operatorname{deg}(T)<0$ for any subbundle $T$ of $\mathscr{G}$ of rank one. Indeed, $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}=\rho^{*}(\mathscr{G} / T) \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$ is ample since it is a quotient of $\mathscr{E}$. Hence $0<c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=(2 H(\mathscr{F})-(t+1) F)^{2}=-4 t$. Combining these observations we conclude that $\mathscr{G}$ is stable.

Conversely, for any stable vector bundle $\mathscr{G}$ of rank three with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-1$ on $C, \mathscr{E}=\rho^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$ is an ample vector bundle of the type considered here. This is obvious except the ampleness. To see the ampleness, note that $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{E})$ is the fiber product of $M$ and $N=\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{G})$ over $C$, and that $H(\mathscr{E})=H(\mathscr{F})_{P}+H(\mathscr{G})_{P}$. By the theory in [ $M ; \S 3], 2 H(\mathscr{F})-F$ is nef on $M$ and $3 H(\mathscr{G})+F^{\prime}$ is nef on $N$, where $F^{\prime}$ is a fiber of $N \rightarrow C$. Hence $6 H(\mathscr{E})-F=3(2 H(\mathscr{F})-F)_{P}+2\left(3 H(\mathscr{G})+F^{\prime}\right)_{P}$ is nef on $P$. So $H(\mathscr{E})$ is ample.
(2.7) Next we consider the case $r=2$. Then $\mathscr{E}_{X} \simeq \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ for any fiber $X$ of $\rho$. So $\mathscr{G}=\rho_{*}(\mathscr{E} \otimes[-2 H(\mathscr{F})])$ is an invertible sheaf on $C$. We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \rho^{* \mathscr{G}} \otimes[2 H(\mathscr{F})] \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[Q] \rightarrow 0$ for some line bundle $Q$. Then $Q=\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{E})-\rho^{*} \mathscr{G}-2 H(\mathscr{F})=H(\mathscr{F})+\rho^{*} T$ for some $T \in \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ with $\operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{G})+$ $\operatorname{deg}(T)=-1$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(T) \geqq 0$ since $Q$ is ample. On the other hand $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=$ $\left(\rho^{*} \mathscr{G}+2 H(\mathscr{F})\right)\left(H(\mathscr{F})+\rho^{*} T\right)=2+2 \operatorname{deg}(T)+\operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{G})=1+\operatorname{deg}(T)$ and $0<$ $s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3-c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$. So $\operatorname{deg}(T)=0$ or 1 . Thus:

1) $\operatorname{deg}(T)=1, \operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{G})=-2, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=2$ and $H^{3}=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$, or
2) $\operatorname{deg}(T)=0, \operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{G})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$ and $H^{3}=2$.

Remark. Both types seem to exist really. But we have troubles in showing the ampleness of $\mathscr{E}$.
(2.8) Suppose that $(M, A)$ is of the type (2.3; 4). By (1.3), rank $(\mathscr{E})=2$ since $A E=2$ for any exceptional curve $E$ on $M$. We easily see that $\mathscr{E}$ is $A$-semistable. We have $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1,2$ or 3 since $4=A^{2}=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})+s_{2}(\mathscr{E})$.
(2.8.1) In case $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$, we have $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=0=c_{2}(\mathscr{F})$ for $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{E} \otimes[K]$. So $\chi(\mathscr{F})=2$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem. We have $h^{2}(\mathscr{F})=h^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\check{v}}\right)=h^{0}(\mathscr{E} \otimes[2 K])$ and $h^{0}(M,-K)=2$. Therefore $2 \leqq h^{0}(\mathscr{F})=h^{0}\left(P, H+\pi^{*} K\right)$. For any member $D$ of $\left|H+\pi^{*} K\right|$, we have $H^{2} D=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})+s_{1}(\mathscr{E}) K=1$. Hence $D$ is irreducible and reduced since $H$ is ample. So $\operatorname{dim}\left(D \cap D^{\prime}\right)<2$ for any other member $D^{\prime}$ of $\left|H+\pi^{*} K\right|$. We have $H D D^{\prime}=H\left(H+\pi^{*} K\right)^{2}=0$. Hence $D \cap D^{\prime}=\varnothing$ since $H$ is ample. So $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are disjoint sections of $\pi$. This implies $\mathscr{F} \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}[-K] \oplus \mathcal{O}[-K]$.
(2.8.2) In case $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=2$, we have $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=1$ for $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{E} \otimes[K]$. So $\chi(\mathscr{F})=1$. We have also $h^{2}(\mathscr{F})=h^{0}(\mathscr{E} \otimes[2 K]) \leqq h^{0}(\mathscr{F})$. Hence $h^{0}(\mathscr{F})>0$ and we have $D \in\left|H+\pi^{*} K\right|$. Then $H^{2} D=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})+s_{1}(\mathscr{E}) K=0$, contradicting the ampleness of $H$. Thus this case is ruled out.
(2.8.3) In case $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3$, we have $H^{3}=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$ and $\chi(\mathscr{E})=2$. Since $h^{2}(\mathscr{E})=h^{0}(\mathscr{E} \otimes[3 K])$, we infer $h^{0}(\mathscr{E}) \geqq 2$, so $\operatorname{dim}|H| \geqq 1$. In fact we have
$\operatorname{dim}|H|=1$. Indeed, otherwise, $\Delta(P, H) \leqq 1$ and hence $b_{2}(P) \leqq 2$ by [F2; Part III]). This contradicts $b_{2}(M)=9$.

For any $D \in|H|$, we have $H^{2} D=1$, so $D$ is irreducible and reduced. Moreover, for any other member $D^{\prime}$ of $|H|$, the scheme theoretical intersection $Z$ of $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ is an irreducible reduced curve of arithmetic genus two with $H Z=1$. By definition of the Segre class, $C=\pi(Z)$ represents $s_{1}(\mathscr{E})$, so $C \in|A|$. For every fiber $X$ of $\pi$ over $x \in C$, either $D \cap X$ or $D^{\prime} \cap X$ is a simple point because otherwise $X \subset Z$. Ths implies $Z \simeq C$. This section $Z$ of $\pi$ over $C$ yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles over $C$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ is identified with the restriction of $H$ to $Z \simeq C . \quad$ So $\operatorname{deg}(2)=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{F})=3$.

Let $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ be the section in $H^{0}(M, \mathscr{E}) \simeq H^{0}(P, H)$ corresponding to $D$ and $D^{\prime}$. Then the restrictions of them in $H^{0}\left(C, \mathscr{E}_{C}\right)$ come from $H^{0}(C, \mathscr{F})$ by construction. Thus they define members $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ of $|\mathscr{F}|$. Clearly $x \in \operatorname{Supp}(Y)$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset D$. In particular $Y \cap Y^{\prime}=\varnothing$. The structure of $D$ is related to $Y$ as follows:

If $Y$ consists of three different points (this is the case if $D$ is a general member of $|H|)$, then $\pi_{D}: D \rightarrow M$ is the blowing-up at $Y$ since $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3$. We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[E_{Y}\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[\pi_{D}^{*} A-E_{Y}\right] \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on $D$, where $E_{Y}$ is the exceptional divisor over $Y$ consisting of three ( -1 )-curves.

