

ON LATIF'S FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Wei-Shih Du

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that Latif's fixed point statements for w -distance or other weak distances are indeed equivalent with some weak distance variants of Caristi's fixed point statement, Ekeland's variational principle statement and Takahashi's nonconvex minimization statement.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Recall that an extended real valued function $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ on X is said to be proper if $f \not\equiv \infty$. A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a w -distance on X , introduced and studied by Kada, Suzuki and Takahashi [7, 9, 11, 17], if the following are satisfied:

- (W1) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$;
- (W2) for any $x \in X$, $p(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lower semicontinuous;
- (W3) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $p(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $p(z, y) \leq \delta$ imply $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$.

It is well known that any metric d is a w -distance. Many useful examples of w -distance were stated in [7, 17].

The following Lemma is crucial in this paper.

Lemma K. (7, Lemma 1). Let (X, d) be a metric space and p a w -distance on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X and $x, y, z \in X$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converging to 0. If $p(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$ and $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y = z$. In particular, if $p(x, y) = 0$ and $p(x, z) = 0$, then $y = z$.

In what follows, unless otherwise specified, we always assume that (X, d) is a metric space, p a w -distance on X and $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ a proper lower semicontinuous and bounded below function. We shall use the following statements.

Received February 27, 2009, accepted February 24, 2010.

Communicated by Wataru Takahashi.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H10, 49J53, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: w -distance, Caristi's fixed point theorem, Ekeland's variational principle, Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem.

This research was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

Statement COM. X is complete.

Statement CFP. (Caristi's fixed point statement). Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a single-valued map satisfying

$$d(x, Tx) \leq f(x) - f(Tx)$$

for each $x \in X$. Then T has a fixed point in X .

Statement EVP. (Ekeland's variational principle statement). Suppose that there exists $u \in X$ such that $f(u) < \infty$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $v \in X$ such that

- (i) $\varepsilon d(u, v) \leq f(u) - f(v)$;
- (ii) $\varepsilon d(v, x) > f(v) - f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq v$.

Statement TNM. (Takahashi's nonconvex minimization statement). Suppose that for any $x \in X$ with $f(x) > \inf_{z \in X} f(z)$, there exists $y_x \in X$ with $y_x \neq x$ such that

$$d(x, y_x) \leq f(x) - f(y_x).$$

Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$.

In 1976, Caristi [4] proved the following famous fixed point theorem.

Theorem CFP. (Caristi). Statement COM \implies Statement CFP.

The primitive Ekeland's variational principle [6] and Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem [16] can be declared respectively as follows.

Theorem EVP. (Ekeland). Statement COM \implies Statement EVP.

Theorem TNM. (Takahashi). Statement COM \implies Statement TNM.

It is well known (see, e.g., [5, 7, 10-14, 16, 17]) that

Statement COM \iff Statement CFP \iff Statement EVP \iff Statement TNM.

A number of generalizations in various different directions of these results in metric (or quasi-metric) spaces and more general in topological vector spaces have been investigated by several authors in the past; see [1, 2, 5, 7-15, 17] and references therein. It is interesting to mention that some generalizations were real logical equivalent with the original theorems; see e.g. [3, 5, 15].

In this paper, motivated by the recent Latif's results in [9], we will demonstrate that Latif's fixed point statements (see Statements L1-L6 below) for w -distance or other weak distances are equivalent with some weak distance variants of Caristi's fixed point statement, Ekeland's variational principle statement and Takahashi's nonconvex minimization statement.

2. SOME EQUIVALENCES

In this section, we use the following statements.

Statement GE. (Generalized Ekeland's variational principle statement). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that there exists $u \in X$ such that $f(u) < \infty$ and $p(u, u) = 0$. Then there exists $v \in X$ such that

- (a) $\varepsilon p(u, v) \leq f(u) - f(v)$;
- (b) $\varepsilon p(v, x) > f(v) - f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq v$.

Statement GCCM. (Generalized Caristi's common fixed point statement for a family of multivalued maps). Let I be an index set. For each $i \in I$, let $T_i : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map with nonempty values such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y = y(x, i) \in T_i(x)$ with

$$p(x, y) \leq f(x) - f(y).$$

Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $v \in \bigcap_{i \in I} T_i(v)$ (that is, the family of multivalued maps $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ has a common fixed point v in X), $f(v) < \infty$ and $p(v, v) = 0$.

Statement GCM. (Generalized Caristi's fixed point statement for a multivalued map). Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map with nonempty values such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ with

$$p(x, y) \leq f(x) - f(y).$$

Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $v \in T(v)$, $f(v) < \infty$ and $p(v, v) = 0$.

