

## Strong solutions of jump-type stochastic equations\*

Zenghu Li<sup>†</sup>      Fei Pu<sup>‡</sup>

### Abstract

We establish the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to some jump-type stochastic equations under non-Lipschitz conditions. The results improve those of Fu and Li [11] and Li and Mytnik [15].

**Keywords:** Strong solution; jump-type stochastic equation; pathwise uniqueness; non-Lipschitz condition.

**AMS MSC 2010:** 60H20;60H10.

Submitted to ECP on April 1, 2012, final version accepted on July 6, 2012.

## 1 Introduction

The problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions to jump-type stochastic equations under non-Lipschitz conditions have been studied by many authors; see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 13, 15] and the references therein. In particular, some criteria for the existence and pathwise uniqueness of non-negative and general solutions were given in [10, 11, 15]. Stochastic equations have played important roles in the recent progresses in the study of continuous-state branching processes with and without immigration; see, e.g., [5, 6, 7, 14]. The main difficulty of pathwise uniqueness for jump-type stochastic equations usually comes from the compensated Poisson integral term. Let us consider the equation

$$dx(t) = \phi(x(t-))d\tilde{N}(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\{\tilde{N}(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a compensated Poisson process. For each  $0 < \alpha < 1$  there is a  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous function  $\phi$  so that the pathwise uniqueness for (1.1) fails. In fact, before the first jump of the Poisson process, the above equation reduces to

$$dx(t) = -\phi(x(t))dt. \quad (1.2)$$

Then to assure the pathwise uniqueness for (1.1) the uniqueness of solution for (1.2) is necessary. If we set  $h_\alpha(x) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}x^\alpha 1_{\{x \geq 0\}}$ , then both  $x_1(t) = 0$  and  $x_2(t) = t^{1/(1-\alpha)}$  are solutions of (1.2) with  $\phi = -h_\alpha$ . From those it is easy to construct two distinct solutions of (1.1). The key of the pathwise uniqueness results in [11, 15] is to consider a non-decreasing kernel for the compensated Poisson integral term in the stochastic equation. The condition was weakened considerably by Fournier [10] for stable driving noises. In fact, as a consequence of Theorem 2.2 in [15], given any  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a pathwise unique strong solution to (1.1) with  $\phi = h_\alpha$ . On the other hand, the monotonicity assumption also excludes some interesting jump-type stochastic equations. Two of them are given below.

---

\*Supported by NSFC (No. 11131003), 973 Program (No. 2011CB808001) and 985 Program.

<sup>†</sup>Beijing Normal university, China. E-mail: lizh@bnu.edu.cn

<sup>‡</sup>Beijing Normal university, China. E-mail: pufei@mail.bnu.edu.cn

**Example 1.1.** Let  $z^2\nu(dz)$  be a finite measure on  $(0, 1]$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{M}(ds, dz, dr)$  is a compensated Poisson random measure on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, 1]^2$  with intensity  $ds\nu(dz)dr$ . Given  $0 \leq x(0) \leq 1$ , we consider the stochastic integral equation

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int_0^1 zq(x(s-), r)\tilde{M}(ds, dz, dr), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$q(x, r) = 1_{\{r \leq 1 \wedge x\}} - (1 \wedge x)1_{\{x \geq 0\}}.$$

This equation was introduced by Bertoin and Le Gall [4] in their study of generalized Fleming-Viot flows. The existence and uniqueness of a weak solution flow to (1.3) was proved in [4]. The pathwise uniqueness for the equation follows from a result in [7]. The result cannot be derived directly from the those in [11, 15] since  $x \mapsto q(x, r)$  is not a non-decreasing function.

**Example 1.2.** Let  $(1 \wedge u^2)\mu(du)$  be a finite measure on  $(0, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{N}(ds, du, dr)$  is a compensated Poisson random measure on  $(0, \infty)^3$  with intensity  $ds\mu(du)dr$ . Given  $y(0) \geq 0$ , we consider the stochastic equation

$$y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g(y(s-), u, r)\tilde{N}(ds, du, dr), \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$g(x, u, r) = 1_{\{rx \leq 1\}}x(e^{-u} - 1).$$

Some generalizations of the above equation were introduced by Döring and Barczy [8] in the study of self-similar Markov processes. From their results it follows that (1.4) has a pathwise unique non-negative strong solution. Since  $x \mapsto g(x, u, r)$  is not non-decreasing, one cannot derive the pathwise uniqueness for (1.4) from the results in [11, 15].

