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In this short note, modeling on the beautiful example of Fornæss [2], we produce, for any given integer $q \geq 1$, a complex manifold $M$ which is an increasing union of $q$-complete open submanifolds $\left\{M_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \in \mathrm{N}}$ such that $M$ itself fails to be $q$-complete.

For $q=1$, we regain Fornæss' example, however, with a different proof.
To proceed, we recall some definitions [1].
Let $M$ be a complex manifold (always countable at infinity) of dimension $n$. A function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbf{R})$ is said to be $q$-convex if the Levi form of $\varphi$ computed in local coordinates has at least $n-q+1$ strictly positive eigenvalues.
$M$ is said to be $q$-complete (resp. $q$-convex) if it carries a smooth exhaustion function $\varphi$ (i. e., such that the sublevel set $\{x \in M \mid \varphi(x)<c\}$ is relatively compact in $M$ for any $c \in \mathbf{R}$ ) which is $q$-convex on the whole space $M$ (resp. outside a compact subset of $M$ ).

A typical situation in our set-up is :
Example 1. Let $L$ be a linear subspace of codimension $q$ of the complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. Then $\mathbf{P}^{n}-L$ is $q$-complete.

Proof. Indeed, without any loss of generality, we may take $L=\left\{w_{p+1}=\cdots\right.$ $\left.=w_{n}=0\right\}, p:=n-q$, where $\left[w_{0}: \ldots: w_{n}\right]$ are the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. We check that $\varphi: \mathbf{P}^{n}-L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by

$$
\varphi(w):=\log \frac{\left|w_{0}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|w_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}}, \quad w \in \mathbf{P}^{n}-L
$$

is $q$-convex and exhaustive.
Since the exhaustion property is obvious, it remains to show the $q$-convexity. To verify this pass to non-homogeneous coordinates and check that the function $\psi: \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
\phi(z)=\log \frac{1+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|z_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n-1}\right|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}
$$

is $q$-convex. But this is quite simple! To see this, we let $F \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be the complex

[^0]vector subspace given by $F:=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \mid \xi_{p+1}=\cdots=\xi_{n-1}=0\right\}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} F=n-q$ +1 and for any $z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}, \xi \in F, \xi \neq 0$, one can verify readily that $L(\psi, z) \xi>0$.

Less trivial examples are produced by the next
Lemma 1. Let $E \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be a complex vector subspace of codimension $q \geq 1$ and $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(k)}$ some points of $E$. Let $X$ be the blowing-up of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ at $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(k)}$ and $E^{\prime}$ the proper transform of $E$. Then $X-E^{\prime}$ is $q$-complete.

Proof. Without losing the generality, we may suppose $E=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \mid z_{p+1}=\right.$ $\left.\cdots=z_{n}=0\right\}$ where $p:=n-q \geq 1$. We divide the proof into two steps.

STEP I. Here we deal with the case $k=1$. Set $a^{(1)}=\left(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1 p}, 0, \ldots\right.$, $0)$. Consequently, $X$ has the following description:

$$
X=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \left\lvert\, \frac{z_{1}-a_{11}}{w_{1}}=\cdots=\frac{z_{p}-a_{1 p}}{w_{p}}=\frac{z_{p+1}}{w_{p+1}}=\cdots=\frac{z_{n}}{w_{n}}\right.\right\} .
$$

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be the canonical map induced by the projection $\mathbf{C}^{n} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Moreover, $E^{\prime}=\left\{(z, w) \in X \mid w_{p+1}=\cdots=w_{n}=0\right\}$. Now set $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{a^{(1)}}: X-E^{\prime}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
\varphi_{1}(z, w):=\log \frac{\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|w_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}}, \quad(z, w) \in X-E^{\prime} .
$$

Since $X-\pi^{-1}\left(a^{(1)}\right) \simeq \mathbf{C}^{n}-\left\{a^{(1)}\right\}$, we have

$$
\varphi_{1}(z, w)=\log \frac{\left\|z-a^{(1)}\right\|^{2}}{\left|z_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}, \quad(z, w) \in X-\pi^{-1}\left(a^{(1)}\right) .
$$

By the above example, $\varphi_{1}$ is $q$-convex. Further, we let $\sigma: \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \sigma(z)=\|z\|^{2}$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$. Then since, $\pi$ is proper, $\varphi_{1}+\sigma \circ \pi$ defines the $q$-completeness of $X-E^{\prime}$.

