

# GAUSSIAN APPROXIMATIONS OF MULTIPLE INTEGRALS

GIOVANNI PECCATI

*Laboratoire Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, Université Paris VI*

email: giovanni.peccati@gmail.com

*Submitted July 12, 2007, accepted in final form September 24, 2007*

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60F05; 60G15; 60H05; 60H07

Keywords: Gaussian processes; Malliavin calculus; Multiple stochastic integrals; Non-central limit theorems; Weak convergence

## Abstract

Fix  $k \geq 1$ , and let  $I(l)$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , be a sequence of  $k$ -dimensional vectors of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals with respect to a general Gaussian process. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions to have that, as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ , the law of  $I(l)$  is asymptotically close (for example, in the sense of Prokhorov's distance) to the law of a  $k$ -dimensional Gaussian vector having the same covariance matrix as  $I(l)$ . The main feature of our results is that they require minimal assumptions (basically, boundedness of variances) on the asymptotic behaviour of the variances and covariances of the elements of  $I(l)$ . In particular, we will not assume that the covariance matrix of  $I(l)$  is convergent. This generalizes the results proved in Nualart and Peccati (2005), Peccati and Tudor (2005) and Nualart and Ortiz-Latorre (2007). As shown in Marinucci and Peccati (2007b), the criteria established in this paper are crucial in the study of the high-frequency behaviour of stationary fields defined on homogeneous spaces.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{U}(l) = (U_1(l), \dots, U_k(l))$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , be a sequence of centered random observations (not necessarily independent) with values in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Suppose that the application  $l \mapsto \mathbb{E}U_i(l)^2$  is bounded for every  $i$ , and also that the sequence of covariances  $c_l(i, j) = \mathbb{E}U_i(l)U_j(l)$  does not converge as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$  (that is, for some fixed  $i \neq j$ , the limit  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} c_l(i, j)$  does not exist). Then, a natural question is the following: *is it possible to establish criteria ensuring that, for large  $l$ , the law of  $\mathbf{U}(l)$  is close (in the sense of some distance between probability measures) to the law of a Gaussian vector  $\mathbf{N}(l) = (N_1(l), \dots, N_k(l))$  such that  $\mathbb{E}N_i(l)N_j(l) = \mathbb{E}U_i(l)U_j(l) = c_l(i, j)$ ?* Note that the question is not trivial, since the asymptotic irregularity of the covariance matrix  $c_l(\cdot, \cdot)$  may in general prevent  $\mathbf{U}(l)$  from converging in law toward a  $k$ -dimensional Gaussian distribution.

In this paper, we shall provide an exhaustive answer to the problem above in the special case

where the sequence  $\mathbf{U}(l)$  has the form

$$\mathbf{U}(l) = \mathbf{I}(l) = \left( I_{d_1} \left( f_l^{(1)} \right), \dots, I_{d_k} \left( f_l^{(k)} \right) \right), \quad l \geq 1, \quad (1)$$

where the integers  $d_1, \dots, d_k \geq 1$  do not depend on  $l$ ,  $I_{d_j}$  indicates a multiple stochastic integral of order  $d_j$  (with respect to some isonormal Gaussian process  $X$  over a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  – see Section 2 below for definitions), and each  $f_l^{(j)} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot d_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , is a symmetric kernel. In particular, we shall prove that, whenever the elements of the vectors  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  have bounded variances (and without any further requirements on the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{I}(l)$ ), the following three conditions are equivalent as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ :

- (i)  $\gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l)), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l))) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$  indicates the law of a given random vector,  $\mathbf{N}(l)$  is a Gaussian vector having the same covariance matrix as  $\mathbf{I}(l)$ , and  $\gamma$  is some appropriate metric on the space of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ;
- (ii) for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \left( I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right) - 3 \mathbb{E} \left( I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right)^2 \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (iii) for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and every  $p = 1, \dots, d_j - 1$ , the sequence of contractions (to be formally defined in Section 2)  $f_l^{(j)} \otimes_p f_l^{(j)}$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , is such that

$$f_l^{(j)} \otimes_p f_l^{(j)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}. \quad (2)$$

Some other conditions, involving for instance Malliavin operators, are derived in the subsequent sections. As discussed in Section 5, our results are motivated by the derivation of high-frequency Gaussian approximations of stationary fields defined on homogeneous spaces – a problem tackled in [9] and [10].

Note that the results of this paper are a generalization of the following theorem, which combines results proved in [13], [14] and [15].

**Theorem 0.** *Suppose that the vector  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  in (1) is such that, as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} I_{d_i} \left( f_l^{(i)} \right) I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(i, j), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k,$$

where  $\mathbf{C} = \{\mathbf{C}(i, j)\}$  is some positive definite matrix. Then, the following four conditions are equivalent, as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ :

1.  $\mathbf{I}(l) \xrightarrow{\text{Law}} \mathbf{N}(0, \mathbf{C})$ , where  $\mathbf{N}(0, \mathbf{C})$  is a  $k$ -dimensional centered Gaussian vector with covariance matrix  $\mathbf{C}$ ;
2. relation (2) takes place for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and every  $p = 1, \dots, d_j - 1$ ;
3. for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \left( I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right) \rightarrow 3 \mathbf{C}(j, j)^2$ ;
4. for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\left\| D \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right] \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \rightarrow d_j$  in  $L^2$ , where  $D \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right]$  denotes the Malliavin derivative of  $I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)$  (see the next section).