In this paper, we give some criteria for the existence and pathwise uniqueness of strong solutions of jump-type stochastic equations. The results improve those in [11, 15] and can be applied to equations like (1.3) and (1.4). In Section 2 we give some basic formulations of the stochastic equations. Two theorems on the pathwise uniqueness of general solutions are presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we prove the existence of weak solutions by a martingale problem approach. The main results on the existence and pathwise uniqueness of general strong solutions are given in Section 5. In Section 6 we give some results on the existence and pathwise uniqueness of non-negative strong solutions. Throughout this paper, we make the conventions

$$\int_a^b = \int_{(a,b]} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^\infty = \int_{(a,\infty)}$$

for any  $b \geq a \geq 0$ . Given a function  $f$  defined on a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we write

$$\Delta_z f(x) = f(x+z) - f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad D_z f(x) = \Delta_z f(x) - f'(x)z$$

if the right hand sides are meaningful.

## 2 Preliminaries

Suppose that  $\mu_0(du)$  and  $\mu_1(du)$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on the complete separable metric spaces  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ , respectively. Throughout this paper, we consider a set of parameters  $(\sigma, b, g_0, g_1)$  satisfying the following basic properties:

- $x \mapsto \sigma(x)$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- $x \mapsto b(x)$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  having the decomposition  $b = b_1 - b_2$  with  $b_2$  being continuous and non-decreasing;
- $(x, u) \mapsto g_0(x, u)$  and  $(x, u) \mapsto g_1(x, u)$  are Borel functions on  $\mathbb{R} \times U_0$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times U_1$ , respectively.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_t, \mathbf{P})$  be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual hypotheses. Let  $\{B(t) : t \geq 0\}$  be a standard  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Brownian motion and let  $\{p_0(t) : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{p_1(t) : t \geq 0\}$  be  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Poisson point processes on  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  with characteristic measures  $\mu_0(du)$  and  $\mu_1(du)$ , respectively. Suppose that  $\{B(t)\}$ ,  $\{p_0(t)\}$  and  $\{p_1(t)\}$  are independent of each other. Let  $N_0(ds, du)$  and  $N_1(ds, du)$  be the Poisson random measures associated with  $\{p_0(t)\}$  and  $\{p_1(t)\}$ , respectively. Let  $\tilde{N}_0(ds, du)$  be the compensated measure of  $N_0(ds, du)$ . By a *solution* to the stochastic equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & x(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(x(s-))dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_{U_0} g_0(x(s-), u)\tilde{N}_0(ds, du) \\
 & + \int_0^t b(x(s-))ds + \int_0^t \int_{U_1} g_1(x(s-), u)N_1(ds, du), \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

we mean a càdlàg and  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -adapted real process  $\{x(t)\}$  that satisfies the equation almost surely for every  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $x(s-) \neq x(s)$  for at most countably many  $s \geq 0$ , we can also use  $x(s)$  instead of  $x(s-)$  for the integrals with respect to  $dB(s)$  and  $ds$  on the right hand side of (2.1). We say *pathwise uniqueness* holds for (2.1) if for any two solutions  $\{x_1(t)\}$  and  $\{x_2(t)\}$  of the equation satisfying  $x_1(0) = x_2(0)$  we have  $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$  almost surely for every  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the augmented natural filtration generated by  $\{B(t)\}$ ,  $\{p_0(t)\}$  and  $\{p_1(t)\}$ . A solution  $\{x(t)\}$  of (2.1) is called a *strong solution* if it is adapted with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ ; see [12, p.163] or [16, p.76]. Let  $U_2 \subset U_1$  be a set satisfying  $\mu_1(U_1 \setminus U_2) < \infty$ . We also consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & x(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(x(s-))dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_{U_0} g_0(x(s-), u)\tilde{N}_0(ds, du) \\
 & + \int_0^t b(x(s-))ds + \int_0^t \int_{U_2} g_1(x(s-), u)N_1(ds, du). \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *If (2.2) has a strong solution for every given  $x(0)$ , so does (2.1). If the pathwise uniqueness holds for (2.2), it also holds for (2.1).*

The above proposition can be proved similarly as Proposition 2.2 in [11]. Then all conditions in the paper only involve  $U_2$  instead of  $U_1$ .

### 3 Pathwise uniqueness

In this section, we prove some results on the pathwise uniqueness for (2.2) under non-Lipschitz conditions. Suppose that  $(\sigma, b, g_0, g_1)$  are given as in the second section. Let us consider the following conditions on the modulus of continuity:

- (3.a) for each integer  $m \geq 1$  there is a non-decreasing and concave function  $z \mapsto r_m(z)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\int_{0+} r_m(z)^{-1} dz = \infty$  and

$$|b_1(x) - b_1(y)| + \int_{U_2} |l_1(x, y, u)|\mu_1(du) \leq r_m(|x - y|), \quad |x|, |y| \leq m,$$

where  $l_1(x, y, u) = g_1(x, u) - g_1(y, u)$ ;

(3.b) the function  $x \mapsto x + g_0(x, u)$  is non-decreasing for all  $u \in U_0$  and for each integer  $m \geq 1$  there is a constant  $K_m \geq 0$  such that

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|^2 + \int_{U_0} l_0(x, y, u)^2 \mu_0(du) \leq K_m |x - y|, \quad |x|, |y| \leq m,$$

where  $l_0(x, y, u) = g_0(x, u) - g_0(y, u)$ .

