

# GENERALIZED INCIDENCE MATRICES OVER GROUP ALGEBRAS

BY D. R. HUGHES

## 1. Introduction

In previous papers [3, 4] the author has investigated certain matrix equations which must hold if a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  configuration is to possess collineations. These equations involved matrices with rational entries, and the Hasse-Minkowski theory of rational congruence was applied to give numerical conditions restricting the possible collineations of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  configuration. The author has found that these rational matrix equations are in fact derivable from more "general" equations involving matrices over a group algebra, and that these latter equations yield at least one result which is not deducible by the rational congruence methods of the earlier papers; if  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \neq 2$ , then  $\pi$  possesses no collineations of even order. However, the general problems presented by the group algebra equations appear to be difficult of solution.

## 2. Group algebra matrices

We shall rely heavily on [4] for background material, but a brief review of some basic topics will be given. Let  $v, k, \lambda$  be integers satisfying  $v > k > \lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda(v - 1) = k(k - 1)$ , and let  $\pi$  be a collection of  $v$  points and  $v$  lines, together with an incidence relation satisfying: (i) each point (line) is on  $k$  lines (contains  $k$  points), and (ii) each pair of distinct points (lines) is on  $\lambda$  common lines (contains  $\lambda$  common points). Then  $\pi$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  configuration, and we define the order  $n$  of  $\pi$  by  $n = k - \lambda$ ; if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n$ . A collineation of  $\pi$  is a one-to-one mapping of points onto points and lines onto lines which preserves incidence. A collineation group  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\pi$  is called standard if every non-identity element of  $\mathcal{G}$  fixes the same set of points and lines; any collineation group of prime order is standard.

Suppose  $\pi$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  configuration and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a collineation group of  $\pi$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  has order  $m$ . From Theorem 2.3 of [4] we know that the number of transitive classes of points equals the number of transitive classes of lines ( $X$  and  $Y$  are in the same transitive class if and only if  $X = Yb$  for some  $b$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ). We number the transitive classes of points (lines)  $1, 2, \dots, w$ , and let  $P_i (J_i)$  be an arbitrary but fixed point (line) in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  transitive class of points (lines). Let  $\mathfrak{P}_i (\mathfrak{J}_i)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$  which fixes  $P_i (J_i)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{P}_i (\mathfrak{J}_i)$  have order  $r_i (s_i)$ . Let  $D_{ij}$  be the set of all  $x$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $P_i x$  is on  $J_j$ .

Let  $F$  be a field whose characteristic does not divide any of the numbers  $r_i$  or  $s_i$ ; if  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a group, we denote by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{R})$  the group algebra of  $\mathfrak{R}$  over  $F$ .

---

Received November 19, 1956.

In particular, let  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{G})$ . Define the following elements in  $\mathfrak{A}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \sum x, \text{ all } x \text{ in } \mathfrak{G}; & \rho_i &= \sum x, \text{ all } x \text{ in } \mathfrak{F}_i; & \sigma_i &= \sum x, \text{ all } x \text{ in } \mathfrak{S}_i; \\ & & \delta_{ij} &= \sum x, \text{ all } x \text{ in } D_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\mathfrak{A}_w$  be the set of all  $w \times w$  matrices over  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and define the following matrices, all in  $\mathfrak{A}_w$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \text{diag}(s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, \dots, s_w^{-1}), & C_2 &= \text{diag}(r_1^{-1}, r_2^{-1}, \dots, r_w^{-1}), \\ E_1 &= \text{diag}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_w), & E_2 &= \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_w), \\ D &= (\delta_{ij}), & S &= (\gamma_{ij}), \text{ where each } \gamma_{ij} = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sum f_i x_i$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , where each  $f_i$  is in  $F$  and each  $x_i$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we define

$$(\sum f_i x_i)^* = \sum f_i x_i^{-1};$$

i.e., (\*) is the operation of ‘‘conjugation.’’ If  $M = (\alpha_{ij})$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}_w$ , then the conjugate transpose of  $M$  is  $M' = (\alpha_{ji}^*)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.**  $DC_1 D' = nE_1 + \lambda S, D' C_2 D = nE_2 + \lambda S, DC_1 S = SC_2 D = kS.$

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 2.1 of [4] essentially contains the desired result. We will demonstrate that the diagonal terms are ‘‘correct’’; i.e., for a fixed  $i$ , show that  $\sum_j \delta_{ij} \delta_{ij}^* / s_j = n\rho_i + \lambda\gamma$ .