The equivalence of Points 1.-3. in the case  $k = 1$  has been first proved in [14] by means of the Dambis-Dubins-Schwarz (DDS) Theorem (see [16, Ch. V]), whereas the proof in the case  $k \geq 2$  has been achieved (by similar techniques) in [15]; the fact that Point 4. is also necessary and sufficient for the CLT at Point 1. has been recently proved in [13], by means of a Malliavin calculus approach. For some applications of Theorem 0 (in quite different frameworks), see e.g. [2], [3], [5], [9] or [11].

The techniques we use to achieve our main results are once again the DDS Theorem, combined with Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities and some results (taken from [4, Section 11.7]) concerning ‘uniformities’ over classes of probability measures.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some preliminary notions concerning Gaussian fields, multiple integrals and metrics on probabilities. Section 3 contains the statements of the main results of the paper. The proof of Theorem 1 (one of the crucial results of this note) is achieved in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to applications.

## 2 Preliminaries

We present a brief review of the main notions and results that are needed in the subsequent sections. The reader is referred to [6] or [12, Ch. 1] for any unexplained definition.

**Hilbert spaces.** In what follows, the symbol  $\mathfrak{H}$  indicates a real separable Hilbert space, with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . For every  $d \geq 2$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$ , respectively, the  $n$ th tensor product of  $\mathfrak{H}$  and the  $n$ th symmetric tensor product of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We also write  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 1} = \mathfrak{H}^{\odot 1} = \mathfrak{H}$ .

**Isonormal Gaussian processes.** We write  $X = \{X(h) : h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$  to indicate an *isonormal Gaussian process* over  $\mathfrak{H}$ . This means that  $X$  is a collection of real-valued, centered and (jointly) Gaussian random variables indexed by the elements of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and such that, for every  $h, h' \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X(h)X(h')] = \langle h, h' \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

We denote by  $L^2(X)$  the (Hilbert) space of the real-valued and square-integrable functionals of  $X$ .

**Isometry, chaoses and multiple integrals.** For every  $d \geq 1$  we will denote by  $I_d$  the isometry between  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot d}$  equipped with the norm  $\sqrt{d!}\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d}}$  and the  $d$ th Wiener chaos of  $X$ . In the particular case where  $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ ,  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space, and  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite and non-atomic measure, then  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot d} = L_s^2(A^d, \mathcal{A}^{\otimes d}, \mu^{\otimes d})$  is the space of symmetric and square integrable functions on  $A^d$  and for every  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot d}$ ,  $I_d(f)$  is the *multiple Wiener-Itô integral* (of order  $d$ ) of  $f$  with respect to  $X$ , as defined e.g. in [12, Ch. 1]. It is well-known that a random variable of the type  $I_d(f)$ , where  $d \geq 2$  and  $f \neq 0$ , cannot be Gaussian. Moreover, every  $F \in L^2(X)$  admits a unique *Wiener chaotic decomposition* of the type  $F = \mathbb{E}(F) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} I_d(f_d)$ , where  $f_d \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot d}$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , and the convergence of the series is in  $L^2(X)$ .

**Malliavin derivatives.** We will use Malliavin derivatives in Section 3, where we generalize some of the results proved in [13]. The class  $\mathcal{S}$  of *smooth* random variables is defined as the collection of all functionals of the type

$$F = f(X(h_1), \dots, X(h_m)), \quad (3)$$

where  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathfrak{H}$  and  $f$  is bounded and has bounded derivatives of all order. The operator  $D$ , called the *Malliavin derivative operator*, is defined on  $\mathcal{S}$  by the relation

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(h_1, \dots, h_m) h_i,$$

where  $F$  has the form (3). Note that  $DF$  is an element of  $L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H})$ . As usual, we define the domain of  $D$ , noted  $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ , to be the closure of  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to the norm  $\|F\|_{1,2} \triangleq \mathbb{E}(F^2) + \mathbb{E}\|DF\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2$ . When  $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ , we may sometimes write  $DF = D[F]$ , depending on the notational convenience. Note that any finite sum of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals is an element of  $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ .

**Contractions.** Let  $\{e_k : k \geq 1\}$  be a complete orthonormal system of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . For any fixed  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$ ,  $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m}$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, n \wedge m\}$ , we define the  $p$ th contraction of  $f$  and  $g$  to be the element of  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot n+m-2p}$  given by

$$f \otimes_p g = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_p} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}} \otimes \langle g, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_p} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}.$$

We stress that  $f \otimes_p g$  need not be an element of  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot n+m-2p}$ . We denote by  $f \widetilde{\otimes}_p g$  the symmetrization of  $f \otimes_p g$ . Note that  $f \otimes_0 g$  is just the tensor product  $f \otimes g$  of  $f$  and  $g$ . If  $n = m$ , then  $f \otimes_n g = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes n}}$ .