Let us define a sequence of functions  $\{\phi_k\}$  as follows. For each integer  $k \geq 0$  define  $a_k = \exp\{-k(k+1)/2\}$ . Then  $a_k \rightarrow 0$  decreasingly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\int_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} z^{-1} dz = k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Let  $x \mapsto \psi_k(x)$  be a non-negative continuous function supported by  $(a_k, a_{k-1})$  so that

$$\int_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} \psi_k(x) dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k(x) \leq 2(kx)^{-1} \tag{3.1}$$

for every  $a_k < x < a_{k-1}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  let

$$\phi_k(z) = \int_0^{|z|} dy \int_0^y \psi_k(x) dx. \tag{3.2}$$

It is easy to see that the sequence  $\{\phi_k\}$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $\phi_k(z) \mapsto |z|$  non-decreasingly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 \leq \phi'_k(z) \leq 1$  for  $z \geq 0$  and  $-1 \leq \phi'_k(z) \leq 0$  for  $z \leq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $0 \leq |z| \phi''_k(z) = |z| \psi_k(|z|) \leq 2k^{-1}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By Taylor's expansion, for any  $h, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$D_h \phi_k(\zeta) = h^2 \int_0^1 \psi_k(|\zeta + th|)(1-t) dt \leq \frac{2}{k} h^2 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)}{|\zeta + th|} dt. \tag{3.3}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $x \mapsto x + g_0(x, u)$  is non-decreasing for  $u \in U_0$ . Then, for any  $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$D_{l_0(x,y,u)} \phi_k(x-y) \leq \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 \frac{l_0(x,y,u)^2(1-t)}{|x-y+tl_0(x,y,u)|} dt \leq \frac{2l_0(x,y,u)^2}{k|x-y|}. \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from (3.3). Since  $x \mapsto x + g_0(x, u)$  is non-decreasing, for  $x > y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $x - y + l_0(x, y, u) \geq 0$ , and hence  $x - y + tl_0(x, y, u) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . It is elementary to see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{l_0(x,y,u)^2(1-t)}{x-y+tl_0(x,y,u)} dt \\ &= l_0(x,y,u) \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{x-y+l_0(x,y,u)}{x-y+tl_0(x,y,u)} - 1 \right] dt \\ &= [x-y+l_0(x,y,u)] \log \left( 1 + \frac{l_0(x,y,u)}{x-y} \right) - l_0(x,y,u) \\ &\leq [x-y+l_0(x,y,u)] \frac{l_0(x,y,u)}{x-y} - l_0(x,y,u) \\ &= \frac{l_0(x,y,u)^2}{x-y}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the second inequality in (3.4) follows by symmetry. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that conditions (3.a,b) are satisfied. Then the pathwise uniqueness for (2.2) holds.*

*Proof.* proof By condition (3.b) and Lemma 3.1, for  $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $|x|, |y| \leq m$  we have

$$\phi_k''(x - y)[\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)]^2 \leq K_m \phi_k''(x - y)|x - y| \leq \frac{2K_m}{k}$$

and

$$\int_{U_0} D_{l_0(x,y,u)} \phi_k(x - y) \mu_0(du) \leq \int_{U_0} \frac{2l_0(x, y, u)^2}{k|x - y|} \mu_0(du) \leq \frac{2K_m}{k}.$$

The right-hand sides of both inequalities tend to zero uniformly on  $|x|, |y| \leq m$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the pathwise uniqueness for (2.2) follows by a simple modification of Proposition 3.1 in [15]; see also Theorem 3.1 in [11].  $\square$

We next introduce some condition that is particularly useful in applications to stochastic equations driven by Lévy processes. The condition is given as follows:

(3.c) there is a constant  $0 \leq c \leq 1$  such that  $x \mapsto cx + g_0(x, u)$  is non-decreasing for all  $u \in U_0$  and for each integer  $m \geq 1$  there are constants  $K_m \geq 0$  and  $p_m > 0$  such that

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|^2 \leq K_m|x - y| \quad \text{and} \quad |l_0(x, y, u)| \leq |x - y|^{p_m} f_m(u)$$

for  $|x|, |y| \leq m$ , where  $l_0(x, y, u) = g_0(x, u) - g_0(y, u)$  and  $u \mapsto f_m(u)$  is a strictly positive function on  $U_0$  satisfying

$$\int_{U_0} [f_m(u) \wedge f_m(u)^2] \mu_0(du) < \infty.$$

For each  $m \geq 1$  and the function  $f_m$  specified in (3.c) we define the constant

$$\alpha_m = \inf \left\{ \beta > 1 : \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{\beta-1} \int_{U_0} f_m(u) 1_{\{f_m(u) \geq x\}} \mu_0(du) = 0 \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

