## ON THE CESÀRO MEANS OF ORTHOGONAL SEQUENCES OF RANDOM VARIABLES

## By Ferenc Móricz

## Szeged University

Let  $\{\xi_k \colon k \geq 0\}$  be an orthogonal sequence of random variables with finite second moments  $E\xi_k^2 = \sigma_k^2$ . It is well-known that if  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma_k^2 (k+1)^{-2} [\log(k+2)]^2 < \infty$ , then the first arithmetic means  $\tau_n^0 := (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k \to 0$  a.s.  $(n \to \infty)$ . Now we prove that the means  $\tau_n^1 := (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n (1-k(n+1)^{-1})\xi_k \to 0$  a.s.  $(n \to \infty)$  merely under the condition  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma_k^2 (k+1)^{-2} < \infty$ . We define the means  $\tau_n^\alpha$  for every real  $\alpha$ , too and prove that under the latter condition  $\tau_n^\alpha \to 0$  a.s.  $(n \to \infty)$  provided  $\alpha > 0$ .

1. Cesàro means of numerical sequences. Let  $\alpha$  be a real number, let  $\{u_k: k \geq 0\}$  be a sequence of real numbers, and define

(1) 
$$t_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{(n+1)A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha} u_k \qquad (n=0, 1, \cdots),$$

where  $A_0^{\alpha} = 1$  and

$$A_n^{\alpha} = {\binom{\alpha+n}{n}} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n)}{n!} \qquad (n=1, 2, \cdots).$$

In particular, if  $\alpha = -1, -2, \dots$ , then  $A_n^{\alpha}$  is zero for large enough n. Introducing the notation

$$s_n^{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha} u_k,$$

we can write

(2) 
$$t_n^{\alpha} = \frac{s_n^{\alpha}}{(n+1)A_n^{\alpha}} \quad (n=0, 1, \cdots).$$

In particular,

$$s_n^0 = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$$
 and  $s_n^{-1} = u_n$ .

We remind the following well-known identities (see, e.g. [4, pages 76-77]):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{\alpha} z^n = (1-z)^{-\alpha-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n^{\alpha} z^n = (1-z)^{-\alpha-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n z^n$$

where z is a real or complex parameter, |z| < 1. Hence one can deduce that

(3) 
$$A_n^{\alpha+\beta+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{\beta}$$

and

$$s_n^{\alpha+\beta+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{\beta}$$

for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Furthermore, for  $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \cdots$ 

(5) 
$$A_n^{\alpha} = \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (1 + o(1)) \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Received September 1982.

AMS 1980 subject classification. Primary 60F15; secondary 60G46.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal random variables, Cesàro means of sequences, strong law of large numbers.

2. Main results. Let  $\{\xi_k : k \ge 0\}$  be an orthogonal sequence of random variables, i.e.

$$E\xi_k\xi_\ell=0 \quad (k\neq\ell;\,k,\,\ell=0,\,1,\,\cdots)$$

with finite second moments

(6) 
$$E\xi_k^2 = \sigma_k^2 \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

According to (1), we set

$$\tau_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{(n+1)A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha} \xi_k \quad (n=0, 1, \cdots).$$

In the special cases  $\alpha = 0$ , 1 and 2 one gets in turn that

$$au_n^0 = rac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k, \quad au_n^1 = rac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left( 1 - rac{k}{n+1} \right) \xi_k, \ au_n^2 = rac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left( 1 - rac{k}{n+1} \right) \left( 1 - rac{k}{n+2} \right) \xi_k.$$

A consequence of the famous Rademacher-Menšov theorem is formulated in the following theorem (see, e.g. [2, pages 86-87]).

THEOREM A. If

(7) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{(k+1)^2} [\log(k+2)]^2 < \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau_n^0=0\quad\text{a.s.}$$

In this paper the logarithms are of base 2.

It is also pointed out that the sufficient condition (7) is the best possible in the following sense.

THEOREM B. (Tandori [3]). If  $\{\sigma_k: k \geq 0\}$  is a sequence of positive numbers, for which  $\sigma_k/(k+1)$  is nonincreasing and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{(k+1)^2} [\log(k+2)]^2 = \infty,$$

then there exists an orthogonal sequence  $\{\xi_k: k \geq 0\}$  of random variables such that (6) is satisfied and

$$\lim\sup_{n\to\infty}|\tau_n^0|=\infty\quad\text{a.s.}$$

Now, the main result of the present paper is that the a.s. convergence behaviour of  $\tau_n^1$  is much more favourable in comparison with that of  $\tau_n^0$ . This is shown by the following

THEOREM 1. If

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{(k+1)^2} < \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau_n^1=0\quad\text{a.s.}$$

Another interesting fact is that, under condition (8), the a.s. convergence of the means  $\tau_n^{\alpha}$  to 0 coincide for different  $\alpha > 0$ .

