



INTERPOLATION WITH A PARAMETER FUNCTION OF L^p -SPACES WITH RESPECT TO A VECTOR MEASURE ON A δ -RING

R. DEL CAMPO,^{1*} A. FERNÁNDEZ,² A. MANZANO,³
F. MAYORAL,² and F. NARANJO²

Communicated by R. E. Curto

ABSTRACT. Let ν be a σ -finite Banach-space-valued measure defined on a δ -ring. We find a wide class of measures ν for which interpolation with a parameter function of couples of Banach lattices of p -integrable and weakly p -integrable functions with respect to ν produces a Lorentz-type space. Moreover, we prove that if we interpolate between sums and intersections of them, then they still yield another Lorentz-type space closely related with the first one.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let m be a vector measure defined on a σ -algebra Σ of Ω with values in a Banach space X , let ρ be a parameter function in the class $Q(0, 1)$ of Persson, let $0 < q \leq \infty$, and let $1 < p_0 \neq p_1 < \infty$. We proved in [5, Corollary 4] that

$$(L^{p_0}(m), L^{p_1}(m))_{\rho, q} = (L_w^{p_0}(m), L_w^{p_1}(m))_{\rho, q} = \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|m\|), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\rho(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} - \frac{1}{p_1})}$. In particular, for the classical real interpolation method, which is obtained for the parameter function $\rho(t) = t^\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 1$, we have

$$(L^{p_0}(m), L^{p_1}(m))_{\theta, q} = (L_w^{p_0}(m), L_w^{p_1}(m))_{\theta, q} = L^{p, q}(\|m\|), \tag{1.2}$$

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Dec. 23, 2015; Accepted Jan. 27, 2016.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B70; Secondary 46G10, 46E30.

Keywords. real interpolation, vector measures, integrable function spaces.

where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$. This particular situation (1.2) was generalized in [6, Corollary 3.11], replacing m by a σ -finite, locally strongly additive vector measure ν defined on a weaker structure than a σ -algebra, namely, on a δ -ring \mathcal{R} of Ω . Therefore, a natural question is to find out if (1.1) keeps on verifying with m replaced by ν . The answer lies in the affirmative (even for $1 \leq p_0 \neq p_1 \leq \infty$), and Section 3 is devoted to sketch the reasons why that works (see Corollary 3.5).

Moreover, in the setting of vector measures on δ -rings the L^p -spaces are no longer ordered by inclusion as it occurs in the case of measures on σ -algebras, and so it becomes interesting to investigate what happens when we interpolate between sums and intersections of them. Recall that integration with respect to vector measures defined on δ -rings is the natural vector-valued generalization of the case of integration with respect to positive σ -finite measures μ , which does not fit into the frame of vector measures on σ -algebras if μ is nonfinite. When μ is a σ -finite measure, it is known that

$$(L^p(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu), L^p(\mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\mu\|) \tag{1.3}$$

with $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p}})}$ and $\tilde{\rho}(t) = \rho(t)\chi_{(0,1]}(t) + t\rho(t^{-1})\chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$ (see [17, Example 7.1]). Therefore, in light of (1.1) and (1.3), one can expect that

$$(L^{p_0}(\nu) + L^{p_1}(\nu), L^{p_0}(\nu) \cap L^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) \tag{1.4}$$

with $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p_1}})}$ (and $\tilde{\rho}$ as above) for any σ -finite locally strongly additive vector measure ν defined on a δ -ring and $1 \leq p_0 \neq p_1 \leq \infty$.

Given an interpolation couple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, it has been studied that both the relationship between its interpolation spaces and the interpolation spaces of the couple $(\Sigma(\bar{A}), \Delta(\bar{A}))$ are obtained by the interpolation method with a parameter function (see [12, Proposition 3] or [17, Proposition 7.2]). Applying this to a couple of L^p -spaces with respect to ν and using Corollary 3.5, we can obtain (1.4) under the hypothesis that $\rho \in Q(0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup Q[\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. However, with the more general and natural hypothesis $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, it cannot be deduced in such a way. Therefore, a deeper insight into the involved K -functionals is needed in order to see that (1.4) can be achieved for any $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$ (see Corollary 5.3). The cases $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_1 \neq \infty$ in (1.4) must be treated separately. The former is done in Section 4 and the latter in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a real Banach space with dual X' and unit ball $B(X)$, and let $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ be a (countably additive) vector measure defined on a δ -ring \mathcal{R} of subsets of some nonempty set Ω . We denote by \mathcal{R}^{loc} the σ -algebra of subsets $A \subseteq \Omega$ such that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{R}$ for each $B \in \mathcal{R}$. Measurability of functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be considered with respect to the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{R}^{\text{loc}})$. The *semivariation* of ν is the set function $\|\nu\| : \mathcal{R}^{\text{loc}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\|\nu\|(A) := \sup\{|\langle \nu, x' \rangle|(A) : x' \in B(X')\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{loc}},$$

where $|\langle \nu, x' \rangle|$ is the variation of the scalar measure $\langle \nu, x' \rangle : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\langle \nu, x' \rangle(A) := \langle \nu(A), x' \rangle$ for all $A \in \mathcal{R}$. The measure ν is said to be *locally strongly additive* if, for every disjoint sequence $(A_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ with $\|\nu\|(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n) < \infty$, we have $\|\nu(A_n)\|_X \rightarrow 0$.