By Lemma 2.1 in [15] we have  $1 \leq \alpha_m \leq 2$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that condition (3.c) holds. Then for any  $h \geq 0$  and  $|x|, |y| \leq m$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U_0} D_{l_0(x,y,u)} \phi_k(x - y) \mu_0(du) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{k} |x - y|^{2p_m-1} 1_{\{(1-c)|x-y| < a_{k-1}\}} \int_{U_0} f_m(u)^2 1_{\{f_m(u) \leq h\}} \mu_0(du) \\ & \quad + 2|x - y|^{p_m} 1_{\{(1-c)|x-y| < a_{k-1}\}} \int_{U_0} f_m(u) 1_{\{f_m(u) > h\}} \mu_0(du). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We first consider  $x > y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $x \mapsto cx + g_0(x, u)$  is non-decreasing, we have  $c(x - y) + l_0(x, y, u) \geq 0$ , and hence  $c(x - y) + tl_0(x, y, u) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . It follows that  $x - y + tl_0(x, y, u) \geq (1 - c)(x - y)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $(1 - c)(x - y) \geq a_{k-1}$  implies  $x - y + tl_0(x, y, u) \geq a_{k-1}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . In view of the equality in (3.3) we have

$$D_{l_0(x,y,u)} \phi_k(x - y) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (1 - c)(x - y) \geq a_{k-1}.$$

By the symmetry of  $\phi_k$  it follows that, for arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$D_{l_0(x,y,u)}\phi_k(x-y) = 0 \quad \text{if } (1-c)|x-y| \geq a_{k-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then we can use condition (3.c) to get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{l_0(x,y,u)}\phi_k(x-y) &\leq 2|l_0(x,y,u)|1_{\{(1-c)(x-y) < a_{k-1}\}} \\ &\leq 2|x-y|^{p_m} f_m(u)1_{\{(1-c)|x-y| < a_{k-1}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{l_0(x,y,u)}\phi_k(x-y) &\leq \frac{2l_0(x,y,u)^2}{k|x-y|}1_{\{(1-c)|x-y| < a_{k-1}\}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k}|x-y|^{2p_m-1} f_m(u)^2 1_{\{(1-c)|x-y| < a_{k-1}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Those give the desired result. □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose that conditions (3.a,c) hold with: (i)  $c = 1, \alpha_m = 2, p_m = 1/2$ ; or (ii)  $c < 1, \alpha_m < 2, 1 - 1/\alpha_m < p_m \leq 1/2$ . Then the pathwise uniqueness holds for (2.2).*

*Proof.* Let us consider the case (i). By Lemma 3.3, for any  $h \geq 1$  and  $|x|, |y| \leq m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U_0} D_{l_0(x,y,u)}\phi_k(x-y)\mu_0(du) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k} \int_{U_0} f_m(u)^2 1_{\{f_m(u) \leq h\}}\mu_0(du) + 2\sqrt{2m} \int_{U_0} f_m(u) 1_{\{f_m(u) > h\}}\mu_0(du) \\ &\leq \frac{2h}{k} \int_{U_0} [f_m(u) \wedge f_m(u)^2]\mu_0(du) + 2\sqrt{2m} \int_{U_0} f_m(u) 1_{\{f_m(u) > h\}}\mu_0(du). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $h \rightarrow \infty$  one can see

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U_0} D_{l_0(x,y,u)}\phi_k(x-y)\mu_0(du) = 0.$$

Then the pathwise uniqueness for (2.2) follows by a modification of Proposition 3.1 in [15]; see also Theorem 3.1 in [11]. The case (ii) follows as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [15]. □

We remark that our conditions (3.b) and (3.c) improve similar conditions in [11, 15], where it was assumed that  $x \mapsto g_0(x, u)$  is non-decreasing for all  $u \in U_0$ . The following example shows that the global monotonicity of the functions  $x \mapsto x + g_0(x, u)$  and  $x \mapsto cx + g_0(x, u)$  in conditions (3.b) and (3.c) are necessary to assure the pathwise uniqueness.