**Metrics on probabilities.** For  $k \geq 1$  we define  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  to be the class of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Given a metric  $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , we say that  $\gamma$  metrizes the weak convergence on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  whenever the following double implication holds for every  $Q \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  and every  $\{Q_l : l \geq 1\} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  (as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ ):  $\gamma(Q_l, Q) \rightarrow 0$  if, and only if,  $Q_l$  converges weakly to  $Q$ . Some examples of metrizing  $\gamma$  are the *Prokhorov metric* (usually noted  $\rho$ ) or the *Fortet-Mounier metric* (usually noted  $\beta$ ). Recall that

$$\rho(P, Q) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : P(A) \leq Q(A^\epsilon) + \epsilon, \text{ for every Borel set } A \subset \mathbb{R}^k\} \quad (4)$$

where  $A^\epsilon = \{x : \|x - y\| < \epsilon \text{ for some } y \in A\}$ , and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm. Also,

$$\beta(P, Q) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d(P - Q) \right| : \|f\|_{BL} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{BL} = \|\cdot\|_L + \|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_L$  is the usual Lipschitz seminorm (see [4, p. 394] for further details). The fact that we focus on the Prokhorov and the Fortet-Mounier metric is due to the following fact, proved in [4, Th. 11.7.1]. For any two sequences  $\{P_l\}, \{Q_l\} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , the following three conditions (A)–(C) are equivalent: (A)  $\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(P_l, Q_l) = 0$ ; (B)  $\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(P_l, Q_l) = 0$ ; (C) on some auxiliary probability space  $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$ , there exist sequences of random vectors  $\{\mathbf{N}^*(l) : l \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{I}^*(l) : l \geq 1\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}^*(l)) = P_l \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}^*(l)) = Q_l \text{ for every } l, \text{ and } \|\mathbf{I}^*(l) - \mathbf{N}^*(l)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ a.s.-}\mathbb{P}^*, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$  indicates the law of a given random vector, and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm.

### 3 Main results

Fix integers  $k \geq 1$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_k \geq 1$ , and consider a sequence of  $k$ -dimensional random vectors of the type

$$\mathbf{I}(l) = \left( I_{d_1} \left( f_l^{(1)} \right), \dots, I_{d_k} \left( f_l^{(k)} \right) \right), \quad l \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

where, for each  $l \geq 1$  and every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $f_l^{(j)}$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot d_j}$ . We will suppose the following:

- There exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\odot d_j}} \geq \eta$ , for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and every  $l \geq 1$ .
- For every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , the sequence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right] = d_j! \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^2, \quad l \geq 1, \quad (8)$$

is bounded.

Note that the integers  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  do not depend on  $l$ . For every  $l \geq 1$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{N}(l) = (N_l^{(1)}, \dots, N_l^{(k)})$  a centered  $k$ -dimensional Gaussian vector with the same covariance matrix as  $\mathbf{I}(l)$ , that is,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ N_l^{(i)} N_l^{(j)} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_i} \left( f_l^{(i)} \right) I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right], \quad (9)$$

for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . For every  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , we also use the compact notation:  $\langle \lambda, \mathbf{I}(l) \rangle_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j I_{d_j} (f_l^{(j)})$  and  $\langle \lambda, \mathbf{N}(l) \rangle_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j N_l^{(j)}$ . The next result is one of the main contributions of this paper. Its proof is deferred to Section 4.

**Theorem 1.** *Let the above notation and assumptions prevail, and suppose that, for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , the following asymptotic condition holds: for every  $p = 1, \dots, d_j - 1$ ,*

$$\left\| f_l^{(j)} \otimes_p f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (10)$$

*Then, as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$  and for every compact set  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ ,*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in M} |\mathbb{E} [\exp(i \langle \lambda, \mathbf{I}(l) \rangle_k)] - \mathbb{E} [\exp(i \langle \lambda, \mathbf{N}(l) \rangle_k)]| \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

We now state two crucial consequences of Theorem 1. The first one (Proposition 2) provides a formal meaning to the intuitive fact that, since (11) holds and since the variances of  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  do not explode, the laws of  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  and  $\mathbf{N}(l)$  are “asymptotically close”. The second one (Theorem 3) combines Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 to obtain an exhaustive generalization “without covariance conditions” of Theorem 0 (see the Introduction). Note that in the statement of Theorem 3 also appear Malliavin operators, so that our results are a genuine extension of the main findings by Nualart and Ortiz-Latorre in [13]. We stress that multiple stochastic integrals of the type  $I_d(f)$ ,  $d \geq 1$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot d}$ , are always such that  $I_d(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 prevail (in particular, (10) holds), and denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l))$ , respectively, the law of  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  and  $\mathbf{N}(l)$ ,  $l \geq 1$ . Then, the two collections  $\{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l)) : l \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l)) : l \geq 1\}$  are tight. Moreover, if  $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$  metrizes the weak convergence on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , then*

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l)), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l))) = 0. \quad (12)$$

*Proof.* The fact that  $\{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l)) : l \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l)) : l \geq 1\}$  are tight is a consequence of the boundedness of the sequence (8) and of the relation  $\mathbb{E}[I_{d_j}(f_l^{(j)})^2] = \mathbb{E}[(N_l^{(j)})^2]$ . The rest of the proof is standard, and is provided for the sake of completeness. We shall prove (12) by contradiction. Suppose there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{l_n\}$  such that  $\gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l_n)), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l_n))) > \varepsilon$  for every  $n$ . Tightness implies that  $\{l_n\}$  must contain a subsequence  $\{l_{n'}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l_{n'}))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l_{n'}))$  are both weakly convergent. Since (11) holds, we deduce that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l_{n'}))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l_{n'}))$  must necessarily converge to the same weak limit, say  $Q$ . The fact that  $\gamma$  metrizes the weak convergence implies finally that

$$\gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l_{n'})), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l_{n'}))) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l_{n'})), Q) + \gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l_{n'})), Q) \xrightarrow{n' \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \quad (13)$$

thus contradicting the former assumptions on  $\{l_n\}$  (note that the inequality in (13) is just the triangle inequality). This shows that (12) must necessarily take place.  $\square$