If $Y=p_{1}+2 p_{2}$ for some $p_{1} \neq p_{2}$, let $M_{2}$ be the blowing-up of $M$ at $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. The strict transform of $C$ meets the $(-1)$-curve $E_{2}$ over $p_{2}$ at a point $p_{3}$. Let $M_{3}$ be the blowing-up of $M_{2}$ at $p_{3}$. Then the strict transform $E_{2}^{\prime}$ of $E_{2}$ is a ( -2 )-curve. Contracting $E_{2}^{\prime}$ to an ordinary double point we obtain $D$.

If $Y=3 p_{1}$, let $M_{1}$ be the blowing-up of $M$ at $p_{1}$ and let $E_{1}$ be the exceptional curve over $p_{1}$. Let $p_{2}$ be the meeting point of $E_{1}$ and the strict transform of C. Let $M_{2}$ be the blowing-up of $M_{1}$ at $p_{2}$ and let $E_{2}$ be the exceptional curve. Let $p_{3}$ be the meeting point of $E_{2}$ and the strict transform of $C$. Let $M_{3}$ be the blowing-up of $M_{2}$. Then the strict transforms of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ on $M_{3}$ are $(-2)$-curves meeting transversally at a point. Contracting them to a rational double point of type $A_{2}$, we obtain $D$.

Having these observations in mind, we will now show that such a vector bundle does really exist. We take three points $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ on $M$ in a generic position. Since $h^{0}(M, A)=4$, there is a unique member $C$ of $|A|$ containing $Y=$ $\bigcup p_{i}$. Let $D$ be the blowing-up of $M$ at $Y$ and let $E_{Y}$ be the exceptional divisor. Then $h^{1}\left(D, 2 E_{Y}-A_{D}\right)=h^{1}\left(D,[-K]_{D}-E_{Y}\right)=1$ since $Y$ is in a generic position. Moreover, for any general element $e$ of $H^{1}\left(D, 2 E_{Y}-A_{D}\right)$, the restriction of $e$ to $E_{i}$, the $(-1)$-curve over $p_{i}$, is not zero for each $i$, because $0=h^{1}\left(D,[-K]_{D}-E_{1}-\right.$ $\left.E_{2}\right)=h^{1}\left(D,[-K]_{D}-E_{2}-E_{3}\right)=h^{1}\left(D,[-K]_{D}-E_{3}-E_{1}\right)$. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[E_{Y}\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}\left[A_{D}-E_{Y}\right] \rightarrow 0$ be the extension of vector bundles on $D$ induced by $e$. The restriction of it to $E_{i}$ is a non-trivial extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow 0$, so the restriction $\mathscr{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ to $E_{i}$ is trivial. Hence $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}$ is the pull-back of a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $M$. Obviously $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=A, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3$ and $h^{0}(E)=\chi(\mathscr{E})=2$. Thus it suffices to show the ampleness of $\mathscr{E}$.

Note that $\operatorname{dim}|-K|=1$ and every member $F$ of $|-K|$ is irreducible and
reduced since $(-K) F=1$ and $-K$ is ample. So there are only finitely many singular members of $|-K|$ and each of them has only finite singular points. Let $S$ be the union of all such singular points of members of $|-K|$. Since $Y$ is in a generic position, $C$ is a general member of $|A|$. So we may assume that $S \cap C=\varnothing$ and $C$ is smooth.

Let $J$ be the Jacobian variety of $C$ and we fix a point $o$ on $C$. For any divisor $X$ in $M$, we define $v(X)=\left[X_{C}-(X C) o\right] \in J$. This depends only on the linear equivalence class of $X$, and gives a mapping $v: \operatorname{Pic}(M) \rightarrow J$. The image $N$ of $v$ is a countable subset of the abelian variety $J$.

For any triple $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$ of positive integers, let $\mu_{m}: C \times C \times C \rightarrow J$ be the morphism defined by $\mu_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left[m_{1} x_{1}+m_{2} x_{2}+m_{3} x_{3}-\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.m_{3}\right) o$ ]. Note that $\mu=\mu_{(1,1,1)}$ factors through the symmetric product $C \times C \times$ $C / S_{3}$ of $C$, which is a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle over $J$.

We claim that $\mu_{m}$ is smooth at $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ if $a_{i}$ 's are three different points on $C$. To see this, set $b=\mu_{m}(a)$ and let $d \mu_{m}: T_{C \times C \times C, a} \simeq T_{C, a_{1}} \oplus T_{C, a_{2}} \oplus T_{C, a_{3}} \rightarrow T_{J, b}$ be the differential. Write $d \mu_{m}=\delta_{1} \oplus \delta_{2} \oplus \delta_{3}$ for $\delta_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T_{C, a_{i}}, T_{J, b}\right)$. Let $\mu^{\prime}$ be the map defined by $\mu^{\prime}(x)=\mu(x)-\mu(a)+b \in J$ and let $d \mu^{\prime}=\delta_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{2}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{3}^{\prime}$ be its differential at $a$. Then $\delta_{i}=\mathrm{m}_{i} \delta_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $a_{i}^{\prime}$ 's are different, $C \times C \times C$ is étale at $a$ over the symmetric product. So $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are smooth at $a$. Hence the images of $\delta_{i}^{\prime}$ generate $T_{J, b}$, and so do the images of $\delta_{i}=m_{i} \delta_{i}^{\prime}$. This implies that $d \mu_{m}$ is surjective, as desired.

From this claim we infer that every fiber of $\mu_{m}$ is a curve for any $m$. So $J_{3}=\bigcup_{m} \mu\left(\mu_{m}^{-1}(N)\right)$ is a union of countably many curves.

For any pair $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ of positive integers, let $\mu_{k}: C \times C \rightarrow J$ be the morphism defined by $\mu_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[k_{1} x_{1}+k_{2} x_{2}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) o\right]$. Then, similarly as before, we infer that $\mu_{k}^{-1}(b)$ is finite for every $b \in J$ except $b=[\omega-2 o]$, where $\omega$ is the canonical bundle of $C$. Therefore $N^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in C \times C\left|x_{1}+x_{2} \notin\right| \omega \mid\right.$ and $\mu_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in N$ for some $\left.k\right\}$ is a countable set. Let $f: C \times C \times C \rightarrow C \times C$ be the projection and let $J_{2}=\mu\left(f^{-1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then $J_{2}$ is a union of countably many curves.

Now, since $p_{i}$ 's are in a generic position, we may assume $\mu\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \notin J_{2} \cup J_{3}$. We may assume also $\mathrm{Bs}|Y|=\varnothing$ on $C$. We will now prove that $H=H(\mathscr{E})$ is ample on $P=\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{E})$.

We will derive a contradiction assuming $H X=0$ for some curve $X$ in $P$. Since $h^{0}(P, H)=h^{0}(M, \mathscr{E})=2$, there is a member $D^{\prime}$ of $|H|$ such that $D^{\prime} \cap X \neq \varnothing$. Then $X \subset D^{\prime}$ since $H X=0$. Let $Y^{\prime}=p_{1}^{\prime}+p_{2}^{\prime}+p_{3}^{\prime}$ be the member of $|Y|$ corresponding to $D^{\prime}$. Then $D^{\prime}$ is obtained from $M$ by several belowing-ups over $Y$, possibly followed by a contraction yielding a rational double point. In any case $D^{\prime} \cap D=Z$ is the strict transform of $C$ on $D^{\prime}$. Since $H X=0$, we have $Z \cap X=\varnothing$. So $\pi(Z) \cap \pi(X) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$.