STEP II. Let $k \geq 2$ and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be the canonical proper map. Define $\theta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}-E, \mathbf{R}\right)$ by $\theta(z):=\log \left(\left|z_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right), z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}-E$. Note that by definition $X-\pi^{-1}\left(\left\{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(k)}\right\}\right) \simeq \mathbf{C}^{n}-\left\{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(k)}\right\}$. Put now $E_{j}^{\prime}:=E^{\prime} \cup$ $\cup \pi^{-1}\left(a^{(i)}\right)$ and $\theta_{j} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}-\left\{a^{(j)}\right\}, \mathbf{R}\right)$ by $\theta_{j}(z):=\log \left\|z-a^{(j)}\right\|^{2}, z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}, z \neq a^{(j)}$, ${ }_{1}^{i \neq j} \leq_{j} \leq_{k}$. Then, as in Step I we can produce $\varphi_{j} \in C^{\infty}\left(X-E_{j}^{\prime}, \mathbf{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\varphi_{j}=\theta_{j} \circ \pi-\theta \circ \pi \text { on } X-\widetilde{E}
$$

where $\widetilde{E}=\pi^{-1}(E)=E^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \pi^{-1}\left(a^{(i)}\right)$.
We shall show that the function $\varphi_{1}+\cdots+\varphi_{k}+(k-1) \theta \circ \pi$ extends over $\widetilde{E}$ $-E^{\prime}$, and moreover, it defines a function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(X-E^{\prime}, \mathbf{R}\right)$.

Indeed, this is a local question on $\pi^{-1}\left(a^{(j)}\right)-E^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, k$. Thus fix an index $j_{0} \in\{1, \cdots, k\}$ and $\left(z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}\right) \in \pi^{-1}\left(a^{(j 0)}\right)-E^{\prime}$, say $w_{n}{ }^{(0)} \neq 0$. Suppose, without any loss of generality that $a^{\left(j_{0}\right)}=(0, \ldots, 0)$. Hence, on a suitable neigh.
borhood of $\left(z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}\right)$ in $X-E^{\prime}$, we have a canonical coordinate system given by

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(t_{1} t_{n}, \ldots, t_{n-1} t_{n}, t_{n},\left[t_{1}: \ldots: t_{n-1}: 1\right]\right)=:(z(t), w(t))
$$

Now, for $t_{n} \neq 0$ we get

$$
\varphi(z(t), w(t))=\log \frac{1+\left|t_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|t_{n-1}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|t_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|t_{n-1}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{i \neq j 0} \log \left\|z(t)-a^{(i)}\right\|^{2}
$$

which clearly extends over $t_{n}=0$.
On the other hand, by ( $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ), it can be checked that $\varphi+\sigma \circ \pi$ is $q$-convex and exhaustive (Here we use simple facts like: the function

$$
\mathbf{C}^{n} \ni t \mapsto\left|t_{n}\right|^{2}+\log \frac{1+\left|t_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|t_{n-1}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|t_{p+1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|t_{n-1}\right|^{2}} \in \mathbf{R}
$$

is $q$-convex and that $\mathrm{t} \mapsto \log \left\|z(t)-a^{(i)}\right\|^{2}$ are plurisubharmonic for $i \neq j_{0}$ for $\|t\|$ small enough).

Consequently $X-E^{\prime}$ is $q$-complete.
Here we produce the above mentioned example.
Let $n \geq q+1$ and consider $E$ a complex vector subspace of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ of codimension $q$. Take an arbitrary sequence of mutually distinct points $\left\{a^{(\nu)}\right\}_{\nu \in \mathrm{N}}$ in $E$ that converges to the origin $0 \in \mathbf{C}^{n}, a^{(\nu)} \neq 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let $X$ be the blowing-up of $\mathbf{C}^{n}-\{0\}$ at this sequence (that is a smooth 0 -dimensional closed submanifold) and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}-\{0\}$ the blowing-up map. Thus, $X$ is an $n$-dimensional connected complex manifold. Let $E^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $E$.

