CORRECTION NOTES AND ACKNOWL-EDGMENTS OF PRIORITY

CORRECTIONS TO

"STATISTICAL METHODS IN MARKOV CHAINS"

By Patrick Billingsley

The University of Chicago

E. S. Keeping has pointed out a mistake in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of the above-titled paper (*Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 32 (1961), pp. 12–40). The error can be corrected by making the following changes. Replace the display preceding (2.4) by

 $N_{uv}^{(n)}(F) = \sum_{w} N_{uv}^{(n-1)}(F(w,v)),$

and in the following line replace $f_{uw} > 0$ by $f_{wv} > 0$. Replace (2.4) by

$$F_{vu}^* = \sum_{w} f_{wv} f_{w}^{-1} F_{wu}^*(w, v).$$

In line 1, page 15, replace $F^*(u,w)$ by $F^*(w,v)$, "column" by "row", and

$$F_{vw}^*(u, w) = F_{vw}^*$$

by $F_{wu}^*(w,v) = F_{wu}^*$. In line 3, replace $\sum_w f_{uw}^* F_{vw}^*$ by $\sum_w f_{wv}^* F_{wu}^*$ in two places. Misprints: p. 13, line 27, for 1 read p_j ; p. 22, line 19, for i read 1; a factor of 2 is missing on the right in the first display on p. 26, and on the left in (5.4), (5.5), and (5.6).

CORRECTION TO

"A CONSERVATIVE PROPERTY OF BINOMIAL TESTS"

By H. A. DAVID

Virginia Polytechnic Institute

In the proof of inequality (1) of the above note (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 31 (1960), pp. 1205–1207) it is tacitly assumed, near equation (4), that P can be a maximum only if $\Pr(S_{n-1} \ge a_n)$ is a maximum for any given π_n . I am indebted to Dr. W. Hoeffding for pointing this out. His proof, cited in my note, establishes the inequality without such an assumption.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PRIORITY

By V. P. GODAMBE

Science College, Nagpur, India

In connection with my article "An optimum property of maximum likelihood estimation" (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 31 (1960), pp. 1208-1211), I wish to ac-