If $\pi(X) \cap C$ consists of three points, then so does $Y^{\prime}$ and $\pi(X)_{C}=m_{1} p_{1}^{\prime}+$ $m_{2} p_{2}^{\prime}+m_{3} p_{3}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$. This implies $\mu_{m}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}\right) \in N$ for $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$ and $\mu\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}\right) \in J_{3}$. On the other hand, $\mu\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ since $Y^{\prime}=Y$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$. This contradicts $\mu\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \notin J_{3}$.

If $\pi(X) \cap C$ consists of two points, set $\pi(X)_{C}=k_{1} p_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2} p_{2}^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}=p_{1}^{\prime}+$ $p_{2}^{\prime}+p_{3}^{\prime}$. We have $p_{1}^{\prime}+p_{2}^{\prime} \notin|\omega|$ because otherwise $p_{3}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Bs}\left|Y^{\prime}\right|=\mathrm{Bs}|Y|$. Hence $\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in N^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\mu\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}\right) \in J_{2}$, contradicting the choice of $Y$.

If $\pi(X)$ meets $C$ at only one point $p^{\prime}$, we claim that $Y^{\prime}$ is of the form $2 p^{\prime}+q^{\prime}$ for some $q^{\prime} \in C$ (possibly $q^{\prime}=p^{\prime}$ ). Indeed, otherwise, $D^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the blowingup $M_{1}$ of $M$ at $p^{\prime}$ over a neighborhood of $p^{\prime}$. So the strict transforms $X_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ of $\pi(X)$ and $C$ on $M_{1}$ do not meet. On the other hand, the member $F$ of $|-K|$ passing $p^{\prime}$ is smooth at $p^{\prime}$ since $S \cap C=\varnothing$. The strict transform $F_{1}$ of $F$ on $M_{1}$ is a member of $\left|-K-E^{\prime}\right|$ with $F_{1}^{2}=0$, where $E^{\prime}$ is the exceptional curve and $K$ denotes the pull-back of $K$ by abuse of notation. Then $C_{1}=-K+F_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $0=X_{1} C_{1} \geqq-K \cdot \pi(X)>0$. Thus we infer $Y^{\prime}=2 p^{\prime}+q^{\prime}$. This implies $\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \in$ $N^{\prime}$ as before and hence $\mu\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\mu\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}\right) \in J_{2}$, a contradiction.

Now we have proved $H X>0$ for any curve $X$ in $P$. We have $H^{2} D^{\prime}=H Z=1$ for any member $D^{\prime}$ of $|H|$. For any irreducible surface $W$ of $P$, there is a member $D^{\prime}$ of $|H|$ such that $D^{\prime} \cap W \neq \varnothing$. So $H^{2} W=H D^{\prime} W>0$. Of course $H^{3}=1$. Conseuently $H$ is ample by Nakai's criterion.
(2.9) Suppose that $(M, A)$ is of the type $\left(2.3 ; 5_{0}\right)$. For any fiber $F$ of $f: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$, we have $A F=2$. So $r=2$ and $\mathscr{E}_{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. Hence $\mathscr{E} \simeq f^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes\left[H_{\alpha}\right]$ for some vector bundle $\mathscr{G}$ on $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$. Restricting to a fiber of $M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1}$, we infer that $\mathscr{G}$ is ample. So $\mathscr{G} \simeq \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. Thus we conclude $\mathscr{E} \simeq\left[H_{\alpha}+2 H_{\beta}\right] \oplus\left[H_{\alpha}+\right.$ $\left.H_{\beta}\right]$. Hence $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3$ and $s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=9$.
(2.10) Suppose that $(M, A)$ is of the type $\left(2.3 ; 5_{1}\right)$. Set $L=H_{\alpha}$. There is a morphism $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$ such that $f^{*} H_{\beta}=L-E$ and every fiber $F$ of $f$ is $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Since $A F=2$, we infer $\mathscr{E}_{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ for any $F$. So $\mathscr{E} \simeq f^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes L$ for some vector bundle $\mathscr{G}$ on $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$. Since $E$ is a section of $f$ and $L_{E}=0, \mathscr{G}$ can be identified with $\mathscr{E}_{E}$. In view of $A E=2$, we infer $\mathscr{G} \simeq H_{\beta} \oplus H_{\beta}$. So $\mathscr{E} \simeq[2 L-E] \oplus[2 L-E]$. Hence $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3$ and $s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=9$.

Remark. The polarized manifold $(P, H)$ is the Segre product of $\left(\Sigma_{1}, 2 L-E\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1}, H_{\alpha}\right)$. On the other hand, $(P, H)$ is a scroll over $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$ via the morphism $i_{\alpha} \times f$, where $i_{\alpha}$ is the identity of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1}$. This gives a vector bundle of the type (2.9). Thus, the polarized manifold $(P, H)$ in case (2.9) is isomorphic to that here. This can be viewed also as a hyperquadric fibration over $\mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$. Compare [F6; (3.29)].
(2.11) From now on, we study the case $(2.3 ; 1)$. We have $2=(K+A) A=$ $A^{2}=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})+s_{2}(\mathscr{E})$. Therefore $\quad d(P, H)=s_{2}(\mathscr{E})=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$. Hence $\quad \chi(M, \mathscr{E})=$ $r \chi(M, \mathcal{O})$ by Riemann-Roch theorem.

We have also $h^{2}(M, \mathscr{E})=0$. Indeed, otherwise, there would be a non-trivial homomorphism $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M}[K]$ by Serre duality. This is impossible since $\mathscr{E}$ is ample and $K \sim 0$. Thus $h^{0}(M, \mathscr{E}) \geqq \chi(M, \mathscr{E})=r \chi(M, \mathcal{O})$.
(2.12) We will derive a contradiction assuming $\chi(M, \mathcal{O})>0$. By classification theory $M$ is a K3-surface or an Enriques surface.

If $M$ is K3, we have $h^{0}(P, H)=h^{0}(M, \mathscr{E}) \geqq 2 r$. So $\Delta(P, H)=(r+1)+1-$ $h^{0}(P, H) \leqq 2-r . \quad$ By the theory of $\Delta$-genus we infer $r=2$ and $(P, H) \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$. This is absurd.

If $M$ is Enriques, let $\tilde{M}$ be the universal covering of $M$. Let the pull-backs to $\tilde{M}$ be denoted by ${ }^{\sim}$. We have $h^{2}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{E})=0$ similarly as in (2.11). So $h^{0}(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})=$ $h^{0}(\tilde{M}, \mathscr{E}) \geqq \chi(\tilde{M}, \widetilde{E})=2 \chi(M, \mathscr{E})=2 r$. Since $d(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})=2$, this implies $\Delta(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})=$ $r+3-h^{0}(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H}) \leqq 3-r \leqq 1$.

When $\Delta(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})=0$, then $(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})$ is a hyperquadric. So $b_{2}(\widetilde{P})=1$. This is absurd since $\tilde{P}$ is a scroll over $\tilde{M}$.