**Example 3.5.** *Let us consider the equation (1.1). Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  be a constant and define the bounded positive  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous function*

$$\phi(x) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}(|x|^\alpha \wedge |x - 1|^\alpha)1_{\{0 \leq x \leq 1\}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.7}$$

*Clearly, this function is nondecreasing in the interval  $(-\infty, 1/2)$  and nonincreasing in the interval  $(1/2, \infty)$ . Let  $y_1(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 0$  and let*

$$y_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - t^{1/(1-\alpha)} & \text{for } 0 \leq t < 2^{\alpha-1}, \\ (2^\alpha - t)^{1/(1-\alpha)} & \text{for } 2^{\alpha-1} \leq t < 2^\alpha, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq 2^\alpha. \end{cases}$$

*It is elementary to show that both  $\{y_1(t)\}$  and  $\{y_2(t)\}$  are solutions of (1.2) satisfying  $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = 1$ . Based on  $\{y_1(t)\}$  and  $\{y_2(t)\}$ , it is easy to construct infinitely many solutions of (1.2) satisfying  $y(0) = 1$ . Therefore (1.1) has infinitely many solutions  $\{x(t)\}$  satisfying  $x(0) = 1$ .*

### 4 Weak solutions

In this section, we prove the existence of the weak solution to (2.2) by considering the corresponding martingale problem. Let  $(\sigma, b, g_0, g_1)$  be given as in the second section. Let  $C^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of twice continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  which together with their derivatives up to the second order are bounded. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 Af(x) = & \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)^2 f''(x) + \int_{U_0} D_{g_0(x,u)} f(x) \mu_0(du) \\
 & + b(x) f'(x) + \int_{U_2} \Delta_{g_1(x,u)} f(x) \mu_1(du).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.1}$$

To simplify the statements we introduce the following condition:

(4.a) there is a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b(x)| + \sigma(x)^2 + \int_{U_0} g_0(x, u)^2 \mu_0(du) \\
 + \int_{U_2} [|g_1(x, u)| \vee g_1(x, u)^2] \mu_1(du) \leq K, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose that condition (4.a) holds. Then a càdlàg process  $\{x(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a weak solution to (2.2) if and only if for every  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,*

$$f(x(t)) - f(x(0)) - \int_0^t Af(x(s)) ds, \quad t \geq 0
 \tag{4.2}$$

is a locally bounded martingale.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}$  is deterministic. If  $\{x(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a solution to (2.2), by Itô's formula it is easy to see that (4.2) is a locally bounded martingale. Conversely, suppose that (4.2) is a martingale for every  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . By a standard stopping time argument, we have

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t b(x(s-)) ds + \int_0^t ds \int_{U_2} g_1(x(s-), u) \mu_1(du) + M(t)$$

for a square-integrable martingale  $\{M(t) : t \geq 0\}$ . As in the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [11], we obtain the equation (2.2) on an extension of the probability space by applying martingale representation theorems; see, e.g., [12, p.90 and p.93].  $\square$

Now suppose that conditions (3.a,b) and (4.a) are satisfied. For simplicity, in the sequel we assume the initial value  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}$  is deterministic. Let  $\{V_n\}$  be a non-decreasing sequence of Borel subsets of  $U_0$  so that  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty V_n = U_0$  and  $\mu_0(V_n) < \infty$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . It is easy to see that

$$x \mapsto \int_{V_n} g_0(x, u) \mu_0(du)$$

is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  let

$$\chi_n(x) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } x > n, \\ x, & \text{if } |x| \leq n, \\ -n, & \text{if } x < -n. \end{cases}
 \tag{4.3}$$

By the result on continuous-type stochastic equations, there is a weak solution to

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))dB(s) + \int_0^t b(x(s))ds - \int_0^t ds \int_{V_n} g_0(\chi_n(x(s)), u)\mu_0(du); \tag{4.4}$$

see, e.g., [12, p.169]. We can rewrite (4.4) into

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))dB(s) + \int_0^t [b_1(x(s)) + \mu_0(V_n)\chi_n(x(s))]ds - \int_0^t \left\{ b_2(x(s)) + \int_{V_n} [\chi_n(x(s)) + g_0(\chi_n(x(s)), u)]\mu_0(du) \right\} ds, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$x \mapsto b_2(x) + \int_{V_n} [\chi_n(x) + g_0(\chi_n(x), u)]\mu_0(du)$$

is a bounded continuous non-decreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By Theorem 3.2 the pathwise uniqueness holds for (4.5), so it also holds for (4.4). Then there is a pathwise unique strong solution to (4.4). Let  $\{W_n\}$  be a non-decreasing sequence of Borel subsets of  $U_2$  so that  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty W_n = U_2$  and  $\mu_1(W_n) < \infty$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Following the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [11] one can see for every integer  $n \geq 1$  there is a strong solution to

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_{V_n} g_0(\chi_n(x(s-)), u)\tilde{N}_0(ds, du) + \int_0^t b(x(s))ds + \int_0^t \int_{W_n} g_1(x(s-), u)N_1(ds, du). \tag{4.6}$$

