$$\begin{split} \varphi_2(t) &= \frac{1}{\cosh 2t} + i \sqrt{2} \frac{\sinh t}{\cosh 2t}, \\ \varphi_3(t) &= \frac{1}{(\cosh 2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_4(t) = \frac{e^{it}}{(\cosh 2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{split}$$

If these functions  $\varphi$  are inverted (see [1], pp. 388–389, and [2], p. 30) and a change of variable made from  $(4/\pi)$  log |X| to X, assuming X symmetric, then the corresponding density functions are

$$p_{1}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{x^{2}}{1 + x^{4}}, \qquad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

$$p_{2}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{x^{4}}{(1 + x^{2})(1 + x^{4})}, \qquad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

$$p_{3}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2} \sqrt{2\pi} |x|} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i \log|x|}{\pi}\right) \right|^{2}, \qquad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

and

$$p_4(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{2\pi} |x|} \left| \Gamma \left( \frac{1-i}{4} + \frac{i \log |x|}{\pi} \right) \right|^2, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty.$$

Using  $\theta(-t)$  instead of  $\theta(t)$  provides additional densities  $p^*(x) = p(1/x)/x^2$  (if p is the density function of X then  $p^*$  is the density function of 1/X). For example,

$$p_1^*(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+x^4}, \qquad -\infty < x < +\infty$$

and

$$p_2^*(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)(1+x^4)}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. BIERENS DE HAAN, Nouvelles tables d'Intégrals Définies, G. E. Stechert, 1939.
- [2] A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER, AND F. G. TRICOMI, Tables of integral transforms, Vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, 1954.

## ESTIMATION OF A REGRESSION LINE WITH BOTH VARIABLES SUBJECT TO ERROR UNDER AN UNUSUAL IDENTIFICATION CONDITION

By HERMAN RUBIN

University of Oregon

Suppose the random variables  $w_j = (\xi_j, u_j, v_j)$  are independently and identically distributed with joint distribution F. Then if  $\iiint e^{\alpha u + \beta v} dF(\xi, u, v)$  exists

Received December 13, 1956; revised February 10, 1958.

for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in a neighborhood of 0 and  $\iiint e^{t\xi} dF(\xi, u, v)$  does not exist for all t in any neighborhood of 0, Jeeves [1] has shown that the parameter  $\theta$  in

(1) 
$$x_{j} = \xi_{j} \cos \theta + u_{j},$$
$$y_{j} = \xi_{j} \sin \theta + v_{j},$$

is identified. We shall construct a consistent estimate of  $\theta$  (mod  $\pi$ ) if these conditions are satisfied.

First, let us consider a univariate distribution G with moment generating function g. Then  $g(t) = \sum_{n} (\mu_n / n!) t^n$ ,  $\mu_n$  the nth moment, and if the radius of convergence is r, it is well known that

(2) 
$$\rho = \frac{1}{r} = \overline{\lim} \left( \frac{|\mu_n|}{n!} \right)^{1/n}$$

As easy application of Liapounoff's inequality and Stirling's formula shows that

$$\rho = \overline{\lim} \frac{(\mu_{2n})^{1/2ne}}{2n}$$

Therefore a natural procedure would seem to be to consider the sample moments  $m_{2n}(\phi)$  of  $x_j \sin \phi - y_j \cos \phi$  and to define  $\hat{\theta}$  as that value of  $\phi$  minimizing  $\max_n (m_{2n}(\phi))^{1/2n}/n$ . For fixed sample size, this maximum exists. We shall show that this estimate is indeed consistent, and even converges with probability one to  $\theta$ .

