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#### Abstract

In this article, we prove some properties of a demicontractive mapping defined on a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space. By using these properties, we obtain strong convergence theorems of a hybrid shrinking projection method for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of demicontractive mappings and the set of common solutions of a finite family of variational inequality problems in a Hilbert space. A numerical example is presented to illustrate the proposed method and convergence result. Our results improve and extend the corresponding results existing in the literature.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, we always assume that $H$ is a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively, that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$, and that $P_{C}$ is the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. The fixed point set of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$, that is, $F(T)=\{x \in C: T x=x\}$. Recall that a mapping $T$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$, and that it is called quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\|T x-z\| \leq\|x-z\|$ for all $x \in C$ and $z \in F(T)$. Clearly, every

[^0]nonexpansive mapping with a nonempty fixed point set is quasi-nonexpansive. It is well known that the fixed point set of a nonexpansive (quasi-nonexpansive) mapping in a Hilbert space is always closed and convex.

In 2011, Osilike and Isiogugu [10] introduced a class of nonlinear mappings which contains the class of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space, namely, strictly pseudo-nonspreading, and obtained weak and strong convergence theorems of Halpern's type for this mapping in Hilbert spaces. Recall that a mapping $T$ is called strictly pseudo-nonspreading if there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that, for all $x, y \in C$,

$$
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+k\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}+2\langle x-T x, y-T y\rangle
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity mapping. Note that if $k=0$, a mapping $T$ is called nonspreading (see [9]). Iterative methods for strictly pseudo-nonspreading mapping have been extensively investigated (see, e.g., [2], [16]).

As a generalization of the class of strictly pseudo-nonspreading mappings, the class of demicontractive mappings was introduced by Hicks and Kubicek [4] in 1977 and has been studied by several authors (see, e.g., [3]). Recall that a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be demicontractive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that, for all $x \in C$ and for all $z \in F(T)$,

$$
\|T x-z\|^{2} \leq\|x-z\|^{2}+k\|x-T x\|^{2}
$$

We call $k$ the contraction coefficient.
Remark 1.1. From the above definitions, we have the following facts.
(i) Every nonspreading mapping with a nonempty fixed point set is quasinonexpansive.
(ii) Every strictly pseudo-nonspreading mapping with a nonempty fixed point set is demicontractive.
(iii) The class of demicontractive mappings includes the class of quasi-nonexpansive mappings.
We now give three examples for the class of demicontractive mappings.
Example 1.2. Let $H$ be the real line, and let $C=[-1,1]$. Define a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ by

$$
T x= \begin{cases}\frac{4}{7} x \sin \left(\frac{1}{x}\right), & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $F(T)=\{0\}$. Also, for all $x \in C$, we have $|T x-T 0|^{2}=|T x|^{2}=$ $\left|\frac{4}{7} x \sin \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right|^{2} \leq\left|\frac{4 x}{7}\right|^{2} \leq|x|^{2} \leq|x-0|^{2}+k|x-T x|^{2}$ for all $k \in[0,1)$. Therefore, $T$ is demicontractive.

Example 1.3. Let $H$ be the real line, and let $C=[-1,1]$. Define a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ by

$$
T x= \begin{cases}\frac{9-x}{10}, & x \in[-1,0) \\ \frac{x+9}{10}, & x \in[0,1]\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $F(T)=\{1\}$. We will show that there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T x-1|^{2} \leq|x-1|^{2}+k|x-T x|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in[-1,1]$. Then, it suffices to consider the following two cases.
Case 1: $x \in[-1,0)$. Then we have $T x=\frac{9-x}{10}$. From the definition of $T$, we have $|T x-1|^{2}=\left|\frac{9-x}{10}-1\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{10}|x+1|^{2}$ and $|x-T x|^{2}=\left|x-\frac{9-x}{10}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{100}|11 x-9|^{2} \geq 0$. So, there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x-1|^{2}+k|x-T x|^{2} & \geq|x-1|^{2}=x^{2}-2 x+1=x^{2}+2 x+1-4 x \\
& =|x+1|^{2}-4 x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x \in[-1,0)$, we have $-4 x \geq 0$. Then we get $|x-1|^{2}+k|x-T x|^{2} \geq|x+1|^{2} \geq$ $\frac{1}{10}|x+1|^{2}=|T x-1|^{2}$.