A set $N \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{loc}}$ is called ν -null if $\|\nu\|(N) = 0$, and a property holds ν -almost everywhere (ν -a.e.) if it holds except on a ν -null set. In what follows we will always consider vector measures ν which are σ -finite; that is, there exist a pairwise disjoint sequence $(\Omega_k)_k$ in \mathcal{R} and a ν -null set N such that $\Omega = (\bigcup_{k \geq 1} \Omega_k) \cup N$.

Let $L^0(\nu)$ denote the space of all measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Two functions $f, g \in L^0(\nu)$ will be identified if they are equal ν -a.e. A measurable function $f \in L^0(\nu)$ is said to be *weakly integrable* (with respect to ν) if $f \in L^1(|\langle \nu, x' \rangle|)$ for all $x' \in X'$. In this case, for each $A \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{loc}}$, there exists an element $\int_A f d\nu \in X''$ (called the *weak integral* of f over A) such that $\langle \int_A f d\nu, x' \rangle = \int_A f d\langle \nu, x' \rangle$ for all $x' \in X'$. The space $L_w^1(\nu)$ of all (ν -a.e. equivalence classes of) weakly integrable functions becomes a Banach lattice when it is endowed with the natural order ν -a.e. and the norm

$$\|f\|_1 := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |f| d|\langle \nu, x' \rangle| : x' \in B(X') \right\}, \quad f \in L_w^1(\nu).$$

A weakly integrable function f is called *integrable* (with respect to ν) if the vector $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ for all $A \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{loc}}$. The space $L^1(\nu)$ of all (ν -a.e. equivalence classes of) integrable functions becomes an order-continuous closed ideal of $L_w^1(\nu)$, and in general $L^1(\nu) \subsetneq L_w^1(\nu)$.

If $1 < p < \infty$, then a function $f \in L^0(\nu)$ is said to be *weakly p -integrable* (with respect to ν) if $|f|^p \in L_w^1(\nu)$, and it is said to be *p -integrable* (with respect to ν) if $|f|^p \in L^1(\nu)$. We denote by $L_w^p(\nu)$ the space of (ν -a.e. equivalence classes of) weakly p -integrable functions and by $L^p(\nu)$ the space of (ν -a.e. equivalence classes of) p -integrable functions. Obviously, we have that $L^p(\nu) \subseteq L_w^p(\nu)$. The natural norm for both spaces is given by

$$\|f\|_p := \sup \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d|\langle \nu, x' \rangle| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : x' \in B(X') \right\}, \quad f \in L_w^p(\nu).$$

The Banach lattices $L^p(\nu)$ and $L_w^p(\nu)$ were initially studied in [8] for vector measures on a σ -algebra (see [15]), and its basic properties can be extended and remain true for vector measures on δ -rings (see [3], [4]). The space $L^\infty(\nu)$ consists of all (ν -a.e. equivalence classes of) essentially bounded functions equipped with the essential supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Given $f \in L^0(\nu)$, we shall consider its distribution function (with respect to the semivariation $\|\nu\|$) $\|\nu\|_f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\|\nu\|_f(s) := \|\nu\|(\{w \in \Omega : |f(w)| > s\}), \quad s \geq 0.$$

This distribution function has similar properties as in the scalar case (see [7]). For instance, $\|\nu\|_f$ is nonincreasing and right-continuous. The decreasing rearrangement of f (with respect to the semivariation $\|\nu\|$) is the function $f_* : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $f_*(t) := \inf\{s > 0 : \|\nu\|_f(s) \leq t\}$ for all $t > 0$. In particular, f_* is nonincreasing and right-continuous.

For $0 < q \leq \infty$ and a nonnegative measurable function φ defined on $(0, \infty)$, we denote by $\Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)$ the set of all $f \in L^0(\nu)$ such that the quantity

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t)f_*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} \varphi(t)f_*(t), & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite.

When $\varphi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}}$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, we obtain the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\|\nu\|)$ introduced in [7] for vector measures on σ -algebras. We also note that $L^{p,q}(\|\nu\|)$ is a quasi-Banach lattice with the Fatou property. For the special case $p = q$, we denote the space $L^{p,p}(\|\nu\|)$ simply by $L^p(\|\nu\|)$. As was pointed out in [7], in general, the spaces $L^p(\|\nu\|)$ and $L^p(\nu)$ do not coincide if $1 \leq p < \infty$. If the measure ν is defined on a σ -algebra, then it holds that

$$L^{p,1}(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^p(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^p(\nu) \subseteq L_w^p(\nu) \subseteq L^{p,\infty}(\|\nu\|), \quad (2.1)$$

and all these inclusions are continuous (see [7, Proposition 7]). If the vector measure ν is defined on a δ -ring, then the (continuous) inclusions that remain true are

$$L^{p,1}(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^p(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L_w^p(\nu) \subseteq L^{p,\infty}(\|\nu\|). \quad (2.2)$$

However, if ν is locally strongly additive, then we recover the chain of inclusions (2.1) (see [6, Proposition 2.2, Remark 3.3] for the details).

