## THE HÖRMANDER MULTIPLIER THEOREM, I: THE LINEAR CASE REVISITED

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS, DANQING HE, PETR HONZIK AND HANH VAN NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. We discuss  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for Fourier multiplier operators that satisfy the hypotheses of the Hörmander multiplier theorem in terms of an optimal condition that relates the distance  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|$  to the smoothness s of the associated multiplier measured in some Sobolev norm. We provide new counterexamples to justify the optimality of the condition  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{s}{n}$  and we discuss the endpoint case  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{s}{n}$ .

### 1. Introduction

To a bounded function  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we associate a linear multiplier operator

$$T_{\sigma}(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi) \sigma(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

where f is a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$  is its Fourier transform. The classical theorem of Mikhlin [12] (see also Stein [19]) states that if condition

(1) 
$$\left|\partial^{\alpha}\sigma(\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}|\xi|^{-|\alpha|}$$

holds for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  with size  $|\alpha| \leq [n/2] + 1$ , then  $T_{\sigma}$  admits a bounded extension from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself for all 1 .

Mikhlin's theorem was extended by Hörmander [10] to multipliers with fractional derivatives in some  $L^r$  space. To precisely describe this extension, let  $\Delta$  be the Laplacian, let  $(I - \Delta)^{s/2}$  denote the operator given on the Fourier

©2018 University of Illinois

Received December 2, 2016; received in final form November 17, 2017.

The first author was supported by the Simons Foundation and by the University of Missouri Research Board and Council. The third author was supported by the ERC CZ grant LL1203 of the Czech Ministry of Education.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B15, 42B20, 42B30, 42B99.

transform by multiplication by  $(1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$  and for s > 0, and let  $L_s^r$  be the standard Sobolev space of all functions h on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with norm

$$||h||_{L^r_s} := ||(I - \Delta)^{s/2}h||_{L^r} < \infty.$$

Let  $\Psi$  be a Schwartz function whose Fourier transform is supported in the annulus of the form  $\{\xi : 1/2 < |\xi| < 2\}$  which satisfies  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$  for all  $\xi \neq 0$ . Hörmander's extension of Mikhlin's theorem says that if  $1 < r \leq 2$  and s > n/r, a bounded function  $\sigma$  satisfies

(2) 
$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\widehat{\Psi}\sigma(2^k\cdot)\right\|_{L^r_s} < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $\sigma$  is uniformly (over all dyadic annuli) in the Sobolev space  $L_s^r$ , then  $T_\sigma$ admits a bounded extension from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself for all 1 , and is alsoof weak type (1,1). An endpoint result for this multiplier theorem involvinga Besov space was given by Seeger [17]. The least number of derivativesimposed on the multiplier in Hörmander's condition (2) is when <math>r = 2. In this case, under the assumption of  $n/2 + \varepsilon$  derivatives in  $L^2$  uniformly (over all dyadic annuli), we obtain boundedness of  $T_{\sigma}$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . It is natural to ask whether  $L^p$  boundedness holds for some p if s < n/2.

Calderón and Torchinsky [2] used an interpolation technique to prove that if (2) holds, then the multiplier operator  $T_{\sigma}$  is bounded from  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  to itself whenever p satisfies

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right| < \frac{s}{n}$$

and

(4) 
$$\left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \le \frac{1}{r}.$$

It is not hard to verify that if  $\sigma$  satisfies (2) and  $T_{\sigma}$  is bounded from  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  to itself, then we must necessarily have  $rs \geq n$ ; see Proposition 2.1. Thus,  $\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{s}{n}$  and comparing conditions (3) and (4) we notice that (4) restricts (3). On the other hand, if we only have conditions (2) and (3) for some r, s with rs > n,  $r \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $s \in (0, \infty)$ , then one can find an  $r_{o}$  such that  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{r_{o}} < \frac{s}{n}$  and  $r_{o} < r$ . In view of standard embeddings between Sobolev spaces<sup>1</sup> we obtain that