By Theorem 3.2 the pathwise uniqueness holds for (4.6), so the equation has a unique strong solution; see, e.g., [16, p.104]. Let us denote the strong solution to (4.6) by  $\{x_n(t) : t \geq 0\}$ . By Proposition 4.1, for every  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$f(x_n(t)) = f(x_n(0)) + \int_0^t A_n f(x_n(s))ds + \text{mart.}, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$A_n f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)^2 f''(x) + \int_{V_n} D_{g_0(\chi_n(x), u)} f(x)\mu_0(du) + b(x)f'(x) + \int_{W_n} \Delta_{g_1(x, u)} f(x)\mu_1(du).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that conditions (4.a) and (3.a,b) are satisfied. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $A_n f(x_n) \rightarrow A f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M \geq 0$  be a constant so that  $|x|, |x_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Under the conditions, it is easy to see that

$$x \mapsto \int_{V_k^c} g_0(x, u)^2 \mu_0(du) + \int_{W_k^c} |g_1(x, u)| \mu_1(du)$$

is a continuous function for each  $k \geq 1$ . By Dini's theorem we have, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\varepsilon_k := \sup_{|x| \leq M} \left[ \int_{V_k^c} g_0(x, u)^2 \mu_0(du) + \int_{W_k^c} |g_1(x, u)| \mu_1(du) \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $y_n = \chi_n(x_n)$ . For  $n \geq k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{V_n} D_{g_0(y_n, u)} f(x_n) \mu_0(du) - \int_{U_0} D_{g_0(x, u)} f(x) \mu_0(du) \right| \\
 & \leq \int_{V_k} \left| D_{g_0(y_n, u)} f(x_n) - D_{g_0(x, u)} f(x) \right| \mu_0(du) + \|f''\| \varepsilon_k \\
 & \leq \int_{V_k} \left| f(x_n + g_0(y_n, u)) - f(x + g_0(x, u)) \right| \mu_0(du) \\
 & \quad + \int_{V_k} |f(x_n) - f(x)| \mu_0(du) + \|f''\| \varepsilon_k \\
 & \quad + \int_{V_k} \left| f'(x_n) g_0(y_n, u) - f'(x) g_0(x, u) \right| \mu_0(du) \\
 & \leq \|f'\| \int_{V_k} \left| (x_n + g_0(y_n, u)) - (x + g_0(x, u)) \right| \mu_0(du) \\
 & \quad + \int_{V_k} |f(x_n) - f(x)| \mu_0(du) + \|f''\| \varepsilon_k \\
 & \quad + \|f'\| \int_{V_k} |g_0(y_n, u) - g_0(x, u)| \mu_0(du) \\
 & \quad + \int_{V_k} |f'(x_n) - f'(x)| |g_0(x, u)| \mu_0(du) \\
 & \leq 2\|f'\| \int_{V_k} |g_0(y_n, u) - g_0(x, u)| \mu_0(du) \\
 & \quad + \left[ \|f'\| |x_n - x| + |f(x_n) - f(x)| \right] \mu_0(V_k) + \|f''\| \varepsilon_k \\
 & \quad + |f'(x_n) - f'(x)| \mu_0(V_k)^{1/2} \left[ \int_{U_0} g_0(x, u)^2 \mu_0(du) \right]^{1/2}, \tag{4.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_{V_k} |g_0(y_n, u) - g_0(x, u)| \mu_0(du) \leq \left[ \mu_0(V_k) \int_{U_0} |g_0(y_n, u) - g_0(x, u)|^2 \mu_0(du) \right]^{1/2}. \tag{4.9}$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.8) and using condition (3.b) one can see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V_n} D_{g_0(y_n, u)} f(x_n) \mu_0(du) = \int_{U_0} D_{g_0(x, u)} f(x) \mu_0(du). \tag{4.10}$$

Similarly, for  $n \geq k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{W_n} \Delta_{g_1(x_n, u)} f(x_n) \mu_0(du) - \int_{U_2} \Delta_{g_1(x, u)} f(x) \mu_1(du) \right| \\
 & \leq \|f'\| \int_{U_2} |g_1(x_n, u) - g_1(x, u)| \mu_1(du) + 2\|f'\| \varepsilon_k \\
 & \quad + \left[ \|f'\| |x_n - x| + |f(x_n) - f(x)| \right] \mu_1(W_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using condition (3.a) one sees

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{W_n} \Delta_{g_1(x_n, u)} f(x_n) \mu_0(du) = \int_{U_2} \Delta_{g_1(x, u)} f(x) \mu_1(du). \tag{4.11}$$

In view of (4.10) and (4.11), it is obvious that  $A_n f(x_n) \rightarrow A f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose that conditions (4.a) and (3.a,b) are satisfied. Then there exists a weak solution to (2.2).*