Case 2: $x \in[0,1]$. Then we have $T x=\frac{x+9}{10}$. From the definition of $T$, we have $|T x-1|^{2}=\left|\frac{x+9}{10}-1\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{10}|x-1|^{2}$ and $|x-T x|^{2}=\left|x-\frac{x+9}{10}\right|^{2}=\frac{81}{100}|x-1|^{2} \geq 0$. Then, there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
|x-1|^{2}+k|x-T x|^{2} \geq|x-1|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{10}|x-1|^{2}=|T x-1|^{2}
$$

Therefore, inequality (1.1) holds. Hence, $T$ is demicontractive.
Example 1.4 ([3, p. 862]). Let $H$ be the real line, and let $C=[-2,1]$. Define a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ by $T x=-x^{2}-x$ for all $x \in C$. This mapping is demicontractive but not quasi-nonexpansive.

Let $B: C \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. The variational inequality problem is to find a point $u \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle B u, v-u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions of (1.2) is denoted by $\mathrm{VI}(C, B)$.
A mapping $B: C \rightarrow H$ is called $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone (see [5]) if there exists a positive real number $\phi$ such that

$$
\langle x-y, B x-B y\rangle \geq \phi\|B x-B y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C .
$$

The variational inequality theory, which was first introduced by Stampacchia [17] in 1964, has emerged as an interesting and fascinating branch of applied mathematics with a wide range of applications in economics, network analysis, optimizations, pure and applied sciences, and so on. In recent years, much attention has been given to developing efficient iterative methods for solving variational inequality problems (see, e.g., [1], [11]).

In 2003, Takahashi and Toyoda [20] introduced the following iterative scheme for finding a solution of the variational inequality problem in a Hilbert space. For an initial point $x_{1} \in C$, define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\eta_{n} B x_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping, $B: C \rightarrow H$ is a $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone mapping, and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$. Under some restrictions on parameters, they proved that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.3) converges weakly to a point $u \in F(T) \cap \mathrm{VI}(C, B)$, where $u=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{F(T) \cap \mathrm{VI}(C, B)} x_{n}$.

In 2008, Takahashi, Takeuchi, and Kubota [19] introduced the following iterative scheme, known as the shrinking projection method, for finding a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ in a Hilbert space. For an initial point $x_{1} \in C, C_{1}=C$, define sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ recursively by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n}  \tag{1.4}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{p \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$. They proved that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.4) converges strongly to a point $u=P_{F(T)} x_{1}$ under some suitable conditions.

In 2012, Kangtunyakarn [6] introduced the following iterative scheme based on the shrinking projection method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of variational inequality problems and the set of common fixed points of a finite family of nonspreading mappings $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ in a Hilbert space. For an initial point $x_{1} \in C, C_{1}=C$, define sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ recursively by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\beta_{n} S x_{n}+\gamma_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{n}^{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x_{n}  \tag{1.5}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{p \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S: C \rightarrow C$ is an $S$-mapping generated by $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, and where $\left\{B_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a finite family of $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone mappings of $C$ into $H$. He proved that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.5) converges strongly to a point $u=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$ under some suitable conditions, where $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$.

The shrinking projection method is a popular method that plays an important role in studying the strong convergence for finding fixed points of nonlinear mappings. Many researchers developed the shrinking projection method for solving variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert and Banach spaces (see, e.g., [8], [7]).

Motivated and inspired by the above results and related literature, we introduce a new hybrid shrinking projection method for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of demicontractive mappings and of the set of common solutions of a finite family of variational inequalities for $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone mappings in a Hilbert space. Then we prove some strong convergence theorems which extend and improve the corresponding results of Takahashi and Toyoda [20], Takahashi, Takeuchi, and Kubota [19], Kangtunyakarn [6], and many others. Finally, we provide numerical examples in support of our main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some useful lemmas to prove our main results. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. It is also known that a Hilbert space $H$ satisfies Opial's condition (see [15, Lemma 1]); that is, for
any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$, the inequality

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|
$$

holds for every $y \in H$ with $y \neq x$. Let $P_{C}$ be the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$; that is, for $x \in H, P_{C} x$ satisfies the property $\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\|=\min _{y \in C}\|x-y\|$. It is well known that $P_{C}$ is a nonexpansive mapping of $H$ onto $C$.