Statement GCCS. (Generalized Caristi's common fixed point statement for a family of single-valued maps). Let I be an index set. For each $i \in I$, suppose that $T_i : X \rightarrow X$ is a single-valued map satisfying

$$p(x, T_i x) \leq f(x) - f(T_i x)$$

for each $x \in X$. Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $T_i v = v$ for all $i \in I$, $f(v) < \infty$ and $p(v, v) = 0$.

Statement GCS. (Generalized Caristi's fixed point statement for a single-valued map). Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a single-valued map satisfying

$$p(x, Tx) \leq f(x) - f(Tx)$$

for each $x \in X$. Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $Tv = v$, $f(v) < \infty$ and $p(v, v) = 0$.

Statement GT. (Generalized Takahashi's nonconvex minimization statement). Suppose that for any $x \in X$ with $f(x) > \inf_{z \in X} f(z)$, there exists $y_x \in X$ with $y_x \neq x$ such that

$$p(x, y_x) \leq f(x) - f(y_x).$$

Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$.

The following generalizations of Ekeland's variational principle, Caristi's (common) fixed point theorem and Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem had been established.

Theorem GE. (Generalized Ekeland's variational principle) [7, 10-14, 17].

Statement COM \implies Statement GE.

Theorem GCCM. (Generalized Caristi's common fixed point theorem for a family of multivalued maps) [10, 12].

Statement COM \implies Statement GCCM.

Theorem GCM. (Generalized Caristi's fixed point theorem for a multivalued map) [7, 10-14, 17].

Statement COM \implies Statement GCM.

Theorem GCCS. (Generalized Caristi's common fixed point theorem for a family of single-valued maps) [10, 12].

Statement COM \implies Statement GCCS.

Theorem GCS. (Generalized Caristi's fixed point theorem for a single-valued map) [7, 9-14, 17].

Statement COM \implies Statement GCS.

Theorem GT. (Generalized Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem) [7, 10-14, 17].

Statement COM \implies Statement GT.

Parts of the following equivalence were established in [10] or [12], but we give the proof for the sake of completeness and the readers convenience.

Theorem D1. Statement GE, Statement GCCM, Statement GCM, Statement GCCS, Statement GCS and Statement GT are equivalent.

Proof.

- (1) We first prove that "Statement GE \implies Statement GCCM \implies Statement GCM \implies Statement GCS". By Statement GE, there exists $v \in X$ such that $p(v, x) > f(v) - f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq v$. Clearly, $f(v) < \infty$. We want to prove that $v \in \bigcap_{i \in I} T_i(v)$ and $p(v, v) = 0$. By our hypothesis, for each $i \in I$, there exists $y(v, i) \in T_i(v)$ such that $p(v, y(v, i)) \leq f(v) - f(y(v, i))$. Then $y(v, i) = v$ for each $i \in I$. Indeed, if $y(v, i_0) \neq v$ for some $i_0 \in I$, then we have $p(v, y(v, i_0)) \leq f(v) - f(y(v, i_0)) < p(v, y(v, i_0))$. This is a contradiction. Hence $v = y(v, i) \in T_i(v)$ for all $i \in I$. Since $p(v, v) \leq f(v) - f(v) = 0$, we obtain $p(v, v) = 0$. Hence Statement GCCM holds. It is clear that Statement GCCM \implies Statement GCM \implies Statement GCS.

- (2) "Statement GCCM \Rightarrow Statement GCCS \Rightarrow Statement GCS" are obvious.
- (3) Let us prove that "Statement GCS \Rightarrow Statement GE". Suppose that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y_x \in X$ with $y_x \neq x$ such that $p(x, y_x) \leq f(x) - f(y_x)$. Then we can define a single-valued map $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$T(x) = y_x.$$

Then, T satisfies $p(x, Tx) \leq f(x) - f(Tx)$ for each $x \in X$. But by Statement GCS, T have a fixed point $x_0 \in X$, which contradicts with $T(x_0) \neq x_0$ and hence Statement GE holds.

- (4) Finally, we prove that "Statement GE \Longleftrightarrow Statement GT".

Let us prove (\Rightarrow). By Statement GE, there exists $v \in X$ such that $p(v, x) > f(v) - f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq v$. We claim that $f(v) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$. Suppose to the contrary that $f(v) > \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$. By our assumption, there exists $y_v \in X$ with $y_v \neq v$ such that

$$p(v, y_v) \leq f(v) - f(y_v) < p(v, y_v),$$

which leads to a contradiction. Hence $f(v) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$.