Throughout the paper, we will use parameter functions that belong to the class $Q(0, 1)$ considered by Persson [17]. Let us review the definition of the class $Q(0, 1)$ and some other related classes. Given two real numbers $a_0 < a_1$, the class $Q[a_0, a_1]$ denotes all nonnegative functions ρ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\rho(t)t^{-a_0}$ is nondecreasing and $\rho(t)t^{-a_1}$ is nonincreasing. We write $\rho \in Q(a_0, a_1)$ if $\rho \in Q[a_0 + \varepsilon, a_1 - \varepsilon]$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, $\rho \in Q(a_0, -)$ (resp., $\rho \in Q(-, a_1)$) means that $\rho \in Q(a_0, b)$ (resp., $\rho \in Q(b, a_1)$) for a certain real number b . Observe that $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$ if and only if $\rho(t)t^{-\alpha}$ is nondecreasing and $\rho(t)t^{-\beta}$ is nonincreasing for some $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$.

Let us recall briefly the construction of the real interpolation method with a parameter function. Let $\bar{A} := (A_0, A_1)$ be a quasi-Banach couple, that is, two quasi-Banach spaces A_0, A_1 which are continuously embedded in some Hausdorff topological vector space. The Peetre's K -functional is defined for $f \in A_0 + A_1$ and $t > 0$ by

$$K(t, f) = K(t, f; A_0, A_1) = \inf\{\|f_0\|_{A_0} + t\|f_1\|_{A_1} : f = f_0 + f_1, f_i \in A_i\}.$$

For $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, the space $(A_0, A_1)_{\rho,q}$ is formed by all those elements $f \in A_0 + A_1$ such that the quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{\rho,q} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K(t,f;A_0,A_1)}{\rho(t)}\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} \frac{K(t,f;A_0,A_1)}{\rho(t)}, & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite. In the particular case when $\rho(t) = t^\theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$, the space $(A_0, A_1)_{\rho,q}$ coincides with the interpolation space $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,q}$ obtained by the classical real method (see [2]).

The interpolation space $(A_0, A_1)_{\rho, q}$ can be also defined by using a parameter function ρ belonging to other similar function classes such as the class \mathcal{P}^{+-} or B_ψ (see [10], [9], [17]). We refer to [16], [10], [9], [11], [14], and [17], among others, for complete information about the real interpolation method with a parameter function.

Given a quasinormed function space A in $L^0(\nu)$, the r -convexification of A is the space $A^{(r)}$ defined by $A^{(r)} := \{f \in L^0(\nu) : |f|^r \in A\}$ and equipped with the quasinorm $\|f\|_{A^{(r)}} := \||f|^r\|_A^{\frac{1}{r}}$. It is not difficult to check the following result using the definitions of the function spaces that we have introduced.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 \leq r < \infty$, and let $0 < q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$(i) \ (\Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|))^{(r)} = \Lambda_{\varphi^{\frac{1}{r}}}^{r q}(\|\nu\|).$$

In particular, for $\varphi(t) = t$, we have

- (ii) $(L^1(\|\nu\|))^{(r)} = L^r(\|\nu\|)$ for $q = 1$.
- (iii) $(L^{1,\infty}(\|\nu\|))^{(r)} = L^{r,\infty}(\|\nu\|)$ for $q = \infty$.

As usual, the equivalence $a \approx b$ (resp., $a \preccurlyeq b$) means that $\frac{1}{c}a \leq b \leq ca$ (resp., $a \leq cb$) for some positive constant c independent of the appropriate parameters. Two quasinormed spaces, A and B , are considered as equal, and we write $A = B$ whenever they coincide as sets and their quasinorms are equivalent.