Let  $x$  be in  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $x$  not in  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ . Consider the pair of (distinct) points  $P_i, P_i x$ ; they are on  $\lambda$  common lines  $J_j y$ , and for each such common line, there are  $s_j$  choices of  $y$ , for  $J_j y = J_j(\mathfrak{S}_j y)$ . For each  $j$  and  $y$ ,  $y^{-1}$  and  $xy^{-1}$  are in  $D_{ij}$ ; hence  $x = d_1 d_2^{-1}$ , where  $d_1, d_2$  are in  $D_{ij}$ , for  $\lambda$  choices of  $j$  (not all necessarily distinct) and  $s_j$  choices of  $d_1, d_2$  for each  $j$ . Note that  $d_1$  is not in  $\mathfrak{F}_i d_2$ . Thus in the sum  $\sum_j \delta_{ij} \delta_{ij}^* / s_j$  every element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  not in  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  has coefficient  $\lambda$ . Now we note that  $\mathfrak{F}_i D_{ij} \mathfrak{S}_j = D_{ij}$ . If  $x$  is in  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ , then for each  $d_1$  in  $D_{ij}$ , the element  $d_2 = x d_1$  is also in  $D_{ij}$ , so  $x = d_1 d_2^{-1}$  for  $a_{ij}$  choices of  $d_1, d_2$  in  $D_{ij}$ , where  $a_{ij}$  is the number of elements in  $D_{ij}$ . Hence in the sum above, every element of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  has the coefficient  $\sum_j a_{ij} / s_j$ . But it is quite straightforward to verify that  $\sum_j a_{ij} / s_j$  counts the number of lines through the point  $P_i$ , hence is equal to  $k$ . So  $\sum_j \delta_{ij} \delta_{ij}^* / s_j = k\rho_i + \lambda(\gamma - \rho_i) = n\rho_i + \lambda\gamma$ .

The off-diagonal elements of  $DC_1 D'$  are computed similarly, considering the pair of points  $P_i$  and  $P_j x$ , where  $i \neq j$  and there are no restrictions on  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . The matrix  $D' C_2 D$  is computed by ‘‘dual’’ considerations, substituting lines for points in the above arguments. The final equations of Theorem 2.1 are easily verified.

Now suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is another algebra over the same field  $F$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a (multiplicative) group of units in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}$ , then  $\phi$  extends (linearly) to a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ ; the mapping  $\phi: M = (\alpha_{ij}) \rightarrow M\phi = (\alpha_{ij} \phi)$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_w$  into  $\mathfrak{B}_w$ . Hence we have the following as an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 2.2.**  $D\phi \cdot C_1 \cdot (D\phi)' = n \cdot E_1 \phi + \lambda \cdot S\phi$ ,  $(D\phi)' \cdot C_2 \cdot D\phi = n \cdot E_2 \phi + \lambda \cdot S\phi$ ,  $D\phi \cdot C_1 \cdot S\phi = S\phi \cdot C_2 \cdot D\phi = k \cdot S\phi$ .

Applications of Theorem 2.2 include the following:

- (1) Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a group,  $\phi$  a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the group algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{H})$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  over  $F$ .
- (2) Let  $F$  be the field of rationals,  $\mathfrak{B} = F$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}$  the identity subgroup of  $F$ . Then Theorem 2.2 of [4] is a corollary of Theorem 2.2 above.
- (3) Suppose the order of  $G$  is a prime  $p$  and  $F$  is taken as a complex number field which contains the  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the group of  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity and  $\phi$  an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}$ . This example is treated in more detail in the next section.