Theorem 2. If condition (8) is satisfied, then for every  $\alpha > 0$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau_n^{\alpha}=0\quad\text{a.s.}$$

- 3. Proof of Theorem 1. It will be done in three steps.
- (i) First we prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau_{2^n}^0=0\quad\text{a.s.}$$

In fact, by orthogonality,

$$E[\tau_n^0]^2 = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \sigma_k^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \textstyle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[\tau_{2^n}^0]^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^n+1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \sigma_k^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k^2 \sum_{n:2^n \geq k} \frac{1}{(2^n+1)^2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{(k+1)^2}. \end{split}$$

By (8), B. Levi's theorem implies (11).

(ii) Our next step is to prove that

(12) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\tau_{2^n}^0 - \tau_{2^n}^1) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since

$$\tau_n^0 - \tau_n^1 = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k \xi_k,$$

a simple calculation gives that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[\tau_{2^{n}}^{0} - \tau_{2^{n}}^{1}]^{2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^{n} + 1)^{4}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} k^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} \sum_{n:2^{n} \geq k} \frac{1}{(2^{n} + 1)^{4}} = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Again by (8), B. Levi's theorem implies (12).

(iii) Finally, we prove that

(13) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{2^n < m \le 2^{n+1}} |\tau_m^1 - \tau_{2^n}^1| = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

To this effect, we use the following estimation:

$$egin{aligned} M_n &:= \max_{2^n < m \leq 2^{n+1}} \left| \ au_m^1 - \ au_{2^n}^1 
ight| \leq \sum_{j=2^n+1}^{2^{n+1}} \left| \ au_j^1 - \ au_{j-1}^1 
ight| \ &\leq 2^{n/2} \ \{ \sum_{j=2^n+1}^{2^{n+1}} \left[ \ au_j^1 - \ au_{j-1}^1 
ight]^2 \}^{1/2} \quad (n=1,\,2,\,\cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where we applied the Cauchy inequality. Since

$$\tau_{j}^{1} - \tau_{j-1}^{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \left( \frac{k(2j+1)}{j^{2}(j+1)^{2}} - \frac{1}{j(j+1)} \right) \xi_{k} \quad (j \ge 1),$$

a simple calculation provides that

$$\begin{split} EM_n^2 &\leq 2^n \sum_{j=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} E[\tau_j^1 - \tau_{j-1}^1]^2 \\ &\leq 2^n \sum_{j=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \left( \frac{k^2 (2j+1)^2}{j^4 (j+1)^4} + \frac{1}{j^2 (j+1)^2} \right) \sigma_k^2 \\ &\leq 5.2^n \sum_{j=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{j^2 (j+1)^2} \leq \frac{5}{(2^n+1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+1}} \sigma_k^2. \end{split}$$

Thus.

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} EM_n^2 &\leq 5 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^n + 1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+1}} \sigma_k^2 \\ &= 5 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k^2 \sum_{n:2^{n+1} \geq k} \frac{1}{(2^n + 1)^2} = O(1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{(k+1)^2}, \end{split}$$

whence B. Levi's theorem implies (13).

Now, putting (11), (12) and (13) together, we obtain statement (9).

4. Two auxiliary results for numerical sequences. In the proof of Theorem 2 we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If for an  $\alpha > -1$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n^\alpha=0,$$

then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}=0.$$

PROOF. It can be essentially found in [4, pages 77-78]. For the sake of completeness, we present the modified proof here. By (2) and (4),

$$\begin{split} t_n^{\alpha+\epsilon} &= \frac{1}{(n+1)A_n^{\alpha+\epsilon}} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{\epsilon-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)A_n^{\alpha+\epsilon}} \sum_{k=0}^n t_k^{\alpha} (k+1) A_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{\epsilon-1} =: \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} t_k^{\alpha}, \end{split}$$

where

$$a_{nk} = \frac{(k+1)A_k^{\alpha}A_k^{s-1}}{(n+1)A_n^{\alpha+\epsilon}} \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, n; n=0, 1, \dots).$$

In other words, the  $t_n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$  are linear means of the  $t_k^{\alpha}$ . Using (4) and (5) one can verify that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_{nk}=0 \quad (k=0,\,1,\,\cdots)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} = O(1) \quad (n = 0, 1, \cdots).$$

Since  $a_{nk} \ge 0$ , these two conditions are enough to ensure the implication (14)  $\Rightarrow$  (15).