(5) 
$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\widehat{\Psi}\sigma(2^k\cdot)\right\|_{L_s^{r_o}} \le C \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\widehat{\Psi}\sigma(2^k\cdot)\right\|_{L_s^r} < \infty,$$

and thus we can deduce the boundedness of  $T_{\sigma}$  on  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  by the aforementioned Calderón and Torchinsky [2] result using the space  $L_{s^{\circ}}^{r_{\circ}}$ . So assumption (4) is not necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This could be proved via the Kato–Ponce inequality  $||FG||_{L_s^q} \leq C ||F||_{L_s^{q_1}} ||G||_{L_s^{q_2}}, 1/q = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$  with  $q = r_o$  and  $q_1 = r$ ; see [11], [8].

In this note, we show that (3) is optimal in the sense that within the class of multipliers  $\sigma$  for which (2) holds, if  $T_{\sigma}$  maps  $L^p$  to  $L^p$ , then we must necessarily have  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{s}{n}$ .

THEOREM 1.1. Fix  $1 < r < \infty$  and  $0 < s \leq \frac{n}{2}$  such that rs > n. Assume that (2) holds. Then  $T_{\sigma}$  maps  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  to  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{s}{n}$ . Moreover, if  $T_{\sigma}$  is bounded from  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  to itself for all  $\sigma$  such that (2) holds, then we must necessarily have  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{s}{n}$ .

The proof of the positive direction in Theorem 1.1 is mostly folklore, and is omitted. We only mention that the theorem could be proved via the interpolation result of Connett and Schwartz [5] or directly via the interpolation technique of Calderón and Torchinsky [2]; on this see also the presentation in Carbery, Gasper, and Trebels [3]. In this note, we focus on certain counterexamples related to the optimality of the hypotheses rs > n and  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{s}{n}$  of Theorem 1.1; these are in the spirit of the presentation of Chapter 4 in the book of Wolff [21].

On the line  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{r}$  there are positive results for 1 (see [16]) and for <math>p = 1 by Seeger [17]. In Section 3, we discuss a direct way to relate the results in the cases p = 1 and 1 via direct interpolation that yields the following result as a consequence of the main theorem in [17].

PROPOSITION 1.2. Given  $0 \le s \le \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $1 satisfy <math>|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{s}{n}$ , then we have

$$\|T_{\sigma}\|_{L^{p}\to L^{p,2}} \leq C \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\sigma\left(2^{k}\cdot\right)\widehat{\Psi}\right\|_{B^{s,1}_{\frac{n}{s}}}.$$

Here  $L^{p,2}$  denotes the Lorentz space of functions f for which  $t^{1/p}f^*(t)$  lies in  $L^2((0,\infty),\frac{dt}{t})$ , where  $f^*$  is the nondecreasing rearrangement of f; for the definition of the Besov space  $B_{n/s}^{s,1}$  see the last section. Other types of endpoint results involving  $L^p$  norms as opposed to  $L^{p,2}$  norms were provided by Seeger [18]. We are also aware of a direct proof of Proposition 1.2 based on weighted  $L^2$  inequalities as in Christ [4].

#### 2. Necessary conditions

In this section, we discuss examples that reinforce the minimality of the conditions on the indices in Theorem 1.1. One way to see this is to use the multiplier  $m_{a,b}(\xi) = \psi(\xi) |\xi|^{-b} e^{i|\xi|^a}$  where a > 0,  $a \neq 1$ , b > 0, and  $\psi$  is a smooth function which vanishes in a neighborhood of the origin and is equal to 1 for large  $|\xi|$ . One can verify that  $m_{a,b}$  satisfies (2) for s = b/a and r > n/s. But it is known that  $T_{m_{a,b}}$  is bounded in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 , if and only if <math>|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{b/a}{n}$  (see Hirschman [9, comments after Theorem 3c], Wainger [20, Part II], and Miyachi [13, Theorem 3]). Alternative examples were given in Miyachi and Tomita [14, Section 7].