Lemma 2.1 ([18, Lemma 3.1.3]). Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$, and let $B$ be a mapping of $C$ into $H$. Let $u \in C$. Then, for $\eta>0, u=P_{C}(I-\eta B) u$ if and only if $u \in \mathrm{VI}(C, B)$.
Lemma 2.2 ([12, Lemma 1.3]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$, and let $P_{C}: H \rightarrow C$ be the metric projection. Given $x \in H$ and $z \in C$, we have $z=P_{C} x$ if and only if the following holds:

$$
\langle x-z, y-z\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma 2.3 ([14, p. 375]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$, and let $P_{C}: H \rightarrow C$ be the metric projection. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\|y-P_{C} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in H, y \in C .
$$

Lemma 2.4 ([21, Lemma 1.1]). Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N} \in H$ and
$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}$ be real numbers in $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}=1$. Then,

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Lemma 2.5 ([13, Lemma 1.3]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Given $x, y, z \in H$ and $\alpha$ a real number, the set $\{u \in C$ : $\left.\|y-u\|^{2} \leq\|x-u\|^{2}+\langle z, u\rangle+\alpha\right\}$ is closed and convex.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we prove the strong convergence theorems for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of demicontractive mappings and the set of common solutions of a finite family of variational inequality problems in a Hilbert space, and we give a numerical example in support our main results.

We now present the following useful properties of a demicontractive mapping in a Hilbert space.
Lemma 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H. Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a demicontractive mapping with contraction coefficient $k$. Then the following hold:
(i) $F(T)=\mathrm{VI}(C, I-T)$,
(ii) $F(T)=F\left(P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T))\right)$ for all $\lambda>0$,
(iii) $F(T)$ is closed and convex,
(iv) $P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T))$ is quasi-nonexpansive, for all $\lambda \in(0,1-k]$.

Proof. (i) It is easy to see that $F(T) \subseteq \mathrm{VI}(C, I-T)$. Assume that $z \in \mathrm{VI}(C, I-T)$ and $q \in F(T)$. Since $z \in \operatorname{VI}(C, I-T)$, we have $\langle y-z,(I-T) z\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T z-T q\|^{2}= & \|(I-(I-T)) z-(I-(I-T)) q\|^{2} \\
= & \|(z-q)-((I-T) z-(I-T) q)\|^{2} \\
= & \|z-q\|^{2}+\|(I-T) z-(I-T) q\|^{2} \\
& -2\langle z-q,(I-T) z-(I-T) q\rangle \\
= & \|z-q\|^{2}+\|(I-T) z\|^{2}-2\langle z-q,(I-T) z\rangle . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T$ is demicontractive, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T z-T q\|^{2} \leq\|z-q\|^{2}+k\|(I-T) z\|^{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$
(1-k)\|(I-T) z\|^{2} \leq 2\langle z-q,(I-T) z\rangle=-2\langle q-z,(I-T) z\rangle \leq 0
$$

Then we have $z \in F(T)$, and so $\mathrm{VI}(C, I-T) \subseteq F(T)$. Hence, $F(T)=\mathrm{VI}(C, I-T)$.
(ii) From Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, we have $F(T)=F\left(P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T))\right), \forall \lambda>0$.
(iii) To show that $F(T)$ is closed, we let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $F(T)$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. Since $T$ is demicontractive, we have $\left\|T x-T x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+k \| x-$ $T x \|^{2}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x-T x\| & \leq\left\|x-T x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T x_{n}-T x\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|+\sqrt{\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+k\|x-T x\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get $(1-\sqrt{k})\|x-T x\| \leq 0$. This implies by $k \in[0,1)$ that $\|x-T x\|=0$. Hence, $x=T x$ so that $F(T)$ is closed.