LEMMA 2. If for an  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ 

(16) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} [t_k^{\alpha}]^2 = 0,$$

then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon} = 0.$$

PROOF. By (4) and (2),

$$s_n^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon} = \sum_{k=0}^n s_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{-1/2+\varepsilon} = \sum_{k=0}^n t_k^{\alpha} (k+1) A_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality,

$$|s_n^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon}| \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ t_k^{\alpha} \right]^2 \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^2 \left[ A_k^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

then (3) and (5), one can get that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1)^{2} \left[ A_{k}^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^{-1/2+\epsilon} \right]^{2} = O(n^{2(\alpha+1+\epsilon)})$$

(cf. [1, page 189]). Hence, by (16),

$$s_n^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon} = O(n^{\alpha+3/2+\varepsilon}).$$

Taking this and again (5) into account, we find that

$$t_n^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon} = \frac{s_n^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon}}{(n+1)A^{\alpha+1/2+\varepsilon}} = o(1),$$

in accordance with (17).

5. Proof of Theorem 2. It will be based on Lemmas 1 and 2, and the following Lemmas 3 and 4.

LEMMA 3. If condition (8) is satisfied, then for every  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ 

(18) 
$$\delta_n^{\alpha} := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \tau_j^{\alpha} - \tau_j^{\alpha-1} \right]^2 \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (n \to \infty).$$

We note that for j = 0 the summand in (18) is zero, since  $\tau_0^{\alpha} = \xi_0$  for all  $\alpha$ .

PROOF. In great lines it follows the proof of a corresponding result pertaining to orthogonal series (see, e.g. [1, pages 186-187]).

We begin with the representation

$$\begin{split} \tau_{j}^{\alpha} - \tau_{j}^{\alpha-1} &= \frac{1}{(j+1)A_{j}^{\alpha}A_{j}^{\alpha-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \left( A_{j-k}^{\alpha} A_{j}^{\alpha-1} - A_{j-k}^{\alpha-1} A_{j}^{\alpha} \right) \xi_{k} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(j+1)A_{j}^{\alpha}A_{j}^{\alpha-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{k}{\alpha} A_{j-k}^{\alpha-1} A_{j}^{\alpha-1} \xi_{k}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$E[ au_{j}^{lpha}- au_{j}^{lpha-1}]^{2}=rac{1}{lpha^{2}(j+1)^{2}(A_{j}^{lpha})^{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{j}k^{2}\sigma_{k}^{2}(A_{j-k}^{lpha-1})^{2}$$

and

$$\begin{split} E \delta_{2^{n}}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{\alpha^{2} (2^{n} + 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{(j+1)^{2} (A_{j}^{\alpha})^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{j} k^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} (A_{j-k}^{\alpha-1})^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^{2} (2^{n} + 1)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} k^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} \sum_{j=k}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{(j+1)^{2}} \left( \frac{A_{j-k}^{\alpha-1}}{A_{j}^{\alpha}} \right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Now, using (4) and (5), one can obtain that

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+1)^2} \left( \frac{A_{j-k}^{\alpha-1}}{A_j^{\alpha}} \right)^2 = O\left( \frac{1}{k^3} \right) \quad \left( k = 1, 2, \dots; \alpha > \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Thus, by (8),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \delta_{2^{n}}^{\alpha} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n} + 1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{k}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{k} \sum_{n:2^{n} \geq k} \frac{1}{2^{n} + 1} = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{k^{2}} < \infty.$$

This implies, via B. Levi's theorem,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\delta_{2^n}^{\alpha}=0$$
 a.s.

For general m, say  $2^n < m \le 2^{n+1}$ , we have

$$0 \le \delta_m^{\alpha} \le 2\delta_{2^{n+1}}^{\alpha},$$

and the proof of statement (18) is complete.

LEMMA 4. If condition (8) is satisfied and (10) is also satisfied for some  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , then

(19) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \tau_j^{\alpha-1} \right]^2 = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. It is clear that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \tau_{j}^{\alpha-1} \right]^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \tau_{j}^{\alpha-1} - \tau_{j}^{\alpha} \right]^{2} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \tau_{j}^{\alpha} \right]^{2}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 3, the first sum on the right-hand side is o(n), while the second sum is also o(n) by assumption.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. First Theorem 1 shows that statement (10) holds true for  $\alpha = 1$ . By Lemma 1, statement (10) holds true also for every  $\alpha \ge 1$ .

Applying Lemma 4, we obtain (19) for  $\alpha=1$ , whence Lemma 2 implies the fulfilment of (10) for  $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$  with any  $\varepsilon>0$ . Repeating this argument once more, we get (10) for  $\alpha=2\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon>0$  is arbitrary, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

## REFERENCES

- [1] KACZMARZ, S. und STEINHAUS, H. (1935). Theorie der Orthogonalreihen. Warszawa-Lwów.
- [2] Révész, P. (1967). The Laws of Large Numbers. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.
- [3] TANDORI, K. (1972). Bemerkungen zum Gesetz der grossen Zahlen. Periodica Math. Hungar. 2 33-39.
- [4] ZYGMUND, A. (1959). Trigonometric Series. University Press, Cambridge.

SZEGED UNIVERSITY BOLYAI INSTITUTE ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1 6720 SZEGED HUNGARY