3. INTERPOLATION OF COUPLES OF L^p -SPACES

In this section, we provide a description of the interpolation spaces for couples of L^p -spaces associated to a σ -finite vector measure ν . We start studying when $\Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)$ is intermediate for the couples $(L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))$ and $(L^{1,\infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 < q \leq \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, and let $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{\rho(t)}$. Then*

$$L^{1,\infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu) \subseteq \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^1(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu).$$

Proof. Assume that $q < \infty$ (the case $q = \infty$ is similar). Given $f \in \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)$, $f \geq 0$, let $M := 1 + f_*(t_0)$ for some $t_0 > 0$, $g := f\chi_{[f>M]}$, $h := f\chi_{[f\leq M]}$, and $W(t) = \frac{t^{q-1}}{\rho(t)^q}$, and take $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $\rho(t)t^{-\alpha}$ is nondecreasing. It is not difficult to check that

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{W(t)}{t^q} dt \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha r^q} \int_0^r W(t) dt, \quad r > 0.$$

Since $g_*(t) \leq f_*(t)$, for all $t > 0$, the weighted Hardy inequality for the nonincreasing function (see [1, Theorem 1.7], and see also [18, Theorem 3] for the case $0 < q < 1$) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g_*(u) du \right]^q W(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_*(u) du \right]^q W(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\preccurlyeq \left(\int_0^\infty f_*(t)^q W(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{t}{\rho(t)} f_*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \|f\|_{\Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the function $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g_*(u) du$ is finite almost everywhere. Moreover, $\|\nu\|([f > M]) = \|\nu\|_f(M) \leq t_0$, and we can assume that $\|\nu\|(\Omega) = \infty$ (the case $\|\nu\|(\Omega) < \infty$ is evident since $L^\infty(\nu) \subseteq L^1(\|\nu\|)$); thus, $\|\nu\|([f \leq M]) = \infty$ and $g = 0$ in $[f \leq M]$, which implies that $g_*(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Hence $\int_0^\infty g_*(u) du < \infty$; that is, $g \in L^1(\|\nu\|)$. This proves that $f = g + h$ with $g \in L^1(\|\nu\|)$ and $h \in L^\infty(\nu)$, and so $f \in L^1(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu)$.

Let $f \in L^{1,\infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu)$, let $K_1 := \|f\|_{L^\infty(\nu)} = f_*(0)$, let $K_2 := \|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\|\nu\|)}$, and let $M := \rho(1)^{-1}$, and take $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ such that $\rho(t)t^{-\alpha}$ is nondecreasing and $\rho(t)t^{-\beta}$ is nonincreasing. Thus $t^\beta \rho(t)^{-1} \leq M$ for all $0 < t \leq 1$ and $t^\alpha \rho(t)^{-1} \leq M$ for all $t \geq 1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)}^q &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{t}{\rho(t)} f_*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty \left[\frac{t}{\rho(t)} f_*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq (MK_1)^q \int_0^1 t^{q(1-\beta)-1} dt + (MK_2)^q \int_1^\infty t^{-q\alpha-1} dt < \infty. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The following result can be obtained using the estimates of [6, Proposition 3.5] and following the lines of the proof of [5, Theorem 3] (with Lemma 3.1 in mind).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0 < q \leq \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, and let $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{\rho(t)}$. It holds that*

$$(L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho,q} = (L^{1,\infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|).$$

The reiteration theorem [17, Proposition 4.3] allows us to calculate the interpolation spaces for different couples of L^p -spaces from Theorem 3.2. We need first this technical lemma, which can be easily deduced from [17, Lemma 1.1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $1 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$, let $\rho_0(t) := t^{1-\frac{1}{p_0}}$, let $\rho_1(t) := t^{1-\frac{1}{p_1}}$, let $\rho_2(t) := \rho_0(t)\rho(\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\rho_0(t)})$, let $\rho_3(t) := \rho_0(t)\rho(\frac{t}{\rho_0(t)})$, and let $\rho_4(t) := \rho(\rho_1(t))$. It holds that*

- (i) $\rho_2(t) \in Q(1 - \frac{1}{p_0}, 1 - \frac{1}{p_1})$,
- (ii) $\rho_3(t) \in Q(1 - \frac{1}{p_0}, 1)$,
- (iii) $\rho_4(t) \in Q(0, 1 - \frac{1}{p_1})$.

In particular, we have that $\rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4 \in Q(0, 1)$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $0 < q \leq \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, and let $\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\rho(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} - \frac{1}{p_1})}$. It holds that*

$$(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = (L^{p_0,\infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1,\infty}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|).$$

Proof. Let $\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3$, and ρ_4 be as in Lemma 3.3. Observe that the extreme case $p_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = \infty$ is precisely Theorem 3.2. Otherwise, since $\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} \in Q(0, -)$,

we have by [17, Corollary 4.4] that

$$(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = (L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho_2,q}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho,q} = (L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho_3,q}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(L^1(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = (L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho_4,q}. \tag{3.3}$$

If $1 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$, then Lemma 3.3 guarantees that $\rho_2 \in Q(0, 1)$. Therefore, it follows from (3.1) and Theorem 3.2 that $(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_{\varphi_2}^q(\|\nu\|)$,

where $\varphi_2(t) = \frac{t}{\rho_2(t)} = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\rho(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} - t^{\frac{1}{p_1}})} = \varphi(t)$.

If $1 < p_0 < \infty$ and $p_1 = \infty$, then Lemma 3.3 implies that $\rho_3 \in Q(0, 1)$. Hence (3.2) and Theorem 3.2 give $(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_{\varphi_3}^q(\|\nu\|)$, where

$\varphi_3(t) = \frac{t}{\rho_3(t)} = \frac{t}{\rho_0(t)\rho(\frac{t}{\rho_0(t)})} = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\rho(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}})} = \varphi(t)$.