Finally, we show that $F(T)$ is convex. Let $z=\alpha x+(1-\alpha) y$, where $x, y \in F(T)$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. We need to show that $z \in F(T)$. By the definition of $T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z-T z\|^{2}= & \|\alpha(x-T z)+(1-\alpha)(y-T z)\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha\|T z-x\|^{2}+(1-\alpha)\|T z-y\|^{2}-\alpha(1-\alpha)\|x-y\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha\left(\|z-x\|^{2}+k\|z-T z\|^{2}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(\|z-y\|^{2}+k\|z-T z\|^{2}\right) \\
& -\alpha(1-\alpha)\|x-y\|^{2} \\
= & k\|z-T z\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $(1-k)\|z-T z\|^{2}=0$. This implies that $z=T z$ and hence $F(T)$ is convex.
(iv) Let $x \in C$ and $z \in F(T)$. Then, by (ii), we have $z \in F\left(P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T))\right)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T)) x-z\right\|^{2} & \leq\|(I-\lambda(I-T)) x-z\|^{2} \\
& =\|(x-z)-\lambda(I-T) x\|^{2} \\
& =\|x-z\|^{2}-2 \lambda\langle x-z,(I-T) x\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\lambda^{2}\|(I-T) x\|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the fact that $T$ is demicontractive, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T z\|^{2} \leq\|x-z\|^{2}+k\|(I-T) x\|^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T x-T z\|^{2} & =\|(I-(I-T)) x-(I-(I-T)) z\|^{2} \\
& =\|(x-z)-((I-T) x-(I-T) z)\|^{2} \\
& =\|x-z\|^{2}-2\langle x-z,(I-T) x\rangle+\|(I-T) x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows by (3.4) that $(1-k)\|(I-T) x\|^{2} \leq 2\langle x-z,(I-T) x\rangle$. Therefore, by (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T)) x-z\right\|^{2} & \leq\|x-z\|^{2}-(1-k) \lambda\|(I-T) x\|^{2}+\lambda^{2}\|(I-T) x\|^{2} \\
& =\|x-z\|^{2}-\lambda(1-k-\lambda)\|(I-T) x\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies by $\lambda \in(0,1-k]$ that $\left\|P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T)) x-z\right\| \leq\|x-z\|$. Thus, $P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T))$ is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping.

We now prove the following strong convergence theorems.
Theorem 3.2. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of continuous and demicontractive mappings of $C$ into itself with contraction coefficient $k$, and let $\left\{B_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone mappings from $C$ into $H$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}:=$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. For an initial point $x_{1} \in C$ with $C_{1}=C$, define sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ recursively by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x_{n}  \tag{3.5}\\
z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{n} \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n}+\gamma_{n} w_{n} \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{p \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$, and suppose that the following conditions hold:
(i) $\sigma_{i}, \xi_{i} \in(0,1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}=1$;
(ii) $\eta \in[d, e]$ for some $d, e \in(0,2 \phi)$ and $\lambda \in(0,1-k]$;
(iii) $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \gamma_{n}<1$ and $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1$.

Then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $u=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$.
Proof. Let $x, y \in C$. Since $B_{i}$ is $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone, for all $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x-P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) y\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x-\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) y\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\|x-y\|^{2}-2 \eta\left\langle x-y, B_{i} x-B_{i} y\right\rangle+\eta^{2}\left\|B_{i} x-B_{i} y\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-2 \eta \phi\left\|B_{i} x-B_{i} y\right\|^{2}+\eta^{2}\left\|B_{i} x-B_{i} y\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|x-y\|^{2}-\eta(2 \phi-\eta)\left\|B_{i} x-B_{i} y\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-d(2 \phi-e)\left\|B_{i} x-B_{i} y\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|x-y\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)$ is nonexpansive for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. It follows by Lemma 2.1 and the closedness and convexity of $F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)\right)$ that $\mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)=$ $F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)\right)$ is closed and convex for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Thus, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$ is closed and convex. Then, by Lemma 3.1(iii), we have that $\mathcal{F}:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$ is also closed and convex; consequently, $P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$ is well defined for every $x_{1} \in C$.

Next, we divide the proof into five steps.
Step 1 . We show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, by Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1(ii), we have $P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) p=p$ and $P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) p=p$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. By Lemma 3.1(iv), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By the nonexpansiveness of $P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}-p\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above, we get that $\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-p\right\| \leq$ $\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$. This shows that $p \in C_{n+1}$ and hence that $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$. By Lemma 2.5, we observe that $C_{n}$ is closed and convex. Hence, $P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}$ is well defined for every $x_{1} \in C$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined.

Step 2. We show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=q$ for some $q \in C$.
Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$, there exists a unique $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\omega=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$. From $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{1}$ and $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{1}\right\|$ for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, by $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$, we obtain that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|\omega-x_{1}\right\|$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded; so are $\left\{w_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$. Therefore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|$ exists. By the construction of the set $C_{n}$, we know that $x_{m}=P_{C_{m}} x_{1} \in C_{m} \subset C_{n}$ for $m>n \geq 1$. This implies by Lemma 2.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{m}-x_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|$ exists, it implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of $H$ and the closedness of $C$, we get that there exists an element $q \in C$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=q$.