### 3. Collineation groups of prime order

Let us first assume that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is standard; then (see Section 3 of [4])  $C_1 = C_2$  contains  $t = (v - N)/m$  elements  $+1$  and  $N$  elements  $1/m$  on its main diagonal, where  $N$  is the number of points of  $\pi$  fixed by every element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Furthermore,  $E_1 = E_2$  contains  $t$  elements  $+1$  and  $N$  elements  $\gamma$  on its main diagonal. Let us write all the matrices defined in the last section so that the first  $t$  rows and columns correspond to the "non-fixed" points and lines, and the last  $N$  rows and columns correspond to the fixed points and lines. Let  $A_1$  be the submatrix of order  $t$  in the upper left corner of  $D$ ; it is evident that all of the elements of  $D$  which lie outside of  $A_1$  are either 0 or  $\gamma$ .

Now we make the further assumption that  $\mathfrak{G}$  has prime order  $p$ . Let  $\phi$  be an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  onto the  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity, where we are assuming that  $F$  is some complex number field containing these roots. Define

$$A = A_1 \phi .$$

All of the elements of  $D\phi$ , excepting the elements in  $A$ , are zero, since for  $p \neq 1$ , the sum of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity is zero. Thus, "pulling out" the nonzero part of the matrix equations, Theorem 2.2 implies:

**THEOREM 3.1.**  $AA' = nI$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $t = (v - N)/p$ .

In Theorem 3.1,  $A$  is a matrix of order  $t$  all of whose entries are sums (with  $+1$  or 0 as coefficients) of  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity, and  $A'$  is the "ordinary" conjugate transpose of  $A$ . The author knows of no method of analyzing the equation of Theorem 3.1 in general. But at least one fragmentary result is possible, a result which indeed has a good deal of interest in its own right.

Now we assume that  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Since  $n$  is even but not a square, a theorem due to Baer [1] asserts that a collineation of order two of a projective plane of order  $n$  must fix all of the points on a line  $K$ , all of the lines through a point  $Q$ , where  $Q$  is on  $K$ , and nothing else; thus  $t = n^2/2$ .

Besides  $K$ , let the lines through  $Q$  be  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$ , and besides  $Q$ , let

the points on  $K$  be  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$ . Each line  $L_i$  (point  $R_i$ ) is incident with  $n/2$  of the transitive classes of points (lines), besides  $Q(K)$ . For convenience, let us redesignate the points on the lines  $L_i$  as follows: the  $n/2$  "base points" that are on  $L_i$  will be  $P_{ij}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n/2$ . The row of the matrix  $A$  which corresponds to the point  $P_{ij}$  will be called  $V_{ij}$ . By properly choosing the points  $P_{1j}$  on  $L_1$  and the lines through the various  $R_j$ ,<sup>1</sup> each row  $V_{1j}$  can be assumed to contain  $n$  ones and  $t - n$  zeros. Suppose  $i \neq 1$ ; since the row  $V_{ij}$  has inner product  $n$  with itself and zero with every row  $V_{ix}, V_{ij}$  must contain  $n/2$  elements  $+1, n/2$  elements  $-1$ , and  $t - n$  elements zero. Suppose  $x$  is fixed,  $x = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and let  $i \neq j$ . Then there is no column which contains a nonzero element in both rows  $V_{xi}$  and  $V_{xj}$ , since the points  $P_{xi}$  and  $P_{xj}$  are on the line  $L_x$ ; on the other hand, in a given column, some one of the positions in the set of rows  $V_{xi}$  is not zero, since any line (except  $K$ ) through a point  $R_j$  meets  $L_x$  in a point different from  $Q$ .