*Proof.* Following the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [11] it is easy to show that  $\{x_n(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a tight sequence in the Skorokhod space  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ . Then there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}(t) : t \geq 0\}$  that converges to some process  $\{x(t) : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ . By the Skorokhod representation theorem, we may assume those processes are defined on the same probability space and  $\{x_{n_k}(t) : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{x(t) : t \geq 0\}$  almost surely in  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $D(x) := \{t > 0 : \mathbf{P}\{x(t-) = x(t)\} = 1\}$ . Then the set  $[0, \infty) \setminus D(x)$  is at most countable; see, e.g., [9, p.131]. It follows that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}(t) = x(t)$  almost surely for every  $t \in D(x)$ ; see, e.g., [9, p.118]. From (4.7) and Lemma 4.2 it follows that (4.2) is a locally bounded martingale. Then we get the result by Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose that conditions (4.a) and (3.a,c) hold with: (i)  $c = 1, \alpha_m = 2, p_m = 1/2$ ; or (ii)  $c < 1, \alpha_m < 2, 1 - 1/\alpha_m < p_m \leq 1/2$ . Then there exists a weak solution to (2.2).*

*Proof.* In condition (3.c), we can obviously assume  $f_m \leq f_{m+1}$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $V_n = \{u \in U_0 : f_n(u) \geq 1/n\}$ . Then the conclusion of Lemma 4.2 remains true. The only necessary modification of the proof is that now we consider  $n \geq k \geq M$ . Then  $|x|, |x_n| \leq M$  implies  $|x|, |y_n| \leq k$ , so we can replace (4.9) by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V_k} |g_0(y_n, u) - g_0(x, u)| \mu_0(du) &\leq |y_n - x|^{p_k} \int_{V_k} f_k(u) \mu_0(du) \\ &\leq k |y_n - x|^{p_k} \int_{U_0} [f_k(u) \wedge f_k(u)^2] \mu_0(du). \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.  $\square$

## 5 Strong solutions

In this section, we prove the existence of the strong solution to (2.1). Let  $(\sigma, b, g_0, g_1)$  be given as in the second section. We assume the following linear growth condition on the coefficients:

(5.a) there is a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x)^2 + \int_{U_0} g_0(x, u)^2 \mu_0(du) + \int_{U_2} g_1(x, u)^2 \mu_1(du) \\ + b(x)^2 + \left( \int_{U_2} |g_1(x, u)| \mu_1(du) \right)^2 \leq K(1 + x^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that conditions (5.a) and (3.a,b) are satisfied. Then there is a pathwise unique strong solution to (2.1).*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3 for each integer  $m \geq 1$  there is a weak solution to

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(\chi_m(x(s))) dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_{U_0} g_0(\chi_m(x(s-)), u) \tilde{N}_0(ds, du) \\ + \int_0^t b(\chi_m(x(s))) ds + \int_0^t \int_{U_2} \chi_m \circ g_1(\chi_m(x(s-)), u) N_1(ds, du). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

The pathwise uniqueness for the equation follows from Theorem 3.2. Then there is a unique strong solution  $\{x_m(t) : t \geq 0\}$  to (5.1); see, e.g., [16, p.104]. Let  $\tau_m = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |x_m(t)| \geq m\}$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.4 in [15] it is easy to get

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ 1 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} x_m(s \wedge \tau_m)^2 \right] \leq (1 + 6\mathbf{E}[x(0)^2]) \exp\{6K(4 + t)t\}.$$

Then  $\tau_m \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Following the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [11] one can show there is a pathwise unique strong solution to (2.2). Then the result follows from Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\alpha_m$  be the number defined in (3.5). Suppose that conditions (5.a) and (3.a,c) hold with: (i)  $c = 1, \alpha_m = 2, p_m = 1/2$ ; or (ii)  $c < 1, \alpha_m < 2, 1 - 1/\alpha_m < p_m \leq 1/2$ . Then there exists a pathwise unique strong solution to (2.1).*

*Proof.* Based on Proposition 4.4, this follows similarly as Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

## 6 Non-negative solutions

In this section, we derive some results on non-negative solutions of the stochastic equation (2.1). Let  $(\sigma, b, g_0, g_1)$  be given as in the second section. In addition, we assume:

- $b(x) \geq 0$  and  $\sigma(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$ ;
- for every  $u \in U_0$  we have  $x + g_0(x, u) \geq 0$  if  $x > 0$  and  $g_0(x, u) = 0$  if  $x \leq 0$ ;
- $x + g_1(x, u) \geq 0$  for  $u \in U_1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then, by Proposition 2.1 in [11], any solution of (5.1) is non-negative. By considering non-negative solutions, we can weaken the linear growth condition of the parameters into the following:

(6.a) there is a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that

$$b(x) + \int_{U_2} |g_1(x, u)| \mu_1(du) \leq K(1 + x), \quad x \geq 0;$$

(6.b) there is a non-decreasing function  $x \mapsto L(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  so that

$$\sigma(x)^2 + \int_{U_0} g_0(x, u)^2 \mu_0(du) \leq L(x), \quad x \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Suppose that conditions (6.a) and (3.a,b) are satisfied. Then for any  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  there is a pathwise unique non-negative strong solution to (2.1).*