First let us show that  $\max_n m_{2n}(\theta)^{1/2n}/n$  is bounded as a function of the sample size N with probability one. Let

$$\psi(t) = E(\cosh[t(u_j \sin \theta - v_j \cos \theta)]) = \sum \frac{\mu_{2n} t^{2n}}{(2n)!},$$

where  $\mu_{2n}$  is the 2nth moment of  $u_j \sin \theta - v_j \cos \theta$ . Then [2], for  $|t| \leq s < r$ ,  $\psi_N(t) = \sum_{n} m_{2n} t^{2n} / (2n)!$  converges to  $\psi(t)$  uniformly with probability one. Thus  $\psi_N(t)$  is bounded with probability one, and since  $m_{2n}^{-1/2n} / n \leq (K/t) [\psi_N(t)]^{1/2n}$ ,  $\max_n m_{2n}(\theta)^{1/2n} / n$  is bounded with probability one. Hence with probability greater than  $1 - \epsilon/3$ ,  $H_{\epsilon}$  can be used for the bound. Similarly,

$$\max_{n,\phi} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum (u_j \sin \phi - v_j \cos \phi)^{2n}\right)^{1/2n}}{n} < K_{\epsilon}$$

for all N with probability greater than  $1 - \epsilon/3$ . Let  $\delta$  be given,  $0 < \delta < \pi$ , and let  $\gamma_n$  be the nth moment of  $\xi_j$ . Since  $(\gamma_{2n})^{1/2n}/n$  can be made arbitrarily large by selecting n large enough, select n so that

$$\frac{(\gamma_{2n})^{1/2n}}{n} > \frac{H_{\epsilon} + K_{\epsilon}}{\sin \delta}.$$

Then with probability greater than  $1 - \epsilon/3$ ,

$$\frac{(1/N\sum_{i}\xi_{i}^{2n})^{1/2n}}{n}>\frac{H_{\epsilon}+K_{\epsilon}}{\sin\delta}$$

for all N sufficiently large. By Minkowski's inequality

$$m_{2n}(\phi)^{1/2n} \geq |\sin (\phi - \theta)| \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum \xi_j^{2n}\right)^{1/2n} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum (u_j \sin \phi - v_j \cos \phi)^{2n}\right)^{1/2n}$$

Therefore with probability greater than  $1 - \epsilon$ ,

$$\frac{\max_n (m_{2n}(\phi))^{1/2n}}{n} > \frac{\max_n (m_{2n}(\theta))^{1/2n}}{n}$$

for all N sufficiently large for all  $\phi$  not in the interval  $(\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta) \pmod{\pi}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Jeeves, "Identifiability and almost sure estimability of linear structure in n-dimensions," University of California, 1952, unpublished paper.
- [2] HERMAN RUBIN, "Uniform convergence of random functions with applications to statistics," Ann. Math. Stat., vol. 27 (1956), pp.200-203.

## ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF CERTAIN x2 VARIABLES

By Robert V. Hogg and Allen T. Craig

University of Iowa

It is well known that if the sum, say  $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ , of two stochastically independent variables is  $\chi^2$  with r d.f., and if  $Q_1$  is also  $\chi^2$  with  $r_1$  d.f., then  $Q_2$  is likewise  $\chi^2$  with  $r_2 = r - r_1$  d.f. If the hypothesis of stochastic independence is removed, little can be said about  $Q_2$ . It seems to us quite interesting that if the variables under consideration are real symmetric quadratic forms in either central or non-central, stochastically independent or dependent normal variables, and if the hypothesis of stochastic independence of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  is replaced by the weaker hypothesis  $Q_2 \ge 0$ , then  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are stochastically independent so that  $Q_2$  is itself a  $\chi^2$  variable with  $r_2 = r - r_1$  d.f.

Before we state our theorem, we recall [1] that the real symmetric quadratic form Y'BY in n mutually stochastically independent normal variables  $Y' = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  with unit variances and means  $U' = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$  has a non-central  $\chi^2$  distribution whose characteristic function is

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left[\frac{it\theta}{1 - 2it}\right] / (1 - 2it)^{r/2}$$

if and only if  $B^2 = B$ . Here,  $\theta = U'BU$  and r is the rank of B.

Theorem. Let  $Q = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{k-1} + Q_k$ , where Q = X'AX and  $Q_j = X'A_jX$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ , k, are real symmetric quadratic forms in n normally distributed variables  $X' = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$  with means  $M' = (m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_n)$  and real symmetric definite positive variance-covariance matrix V. Let  $Q, Q_1, \cdots$ ,

Received February 25, 1957; revised November 27, 1957.