**Remarks.** (i) A result analogous to the arguments used in the proof of Proposition 2 is stated in [4, Exercise 3, p. 419]. Note also that, without tightness, a condition such as (11) *does not allow* to deduce the asymptotic relation (12). See for instance [4, Proposition 11.7.6] for a counterexample involving the Prokhorov metric on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(ii) Since (12) holds in particular when  $\gamma$  is equal to the Prokhorov metric or the Fortet-Mounier metric (as defined in (4) and (5)), Proposition 2 implies that, on some auxiliary probability space  $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$ , there exist sequences of random vectors  $\{\mathbf{N}^*(l) : l \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{I}^*(l) : l \geq 1\}$  such that

$$\mathbf{I}^*(l) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathbf{I}(l) \text{ and } \mathbf{N}^*(l) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathbf{N}(l) \text{ for every } l, \text{ and } \|\mathbf{I}^*(l) - \mathbf{N}^*(l)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ a.s.-}\mathbb{P}^*, \quad (14)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the Euclidean norm (see (6), as well as [4, Theorem 11.7.1]).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that the sequence  $\mathbf{I}(l)$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , verifies the assumptions of this section (in particular, for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , the sequence of variances appearing in (8) is bounded). Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *As  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ , relation (10) is satisfied for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and every  $p = 1, \dots, d_j - 1$ ;*
- 2.

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l)), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l))) = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I}(l)), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}(l))) = 0 \quad (15)$$

*where  $\rho$  and  $\beta$  are, respectively, the Prokhorov metric and the Fortet-Mounier metric, as defined in (4) and (5);*

3. *as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ , for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right] - 3 \mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right] - 3(d_j!)^2 \|f_l^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^4 \rightarrow 0;$$

4. *for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,*

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \rho \left( \mathcal{L} \left( I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right), \mathcal{L} \left( N_l^{(j)} \right) \right) = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \beta \left( \mathcal{L} \left( I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right), \mathcal{L} \left( N_l^{(j)} \right) \right) = 0, \quad (16)$$

*where  $\rho$  and  $\beta$  are the Prokhorov and Fortet-Mounier metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;*

5. for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\left\| D \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right] \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 - d_j (d_j!) \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{in } L^2(X), \quad (17)$$

as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $D$  is the Malliavin derivative operator defined in Section 2.

*Proof.* The implication 1.  $\implies$  2., is a consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2. Now suppose (15) is in order. Then, according to [4, Theorem 11.7.1], on a probability space  $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$ , there exist sequences of random vectors  $\mathbf{N}^*(l) = (N_l^{*,(1)}, \dots, N_l^{*,(j)}), l \geq 1$ , and  $\mathbf{I}^*(l) = (I_l^{*,(1)}, \dots, I_l^{*,(k)}), l \geq 1$ , such that (14) takes place. Now

$$3\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 = 3\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( N_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( N_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right] = \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left( N_l^{*,(j)} \right)^4 \right],$$

for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , so that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right] - 3\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 = \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left( I_l^{*,(j)} \right)^4 - \left( N_l^{*,(j)} \right)^4 \right] \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (18)$$

The convergence to zero in (18) is a consequence of the boundedness of the sequence (8), implying that the family  $A_l^* = (I_l^{*,(j)})^4 - (N_l^{*,(j)})^4, l \geq 1$ , is uniformly integrable. To see why  $\{A_l^*\}$  is uniformly integrable, one can use the fact that, since each  $I_l^{*,(j)}$  has the same law as an element of the  $d_j$ th chaos of  $X$  and each  $N_l^{*,(j)}$  is Gaussian, then (see e.g. [6, Ch. VI]) for every  $p \geq 2$  there exists a universal positive constant  $C_{p,j}$  (independent of  $l$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [|A_l^*|^p]^{1/p} &= \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left| \left( I_l^{*,(j)} \right)^4 - \left( N_l^{*,(j)} \right)^4 \right|^{p/4} \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left( I_l^{*,(j)} \right)^{4p} \right]^{1/4p} + \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left( N_l^{*,(j)} \right)^{4p} \right]^{1/4p} \\ &\leq C_{p,j} \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left( I_l^{*,(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 + C_{p,j} \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \left( N_l^{*,(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 \\ &= 2C_{p,j} \times (d_j!)^2 \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^4 \leq 2C_{p,j} M_j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_j = \sup_l (d_j!)^2 \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^4 < +\infty$ , due to (8). This proves that 2.  $\implies$  3.. The implication 3.  $\implies$  1. can be deduced from the formula (proved in [14, p. 183])

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right] - 3\mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^2 \right]^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right)^4 \right] - 3(d_j!)^2 \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^4 \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{d_j-1} \frac{(d_j!)^4}{(p! (d_j-p)!)^2} \left\{ \left\| f_l^{(j)} \otimes_p f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2 + \binom{2(d_j-p)}{d_j-p} \left\| f_l^{(j)} \widetilde{\otimes}_p f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence 1.  $\iff$  4. is an immediate consequence of the previous discussion.