Step 3. We show that $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
From (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, we get that
$\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \leq 2\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|$.
This implies by (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=q$.
For $p \in \mathcal{F}$, by Lemma 2.4, (3.6), and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\alpha_{n} \gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\left\|z_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n} \gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\left\|z_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies by condition (iii) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{2}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+a^{2}\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+a^{2}\left\|z_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} \gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} \gamma_{n}\left\|z_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (3.10) and $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{i}$ is continuous for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have that $P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)$ is continuous for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. So, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)$ is continuous. By $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=q$, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-q\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies by $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=q$, (3.11), and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q=q \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will show that $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)\right)$. To show this, we let $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)\right)$. Then, by (3.13) and Lemma 3.1(iv), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|q-z\| & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-z\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-z\right\| \\
& \leq\|q-z\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\|q-z\|=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-z\right\|$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|q-z\|=\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-z\right\|, \quad \forall i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.14), we have

$$
\|q-z\|^{2}=\|q-z\|^{2}-\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q\right\|^{2}
$$

and so $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q\right\|^{2}=0$. Since $\xi_{i} \in(0,1]$, we get $\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q\right\|^{2}=0$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. That is,

$$
P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q, \quad \forall i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}
$$

Then, for all $j=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have
$q=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) q=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{j}\right)\right) q$.
Thus, $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)\right)$. By Lemma 3.1(ii), we obtain that $F\left(P_{C}(I-\right.$ $\left.\left.\lambda\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)\right)=F\left(T_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Hence, $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Step 4. We show that $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$.

In fact, since $P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)$ is nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-w_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|q-x_{n}\right\| \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From $x_{n} \rightarrow q \in C$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows by (3.11) and (3.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-q\right\| \leq & \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-w_{n}\right\|+\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| \\
\leq & 2\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|+\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q=q \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will show that $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)\right)$. To show this, we let $z \in$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)\right)$. Then, by (3.16), we have

$$
\|q-z\|=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-z\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-z\right\| \leq\|q-z\|
$$

This shows that $\|q-z\|=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-z\right\|$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|q-z\|=\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-z\right\|, \quad \forall i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-z\right\|^{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-z\right\|^{2} \\
& -\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{j}\right) q\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.17), we have $\|q-z\|^{2}=\|q-z\|^{2}-\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \| P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-P_{C}(I-$ $\left.\eta B_{j}\right) q \|^{2}$, and so $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-\bar{P}_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{j}\right) q\right\|^{2}=0$. Since $\sigma_{i} \in$ $(0,1]$, we get $\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q-P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{j}\right) q\right\|^{2}=0$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. That is,

$$
P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q=P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{j}\right) q, \quad \forall i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} .
$$

Then, for all $j=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
q=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right) q=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{j}\right) q=P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{j}\right) q
$$

Thus, $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $F\left(P_{C}\left(I-\eta B_{i}\right)\right)=$ $\mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Then we have $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$.

Step 5. Finally, we show that $q=u=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$. Since $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{1}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$, we obtain $\left\langle x_{1}-x_{n}, x_{n}-p\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, we get $\left\langle x_{1}-q, q-p\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$. This shows that $q=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}=u$.

By Steps 1-5, we can conclude that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $u=$ $P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$. This completes the proof.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.3. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous and demicontractive mapping with contraction coefficient $k$, and let $B: C \rightarrow H$ be a $\phi$-inverse strongly monotone mapping. Assume that $\mathcal{F}:=F(T) \cap \mathrm{VI}(C, B) \neq \emptyset$. For an initial point $x_{1} \in C$ with $C_{1}=C$, define sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ recursively by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\beta_{n} P_{C}(I-\lambda(I-T)) x_{n}+\gamma_{n} P_{C}(I-\eta B) x_{n}  \tag{3.18}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{p \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$, and suppose that the following conditions hold:
(i) $\eta \in[d, e]$ for some $d, e \in(0,2 \phi)$ and $\lambda \in(0,1-k]$;
(ii) $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \gamma_{n}<1$ and $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1$.