*Proof.* By conditions (6.a) and (3.b) one can show that the parameters of (5.1) satisfy condition (4.a). Then for each integer  $m \geq 1$  there is a non-negative weak solution to (5.1) by Proposition 4.3. The pathwise uniqueness for (5.1) holds by Theorem 3.2, so there is a unique non-negative strong solution to (5.1). Then the result follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [11].  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2.** (Dawson and Li [7]) *Given  $0 \leq x(0) \leq 1$  there is a pathwise unique strong solution  $\{x(t) : t \geq 0\}$  to (1.3) such that  $0 \leq x(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $q(x, r) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$  and  $x \geq 1$ . For any  $0 \leq x, z, r \leq 1$  we have

$$0 \leq x + zq(x, r) = z1_{\{r \leq x\}} + (1 - z)x \leq 1.$$

Then  $0 \leq x(0) \leq 1$  implies  $0 \leq x(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . The function  $x \mapsto x + q(x, r)$  is clearly non-decreasing and for any  $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \nu(dz) \int_0^1 z^2 |q(x, r) - q(y, r)|^2 dr &= [|x - y| - (x - y)^2] \int_0^1 z^2 \nu(dz) \\ &\leq |x - y| \int_0^1 z^2 \nu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows by Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3.** (Döring and Barczy [8]) *Given  $x(0) \geq 0$  there is a unique non-negative strong solution to (1.4).*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $x \mapsto x + g(x, u, r)$  is a non-decreasing function. For any  $x, y \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^\infty (g(x, u, r) - g(y, u, r))^2 \mu_0(du) \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-u})^2 \mu_0(du) [x + y - 2(x^{-1} \wedge y^{-1})xy] \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-u})^2 \mu_0(du) |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6.1 there is a unique non-negative strong solution to the equation.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4.** *Suppose that conditions (6.a,b) and (3.a,c) hold with: (i)  $c = 1, \alpha_m = 2, p_m = 1/2$ ; or (ii)  $c < 1, \alpha_m < 2, 1 - 1/\alpha_m < p_m \leq 1/2$ . Then there exists a pathwise unique non-negative strong solution to (2.1).*

*Proof.* This follows similarly as Theorem 6.1. Here condition (6.b) is used to guarantee condition (4.a) is satisfied by the parameters of (5.1).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bass, R.F. (2003): Stochastic differential equations driven by symmetric stable processes. *Lecture Notes Math.* **1801**, 302–313. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. MR-1971592
- [2] Bass, R.F. (2004): Stochastic differential equations with jumps. *Probab. Surv.* **1**, 1–19. MR-2095564
- [3] Bass, R.F.; Burdzy, K. and Chen, Z.-Q. (2004): Stochastic differential equations driven by stable processes for which pathwise uniqueness fails. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **111**, 1–15. MR-2049566
- [4] Bertoin, J. and Le Gall, J.-F. (2005): Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes II: Stochastic differential equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41**, 307–333. MR-2139022
- [5] Bertoin, J. and Le Gall, J.-F. (2006): Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes III: Limit theorems. *Illinois J. Math.* **50**, 147–181. MR-2247827
- [6] Dawson, D.A. and Li, Z. (2006): Skew convolution semigroups and affine Markov processes. *Ann. Probab.* **34**, 1103–1142. MR-2243880
- [7] Dawson, D.A. and Li, Z. (2012): Stochastic equations, flows and measure-valued processes. *Ann. Probab.* **40**, 813–857.
- [8] Döring, L. and Barczy, M. (2011): A Jump-type SDE approach to positive self-similar Markov processes. *arXiv:1111.3235*.
- [9] Ethier, S.N. and Kurtz, T.G. (1986): *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence*. Wiley, New York. MR-0838085
- [10] Fournier, N. (2011): On pathwise uniqueness for stochastic differential equations driven by stable Lévy processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* To appear.
- [11] Fu, Z. and Li, Z. (2010): Stochastic equations of non-negative processes with jumps. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **120**, 306–330. MR-2584896
- [12] Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S. (1989): *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*. Second Edition. North-Holland/Kodasha, Amsterdam/Tokyo. MR-1011252
- [13] Komatsu, T. (1982): On the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of one-dimensional stochastic differential equations of jump type. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **58**, 353–356. MR-683262
- [14] Li, Z. (2012): Path-valued branching processes and nonlocal branching superprocesses. *Ann. Probab.* To appear.

- [15] Li, Z.; Mytnik, L. (2011): Strong solutions for stochastic differential equations with jumps. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **47**, 1055–1067. MR-2884224
- [16] Situ, R. (2005): *Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Applications*. Springer, Berlin. MR-2160585

**Acknowledgments.** The authors want to thank Professor M. Barczy for his careful reading of the paper and pointing out a number of typos. We would also like to acknowledge the Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education, China) for providing us the research facilities.