To conclude the proof, we shall now show the double implication 1.  $\iff$  5.. To do this, we first observe that, by performing the same calculations as in [13, Proof of Lemma 2] (which

are based on an application of the multiplication formulae for multiple integrals, see [12, Proposition 1.1.3]), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D \left[ I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \right] \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 &= d_j (d_j!) \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^2 \\ &\quad + d_j^2 \sum_{p=1}^{d_j-1} (p-1)! \binom{n-1}{p-1}^2 I_{2(d_j-p)} \left( f_l^{(j)} \tilde{\otimes}_p f_l^{(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left\| f_l^{(j)} \otimes_p f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2 \geq \left\| f_l^{(j)} \tilde{\otimes}_p f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2$ , the last relation implies immediately that 1.  $\Rightarrow$  5.. To prove the opposite implication, first observe that, due to the boundedness of (8) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, there exists a finite constant  $M$  (independent of  $j$  and  $l$ ) such that

$$\left\| f_l^{(j)} \otimes_p f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2 \leq \left\| f_l^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^4 \leq M.$$

This implies that, for every sequence  $\{l_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{l_{n'}\}$  such that the sequences  $\left\| f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \otimes_p f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2$  and  $d_j! \left\| f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^2$  are convergent for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and every  $p = 1, \dots, d_j - 1$  (recall that, by assumption, there exists a constant  $\eta > 0$ , such that  $\left\| f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}} \geq \eta$ , for every  $j$  and  $l$ ). Now we apply Theorem 4 in [13], which implies that, if (17) takes place and  $d_j! \left\| f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes d_j}}^2 \rightarrow c > 0$ , then necessarily  $\left\| f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \otimes_p f_{l_{n'}}^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(d_j-p)}}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , thus proving our claim. This shows that 5.  $\Rightarrow$  1..  $\square$

The next result says that, under the additional assumption that the variances of the elements of  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  converge to one, the asymptotic approximation (15) is equivalent to the fact that each component of  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  verifies a CLT. The proof is elementary, and therefore omitted.

**Corollary 4.** *Fix  $k \geq 2$ , and suppose that the sequence  $\mathbf{I}(l)$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , is such that, for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , the sequence of variances appearing in (8) converges to 1, as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then, each one of Conditions 1.-5. in the statement of Theorem 3 is equivalent to the following: for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,*

$$I_{d_j} \left( f_l^{(j)} \right) \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow +\infty]{Law} N(0, 1), \quad (19)$$

where  $N(0, 1)$  is a centered Gaussian random variable with unitary variance.

**Remark.** The results of this section can be suitably extended to deal with the Gaussian approximations of random vectors of the type  $(F_l^{(1)}(X), \dots, F_l^{(k)}(X))$ , where  $F_l^{(j)}(X)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , is a general square integrable functional of the isonormal process  $X$ , not necessarily having the form of a multiple integral. See [10, Th. 6] for a statement containing an extension of this type.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

We provide the proof in the case where

$$\mathfrak{H} = L^2([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), dx) = L^2([0, 1]), \quad (20)$$



where  $dx$  stands for Lebesgue measure. The extension to a general  $\mathfrak{H}$  is obtained by using the same arguments outlined in [14, Section 2.2]. If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is as in (20), then for every  $d \geq 2$  one has that  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot d} = L_s^2([0, 1]^d)$ , where the symbol  $L_s^2([0, 1]^d)$  indicates the class of symmetric, real-valued and square-integrable functions (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) on  $[0, 1]^d$ . Also, the isonormal process  $X$  coincides with the Gaussian space generated by the standard Brownian motion

$$t \mapsto W_t \triangleq X(1_{[0,t]}), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

This implies in particular that, for every  $d \geq 2$ , the Wiener-Itô integral  $I_d(f)$ ,  $f \in L_s^2([0, 1]^d)$ , can be rewritten in terms of an iterated stochastic integral with respect to  $W$ , that is:

$$I_d(f) = d! \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{d-1}} f(t_1, \dots, t_d) dW_{t_d} \cdots dW_{t_2} dW_{t_1}. \quad (21)$$

We also have that  $I_1(f) = \int_0^1 f(s) dW_s$  for every  $f \in L_s^2([0, 1]^1) \equiv L^2([0, 1])$ . Note that the RHS of (21) is just an iterated adapted stochastic integral of the Itô type. Finally, for every  $f \in L_s^2([0, 1]^d)$ , every  $g \in L_s^2([0, 1]^{d'})$  and every  $p = 0, \dots, d \wedge d'$ , we observe that the contraction  $f \otimes_p g$  is the (not necessarily symmetric) element of  $L^2([0, 1]^{d+d'-2p})$  given by:

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes_p g(y_1, \dots, y_{d+d'-2p}) &= \int_{[0,1]^p} f(y_1, \dots, y_{d-p}, a_1, \dots, a_p) \times \\ &\quad \times g(y_{d-p+1}, \dots, y_{d+d'-2p}, a_1, \dots, a_p) da_1 \dots da_p. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

In the framework of (20), the proof of Theorem 1 relies on some computations contained in [15], as well as on an appropriate use of the *Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities* (see for instance [16, Ch. IV §4]). Fix  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , and consider the random variable