If $p_0 = 1$ and $1 < p_1 < \infty$, then Lemma 3.3 ensures that $\rho_4 \in Q(0, 1)$. Thus, it follows from (3.3) and Theorem 3.2 that $(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_{\varphi_4}^q(\|\nu\|)$, where $\varphi_4(t) = \frac{t}{\rho_4(t)} = \frac{t}{\rho(t^{1-\frac{1}{p_1}})} = \varphi(t)$.

The result for the couple $(L^{p_0,\infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1,\infty}(\|\nu\|))$ is obtained with the same reasoning but using the other equality of Theorem 3.2. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $0 < q \leq \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, and let $\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\rho(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} - t^{\frac{1}{p_1}})}$. It holds that $(L_w^{p_0}(\nu), L_w^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|)$.*

If in addition ν is locally strongly additive, then

$$(L^{p_0}(\nu), L^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho,q} = (L_w^{p_0}(\nu), L_w^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho,q} = (L^{p_0}(\nu), L_w^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho,q} = \Lambda_\varphi^q(\|\nu\|).$$

Proof. For general ν , it holds that $L^p(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L_w^p(\nu) \subseteq L^{p,\infty}(\|\nu\|)$ (see (2.2)), and if in addition ν is locally strongly additive, then it also holds that $L^p(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^p(\nu) \subseteq L^{p,\infty}(\|\nu\|)$ (see (2.1) and the later comments). Therefore, the result directly follows from Corollary 3.4. □

Note that if ν is a σ -finite scalar measure, then this result recovers [17, Lemma 6.1].

4. INTERPOLATION BETWEEN SUM AND INTERSECTION OF L^p AND L^∞

Let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, and let $0 < q \leq \infty$. From now on $\rho^*(t) := t\rho(\frac{1}{t})$ and $\tilde{\rho}(t) = \rho(t)\chi_{(0,1]}(t) + \rho^*(t)\chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$. Note that $\rho^* \in Q(0, 1)$ (see [17, Example 1.2]), and so $\tilde{\rho} \in Q(0, 1)$. The next general estimate of the norm of an element $a \in (\Sigma(\bar{A}), \Delta(\bar{A}))_{\rho,q}$ (see [17, (7.3)]) will be the key for obtaining our interpolation formulas:

$$\|a\|_{(\Sigma(\bar{A}), \Delta(\bar{A}))_{\rho,q}} \approx \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{K(t, a; \bar{A})}{\rho(t)} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_1^\infty \left(\frac{K(t, a; \bar{A})}{\rho^*(t)} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{4.1}$$

(for $q = \infty$, integrals are replaced by suitable suprema as usual).

Using the fact that $a^r + b^r \approx (a + b)^r$, for all $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 < r < \infty$, we can reformulate (4.1) in this way:

$$\|a\|_{(\Sigma(\bar{A}), \Delta(\bar{A}))_{\rho, q}} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K(t, a; \bar{A})}{\tilde{\rho}(t)} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, we will use the following estimates for the K -functional of the couples $(L^p(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))$ and $(L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))$, which can be deduced from the ones in [6, Proposition 3.5] using Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $p \geq 1$.*

- (i) *If $f \in L^p(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu)$, then $K(t, f; L^p(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu)) \preceq \left(\int_0^{t^p} f_*(s)^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.*
- (ii) *If $f \in L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu)$, then $K(t, f; L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu)) \succcurlyeq t f_*(t^p)$.*

Proof. We can assume that $f \geq 0$ without lost of generality. Given a couple (A_0, A_1) of quasinormed function spaces, it is known (see [13]) that $A_0^{(p)} + A_1^{(p)} = (A_0 + A_1)^{(p)}$ and that

$$K(t, f; A_0^{(p)}, A_1^{(p)}) \approx K(t^p, f^p; A_0, A_1)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Applying (4.3) to the couple $(A_0, A_1) = (L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))$ and using Proposition 2.1 and [6, Proposition 3.5], we have

$$K(t, f; L^p(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu)) \approx K(t^p, f^p; L^1(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))^{\frac{1}{p}} \preceq \left(\int_0^{t^p} f_*(s)^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Doing the same with the couple $(A_0, A_1) = (L^{1, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, f; L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu)) &\approx K(t^p, f^p; L^{1, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))^{\frac{1}{p}} \succcurlyeq (t^p f_*(t^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= t f_*(t^p). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The equivalence (4.2) and the estimates in Proposition 4.1 yield the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $0 < q \leq \infty$, and let $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p}})}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) &= (L^p(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^p(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q} \\ &= (L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We assume $0 < q < \infty$ (the case $q = \infty$ is similar). Let us first prove that $\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) \subseteq (L^p(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^p(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q}$. First, observe that Corollary 3.4 guarantees that $\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) = (L^p(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\tilde{\rho}, q}$ since $\tilde{\rho} \in Q(0, 1)$. Thus, given $f \in \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^p(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu)$, from (4.2) and Proposition 4.1(i), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\rho, q} &\approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K(s, f; L^p(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\preceq \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} \left[\int_0^{s^p} (f_*(u))^p du \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p}})} \right)^q \left[\int_0^t (f_*(u))^p du \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t))^q \left[\int_0^t (f_*(u))^p du \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(t) := \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p}})}$.