In this section, we provide yet new examples to indicate the necessity of the indices in Theorem 1.1. We are not sure as to whether boundedness into  $L^p$ , or even weak  $L^p$ , is valid in general under assumption (2) exactly on the critical line  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{s}{n}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. If for all  $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\|\sigma(2^k\cdot)\Psi\|_{L^r_s(\mathbb{R}^n)}<\infty,$$

we have

(6) 
$$\|T_{\sigma}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})\to L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C_{p} \sup_{k} \|\sigma(2^{k}\cdot)\widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^{r}_{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \infty,$$

then we must necessarily have  $rs \ge n$  and  $\left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \le \frac{s}{n}$ .

Proof. First, we prove the necessary condition  $rs \ge n$ . Let  $\widehat{\zeta}$  be a smooth function supported in the ball B(0, 1/10) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\widehat{\phi}$  be supported in the ball B(0, 1/2) equal to 1 on B(0, 1/5). Define  $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{\zeta}(N(\xi - a))$  with |a| = 1, and  $\sigma(\xi) = \widehat{\phi}(N(\xi - a))$ . A direct calculation gives  $||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx N^{-n+n/p}$ and  $||\sigma||_{L^r_s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le CN^s N^{-n/r}$ ; for the last estimate see Lemma 2.2. Moreover,  $T_{\sigma}(f)(x) = N^{-n}\zeta(x/N)e^{2\pi i x \cdot a}$ . We thus obtain that  $||T_{\sigma}(f)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx$  $N^{-n+n/p}$ . Then (6) yields the inequality  $N^{-n+n/p} \le CN^s N^{-n/r} N^{-n+n/p}$ , which forces  $s - n/r \ge 0$  by letting N go to infinity. We note that the strict inequality in condition rs > n in Theorem 1.1 is necessary as there exist unbounded functions in  $L^r_{n/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , while Fourier multipliers are always bounded.

We now turn to the other necessary condition  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{s}{n}$ . By duality it suffices to prove the case when 1 . We will prove our result by constructing an example. We consider the case <math>n = 1 first while the higher dimensional case will be an easy generalization.

Let  $\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\varphi} \in \mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $0 \leq \widehat{\varphi} \leq \chi_{[-1/100, 1/100]}$  and

$$\chi_{[-1/10,1/10]} \le \psi \le \chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}$$

Therefore,  $\widehat{\psi}\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}$ . For a fixed large positive integer N, we define

(7) 
$$\widehat{f}_N(\xi) = \sum_{j=-N}^N \widehat{\varphi}(N\xi - j), \qquad \sigma_{N,t}(\xi) = \sum_{j \in J_N} a_j(t)\widehat{\psi}(N\xi - j),$$

where  $J_N = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{N}{2} \le |j| \le 2N\}$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ . Here  $\{a_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is the sequence of Rademacher functions indexed by all integers.

One can verify that  $T_{N,t}(f_N) =: (\sigma_{N,t}f_N)^{\vee} = (\sum_{j \in J_N} a_j(t)\widehat{\varphi}(N\xi - j))^{\vee}$ . Recall that Rademacher functions satisfy for any  $p \in (0, \infty)$ 

$$c_p \left\| \sum_j a_j(t) A_j \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \le \left( \sum_j |A_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \le C_p \left\| \sum_j a_j(t) A_j \right\|_{L^p([0,1])},$$

where  $c_p$  and  $C_p$  are constants. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_0^1 \left\|T_{N,t}(f_N)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\sum_{j\in J_N} a_j(t)N^{-1}\varphi(N^{-1}x)e^{2\pi i x j/N}\right|^p dx dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\approx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{j\in J_N} \left|N^{-1}\varphi(N^{-1}x)e^{2\pi i x j/N}\right|^2\right)^{p/2} dx\right)^{1/p} \\ &\approx N^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|N^{1/2}\varphi(N^{-1}x)\right|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \\ &\approx N^{1/p-1/2}. \end{split}$$