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{I}(t) \rangle_k &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j! \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{u_{d_j-1}} f_l^{(j)}(u_1, \dots, u_{d_j}) dW_{u_{d_j}} \cdots dW_{u_1} \\ &\triangleq \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j! J_{d_j}^1(f_l^{(j)}) = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j! J_{d_j-1}^u(f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot)) \right) dW_u \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j I_{d_j-1} \left( f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}} \right) \right) dW_u, \end{aligned}$$

where, for every  $d \geq 1$ , every  $t \in [0, 1]$  and every  $f \in L_s^2([0, 1]^d)$ , we define  $J_d^t(f) = I_d(f \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]^d}) / d!$  (for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we also use the conventional notation  $J_0^t(c) = c$ ). We start by recalling some preliminary results involving Brownian martingales. Start by setting, for every  $u \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_{\lambda,l}(u) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j I_{d_j-1} \left( f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}} \right)$ , and observe that the random application

$$t \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j! J_{d_j}^t(f_l^{(j)}) = \int_0^t \phi_{\lambda,l}(u) dW_u, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

defines a (continuous) square-integrable martingale started from zero, with respect to the canonical filtration of  $W$ , noted  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^W : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . The quadratic variation of this martingale is classically given by  $t \mapsto \int_0^t \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du$ , and a standard application of the Dambis, Dubins and Schwarz Theorem (see [16, Ch. V §1]) yields that, for every  $l \geq 1$ , there exists a standard Brownian motion (initialized at zero)  $W^{(\lambda,l)} = \{W_t^{(\lambda,l)} : t \geq 0\}$  such that

$$\langle \lambda, \mathbf{I}(l) \rangle_k = \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u) dW_u = W_{\int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du}^{(\lambda,l)}.$$

Note that, in general, the definition of  $W^{(\lambda,l)}$  strongly depends on  $\lambda$  and  $l$ , and that  $W^{(\lambda,l)}$  is not a  $\mathcal{F}_t^W$ -Brownian motion. However, the following relation links the two Brownian motions  $W^{(\lambda,l)}$  and  $W$ : there exists a (continuous) filtration  $\{\mathcal{G}_t^{(\lambda,l)} : t \geq 0\}$  such that (i)  $W_t^{(\lambda,l)}$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_t^{(\lambda,l)}$ -Brownian motion, and (ii) for every fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$  the positive random variable  $\int_0^s \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_t^{(\lambda,l)}$ -stopping time. Now define the positive constant (which is trivially a  $\mathcal{G}_t^{(\lambda,l)}$ -stopping time)

$$q(\lambda, l) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}(\phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2) du,$$

and observe that the usual properties of complex exponentials and a standard application of the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality (in the version stated in [16, Corollary 4.2, Ch. IV]) yield the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E}[\exp(i \langle \lambda, \mathbf{I}(l) \rangle_k)] - \mathbb{E}[\exp(i W_{q(\lambda,l)}^{(\lambda,l)})] \right| &= \left| \mathbb{E}[\exp(i W_{\int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du}^{(\lambda,l)})] - \mathbb{E}[\exp(i W_{q(\lambda,l)}^{(\lambda,l)})] \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_{\int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du}^{(\lambda,l)} - W_{q(\lambda,l)}^{(\lambda,l)} \right| \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_{\int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du}^{(\lambda,l)} - W_{q(\lambda,l)}^{(\lambda,l)} \right|^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du - q(\lambda, l) \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $C$  is some universal constant independent of  $\lambda$  and  $l$ . To see how to obtain the inequality (23), introduce first the shorthand notation  $T(\lambda, l) \triangleq \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du$  (recall that  $T(\lambda, l)$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_t^{(\lambda,l)}$ -stopping time), and then write

$$\left| W_{\int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du}^{(\lambda,l)} - W_{q(\lambda,l)}^{(\lambda,l)} \right| = \left| \int_{T(\lambda,l) \wedge q(\lambda,l)}^{T(\lambda,l) \vee q(\lambda,l)} dW_u^{(\lambda,l)} \right| = \left| \int_0^{T(\lambda,l) \vee q(\lambda,l)} H(u) dW_u^{(\lambda,l)} \right|,$$

where  $H(u)$  is the  $\mathcal{G}_u^{(\lambda,l)}$ -predictable process given by  $H(u) = \mathbf{1}_{\{u \geq T(\lambda,l) \wedge q(\lambda,l)\}}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{T(\lambda,l) \vee q(\lambda,l)} H(u)^2 du \right| &= |T(\lambda, l) \vee q(\lambda, l) - T(\lambda, l) \wedge q(\lambda, l)| \\ &= |T(\lambda, l) - q(\lambda, l)| = \left| \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du - q(\lambda, l) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, relation (23) yields that the proof of Theorem 1 is concluded, once the following two facts are proved: (A) for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and every  $l \geq 1$ , the random variables  $W_{q(\lambda,l)}^{(\lambda,l)}$  and  $\langle \lambda, \mathbf{N}(l) \rangle_k$  have the same law; (B) the sequence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du - q(\lambda, l) \right|^2 \right], \quad l \geq 1,$$

converges to zero, uniformly in  $\lambda$ , on every compact set of the type  $M = [-T, T]^k$ , where  $T \in (0, +\infty)$ . The proof of (A) is immediate: indeed,  $W^{(\lambda,l)}$  is a standard Brownian motion and, by using the isometric properties of stochastic integrals and the fact that the covariance structures of  $\mathbf{N}(l)$  and  $\mathbf{I}(l)$  coincide,

$$q(\lambda, l) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}(\phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2) du = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u) dW_u \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} [\langle \lambda, \mathbf{I}(l) \rangle_k^2] = \mathbb{E} [\langle \lambda, \mathbf{N}(l) \rangle_k^2].$$