Then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $u=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$.
Proof. In Theorem 3.2, we put $N=1, T_{1}=T, B_{1}=B, \sigma_{1}=1$, and $\xi_{1}=1$. Hence, we obtain the desired result from Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.4. It is known that the class of demicontractive mappings contains the classes of nonexpansive mappings, nonspreading mappings, quasi-nonexpansive mappings, and strictly pseudo-nonspreading mappings. Thus, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 can be applied to these classes of mappings.

Finally, we give a numerical example supporting Theorem 3.2. All codes were written in Scilab.

Example 3.5. Let $H$ be a real line with the Euclidean norm, and let $C=[0,15]$. For all $x \in C$, we define mappings $T_{1}, T_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ on $C$ as follows:

$$
T_{1} x=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{7} x \sin \left(\frac{1}{x}\right), & x \neq 0, \\
0, & x=0,
\end{array} \quad T_{2} x=-\frac{5 x}{7}, \quad B_{1} x=\frac{x}{15}, \quad B_{2} x=\frac{x}{5}\right.
$$

Let the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be generated by (3.5), where $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \lambda=\frac{1}{6}$, $\sigma_{1}=\frac{1}{4}, \sigma_{2}=\frac{3}{4}, \eta=4, \alpha_{n}=\frac{19}{27}+\frac{4}{135 n}, \beta_{n}=\frac{10 n-1}{135 n}, \gamma_{n}=\frac{10 n-1}{45 n}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to zero.

Solution. Obviously, $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are demicontractive mappings with contraction coefficient $\frac{2}{3}$, and $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are 5 -inverse strongly monotone mappings. It can be observed that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. It is also easy to see that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{2} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)=\{0\}$. For any arbitrary $x_{1} \in C=C_{1}=[0,15]$, it follows by (3.5) that $0 \leq y_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq 15$. Then we have $C_{2}=\left\{p \in C_{1}:\left|y_{1}-p\right| \leq\right.$ $\left.\left|x_{1}-p\right|\right\}=\left[0, \frac{x_{1}+y_{1}}{2}\right]$. Since $\frac{x_{1}+y_{1}}{2} \leq x_{1}$, we get $x_{2}=P_{C_{2}} x_{1}=\frac{x_{1}+y_{1}}{2}$. By continuing this process, we obtain that $C_{n+1}=\left\{p \in C_{n}:\left|y_{n}-p\right| \leq\left|x_{n}-p\right|\right\}=\left[0, \frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}\right]$, and hence that $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}=\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}$.

Now, we rewrite the algorithm (3.5) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & \in[0,15], \\
y_{n} & = \begin{cases}\left(\frac{9470 n+187}{11340 n}\right) x_{n}+\left(\frac{10 n-1}{2835 n}\right) x_{n} \sin \left(\frac{1}{x_{n}}\right), & x_{n} \neq 0, \\
0, & x_{n}=0,\end{cases} \\
x_{n+1} & =\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2}, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with different $n$ 's are reported in Table 1.

Remark 3.6. Table 1 and Figure 1 show that the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge to zero, where $\{0\}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{2} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, B_{i}\right)$.

Table 1. The values of the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in Example 3.5.

|  | $x_{1}=4$ |  | $x_{1}=11.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $x_{n}$ | $y_{n}$ | $x_{n}$ | $y_{n}$ |
| 1 | 4.0000000 | 3.4094908 | 11.5000000 | 9.7964246 |
| 2 | 3.7047454 | 3.1276784 | 10.6482123 | 8.9834323 |
| 3 | 3.4162119 | 2.8750077 | 9.8158223 | 8.2545229 |
| 4 | 3.1456098 | 2.6432389 | 9.0351726 | 7.5859259 |
| 5 | 2.8944243 | 2.4300595 | 8.3105492 | 6.9709719 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 100 | 0.0008595 | 0.0007206 | 0.0024875 | 0.0020767 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 176 | 0.0000012 | 0.0000010 | 0.0000035 | 0.0000030 |
| 177 | 0.0000011 | 0.0000010 | 0.0000032 | 0.0000027 |
| 178 | 0.0000010 | 0.0000009 | 0.0000030 | 0.0000025 |
| 179 | 0.0000010 | 0.0000008 | 0.0000027 | 0.0000023 |
| 180 | 0.0000009 | 0.0000007 | 0.0000025 | 0.0000021 |



Figure 1. The convergence of the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with initial values 4 and 11.5 in Example 3.5.
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