Moreover, $\varphi \in Q(-\frac{1}{p}, 0)$ since $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$ (see [17, Lemma 1.1]). Therefore, applying [17, Lemma 3.2(a)] (with $h(t) = f_*(t)$ and $\psi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}}$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\rho, q} &\preceq \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t))^q \left[\int_0^t (u^{\frac{1}{p}} f_*(u))^p \frac{du}{u} \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\preceq \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t) t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will check that $(L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q} \subseteq \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|)$. Let $f \in (L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q}$. Using Proposition 4.1(ii) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|)} &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p}})} f_*(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{s}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} f_*(s^p) \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\preceq \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K(s, f; L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \approx \|f\|_{\rho, q}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that $(L^p(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^p(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q}$ is contained in $(L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^\infty(\nu), L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q}$ since $L^p(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^{p, \infty}(\|\nu\|)$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $0 < q \leq \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p}})}$. Then*

$$(L_w^p(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu), L_w^p(\nu) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q} = \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|).$$

If in addition ν is locally strongly additive, then

$$(L^p(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu), L^p(\nu) \cap L^\infty(\nu))_{\rho, q} = \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|).$$

Proof. Use the argument of the proof of Corollary 3.5 but replace Corollary 3.4 by Theorem 4.2. \square

Observe that if ν is a σ -finite scalar measure, then this result includes [17, Example 7.1].

5. INTERPOLATION BETWEEN SUM AND INTERSECTION OF L^p -SPACES

In order to obtain a similar result to Corollary 4.3 for couples $(L^{p_0}(\nu), L^{p_1}(\nu))$ instead of couples $(L^p(\nu), L^\infty(\nu))$, we need to establish some new estimates for the K -functional of the couples $(L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))$ and $(L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|))$ that replace the ones in Proposition 4.1. This can be done with the aid of Holmstedt’s formula (see [17, Remark 4.4]), as the next result shows.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 < \infty$.*

(i) *If $f \in L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|)$ and we denote $F(u) := (\frac{1}{u} \int_0^u f_*(v)^{p_0} dv)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$, then*

$$K(t, f; L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|)) \preceq t \left(\int_{\frac{p_0 p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}}^\infty F(u)^{p_1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}.$$

(ii) *If $f \in L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|)$, then*

$$K(t, f; L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|)) \succcurlyeq t^{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_0}} f_*(t^{\frac{p_0 p_1}{p_1-p_0}}).$$

Proof. (i) Since [5, Corollary 1] is also valid for vector measures defined on a δ -ring (see [6, Theorem 3.6]), we have $L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|) = (L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_1}, p_1}$. Therefore, applying [17, Remark 4.4], it follows that

$$K(t, f; L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|)) \approx t \left(\int_{\frac{p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}}^\infty \left(\frac{K(s, f; L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))^{p_1} ds}{s^{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}},$$

and, using Proposition 4.1(i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, f; L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|)) &\preceq t \left(\int_{\frac{p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}}^\infty \left(\frac{(\int_0^{s^{p_0}} f_*(v)^{p_0} dv)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{s^{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_1}}} \right)^{p_1} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\approx t \left(\int_{\frac{p_0 p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}}^\infty \frac{(\int_0^u f_*(v)^{p_0} dv)^{\frac{p_1}{p_0}}}{u^{\frac{p_1}{p_0}}} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &= t \left(\int_{\frac{p_0 p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{u} \int_0^u f_*(v)^{p_0} dv \right)^{\frac{p_1}{p_0}} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &= t \left(\int_{\frac{p_0 p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}}^\infty F(u)^{p_1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We also have $L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|) = (L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))_{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_1}, \infty}$ by [5, Corollary 1].