To prove (B), use a standard version of the multiplication formula between multiple stochastic integrals (see for instance [12, Proposition 1.5.1])

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du &= \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j d_j I_{d_j-1} \left( f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}} \right) \right)^2 du \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j,i=1}^k \lambda_j \lambda_i d_j d_i I_{d_i-1} \left( f_l^{(i)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_i-1}} \right) I_{d_j-1} \left( f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}} \right) du \\ &= q(\lambda, l) + \sum_{j,i=1}^k \lambda_j \lambda_i d_j d_i \int_0^1 \sum_{p=0}^{D(i,j)} \binom{d_i-1}{p} \binom{d_j-1}{p} \\ &\quad \times I_{d_i+d_j-2-2p} \left( (f_l^{(i)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_i-1}}) \otimes_p (f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}}) \right) du, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the index  $D(i, j)$  is defined as

$$D(i, j) = \begin{cases} d_i - 2 & \text{if } d_i = d_j \\ \min(d_i, d_j) - 1 & \text{if } d_i \neq d_j. \end{cases}$$

Formula (24) implies that, for every  $\lambda \in [-T, T]^k$  ( $T > 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_0^1 \phi_{\lambda,l}(u)^2 du - q(\lambda, l) \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (T \max_i d_i)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{p=0}^{D(i,j)} \binom{d_i-1}{p} \binom{d_j-1}{p} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^1 I_{d_i+d_j-2-2p} \left( (f_l^{(i)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_i-1}}) \otimes_p (f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}}) \right) du \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

(note that the RHS of (25) does not depend on  $\lambda$ ). Finally, a direct application of the calculations contained in [15, p. 253-255] yields that, for every  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$  and every

$p = 0, \dots, D(i, j),$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^1 I_{d_i+d_j-2-2p} \left( (f_l^{(i)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_i-1}}) \otimes_p (f_l^{(j)}(u, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0,u]^{d_j-1}}) \right) du \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad (26)$$

as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

**Remark.** By inspection of the calculations contained in [15, p. 253-255], it is easily seen that, to deduce (26) from (10), it is necessary that the sequence of variances (8) is bounded.

## 5 Concluding remarks on applications

Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 are used in [10] to deduce high-frequency asymptotic results for subordinated spherical random fields. This study is strongly motivated by the probabilistic modelling and statistical analysis of the Cosmic Microwave Background radiation (see [7], [8], [9] and [10] for a detailed discussion of these applications). In what follows, we provide a brief presentation of some of the results obtained in [10].

Let  $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|x\| = 1\}$  be the unit sphere, and let  $T = \{T(x) : x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$  be a real-valued (centered) Gaussian field which is also *isotropic*, in the sense that  $T(x) \stackrel{Law}{=} T(\mathcal{R}x)$  (in the sense of stochastic processes) for every rotation  $\mathcal{R} \in SO(3)$ . The following facts are well known:

- (1) the trajectories of  $T$  admit the harmonic expansion  $T(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{lm} Y_{lm}(x)$ , where  $\{Y_{lm} : l \geq 0, m = -l, \dots, l\}$  is the class of *spherical harmonics* (defined e.g. in [17, Ch. 5]);
- (2) the complex-valued array of harmonic coefficients  $\{a_{lm} : l \geq 0, m = -l, \dots, l\}$  is composed of centered Gaussian random variables such that the variances  $\mathbb{E} |a_{lm}|^2 \triangleq C_l$  depend exclusively on  $l$  (see for instance [1]);
- (3) the law of  $T$  is completely determined by the *power spectrum*  $\{C_l : l \geq 0\}$  defined at the previous point.

Now fix  $q \geq 2$ , and consider the subordinated field

$$T^{(q)}(x) \triangleq H_q(T(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

where  $H_q$  is the  $q$ th Hermite polynomial. Plainly, the field  $T^{(q)}$  is isotropic and admits the harmonic expansion

$$T^{(q)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{lm;q} Y_{lm}(x) \triangleq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_l^{(q)}(x),$$

where  $a_{lm;q} \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} T^{(q)}(z) \overline{Y_{lm}(z)} dz$ . For every  $l \geq 0$ , the field  $T_l^{(q)} = \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{lm;q} Y_{lm}$  is real-valued and isotropic, and it is called the  $l$ th *frequency component* of  $T^{(q)}$  (see [7] or [10] for a physical interpretation of frequency components). In [10], the following problem is studied.