The Sobolev norm of  $\sigma_{N,t}$  is given by the following lemma, proved in all dimensions. For  $n \ge 1$  and  $\vec{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  we define a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\sigma_{N,\vec{t}}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n) = \sum_{\vec{j}\in J_N} a_{j_1}(t_1)\cdots a_{j_n}(t_n)\widehat{\varphi}(N\xi_1-j_1)\cdots\widehat{\varphi}(N\xi_n-j_n),$$

where  $J_N = \{\vec{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \frac{N}{2} \le |j_k| \le 2N, 1 \le k \le N\}$ . This  $\sigma_{N,\vec{t}}$  coincides with  $\sigma_{N,t}$  when n = 1.

LEMMA 2.2. We have that  $\|\sigma_{N,\tilde{t}}\|_{L^r_s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq CN^s$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $\|\sigma_{N,\vec{t}}\|_{L^r} \leq C$  and  $\|\sigma_{N,\vec{t}}\|_{L^2} \leq CN^2$ . The rest follows by interpolation between Sobolev spaces [1].

We continue with the proof of Proposition 2.1 when n = 1. We note that  $\widehat{f}_N$  has  $L^q$  norm bounded by a constant independent of N, which implies by the Young's inequality that  $||f_N||_{L^q} \leq C$  with C independent of N when  $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ . We show in the following lemma that this property is valid for all  $q \in (1, \infty]$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $f_N$  be as in (7) and let  $p \in (1, \infty]$ . Then there is a constant  $C_p$  independent of N such that  $||f_N||_{L^p} \leq C_p$ .

Proof. We note that  $f_N = \sum_{j=-N}^N \frac{1}{N} \varphi(x/N) e^{2\pi i x j/N} = \frac{1}{N} \varphi(x/N) D_N(x/N)$ , where  $D_N$  is the Dirichlet kernel, whose  $L^p$ -norm over [0,1] is comparable to  $N^{1/p'}$  when p > 1; see, for example, [6, Exercise 3.1.6]. Using this fact and that  $\varphi$  is a Schwartz function we obtain

$$\|f_N\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \left\|\frac{1}{N}\varphi\left(\frac{\cdot}{N}\right)D_N\left(\frac{\cdot}{N}\right)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N}N^{1/p}\|\varphi D_N\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$= N^{-1/p'} \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{j-1}^{j} |\varphi(x)D_N(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$
  
$$\leq C N^{-1/p'} \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+|j|)^M} \int_{j-1}^{j} |D_N(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$
  
$$\leq C_p N^{-1/p'} N^{1/p'} = C_p.$$

This proves the claim.

In view of Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following inequalities

$$N^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( \int_{0}^{1} \left\| T_{N,t}(f_{N}) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\leq CA \|f\|_{p} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \|\sigma_{N,t}\|_{L^{r}_{s}}^{p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\leq CC_{p}AN^{s}.$$

Letting N go to infinity forces  $1/p - 1/2 \le s$ .

We now consider the higher dimensional case. Let  $F_N(\vec{x}) = f_N(x_1) \cdots f_N(x_n)$ , where  $f_N$  is as in (7). It follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 that  $\|F_N\|_{L^p} \leq C$  and  $\|\sigma_N\|_{L^r_s} \leq CN^s$ . A calculation similar to the one dimensional case shows that  $\|T_N(F_N)\|_{L^p} \approx N^{(1/p-1/2)n}$ , thus letting  $N \to \infty$  we obtain that  $|1/p - 1/2| \leq s/n$ .

Related examples were given by Olevskii [15] who showed among other things that  $L^2_{n/2} \cap L^{\infty}$  is not contained in the space of  $L^p$  Fourier multipliers for  $p \neq 2$ .