Thus, applying again [17, Remark 4.4], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, f; L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|)) &\approx t \sup_{s \geq \frac{p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}} \frac{K(s, f; L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^\infty(\nu))}{s^{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_1}}} \\ &\succcurlyeq t \sup_{s \geq \frac{p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}} \frac{s f_*(s^{p_0})}{s^{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_1}}} = t \sup_{s \geq \frac{p_1}{t^{p_1-p_0}}} (s^{\frac{p_0}{p_1}} f_*(s^{p_0})) \\ &\geq t t^{\frac{p_0}{p_1-p_0}} f_*(t^{\frac{p_0 p_1}{p_1-p_0}}) = t^{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_0}} f_*(t^{\frac{p_0 p_1}{p_1-p_0}}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now, the equivalence (4.2) and Proposition 5.1 give the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty, \rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $0 < q \leq \infty$, and let*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}})}. \text{ It holds that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) &= (L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho, q} \\ &= (L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho, q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The case $p_1 = \infty$ is precisely Theorem 4.2, and so we can assume that $p_1 < \infty$. Suppose that $0 < q < \infty$ (the case $q = \infty$ is similar). Let us first prove that

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) \subseteq (L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho, q}.$$

First, note that Corollary 3.4 ensures that $\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) = (L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))_{\tilde{\rho}, q}$ since $\tilde{\rho} \in Q(0, 1)$. Thus, given $f \in \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|) \subseteq L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|)$, from (4.2) and Proposition 5.1 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\rho, q} &\approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K(s, f; L^{p_0}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1}(\|\nu\|))}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\asymp \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{s}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} \left[\int_{s^{\frac{p_0 p_1}{p_1 - p_0}}}^\infty F(u)^{p_1} du \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\asymp \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t^{\frac{p_1 - p_0}{p_0 p_1}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{p_1 - p_0}{p_0 p_1}})} \right)^q \left[\int_t^\infty F(u)^{p_1} du \right]^{\frac{q}{p_1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t))^q \left[\int_t^\infty F(u)^{p_1} du \right]^{\frac{q}{p_1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(t) := \frac{t^{\frac{p_1 - p_0}{p_0 p_1}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{p_1 - p_0}{p_0 p_1}})}$.

Note that $\varphi \in Q(0, \frac{p_1 - p_0}{p_0 p_1})$ since $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$ (see [17, Lemma 1.1]). Therefore, applying [17, Lemma 3.2(b)] (with $\psi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p_1}}$ and $h(t) = F(t)$, which is nonincreasing), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\rho, q} &\simeq \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t))^q \left[\int_t^\infty (u^{\frac{1}{p_1}} F(u))^{p_1} \frac{du}{u} \right]^{\frac{q}{p_1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\asymp \left(\int_0^\infty (\varphi(t) t^{\frac{1}{p_1}} F(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (\tilde{\varphi}(t) F(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \right)^q \left(\int_0^t f_*(v)^{p_0} dv \right)^{\frac{q}{p_0}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \in Q(-, 0)$, and so applying [17, Lemma 3.2(a)] (now with $\psi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$ and $h(t) = f_*(t)$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\rho, q} &\asymp \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \right)^q \left(\int_0^t (v^{\frac{1}{p_0}} f_*(v))^{p_0} \frac{dv}{v} \right)^{\frac{q}{p_0}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\simeq \left(\int_0^\infty (\tilde{\varphi}(t) f_*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will check that

$$(L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho, q} \subseteq \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|).$$

Let $f \in (L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) + L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|) \cap L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|))_{\rho, q}$. By Proposition 5.1(ii) and (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|)} &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}})} f_*(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{s^{\frac{p_1}{p_1 - p_0}}}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} f_*(s^{\frac{p_0 p_1}{p_1 - p_0}}) \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K(s, f; L^{p_0, \infty}(\|\nu\|), L^{p_1, \infty}(\|\nu\|))}{\tilde{\rho}(s)} \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \approx \|f\|_{\rho, q}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.3. *Let $0 < q \leq \infty$, let $\rho \in Q(0, 1)$, let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, and let $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\tilde{\rho}(t^{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}})}$. It holds that $(L_w^{p_0}(\nu) + L_w^{p_1}(\nu), L_w^{p_0}(\nu) \cap L_w^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho, q} = \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|)$.*

If in addition ν is locally strongly additive, then

$$\begin{aligned} (L^{p_0}(\nu) + L^{p_1}(\nu), L^{p_0}(\nu) \cap L^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho, q} &= (L_w^{p_0}(\nu) + L^{p_1}(\nu), L_w^{p_0}(\nu) \cap L^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho, q} \\ &= (L^{p_0}(\nu) + L_w^{p_1}(\nu), L^{p_0}(\nu) \cap L_w^{p_1}(\nu))_{\rho, q} \\ &= \Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}^q(\|\nu\|). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use the argument of the proof of Corollary 3.5, but replace Corollary 3.4 by Theorem 5.2. \square

Note that if ν is a vector measure on a σ -algebra, then this result recovers [5, Corollary 4].

Acknowledgments. This work was supported in part by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain) and FEDER funds under projects MTM2012-36740-C02-01 and MTM2013-42220-P, and by La Junta de Andalucía (FQM133).