**Problem A.** Fix  $q \geq 2$ . Find conditions on the power spectrum  $\{C_l : l \geq 0\}$  to have that the finite dimensional distributions (f.d.d.'s) of the normalized frequency field

$$\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x) \triangleq \frac{T_l^{(q)}(x)}{\text{Var}\left(T_l^{(q)}(x)\right)^{1/2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

are 'asymptotically close to Gaussian', as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The main difficulty when dealing with Problem A is that (due to isotropy) one has always that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x)\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(y)\right] = P_l(\cos\langle x, y \rangle), \quad (27)$$

where  $P_l$  is the  $l$ th Legendre polynomial, and  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is the angle between  $x$  and  $y$ . Indeed, since in general the quantity  $P_l(\cos\langle x, y \rangle)$  does not converge (as  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ ), one cannot prove that the f.d.d.'s of  $\bar{T}_l^{(q)}$  converge to those of a Gaussian field (even if  $\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x)$  converges in law to a Gaussian random variable for every fixed  $x$ ). However, as an application of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, one can prove the following approximation result.

**Proposition 5.** Under the above notation and assumptions, suppose that, for any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$ ,

$$\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x) \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow +\infty]{Law} N(0, 1). \quad (28)$$

Then, for any  $k \geq 1$ , any  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and any  $\gamma$  metrizing the weak convergence on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ ,

$$\gamma\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x_1), \dots, \bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x_k)\right), \mathbf{N}(l)\right) \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0, \quad (29)$$

where, for every  $l$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(l) = (N_l^{(1)}, \dots, N_l^{(k)})$  is a centered real-valued Gaussian vector such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{N_l^{(i)}N_l^{(j)}\right\} = P_l(\cos\langle x_i, x_j \rangle).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x)$  is a linear functional involving uniquely Hermite polynomials of order  $q$  (written on the Gaussian field  $T$ ) one deduces that there exists a real Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that (in the sense of stochastic processes)

$$\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x) \stackrel{Law}{=} I_q(f_{(q,l,x)}),$$

where the class of symmetric kernels

$$\{f_{(q,l,x)} : l \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$$

is a subset of  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot q}$ , and  $I_q(f_{(q,l,x)})$  stands for the  $q$ th Wiener-Itô integral of  $f_{(q,l,x)}$  with respect to an isonormal Gaussian process over  $\mathfrak{H}$ , as defined in Section 2. Since the variances of the components of the vector  $(\bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x_1), \dots, \bar{T}_l^{(q)}(x_k))$  are all equal to 1 by construction, we can apply Theorem 3 and Proposition 2. Indeed, by Theorem 3 we know that (28) implies that, for every  $p = 1, \dots, q-1$  and every  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$f_{(q,l,x_j)} \otimes_p f_{(q,l,x_j)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2(q-p)}.$$

Finally, Proposition 2 and (27) imply immediately the desired conclusion.  $\square$

The derivation of sufficient conditions to have (28) is the main object of [10]. In particular, it is proved that sufficient (and sometimes also necessary) conditions for (28) can be neatly expressed in terms of the so-called *Clebsch-Gordan coefficients* (see again [17]), that are elements of unitary matrices connecting reducible representations of  $SO(3)$ .

**Acknowledgements** – I am grateful to D. Marinucci for many fundamental discussions on the subject of this paper. Part of this work has been written when I was visiting the Departement of Statistics and Applied Mathematics of Turin University. I wish to thank M. Marinacci and I. Prünster for their hospitality.

## References

- [1] P. Baldi and D. Marinucci (2007). Some characterizations of the spherical harmonics coefficients for isotropic random fields. *Statistics and Probability Letters* **77**(5), 490-496.
- [2] J.M. Corcuera, D. Nualart and J.H.C. Woerner (2006). Power variation of some integral long memory process. *Bernoulli* **12**(4), 713-735. MR2248234
- [3] P. Deheuvels, G. Peccati and M. Yor (2006) On quadratic functionals of the Brownian sheet and related processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **116**, 493-538. MR2199561
- [4] R.M. Dudley (2003). *Real Analysis and Probability* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. MR1932358
- [5] Y. Hu and D. Nualart (2005). Renormalized self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. *The Annals of Probability* **33**(3), 948-983. MR2135309
- [6] S. Janson (1997). *Gaussian Hilbert Spaces*. Cambridge University Press MR1474726
- [7] D. Marinucci (2006) High-resolution asymptotics for the angular bispectrum of spherical random fields. *The Annals of Statistics*, **34**, 1-41 MR2275233
- [8] D. Marinucci (2007). A Central Limit Theorem and Higher Order Results for the Angular Bispectrum. To appear in: *Probability Theory and Related Fields*.
- [9] D. Marinucci and G. Peccati (2007a). High-frequency asymptotics for subordinated stationary fields on an Abelian compact group. To appear in: *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.
- [10] D. Marinucci and G. Peccati (2007b). Group representation and high-frequency central limit theorems for subordinated spherical random fields. Preprint. math.PR/0706.2851v3
- [11] A. Neuenkirch and I. Nourdin (2006). Exact rate of convergence of some approximation schemes associated to SDEs driven by a fractional Brownian motion. Prépublication.
- [12] D. Nualart (2006). *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). Springer. MR2200233
- [13] D. Nualart and S. Ortiz-Latorre (2007). Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus. To appear in: *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.

- [14] D. Nualart and G. Peccati (2005). Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. *The Annals of Probability* **33**, 177-193 MR2118863
- [15] G. Peccati and C.A. Tudor (2005). Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals. In: *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII*, 247-262, Springer Verlag. MR2126978
- [16] D. Revuz and M. Yor (1999). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer. MR1725357
- [17] D.A. Varshalovich, A.N. Moskalev and V.K. Khersonskii (1988). *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, World Scientific Press. MR1022665