# 3. The endpoint case $\left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| = \frac{s}{n}$

In this section, we discuss an interpolation theorem applicable in the critical case  $\left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| = \frac{s}{n}$ . We introduce the Besov space norm

$$\|h\|_{B^{s}_{p,q}} := \left(\sum_{j\geq 1} \|2^{js}\Delta_{j}h\|_{L^{p}}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \|S_{0}h\|_{L^{p}},$$

where  $\Delta_j$  are the Littlewood–Paley operators and  $S_0$  is an averaging operator that satisfy  $S_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j = I$ . We assume that for  $j \ge 1$ ,  $\Delta_j$  have spectra supported in the annuli  $2^j \le |\xi| \le 2^{j+2}$ , while  $S_0$  has spectrum inside the ball B(0,2).

We recall the following result of Seeger [17]

(8) 
$$\|T_{\sigma}\|_{H^1 \to L^{1,2}} \le C \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma \left( 2^k \cdot \right) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{B_2^{\frac{n}{2},1}}$$

concerning the endpoint case p = 1. We also have the trivial estimate

(9) 
$$||T_{\sigma}||_{L^2 \to L^2} = ||T_{\sigma}||_{L^2 \to L^{2,2}} \le C \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma(2^k \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{B^{0,1}_{\infty}}.$$

In this section, we derive the intermediate estimate contained in Seeger [16]:

(10) 
$$||T_{\sigma}||_{L^{p} \to L^{p,2}} \le C \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma \left( 2^{k} \cdot \right) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{B^{s,1}_{\frac{n}{s}}}$$

for  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{s}{n}$ ,  $1 , and <math>0 \le s \le \frac{n}{2}$ . We deduce (10) from the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Fix  $1 < r_0, r_1 \leq \infty, 1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty, 0 \leq s_0, s_1 < \infty$ . Let  $\widehat{\Psi}$  be supported in the annulus  $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and satisfy

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Assume that for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$  we have

(11) 
$$||T_{\sigma}(f)||_{L^{p_k,2}} \leq K_k \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ||\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}||_{B^{s_k,1}_{r_k}} ||f||_{L^{p_k}}$$

for all  $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\sigma$  which make the right hand side finite. For  $0 < \theta < 1$  define

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \qquad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{r_0} + \frac{\theta}{r_1}, \qquad s = (1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1.$$

Then there is a constant  $C_* = C_*(r_0, r_1, s_0, s_1, p_0, p_1, p, n)$  such that for all f in  $\mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

(12) 
$$||T_{\sigma}(f)||_{L^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_* K_0^{1-\theta} K_1^{\theta} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ||\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}||_{B_r^{s,1}} ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, conclusion (12) also holds under the assumption that  $p_0 = 1$  and (11) is substituted (only for k = 0) by

(13) 
$$||T_{\sigma}(f)||_{L^{1,2}} \leq K_0 \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ||\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}||_{B^{s_0,1}_{r_0}} ||f||_{H^1}$$

for all  $f \in \mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with vanishing integral.

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{\Phi}(\xi) = \sum_{j \leq 0} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi)$  and  $\widehat{\Phi}(0) = 1$ ; then  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is supported in  $|\xi| \leq 2$ . Fix a bounded function  $\sigma$ . For an integer k define the dilation of  $\sigma^k$  by setting  $\sigma^k(\xi) = \sigma(2^k\xi)$ . For z in the closed unit strip, we introduce linear functions

$$L(z) = \frac{r}{r_0}(1-z) + \frac{r}{r_1}z, \qquad M(z) = s - (1-z)s_0 - zs_1$$

and when  $j \geq 1$  introduce Littlewood–Paley operators  $\Delta_j(g) = g * \Psi_{2^{-j}}$ ,  $\widetilde{\Delta}_j(g) = g * \widetilde{\Psi}_{2^{-j}}$ , where  $\widetilde{\Psi}$  is a Schwartz function whose Fourier transform is supported in an annulus only slightly larger than  $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$  and equals 1 on the support of  $\widehat{\Psi}$ . We also define  $\Delta_0(g) = g * \Phi$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta}_0(g) = g * \widetilde{\Phi}$ , where the Fourier transform of  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  is supported in  $|\xi| \leq 4$  and equals 1 on the support of  $\widehat{\Phi}$ . Then define:

$$\sigma_{z} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jM(z)} (c_{j}^{k})^{1-L(z)} \\ \times \widetilde{\Delta}_{j} (\left| \Delta_{j} (\sigma^{k} \widehat{\Psi}) \right|^{L(z)} e^{i\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta_{j} (\sigma^{k} \widehat{\Psi}))}) (2^{-k} \cdot) \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}} (2^{-k} \cdot)$$

where

$$c_j^k = \left\| \Delta_j \left( \sigma^k \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^r} \left( \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \ge 0} 2^{ls} \left\| \Delta_l \left( \sigma^\mu \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^r} \right)^{-1}.$$

Next, we estimate

(14) 
$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \ge 0} 2^{ls_0} \left\| \Delta_l \left( \sigma_{it}^{\mu} \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^{r_0}}.$$

We notice that for a given  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , in the sum defining  $\sigma_{it}^{\mu}$ , only finitely many terms in k appear, the ones with  $k = \mu, \mu + 1, \mu - 1$ . For simplicity, we only consider the term with  $k = \mu$ , since the other ones are similar. This part of (14) is estimated by

(15) 
$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \ge 0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{ls_0}} 2^{j(s-s_0)} \times |c_j^{\mu}|^{1-\frac{r}{r_0}} \|\widetilde{\Delta}_l(\widetilde{\Delta}_j(|\Delta_j(\sigma^{\mu}\widehat{\Psi})|^{L(it)} e^{i\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta_j(\sigma^{\mu}\widehat{\Psi}))}) \widehat{\Psi}\widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}})\|_{L^{r_0}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 (stated and proved below), we obtain that (15) is bounded by

(16) 
$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \ge 0} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{ls_0} 2^{j(s-s_0)} \times \left| c_j^{\mu} \right|^{1-\frac{r}{r_0}} C_M 2^{-2|1-\frac{1}{r_0}|\max(j,l)M} \left\| \left| \Delta_j \left( \sigma^{\mu} \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right|^{\frac{r}{r_0}} \right\|_{L^{r_0}}.$$

But the sum over l in (16) is bounded by  $C_M 2^{js_0} 2^{-j2|1-\frac{1}{r_0}|M} \leq C_M 2^{js_0}$  for M sufficiently large, and consequently (16) is bounded by

(17) 
$$C_{M} \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(s-s_{0})} 2^{js_{0}} \left| c_{j}^{\mu} \right|^{1-\frac{r}{r_{0}}} \left\| \Delta_{j} \left( \sigma^{\mu} \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^{r}}^{\frac{r}{r_{0}}}$$
$$\leq C_{M} \left( \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{js} \left\| \Delta_{j} \left( \sigma^{\mu} \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^{r}} \right)^{\frac{r}{r_{0}}}$$

by the choice of  $c_j^{\mu}$ . Likewise, we obtain a similar estimate for the point 1 + it. We summarize these two estimates as follows: for m = 0, 1 and  $\operatorname{Re} z = m$  we have

(18) 
$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \ge 0} 2^{ls_m} \left\| \Delta_l \left( \sigma_z^{\mu} \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^{r_m}} \le C_M \left( \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \ge 0} 2^{js} \left\| \Delta_j \left( \sigma^k \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_m}}.$$

Now consider the analytic family of operators  $T_z$  associated with  $\sigma_z$  defined by  $f \mapsto T_{\sigma_z}(f)$ . When  $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ ,  $T_z$  maps  $L^{p_0,2}$  to  $L^{p_0}$  if  $p_0 > 1$  and  $H^1$  to  $L^{1,2}$  if  $p_0 = 1$  with constant  $B_0$  and when  $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$ ,  $T_z$  maps  $L^{p_1,2}$  to  $L^{p_1}$  with constant  $B_1$ , where

$$B_m = C_M K_i \left( \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \ge 0} 2^{js} \left\| \Delta_j \left( \sigma^k \widehat{\Psi} \right) \right\|_{L^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_m}}, \quad m = 0, 1.$$