When $\Delta(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})=1$, we infer from $d(\widetilde{P}, \tilde{H})=2$ that $\widetilde{P}$ is a double covering of $\mathrm{P}^{r+1}$ with $\tilde{H}$ being the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (see [F2]). Then, by $[F 3 ;(3.11)], b_{2}(\widetilde{P})=$ 1 , yielding a contradiction.
(2.13) Thus we have $\chi(M, \mathcal{O}) \leqq 0$. By the classification theory $M$ is a complex torus or a hyperelliptic surface. In the latter case, the Albanese variety is an elliptic curve and the Albanese mapping makes $M$ a fiber bundle over it with all fibers being isomorphic to an elliptic curve. Moreover $b_{2}(M)=2$.
(2.14) Lemma. Let $f: S \rightarrow C$ be an analytic fiber bundle over a curve $C$ with all fibers being isomorphic to an elliptic curve. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be an ample locally free sheaf on $S$ of rank $r \geqq 2$ such that $c_{1}(\mathscr{F}) X=1$ for every fiber $X$ of $f$. Then

1) $\mathscr{L}=f_{*} \mathscr{F}$ is an invertible sheaf on $C$ with $\delta=\operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{L})>0$,
2) $\mathscr{C}=\operatorname{Coker}\left(f^{*} \mathscr{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}\right)$ is locally free,
3) $\mathscr{G}=f_{*} \mathscr{C}$ is an invertible sheaf of degree $\delta$,
4) $f$ has a section $Z$ such that $\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{F})=\mathcal{O}_{S}\left[Z+f^{*} B\right]$ for some line bundle $B$ on $C$ of degree $r \delta$, and
5) $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})^{2}=2 r \delta$.

Proof. We have $h^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{F}_{X}\right)=c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{X}\right)=1$ for any fiber $X$. Moreover, any section of $\mathscr{F}_{X}$ comes from a trivial subbundle of $\mathscr{F}_{X}$. So $\mathscr{L}$ is invertible and $\mathscr{C}$ is locally free. We have also $h^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{F}_{X}\right)=0$ and $R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{F}=0$. So, using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow f^{*} \mathscr{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \rightarrow 0$, we infer $f_{*} \mathscr{C} \simeq\left(R^{1} f_{*}\right)\left(f^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)$. Let $N$ be a line bundle on $C$ such that $f^{*} N$ is the relative canonical bundle of $f$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(N)=$ 0 since $f$ is an analytic fiber bundle. By the Grothendieck duality we have $\left(\left(R^{1} f_{*}\right)\left(f^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)\right)^{\wedge} \simeq f_{*}\left(\left(f^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)^{\vee} \otimes f^{*} N\right) \simeq \mathscr{L}^{\vee} \otimes N$. Putting things together we ob$\operatorname{tain} f_{*} \mathscr{C} \simeq \mathscr{L} \otimes N^{*}$, which implies 3).

In order to show 4), we use the induction on $r$. If $r=2, \mathscr{C}$ is invertible and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathscr{C}_{X}\right)=1$. So the support $Z$ of $\operatorname{Coker}\left(f^{* \mathscr{G}} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}\right)$ is a section of $f$ and $\mathscr{C}=$ $f^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes[Z]$. Hence $\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{F})=f^{*}(\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{G}) \otimes[Z]$, as desired. If $r>2$, we apply the induction hypothesis to $\mathscr{C}$. So $\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{C})=\mathscr{O}\left[Z+f^{*} U\right]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(U)=(r-1) \delta$ by 3). Since $\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{F})=\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{C}) \otimes f^{*} \mathscr{L}$, we get 4$)$ for $\mathscr{F}$.

By the adjunction formula we have $(Z+N)_{Z}=0$. So $Z^{2}=0$, which implies 5). Finally we get $\delta>0$ since $\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{F})$ is ample. Thus we complete the proof of the lemma.
(2.15) Lemma. Let $f: S \rightarrow C$ be the Albanese fibration of a hyperelliptic surface $S$ and let $A$ be an ample line bundle on $S$ with $A^{2}=2$. Then $|A|$ contains a member of the form $Z+X$, where $Z$ is a section of $f$ and $X$ is a fiber of $f$.

Proof. It is known (cf., e.g., [BPV]) that there exists a curve $Y$ in $S$ such that the restriction $f_{Y}$ of $f$ to $Y$ is étale and is of degree $\mu \leqq 3$. Among such curves we choose and fix a curve $Y$ where $\mu$ attains the minimum. Note that $Y^{2}=0$.

Since $h^{0}(S, A)=\chi(S, A)=1$, the member $D$ of $|A|$ is unique. Let $X$ be a fiber of $f$. Then $X$ and $Y$ form a basis of $H^{2}(S ; \mathbf{Q})$ since $b_{2}(S)=2$. Set $\mu A \sim y X+x Y$. Then $y=A Y, x=A X$ and $x y=\mu \leqq 3$.

We will derive a contradiction assuming $x>1$. This implies $x=\mu$ and $y=1$. We claim that $D$ is irreducible and reduced. Indeed, otherwise, $D=$ $D_{1}+D_{2}$ for some prime divisors $D_{1}, D_{2}$ with $A D_{1}=A D_{2}=1$. We may assume $Y D_{1}=1$ and $Y D_{2}=0$ since $Y D=A Y=1$. Then $D_{1}$ is not a fiber of $f$ because $\mu>1$. So $X D_{1}>0$. Hence $X D_{2}<A X=\mu$. On the other hand $X D_{2}>0$ since $Y+t X$ is ample for $t \gg 0$. From $Y^{2}=Y D_{2}=0$ we infer $D_{2}{ }^{2} \leqq 0$ by index theorem. But $S$ contains no rational curve and hence $D_{2}{ }^{2} \geqq 0$. So $D_{2}{ }^{2}=0$. Hence $D_{2} \rightarrow C$ is étale and of degree $<\mu$, contradicting the choice of $Y$. Thus we prove that $D$ is prime.

Let $S^{\prime}$ be the fiber product of $S$ and $Y$ over $C$. Then $G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / C)$ is a cyclic group of order $\mu$. For each $\tau \in G$, let $\sigma_{\tau}: Y \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ be the morphism induced by the inclusion $Y \rightarrow S$ and $f_{Y} \circ \tau: Y \rightarrow C$. Let $Y_{\tau}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{\tau}\right)$. They are $\mu$ disjoint sections of $f^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$. We have $D^{\prime} Y_{\tau}=1$ for the pull-back $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ since $A Y=1$.

If $S^{\prime}$ is a complex torus, then $Y_{\tau}^{\prime}$ 's are subtori and $Q=S^{\prime} / Y_{\tau}$ is an elliptic curve. Moreover $D^{\prime}$ is a section of $S^{\prime} \rightarrow Q$ since $D^{\prime} Y_{\tau}=1$. This is absurd since $\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{2}>0$ and $g\left(D^{\prime}\right)>1$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ is hyperelliptic and $f^{\prime}$ is the Albanese fibration of it .