Now we will construct a new matrix  $B$  as follows: the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row  $V_i$  of  $B$  will be formed by superimposing all of the rows  $V_{ij}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n/2$ , onto one another. This never superimposes a nonzero element onto a nonzero element, but on the other hand, no position in  $B$  contains a zero. So  $B$  is an  $n \times t$  matrix consisting entirely of  $\pm 1$ 's, whose first row contains nothing but  $+1$ 's. The inner product of  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  is the sum of all the inner products  $V_{ix}$  by  $V_{jx}, x, y = 1, 2, \dots, n/2$ . So  $BB' = tI$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $n$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , and if  $\pi$  possesses a collineation of order two, then  $n = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $n \neq 2$ . Then  $B$  has at least three rows, and by rearranging the columns if necessary, the first three rows appear as follows:

+1 (t)					
+1 (t/2)			-1 (t/2)		
+1 (a)	-1 (t/2 - a)		+1 (b)	-1 (t/2 - b)	

where the numbers in parentheses denote the length of the block. Taking the inner product of the first and third rows, we have:

$$a - (t/2 - a) + b - (t/2 - b) = 0,$$

whence  $b = t/2 - a$ . Then taking the inner product of the second and third rows,

$$a - (t/2 - a) - (t/2 - a) + a = 0,$$

whence  $t = 4a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . But since  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , it is easy to see that  $t = n^2/2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . This is a contradiction, so we must have  $n = 2$ .

<sup>1</sup> I.e., each line  $J_i \neq K$  which contains a point  $R_i$  will be chosen to contain some point  $P_{1j}$ , and never a point  $P_{1x}, x, x \neq 1$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \neq 2$ , then  $\pi$  possesses no collineations of even order.*

It will be observed that the proof of Theorem 3.2 is exactly the same as the proof that a Hadamard matrix of order  $\neq 1, 2$ , must have order divisible by 4.

#### 4. Some applications

In [6] Ostrom has proved that if  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n$ , where  $n$  is odd and not a square, possessing a doubly transitive collineation group, then  $\pi$  is Desarguesian (see [7], say, for definition). Since a doubly transitive permutation group has even order, we can use Theorem 3.2 to extend this result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  possessing a collineation group  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is doubly transitive on the points of  $\pi$ , then  $n = 2$  (whence  $\pi$  is certainly Desarguesian).*

Another result related to [6] is the following (in fact, the corresponding theorem in [6] requires double transitivity):

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and if  $\pi$  possesses a collineation group  $\mathfrak{G}$  which fixes a line  $K$  and is transitive on the points off of  $K$ , then  $n = 2$ .*

*Proof.* No nonidentity element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  fixes all of the points off of  $K$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{G}$ , as a collineation group of  $\pi$ , is isomorphic to the permutation group which results from restricting  $\mathfrak{G}$  to the points off of  $K$ . There are  $n^2$  of these points, and since the degree of a transitive permutation group divides its order,  $\mathfrak{G}$  has even order, so the theorem is proved.

Further applications of Theorem 3.2 are found in the theory of partially transitive projective planes; see [2] for definition and discussion. If  $\pi$  is a partially transitive plane of type (1a) or (2), and if  $\pi$  has order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then it is easy to see from the table in Section 3 of [2] that  $\pi$  has a collineation of order two; so  $n = 2$ . Furthermore, if  $\pi$  is of type (1b), with abelian  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then from the theorems on multipliers, the mapping  $(x) \rightarrow (x^n)$ ,  $[Dx] \rightarrow [Dax^n]$ , for some fixed  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , is a collineation of order two; so if  $\pi$  has order  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $n = 2$ .

Finally, specific consideration of the case  $n = 10$ , as the smallest order for which the existence of the plane is undecided, is of interest. From the theorems of [4] and Theorem 3.2 above, the only possible collineations of a plane  $\pi$  of order 10, whose order is prime, are:

- (1) Order 3, fixed points  $Q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , on a line  $K_0$ , and a point  $Q_0$  not on  $K_0$ , together with the lines  $K_0$  and  $K_i = Q_0 Q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (2) Same as (1), excepting that there are 8 points  $Q_i$  on  $K_0$ , etc.
- (3) Order 11, fixed point  $Q_0$ , fixed line  $K_0$ ,  $Q_0$  not on  $K_0$ .
- (4) Order 5, fixed point  $Q_0$ , fixed line  $K_0$ ,  $Q_0$  on  $K_0$ .