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Ariño and B. Muckenhoupt, *Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for nonincreasing functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), no. 2, 727–735. [Zbl 0716.42016](#). [MR0989570](#). [DOI 10.2307/2001699](#). [819](#)
2. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **223**, Springer, Berlin, 1976. [Zbl 0344.46071](#). [MR0482275](#). [818](#)
3. J. M. Calabuig, O. Delgado, M. A. Juan, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *On the Banach lattice structure of L_w^1 of a vector measure on a δ -ring*, Collect. Math. **65** (2014), no. 1, 67–85. [Zbl 1321.46018](#). [MR3147770](#). [DOI 10.1007/s13348-013-0081-8](#). [817](#)
4. J. M. Calabuig, M. A. Juan, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Spaces of p -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure defined on a δ -ring*, Oper. Matrices **6** (2012), no. 2, 241–262. [Zbl 1257.46019](#). [MR2976115](#). [DOI 10.7153/oam-06-17](#). [817](#)
5. R. Campo, A. Fernández, A. Manzano, F. Mayoral, and F. Naranjo, *Interpolation with a parameter function and integrable function spaces with respect to vector measures*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **18** (2015), no. 2, 707–720. [Zbl 1342.46029](#). [MR3338885](#). [DOI 10.7153/mia-18-52](#). [815](#), [820](#), [824](#), [826](#)
6. R. Campo, A. Fernández, F. Mayoral, and F. Naranjo, *A note on real interpolation of L^p -spaces of vector measure on δ -rings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **419** (2014), no. 2, 995–1003. [Zbl 1309.46007](#). [MR3225417](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.05.039](#). [816](#), [818](#), [820](#), [822](#), [824](#)

7. A. Fernández, F. Mayoral, and F. Naranjo, *Real interpolation method on spaces of scalar integrable functions with respect to vector measures*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **376** (2011), no. 1, 203–211. [Zbl 1209.28019](#). [MR2745400](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.11.022](#). [817](#), [818](#)
8. A. Fernández, F. Mayoral, F. Naranjo, C. Sáez, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Spaces of p -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure*, Positivity **10** (2006), no. 1, 1–16. [Zbl 1111.46018](#). [MR2223581](#). [DOI 10.1007/s11117-005-0016-z](#). [817](#)
9. J. Gustavsson, *A function parameter in connection with interpolation of Banach spaces*, Math. Scand. **42** (1978), no. 2, 289–305. [Zbl 0389.46024](#). [MR0512275](#). [819](#)
10. J. Gustavsson and J. Peetre, *Interpolation of Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math. **60** (1977), no. 1, 33–59. [Zbl 0353.46019](#). [MR0438102](#). [819](#)
11. S. Janson, *Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation*, J. Funct. Anal. **4** (1981), no. 1, 50–73. [Zbl 0492.46059](#). [MR0638294](#). [DOI 10.1016/0022-1236\(81\)90004-5](#). [819](#)
12. L. Maligranda, *Interpolation between sum and intersection of Banach spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **47** (1986), no. 1, 42–53. [Zbl 0636.46063](#). [MR0843454](#). [DOI 10.1016/0021-9045\(86\)90045-6](#). [816](#)
13. L. Maligranda, *The K -functional for p -convexifications*, Positivity **17** (2013), no. 3, 707–710. [Zbl 1283.46023](#). [MR3090688](#). [DOI 10.1007/s11117-012-0200-x](#). [822](#)
14. C. Merucci, *Interpolation réelle avec fonction paramètre: réitération et applications aux espaces $\Lambda^p(\varphi)$* , C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris **295** (1982), no. 6, 427–430. [Zbl 0504.46055](#). [MR0683395](#). [819](#)
15. S. Okada, W. J. Ricker, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators Acting in Function Spaces*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **180**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008. [Zbl 1145.47027](#). [MR2418751](#). [817](#)
16. J. Peetre, *A Theory of Interpolation of Normed Spaces*, Notas de Matemática **39**, Inst. Mat. Pura Apli., Conselho Nacional de Pesquisas, Rio de Janeiro, 1968. [Zbl 0162.44502](#). [MR0243340](#). [819](#)
17. L. E. Persson, *Interpolation with a parameter function*, Math. Scand. **59** (1986), no. 2, 199–222. [Zbl 0619.46064](#). [MR0884656](#). [816](#), [818](#), [819](#), [820](#), [821](#), [823](#), [824](#), [825](#)
18. V. D. Stepanov, *Weighted Hardy's Inequality for Nonincreasing Functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), no. 1, 173–186. [Zbl 0786.26015](#). [MR1097171](#). [DOI 10.2307/2154450](#). [819](#)

¹DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA I, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, ETSIA, CARRETERA DE UTRERA, KM 1, 41013, SEVILLA, SPAIN.

E-mail address: rcampo@us.es

²DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA II, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, ETSI, CAMINO DE LOS DESCUBRIMIENTOS, S/N, 41092, SEVILLA, SPAIN.

E-mail address: afcarrion@us.es; mayoral@us.es; naranjo@us.es

³DEPARTAMENTO MATEMÁTICAS Y COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BURGOS, ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA SUPERIOR, CALLE VILLADIEGO, S/N, 09001, BURGOS, SPAIN.

E-mail address: amanzano@ubu.es