For any fiber $X^{\prime}$ of $f^{\prime}$, we have $D^{\prime} \sim X^{\prime}+\sum_{\tau \in G} Y_{\tau}$ since $b_{2}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2$. Hence $D^{\prime}-X^{\prime}-\sum_{\tau} Y_{\tau}$ comes from $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y)$. Hence $D^{\prime}=\sum_{\tau} Y_{\tau}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Let $X$ be the image of $X^{\prime}$ in $S$. Then $X^{\prime} \simeq X$ and the above relation implies $D_{X}=Y_{X}$ in Pic $(X)$. Thus $(A-Y)_{X}=0$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ for every fiber $X$ of $f$. Hence $\mathscr{L}=f_{*}(\mathcal{O}[A-Y])$ is invertible on $C, \mathcal{O}[A-Y]=f^{*} \mathscr{L}$ and $1=Y(A-Y)=$ $\mu \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{L})$. This contradicts $\mu>1$.

Now we conclude $x=1$. So $y=\mu$. Moreover $h^{0}\left(X, A_{X}\right)=1$ for every fiber $X$ and hence $\mathscr{L}=f_{*}(\mathcal{O}[A])$ is invertible on $C$. The support $Z$ of $\operatorname{Coker}\left(f^{*} \mathscr{L} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathcal{O}[A]$ ) is a section of $f$ and $Z+X^{\prime \prime} \in|A|$ for $X^{\prime \prime} \in\left|f^{*} L\right|$. We have $A Z=A X^{\prime \prime}=1$ and hence $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a fiber of $f$. Thus we complete the proof.
(2.16) Suppose that $M$ is hyperelliptic in (2.13). Let $f: M \rightarrow C$ be the Albanese fibration. Then, by (2.15), $A X=1$ for any fiber $X$ of $f$. So $2=A^{2}=$ $2 r \delta$ by (2.14; 5). This contradicts $r \geqq 2$. Thus we conclude that $M$ is a complex torus.
(2.17) Since $h^{0}(M, A)=\chi(M, A)=1$, there is a unique member $C$ of $|A|$. We claim that $C$ is a smooth curve of genus two.

Indeed, otherwise, $C=X+Y$ for some elliptic curves $X, Y$ with $X^{2}=Y^{2}=0$ and $X Y=1$. Then $X$ is a subtorus and $Q=M / X$ is an elliptic curve (in fact $M \simeq X \times Y)$. So, applying (2.14) to $f: M \rightarrow Q$, we obtain a contradiction.

Thus $M$ is the Jacobian variety of $C$.
(2.18) Before classifying vector bundles of the above type, we exhibit here examples (cf. [S]).

Let $o$ be a point on a smooth curve $C$ of genus two. Let $N_{n}=C \times \cdots \times C$ be the product of $n$-copies of $C$ and let $P_{n}=N_{n} / S_{n}$ be the symmetric product. Let $M$ be the Jacobian variety of $C$ and let $\pi_{n}: P_{n} \rightarrow M$ be the morphism induced by the Albanese mapping of $(C, o)$. Thus, $\pi_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the class of $x_{1}+\cdots+$ $x_{n}-n o$ in Pic $(C)$. It is well-known that $\pi_{n}$ is a $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$-bundle for $n>2$ and $\pi_{2}$ is the blowing-up at the point $p=[\omega-2 o] \in M$.

Let $f_{i}: N_{n} \rightarrow C$ be the $i$-th projection and set $\Delta_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{*} o$. Let $D_{n}$ be the divisor on $P_{n}$ whose pull-back to $N_{n}$ is $\Delta_{n}$. Then $D_{n}^{n}=1$ and $D_{n}$ is ample since so is $\Delta_{n}$ on $N_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}^{n}=n!$. Moreover $D_{n} \simeq P_{n-1}$ and the restriction of $\pi_{n}$ to $D_{n}$ is identified with $\pi_{n-1}$.

Let $H_{n}$ be the line bundle $\mathcal{O}\left[D_{n}\right]$ on $P_{n}$. We claim that the restriction of $H_{n}$ to $D_{n} \simeq P_{n-1}$ is identified with $H_{n-1}$. Indeed, $N_{n-1} \simeq f_{i}^{-1}(o)$ and the pull-back of $H_{n}$ via $f_{i}^{-1}(o) \rightarrow D_{n}$ is the restriction of $\left[\Delta_{n}\right]$, so it is [ $\Delta_{n-1}$ ]. Going down via $N_{n-1} \rightarrow D_{n}$, we prove the claim.

For any $y \in M, D_{n} \cap \pi_{n}^{-1}(y)$ is a hyperplane in $\pi_{n}^{-1}(y) \simeq \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ unless $n=3$ and $y=p$. Hence $\left(P_{n}, H_{n}\right)$ is a scroll over $M$ and $\mathscr{E}_{n-1}=\left(\pi_{n}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}\left[H_{n}\right]$ is a vector bundle of rank $n-1$ for $n>2$. The section in $H^{0}\left(P_{n}, H_{n}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(M, \mathscr{E}_{n-1}\right)$ defining $D_{n}$ yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{n-2} \rightarrow 0$ by the above claim. As for $\mathscr{E}_{2}$, we have $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mu^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[D_{2}\right] \rightarrow 0$, where $\mu: P_{2} \rightarrow M$ is the blowing-up at $p, F$ is the exceptional curve over $p$ and $D_{2} \simeq P_{1} \simeq C$.

Now we see $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{r}\right)=s_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{r}\right)=\left[\mu\left(D_{2}\right)\right]=\left[\pi_{1}\left(P_{1}\right)\right]$ and $c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}_{r}\right)=1=s_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}_{r}\right)$. Thus $\mathscr{E}_{r}$ is a vector bundle of the type in question. This will be called the Jacobian bundle of rank $r$ of $(C, o)$ and will be denoted by $\mathscr{E}_{r}(C, o) . \quad\left(P_{n}, H_{n}\right)=$ $\left(\mathbf{P}\left(\mathscr{E}_{n-1}\right), \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$ will be called the Jacobian scroll of $(C, o)$.
(2.19) We continue to study vector bundles of the type (2.17).

Lemma. Let $\mu: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be a birational morphism and let 2 be a quotient bundle of $\mu^{*} \mathscr{E}$. Then $H^{2}\left(M^{\prime}, \mathscr{Q} \otimes N^{\prime}\right)=0$ for any $N^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. We have $h^{2}\left(\mathscr{2} \otimes N^{\prime}\right) \leqq h^{2}\left(\mu^{*} \mathscr{E} \otimes N^{\prime}\right)=h^{2}(M, \mathscr{E} \otimes N), \quad$ where $\quad N \in$ $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(M) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu^{*} N=N^{\prime}$. If this is not zero, we have a non-zero homomorphism $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow N^{\wedge}$ by Serre duality. This is impossible since $\mathscr{E}$ is ample.
(2.20) Lemma. Let $p$ be a point on $M$ and let $\mu: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be the blowing-up at $p$. Then there is no vector bundle 2 on $M^{\prime}$ satisfying the following properties:

1) $q=\operatorname{rank}(2)>1$.
2) $\mathscr{2}$ is a quotient bundle of $\mu^{*} \mathscr{E}$.
3) $c_{1}(2)=\mu^{*} A-E_{p}$, where $E_{p}$ is the exceptional curve over $p$.
4) $c_{2}(2)=0$.
5) $h^{0}(2)=h^{1}(2)>0$.