(5) Order 5, where the fixed points are all the points on a line  $K_0$ , and the fixed lines are all the lines through a point  $Q_0$ ,  $Q_0$  on  $K_0$ .

However, let us consider (5) in more detail. The techniques of [7] allow one to prove rather directly that if the collineation exists, then  $\pi$  must possess a planar ternary ring (i.e., with  $K_0$  as  $L_\infty$ ,  $Q_0$  as  $(\infty)$ ) whose additive loop contains a subgroup of order 5. Since the additive loop (strictly, its Cayley table) is one of a set of nine mutually orthogonal latin squares, Mann's result [5] assures us that this cannot occur. So we are left with only the first four cases to consider. It does not seem unreasonable to hope that some combination of theory and computing will allow these cases to be rejected, thus proving the following:

*Conjecture.* If there exists a projective plane of order 10, then it possesses no nonidentity collineations.

Since every finite projective plane known at the present time possesses collineations of order two, Theorem 3.2 offers new evidence that no plane exists for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \neq 2$ . Unfortunately, we appear to possess insufficient machinery with which to attack this problem yet.

## 5. Remarks

(1) With respect to double transitivity, Marshall Hall and the author have proved the following more general extension of [6].

**THEOREM 5.1.** *If  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n$ , where  $n$  is even and not a square, and if  $\pi$  possesses a collineation group  $\mathcal{G}$  doubly transitive on points, then  $\pi$  is Desarguesian.*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be any line of  $\pi$  and  $P$  any point on  $L$ ; define  $\mathcal{G}(L, P)$  to be the collineation group of  $\pi$  which fixes every point on  $L$  and every line through  $P$ . In a recent paper<sup>2</sup> on finite Fano planes, A. M. Gleason has proved that if  $\mathcal{G}(L, P) \neq 1$  for every line  $L$  of  $\pi$  and every point  $P$  on  $L$ , then  $\pi$  is Desarguesian.

Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is doubly transitive, there is a collineation  $x$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  of order two. As remarked above,  $x$  must fix every point on some line  $L$  and every line through some point  $P$  on  $L$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is certainly transitive on lines, this implies that for every line  $L$  of  $\pi$ , there is some point  $P$  on  $L$  for which

$$\mathcal{G}(L, P) \neq 1.$$

But because of double transitivity on points,  $P$  can be mapped onto any point  $Q$  on  $L$  by a collineation fixing  $L$ ; so every  $\mathcal{G}(L, P) \neq 1$ , and  $\pi$  is Desarguesian.

Note that this proof, as well as the proof in [6] is actually valid for those square values of  $n$  for which there is no plane of order  $n^{1/2}$ , e.g.,  $n = 36$ .

(2) In a project currently underway at The Ohio State University, E. T.

<sup>2</sup> *Finite Fano planes*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 78 (1956), pp. 797-807.

Parker has shown the collineation of order 11 of a plane of order 10 cannot occur. So only three types are left to investigate; these, however, appear to be a good deal more difficult to handle.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. REINHOLD BAER, *Projectivities with fixed points on every line of the plane*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 52 (1942), pp. 273-286.
2. D. R. HUGHES, *Partial difference sets*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 78 (1956), pp. 650-674.
3. ———, *Regular collineation groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 8 (1957), pp. 165-168.
4. ———, *Collineations and generalized incidence matrices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
5. H. B. MANN, *On orthogonal Latin squares*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 50 (1944), pp. 249-257.
6. T. G. OSTROM, *Double transitivity in finite projective planes*, Canadian J. Math., vol. 8 (1956), pp. 563-567.
7. GÜNTER PICKERT, *Projektive Ebenen*, Berlin, 1955.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY  
COLUMBUS, OHIO