We now interpolate using Theorem 1.1 (with m = 1) in [7]. We obtain

$$\left\| T_{\sigma_{\theta}}(f) \right\|_{(L^{p_{0},2})^{1-\theta}(L^{p_{1},2})^{\theta}} \le C(p_{0},p_{1},p)B_{0}^{1-\theta}B_{1}^{\theta} \|f\|_{(L^{p_{0}},L^{p_{1}})_{\theta}}.$$

Noting that  $(L^{p_0,2})^{1-\theta}(L^{p_1,2})^{\theta} = L^{p,2}$  and  $(L^{p_0}, L^{p_1})_{\theta} = L^p$  (even when  $p_0 = 1$ , in which case  $L^{p_0}$  is replaced by  $H^1$ ), we obtain the claimed assertion.  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 3.2. Using the notation of Theorem 3.1, for any M > 0 there is a constant  $C_M$  (also depending on the dimension n, on  $\Psi$ , and  $\tilde{\Psi}$ ) such that for any  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  we have

(19) 
$$\left\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{l}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j}(g)\widehat{\Psi}\widetilde{\Psi}\right)\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{M}2^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\max(j,l)M}\|g\|_{L^{q}}$$

for all l, j > 0. We also have that for any M > n there is a constant  $C_M$  such that

(20) 
$$\left\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{l}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j}(g)\widehat{\Psi}\widetilde{\widetilde{\Psi}}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C_{M}2^{-\max(j,l)(M-n)}\left\|g\right\|_{H^{1}}.$$

*Proof.* The claimed estimate is obviously true when q = 1. So we prove it for q = 2 and derive (19) as a consequence of classical Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. Examining the Fourier transform of the operator in (19), matters reduce to computing the  $L^{\infty}$  norm of the function

(21) 
$$\widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}(2^{-j}\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}(2^{-l}(\xi-\eta)) \phi(\eta) \, d\eta,$$

where  $\phi(\eta) = \Psi * \widetilde{\Psi}$  is a Schwartz function. Since the integral is over the set  $|\xi - \cdot| \approx 2^l$ , we estimate the absolute value of (21) by  $C_M[\sup\{(1 + |\eta|)^{-M} : |\xi - \eta| \approx 2^l\}]$  where  $|\xi| \approx 2^j$ . Notice that if l > j + 10, then  $|\eta| \approx 2^l$ , while if j > l + 10, then  $|\eta| \approx 2^j$ . These estimates yield the proof of (19).

We now turn our attention to (20). Using Fourier inversion, we write

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{l}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j}(g)\widehat{\phi})(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{g}(\eta)\widetilde{\widetilde{\Psi}}(2^{-l}\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widetilde{\widetilde{\Psi}}(2^{-j}\xi)\phi(\xi-\eta)e^{2\pi ix\cdot\xi} d\xi d\eta.$$

We integrate by parts in the inner integral with respect to the operator  $(I - \Delta_{\xi})^N$  to obtain that the preceding expression is equal to

$$\sum_{\beta+\gamma=2N} \frac{C_{\beta,\gamma}}{(1+4\pi^2|x|^2)^N} \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}(\eta) \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}(2^{-l}\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{-j|\beta|} (\partial^{\beta} \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}) (2^{-j}\xi) (\partial^{\gamma}\phi) (\xi-\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi d\eta.$$

Since for  $g \in H^1$  we have  $|\widehat{g}(\xi)| \leq c ||g||_{H^1}$  for all  $\xi$  and we deduce the estimate

$$\left|\widetilde{\Delta}_{l}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j}(g)\widehat{\phi})(x)\right| \leq \frac{C_{M} \|g\|_{H^{1}}}{(1+4\pi^{2}|x|^{2})^{N}} 2^{ln} \sup_{|\eta|\approx 2^{l}} \int_{|\xi|\approx 2^{j}} \frac{d\xi}{(1+|\xi-\eta|)^{2M}}$$

for M > n. We easily derive from this estimate the validity of (20). Note that in the case j = 0 the notation  $|\xi| \approx 2^j$  should be interpreted as  $|\xi| \leq 2$ ; likewise when l = 0.