Proof. We will derive a contradiction from these conditions. We use the induction on $q$. Suppose that $q=2$. We have a non-zero homomorphism $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathscr{2}$ by 5). Let $\mathscr{Q}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the dual and let $\mathscr{I}$ be the image of it. Let $M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be the blowing-up of $\mathscr{I}$ and let $F$ be the divisor defined by the pull-back of $\mathscr{I}$. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mathscr{2}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[A^{\prime \prime}-E_{p}^{\prime \prime}-F\right] \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on $M^{\prime \prime}$, where the symbol " denote the pull-back to $M^{\prime \prime}$. Then $0=c_{2}\left(\mathscr{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $F\left(A-E_{p}-F\right)$, where we omit the symbol " for the sake of convenience. We consider the restriction of the above sequence over a general member $\Gamma$ of $|t A|$ for $t \gg 0$. Then $A\left(A-E_{p}-F\right)>0$ since $A-E_{p}-F$ is a quotient of the ample vector bundle $\mathscr{E}_{\Gamma}$. Moreover, $A-E_{p}-F$ is nef on $M^{\prime \prime}$ since it is a quotient of $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore $F\left(A-E_{p}-F\right)=0$ implies $0 \leqq F\left(A-E_{p}\right)=F^{2} \leqq 0$ by the index theorem. Thus $\left(A-E_{p}\right) F=F^{2}=0$. Since $\left(A-E_{p}\right)^{2}=1$, this implies $F \sim 0$ by the index theorem. Hence $\mathscr{I}=\mathcal{O}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}=M^{\prime}$. We have $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[A-$ $\left.E_{p}\right] \rightarrow 0$ on $M^{\prime}$. Recall that $A-E_{p}$ is nef since it is a quotient of $\mu^{*} \mathscr{E}$. Hence $H^{1}\left(E_{p}-A\right)=0$ by the vanishing theorem. Therefore the above sequence splits and $h^{2}(2) \geqq h^{2}(\mathcal{O})=1$. This contradicts (2.19).

Now we consider the case $q \geqq 3$. Similarly as before, there is a birational morphism $M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$, an effective divisor $F$ on $M^{\prime \prime}$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}[F] \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{V} \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on $M^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\mathscr{V}$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}$, we have $c_{2}(\mathscr{V}) \geqq 0$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{V})=A-E_{p}-F$ is nef (see Appendix). So $0=c_{2}\left(\mathscr{Q}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $c_{2}(\mathscr{V})+c_{1}(\mathscr{V}) F \geqq 0$ and hence $0=c_{2}(\mathscr{V})=c_{1}(\mathscr{V}) F=F\left(A-E_{p}-F\right)$. Similarly as before we obtain $A\left(A-E_{p}-F\right)>0$, hence $F^{2} \leqq 0$, so $0=\left(A-E_{p}\right) F=F^{2}$ and $F=0$. Therefore $M^{\prime \prime}=M^{\prime}$. It is then clear that $\mathscr{V}$ satisfies 2), 3) and 4). So $\chi(\mathscr{V})=0$ by the Riemann-Roch theroem. Hence $h^{0}(\mathscr{V})=h^{1}(\mathscr{V})$ by (2.19). Moreover $h^{1}(\mathscr{V}) \geqq h^{2}(\mathcal{O})=1$ since $h^{2}\left(\mathscr{Q}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ by (2.19). Thus $\mathscr{V}$ satisfies 5) too, contradicting the induction hypothesis.
(2.21) Lemma. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an ample vector bundle on $M$ such that $r=$ rank $(\mathscr{E})>1, \operatorname{det}(\mathscr{E})=A, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$ and $h^{0}(\mathscr{E})>0$. Then

1) $h^{0}(\mathscr{E})=h^{1}(\mathscr{E})=1$, and
2) the natural mapping $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{`}\right) \otimes H^{0}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ is injective, where $\mathscr{E}^{\curvearrowleft}$ is the dual bundle of $\mathscr{E}$.

Proof. We use the induction on $r$.
i) When $r=2$, similarly as in (2.20), there are a birational morphism $\mu$ : $M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M$, an effective divisor $F$ on $M^{\prime \prime}$ and an exact sequence

$$
\text { (\#) } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[A^{\prime \prime}-F\right] \rightarrow 0
$$

of vector bundles on $M^{\prime \prime}$, where the symbol " denote the pull-back to $M^{\prime \prime}$. We obtain $A^{\prime \prime}\left(A^{\prime \prime}-F\right)>0$ by restricting over a general member of $|t A|$ for $t \gg 0$.
ii) Assume that $A^{\prime \prime} F>0$. Then $A^{\prime \prime} F=1$ since $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=2$. So $Z=\mu_{*} F$ is prime since $A Z=A^{\prime \prime} F=1$. Moreover $(A-2 Z) A=0$ implies $0 \geqq(A-2 Z)^{2}=$
$4 Z^{2}-2$ by the index theorem. Hence $Z^{2}=0$ and $Z$ is a subtorus of $M$. This yields a contradiction as in (2.17). Thus we conclude $A^{\prime \prime} F=0$.
iii) We have $F^{2}=-1$ since $1=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=F\left(A^{\prime \prime}-F\right)$. Hence we may assume that $\mu$ is the blowing-up at a point $p$ on $M$ and $F$ is the exceptional curve over $p$.

Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime \prime}}[F] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, we get $h^{i}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)=$ $h^{i}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime \prime}}\right)=h^{i}\left(M, \mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ for any $i$. So, by the exact sequence (\#), we have $h^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}-F\right) \geqq h^{2}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)=1$ since $h^{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ by (2.19). On the other hand $\chi\left(M^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}-F\right)=0$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem. Hence $h^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}-F\right)>0$. This implies that $p$ is a point on the unique member $C$ of $|A|$. The strict transform $Y$ of $C$ is the unique member of $\left|A^{\prime \prime}-F\right|$.
iv) Let $e \in H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, 2 F-A^{\prime \prime}\right)=H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F-Y\right)$ be the extension class of the exact sequence (\#). Then $e_{F} \in H^{1}(F, \mathcal{O}(-2))$ is not zero since $\mathscr{E}_{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is trivial. On the other hand $\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F-Y\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(F, \mathcal{O}(-2))=H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime},-Y\right)=0\right.$ by Ramanujam's vanishing theorem. Hence $H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F-Y\right)$ is one-dimensional and $e$ is a generator of it.
v) Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F-Y] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}[F] \rightarrow 0$ be the natural exact sequence. Using the long exact sequence we infer that $H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F-Y\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)$ is injective since $h^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F-Y\right)=0, h^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)=1$ and $h^{0}(Y, F)=1$. Hence $H^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, Y\right) \otimes$ $H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F-Y\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)$ is injective. So the mapping $H^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, Y\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)$ induced by $(\#)$ is injective. This implies $h^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)=h^{0}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)=1$ and $h^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$.
vi) Since $H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{O}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)$, the mapping $\varphi: H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ induced by (\#) is essentially the Serre dual of $H^{1}\left(M, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(M, \mathcal{O})$ induced by a generator of $H^{0}(\mathscr{E}) \simeq \mathbf{C}$. By the preceding observations we see that $\varphi$ is surjective. Combining them we prove 2 ).
vii) Now we consider the case $r>2$. We claim that there is an exact sequence