We make the following
Claim. $M:=X-E^{\prime}$ is an increasing union of $q$-complete open submanifolds $\left\{M_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}}$, and $M$ is not $q$-complete.

Indeed, let $X_{\nu}$ be the blowing-up of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ at the points $\left\{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(\nu)}\right\}, \nu \geq 1$, and $\pi_{\nu}: X_{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ the blowing-up maps. Set $E_{\nu}^{\prime}:=$ the proper transform of $E$. Then, $X_{\nu}-E_{\nu}^{\prime}$ can be viewed, canonically, as an open subset $M_{\nu}$ of $M$, that, by Lemma 1 , is $q$-complete. Further, it is evident that the sequence $\left\{M_{\nu}\right\}$ increases to $M$.

To conclude the claim, it remains to show that $M$ itself is not $q$-complete (As a matter of fact it is not even $q$-convex).

In order to do this, assume that $M$ carries a $q$-convex exhaustion function $\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Let $F \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be a $q$-dimensional complex vector subspace, $E \cap F=$ $\{0\}$. (Hence $E \oplus F=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ )

Put $F_{\nu}:=\left\{a^{(\nu)}\right\}+F, \nu \geq 1$. It gives a sequence of affine parallel $q$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ that converges to $F$. Fix also $K \subset F$ a compact neighborhood of the origin in $F$. Let $F^{\prime}{ }_{\nu} \subset X$ be the proper transform
of $F_{\nu}$ through $\pi, \nu \geq 1$. Then $F^{\prime}{ }_{\nu} \cap E^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $F^{\prime}{ }_{\nu}$ is a closed $q$-dimensional complex submanifold of $X-E^{\prime}=M$. Note that $\pi$ induces $\left.\pi\right|_{F_{i}:} F^{\prime}{ }_{\nu} \rightarrow F_{\nu}$ the blowing-up of $F_{\nu}$ at the point $a^{(\nu)}$.

Now, define in $M$ two sequences of compact sets $\left\{K_{\nu}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{\nu}\right\}, \nu \in \mathbf{N}$, by

$$
K_{\nu}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\left\{a^{(\nu)}\right\}+K\right) \cap F_{\nu}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{\nu}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\left\{a^{(\nu)}\right\}+\partial K\right) .
$$

Here, the boundary of $K$ is taken in $F$. Thus, in $F^{\prime}{ }_{\nu}, \partial K_{\nu}=\Gamma_{\nu}$.
Now, we have that $\cup \Gamma_{\nu}$ is relatively compact in $M$; since $\cup\left(\left\{a^{(\nu)}\right\}+\partial K\right)$ is relatively compact in $\mathbf{C}^{n}-E$, and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}-\{0\}$ is proper.

On the other hand, since $\psi$ is $q$-convex and $F_{\nu}^{\prime}$ is a $q$-dimensional (non-compact) complex manifold, $\left.\phi\right|_{F^{\prime},}$ fulfils the maximum principle. We get for any $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$ that

$$
\left.\phi\right|_{K_{\nu}} \leq \max _{\Gamma_{\nu}} \psi
$$

Therefore, $\cup K_{\nu}$ is also relatively compact in $M$ since $\psi$ is exhaustive.
But this is ridiculous! In fact, any sequence of points $\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu}$ with $x_{\nu} \in K_{\nu} \cap$ $\pi^{-1}\left(a^{(\nu)}\right) \simeq \mathbf{P}^{q-1}$ is discrete in $M$.

Remark. It was proved in [3] that an arbitrary complex manifold which is an increasing union of $q$-complete open subsets is always $2 q$-complete.

However, in our example, it can be checked that $M$ is $(q+1)$-complete.
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