Proposition 1.2 is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 with initial estimates (8) and (9).

Acknowledgment. The first author would like to thank Andreas Seeger for useful discussions.

#### References

- J. Bergh and J. Löfström, Interpolation spaces. An introduction, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1976. MR 0482275
- [2] A. P. Calderón and A. Torchinsky, Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, II, Adv. Math. 24 (1977), 101–171. MR 0450888
- [3] A. Carbery, G. Gasper and W. Trebels, On localized potential spaces, J. Approx. Theory 48 (1986), 251–261. MR 0864749
- [4] M. Christ, On almost everywhere convergence of Bochner-Riesz means in higher dimensions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 16–20. MR 0796439
- [5] W. C. Connett and A. L. Schwartz, A remark about Calderón's upper s method of interpolation, Interpolation spaces and allied topics in analysis (Lund, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1070, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 48–53. MR 0760474
- [6] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis*, 3rd ed., GTM, vol. 249, Springer-Verlag, NY, 2014. MR 3243734
- [7] L. Grafakos and M. Mastyło, Analytic families of multilinear operators, Nonlinear Anal. 107 (2014), 47–62. MR 3215473
- [8] L. Grafakos and S. Oh, *The Kato-Ponce inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), 1128–1157. MR 3200091
- [9] I. I. Jr. Hirschman, On multiplier transformations, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 221–242. MR 0104973
- [10] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L<sup>p</sup> spaces, Acta Math. 104 (1960), 93–139. MR 0121655
- [11] T. Kato and G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 891–907. MR 0951744
- [12] S. G. Mikhlin, On the multipliers of Fourier integrals, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 109 (1956), 701–703. (In Russian.) MR 0080799

- [13] A. Miyachi, On some Fourier multipliers for H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sect.
  1A, Math. 27 (1980), 157–179. MR 0573335
- [14] A. Miyachi and N. Tomita, Minimal smoothness conditions for bilinear Fourier multipliers, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 29 (2013), 495–530. MR 3047426
- [15] V. A. Olevskii, A note on Fourier multipliers and Sobolev spaces, Functions, series, operators (Budapest, 1999), Janos Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2002, pp. 321–325. MR 1981572
- [16] A. Seeger, A limit case of the Hörmander multiplier theorem, Monatsh. Math. 105 (1988), 151–160. MR 0930433
- [17] A. Seeger, Estimates near L<sup>1</sup> for Fourier multipliers and maximal functions, Arch. Math. (Basel) 53 (1989), 188–193. MR 1004277
- [18] A. Seeger, Remarks on singular convolution operators, Studia Math. 97 (1990), 91– 114. MR 1083340
- [19] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971. MR 0290095
- [20] S. Wainger, Special trigonometric series in k-dimensions, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1965), 1–102. MR 0182838
- [21] T. H. Wolff, Lectures on harmonic analysis, (I. Laba and C. Shubin, eds.), University Lecture Series, vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. With a foreword by C. Fefferman and preface by I. Laba. MR 2003254

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI,

Columbia, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: grafakosl@missouri.edu

DANQING HE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN (ZHONGSHAN) UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510275, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: hedanqing@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Petr Honzik, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Ke Karlovu 3, 121 16 Praha 2, Czech Republic

*E-mail address*: honzik@gmail.com

HANH VAN NGUYEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350, TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487-0350, USA

E-mail address: hvnguyen@ua.edu