$$
(\# \#) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow 0
$$

of vector bundles on $M$.
Indeed, since $h^{0}(\mathscr{E})>0$, there are a birational morphism $\mu: M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M$, an effective divisor $F$ on $M^{\prime \prime}$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on $M^{\prime \prime}$. It suffices to derive a contradiction assuming $F \neq 0$. We have $A^{\prime \prime}\left(A^{\prime \prime}-F\right)>0$ as in i), and $A^{\prime \prime} F=0$ exactly as in ii). This implies $F^{2}<0$ by the index theorem. So $1=c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)=c_{2}(2)+F\left(A^{\prime \prime}-F\right)=c_{2}(\mathscr{2})-F^{2}$. On the other hand $c_{2}(\mathscr{2}) \geqq 0$ (see Appendix). Hence $F^{2}=-1$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{Q})=0$. So we may assume that $\mu$ is the blowing-up at a point and $F$ is the exceptional curve. Since $H^{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$, we have $h^{1}(\mathscr{2}) \geqq h^{2}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, F\right)=1$. Now we get a contradiction to (2.20).
viii) Since $c_{1}(\mathscr{2})=A$ and $c_{2}(2)=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$, we have $\chi(2)=0$ by RiemannRoch theorem. We have also $h^{1}(\mathscr{Q}) \geqq h^{2}(\mathcal{O})-h^{2}(\mathscr{E})=1$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, $h^{0}(\mathscr{Q})=h^{1}(\mathscr{Q})=1$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{Q}^{\breve{ }}\right) \otimes H^{0}(\mathscr{Q}) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ is injective.
ix) Let $e \in H^{1}\left(\mathscr{Q}^{`}\right)$ be the extension class of the exact sequence (\#\#). The mapping $H^{0}(\mathscr{2}) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ in the long exact sequence is injective since $e$ is a generator of $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{Q}^{`}\right)$. Hence $h^{0}(\mathscr{E})=h^{1}(\mathscr{E})=1$, proving 1). Moreover, the map-
ping $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathscr{E})$ in the long exact sequence is surjective and is the Serre dual of $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ induced by $\varphi \in H^{0}(\mathscr{E})$ giving (\#\#). From this we obtain 2).

Thus we complete the proof of (2.21).
(2.22) Lemma. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an ample vector bundle as in (2.21). Then there is a point $o$ on $C$ such that $\mathscr{E} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{r}(C, o)$.

Proof. We use the induction on $r$. When $r=2$, for some point $p$ on $C$, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mu^{*} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[\mu^{*} A-F\right] \rightarrow 0$ as in (2.21), where $\mu: M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M$ is the blowing-up at $p$ and $F$ is the exceptional curve. Let $o$ be the point on $C$ such that $p+o$ is a canonical divisor on $C$. Then, by (2.18), we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[F] \rightarrow \mu^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2}(C, o) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left[\mu^{*} A-F\right] \rightarrow 0$. Since $h^{1}\left(2 F-\mu^{*} A\right)$ $=1$, these sequences are isomorphic and hence $\mathscr{E} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{2}(C, o)$. When $r>2$, let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow 0$ be the sequence (\#\#) in (2.21; vii). By the induction hypothesis $\mathscr{2} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{r-1}(C, o)$ for some point $o$ on $C$. So (\#\#) is isomorphic to $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{r}(C, o) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{r-1}(C, o) \rightarrow 0$ in (2.18) since $h^{1}\left(\mathscr{Q}^{`}\right)=1$. Thus we prove the lemma.
(2.23) Now we come back to the situation (2.17). We claim $h^{0}(\mathscr{E} \otimes N)>0$ for some $N \in M^{*}$, the Picard scheme $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(M)$ of $M$.

We will derive a contradiction assuming the contrary. Let $L$ be the Poincaré bundle on $M \times M^{*}$ and let $f$ (resp. $g$ ) be the projection onto $M$ (resp. $M^{*}$ ). Set $\mathscr{F}=f^{*} \mathscr{E} \otimes L$. Since $\chi(\mathscr{E} \otimes N)=0$ by Riemann-Roch theorem, we have $h^{q}(\mathscr{E} \otimes$ $N)=0$ for any $q \geqq 0$ and $N \in M^{*}$ by assumption and (2.19). So $R^{q} g_{*} \mathscr{F}=0$ and hence $H^{q}\left(M \times M^{*}, \mathscr{F}\right)=0$ for any $q$.
Let $o$ be the origin of $M$ regarded as the Picard scheme of $M^{*}$. Set $M_{x}^{*}=$ $f^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in M$ and let $L_{x}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{x}$ ) be the restriction of $L$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}$ ) to $M_{x}^{*}$. Then $h^{q}\left(M_{x}^{*}, L_{x}\right)=0$ for any $q$ if $x \neq 0$. So $h^{q}\left(M_{x}^{*}, \mathscr{F}_{x}\right)=0$ since $\mathscr{F}_{x}$ is a direct sum of $L_{x}$ 's. Hence $R^{q} f_{*} \mathscr{F}=0$ on $M-\{o\}$. We have in fact $\operatorname{Supp}\left(R^{2} f_{*} \mathscr{F}\right)=$ $\{o\}$ since $h^{2}\left(M_{o}^{*}, \mathscr{F}_{o}\right)=r h^{2}\left(M_{o}^{*}, L_{o}\right)=r$. Now, using the Leray spectral seuqnce of $\mathscr{F}$ with respect to $f$, we infer $h^{2}\left(M \times M^{\prime \prime}, \mathscr{F}\right)=h^{0}\left(M, R^{2} f_{*} \mathscr{F}\right)>0$, contradicting the preceding observation. Thus we prove the claim.

Combining this claim and (2.22), we infer $\mathscr{E} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{r}(C, o) \otimes N$ for some point $o$ on $C$ and a numerically trivial line bundle $N$ on $M$. So $(P, H) \simeq\left(P_{r+1}(C, o)\right.$, $\left.H_{r+1}(C, o) \otimes \pi^{*} N\right)$, where $\left(P_{r+1}(C, o), H_{r+1}(C, o)\right)$ is the Jacobian scroll of $(C, o)$ as in (2.18).
(2.24) Now we study the case $n=\operatorname{dim} M \geqq 3$ and $g(M, A)=2$. Let $D_{1}, \ldots$, $D_{n-2}$ be general members of $|t A|$ for $t \gg 0$ and let $S=\bigcap_{j} D_{j}$. Then $S$ is a smooth surface and $\mathscr{E}_{S}$ is ample. Hence $A^{n-2} c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=t^{2-n} c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}_{S}\right)>0$. Similarly $A^{n-2} s_{2}(\mathscr{E})>$ 0 . So $A^{n}=\left(c_{2}(\mathscr{E})+s_{2}(\mathscr{E})\right) A^{n-2} \geqq 2$. In addition, by (1.3), we have $A C \geqq r \geqq 2$ for any rational curve $C$ in $M$. Therefore, using [F6; (1.10)], we infer that $M$ is a double covering of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{n}$ with branch locus being a smooth hypersurface of degree six and $A$ is the pull-back of $H_{\alpha}$. The intersection $T$ of $(n-2)$ general members of
$|A|$ is a K3-surface. The restriction $\mathscr{E}_{T}$ is ample and $g\left(T, \operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{E}_{T}\right)\right)=2$. This contradicts (2.12).

Thus, the case $n \geqq 3$ is ruled out.
(2.25) Summarizing we obtain the following

Theorem. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an ample vector bundle of rank $r \geqq 2$ on a manifold $M$ of dimension $n \geqq 2$. Suppose that $g(M, A)=2$ for $A=\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{E})$. Then $n=2$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied. The associated scroll of $(M, \mathscr{E})$ is denoted by $(P, H)$ below.

1) $M$ is the Jacobian variety of a smooth curve $C$ of genus two and $\mathscr{E} \simeq$ $\mathscr{E}_{r}(C, o) \otimes N$ for some numerically trivial line bundle $N$ on $M$, where $\mathscr{E}_{r}(C, o)$ is the Jacobian bundle for some point o on $C(c f .(2.18)) . \quad A^{2}=2$ and $H^{r+1}=1$.
2) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}(\mathscr{F})$ for some stable vector bundle $\mathscr{F}$ of rank two on an elliptic curve $C$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=1$. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M}\left[2 H(\mathscr{F})+\rho^{*} G\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{M}\left[H(\mathscr{F})+\rho^{*} T\right] \rightarrow 0$, where $G$ and $T$ are line bundles on $C$ and $\rho$ is the morphism $M \rightarrow C . \quad A^{2}=3$ and we have either
$2-\mathrm{i}) \quad \operatorname{deg}(T)=1, \operatorname{deg}(G)=-2$ and $H^{3}=1$, or
2-ii) $\operatorname{deg}(T)=0, \operatorname{deg}(G)=-1$ and $H^{3}=2(c f$. (2.7)).
$\left.2^{\#}\right) \quad M, \mathscr{F}, C$ and $\rho$ are as in 2) and $\mathscr{E} \simeq \rho^{* \mathscr{G}} \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$ for some stable vector bundle $\mathscr{G}$ of rank three on $C$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-1 . \quad A^{2}=3$ and $H^{4}=2(c f .(2.6))$.
3) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}(\mathscr{F})$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq \rho^{*} \mathscr{G} \otimes H(\mathscr{F})$ for some semistable vector bundles $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ of rank two on an elliptic curve $C$, where $\rho$ is the morphism $M \rightarrow C$. Moreover $\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{F}), c_{1}(\mathscr{G})\right)=(1,0)$ or $(0,1) . \quad P$ is the fiber product of $\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{F})$ and $\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{G})$ over $C . \quad A^{2}=4$ and $H^{3}=3(c f$. (2.4)).
4) $-K$ is ample, $K^{2}=1$ and $A=-2 K . \quad M$ is the blowing-up of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at eight points. Moreover we have either

4-a) $\mathscr{E} \simeq[-K] \oplus[-K]$ and $H^{3}=3$, or
4-b) $\quad c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=3, r=2$ and $H^{3}=1(c f .(2.8))$.
$\left.5_{0}\right) \quad M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{1}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq\left[H_{\alpha}+2 H_{\beta}\right] \oplus\left[H_{\alpha}+H_{\beta}\right] . \quad A^{2}=12$ and $H^{3}=9$ (cf. (2.9)).
$\left.5_{1}\right) \quad M$ is the blowing-up of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ at a point and $\mathscr{E} \simeq\left[2 H_{\alpha}-E\right] \oplus\left[2 H_{\alpha}-E\right]$, where $H_{\alpha}$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $E$ is the exceptional curve. $A^{2}=12$ and $H^{3}=9(c f .(2.10))$.

Remark. The existence of a vector bundle of the above type 2 ) is uncertain. The others do really exist.

## §3. $\mathcal{O}(1)$-sectional genus

(3.1) For an ample vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ of rank $r \geqq 2$ on a manifold $M$, the $\mathcal{O}(1)$-sectional genus is defined to be $g(P, H)$, where $P=\mathbf{P}(\mathscr{E})$ and $H$ is the tautological line bundle on it. As a part of classification theory of polarized manifolds of small sectional genera (cf. [F5] and [F6]), we get the following results. Proofs are easy and omitted.
(3.2) Theorem $g(P, H)=0$ if and only if either

1) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1}$, or
2) $\quad M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{n}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$.
(3.3) Theorem. $g(P, H)=1$ if and only if
3) $M$ is an elliptic curve,
4) $\quad M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq 2 H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$,
5) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{\alpha}$,
6) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ is the tangent bundle, or
7) $M \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}_{\tau}^{1}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq\left[H_{\sigma}+H_{\tau}\right] \oplus\left[H_{\sigma}+H_{\tau}\right]$.
(3.4) Theorem. $g(P, H)=2$ if and only if
8) $M$ is a smooth curve of genus two,
9) $(M, \mathscr{E})$ is of one of the types in (2.25), or
10) $\quad M$ is a hyperquadric in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ and $\mathscr{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$.

## Appendix. Chern classes of semipositive vector bundles

Definition. A vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on a variety $V$ is said to be semipositive if the tautological line bundle $H(\mathscr{E})$ on $\mathbf{P}_{V}(\mathscr{E})$ is nef, i.e., $H(\mathscr{E}) C \geqq 0$ for any curve $C$ in $\mathbf{P}_{V}(\mathscr{E})$.

The following facts are obvious by definition.
(1) $f^{*} \mathscr{E}$ is semipositive for any morphism $f: W \rightarrow V$.
(2) Any quotient bundle of $\mathscr{E}$ is semipositive.
(3) $\mathscr{E} \otimes A$ is ample for any ample line bundle $A$.

Besides these, many (I should say most) results on ample vector bundles have semipositive versions. For example we have:

Theorem. $\quad c_{n}(\mathscr{E}) \geqq 0$ for $n=\operatorname{dim} V$.
This fact is well-known among experts, but I do not know a good reference. So we give here a proof since we use this in the text.

Proof of the theorem. By base change we may assume that $V$ is smooth, projective and hence is a submanifold of $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{N}$ with homogeneous coordinate ( $\alpha_{0}: \cdots$ : $\alpha_{N}$ ). We may further assume that the hyperplane section $D_{i}=V \cap\left\{\alpha_{i}=0\right\}$ is smooth for each $i$ and that $D=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{N}$ has no singularity other than normal crossings. Suppose that $c_{n}(\mathscr{E})<0$. Then $\sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{n-j}(\mathscr{E}) H_{\alpha}^{j} / m^{j}<0$ for some large integer $m$. Let $f: \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}^{N}$ be the morphism defined by $f\left(\beta_{0}: \cdots: \beta_{N}\right)=$ $\left(\beta_{0}^{m}: \cdots: \beta_{N}^{m}\right)$. Then $M=f^{-1}(V)$ is smooth and $f^{*} H_{\alpha}=m H_{\beta}$. Hence $c_{n}\left(\mathscr{E}_{M} \otimes H_{\beta}\right)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{n-j}\left(\mathscr{E}_{M}\right) H_{\beta}^{j}<0$ by the choice of $m$. This contradicts [BG] since $\mathscr{E}_{M} \otimes H_{\beta}$ is ample on $M$ by (1) and (3). Thus we conclude $c_{n}(\mathscr{E}) \geqq 0$.

Corollary. $\quad c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{E})$ is nef.
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