Let us prove (\Leftarrow). Suppose that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$ such that $p(x, y) \leq f(x) - f(y)$. Then, by Statement GT, there exists $\hat{v} \in X$ such that $f(\hat{v}) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$. By our hypothesis, there exists $w \in X$ with $w \neq \hat{v}$ such that $p(\hat{v}, w) \leq f(\hat{v}) - f(w) \leq 0$. Hence $p(\hat{v}, w) = 0$ and $f(\hat{v}) = f(w) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$. Also, there exists $z \in X$ with $z \neq w$ such that $p(w, z) \leq f(w) - f(z) \leq 0$. So $p(w, z) = 0$. Since $p(\hat{v}, z) \leq p(\hat{v}, w) + p(w, z) = 0$, $p(\hat{v}, z) = 0$. By Lemma K, we have $w = z$, a contradiction. Therefore Statement GE is true. ■

Remark 1. Review the proof of Theorem D1. Then, we can point out an important fact that there exists $v \in X$ such that

- (a) $\varepsilon p(u, v) \leq f(u) - f(v)$ and $\varepsilon p(v, x) > f(v) - f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq v$;
- (b) $v \in T_i(v)$ (T_i is defined as in Statement GCCM) or $T_i(v) = v$ (T_i is defined as in Statement GCCS) for all $i \in I$;
- (c) $f(v) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$.

Very recently, Latif [9] gave some generalized Caristi's fixed point theorems for w -distances. In [9], Latif stated the following.

Statement L1. Let $g : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be any function such that for some $r > 0$,

$$\sup\{g(x) : x \in X, f(x) \leq \inf_{z \in X} f(z) + r\} < \infty.$$

let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ satisfying

$$p(x, y) \leq g(x)(f(x) - f(y)).$$

Then T has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0, x_0) = 0$.

Statement L2. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ satisfying

$$p(x, y) \leq \max\{c(f(x)), c(f(y))\}(f(x) - f(y)),$$

where $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an upper semicontinuous function from the right. Then T has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0, x_0) = 0$.

Statement L3. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ satisfying

$$p(x, y) \leq c(f(x))(f(x) - f(y)),$$

where $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function. Then T has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0, x_0) = 0$.

Statement L4. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ satisfying

$$p(x, y) \leq c(f(y))(f(x) - f(y)),$$

where $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function. Then T has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0, x_0) = 0$.

Statement L5. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ satisfying $p(x, y) \leq f(x)$ and

$$p(x, y) \leq \eta(p(x, y))(f(x) - f(y)),$$

where $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an upper semicontinuous function. Then T has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0, x_0) = 0$.

Statement L6. Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a lower semicontinuous function such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{\phi(t)} < \infty.$$

Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued map such that for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T(x)$ satisfying $p(x, y) \leq f(x)$ and

$$\phi(p(x, y)) \leq f(x) - f(y),$$

Then T has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0, x_0) = 0$.

The following results were presented by Latif in [9].

Theorem L1. [9, Theorem 2.1].

Statement COM \implies Statement L1.

Theorem L2. [9, Theorem 2.2].

Statement COM \implies Statement L2.

Theorem L3. [9, Theorem 2.3].

Statement COM \implies Statement L3.

Theorem L4. [9, Corollary 2.4].

Statement COM \implies Statement L4.

Theorem L5. [9, Theorem 2.5].

Statement COM \implies Statement L5.

Theorem L6. [9, Corollary 2.6].

Statement COM \implies Statement L6.

In [9], Latif had shown the following.

- Statement GCS \Rightarrow Statement L1;
- Statement L1 \Rightarrow Statement L2;
- Statement L1 \Rightarrow Statement L3 \Rightarrow Statement L4;
- Statement L1 \Rightarrow Statement L3 \Rightarrow Statement L5 \Rightarrow Statement L6.

It is obvious that each of Statements L1-L6 implies Statement GCM, so we can obtain the following very important result.

Theorem D2. Statement GE, Statement GCCM, Statement GCM, Statement GCCS, Statement GCS and Statements L1-L6 are equivalent.

Remark 2.

- (a) By the same argument as above, we can prove that Latif's fixed point statements for other weak distances (τ -distances [13, 14] or τ -functions [10, 12] and so on) are equivalent with some weak distance variants of Caristi's fixed point statement, Ekeland's variational principle statement and Takahashi's nonconvex minimization statement.

- (b) Some authors studied weak distances in quasi-metric space (X, d) (that is, d is not necessarily symmetric) and gave some generalizations of Caristi's fixed point theorem, Ekeland's variational principle and Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem by using their weak distances. But it is worth to mention that they may first define a rational condition for a Cauchy sequence and a convergent sequence to avoid making some problems. For example, does $x_n \rightarrow x$ mean $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ or $d(x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor Wataru Takahashi for his valuable suggestions and comments improving the original draft.

REFERENCES

1. M. Amemiya and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **125** (2002), 253-260.
2. J. S. Bae, Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive multivalued maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **284** (2003), 690-697.
3. T. Q. Bao and P. Q. Khanh, Are several recent generalizations of Ekeland's variational principle more general than the original principle? *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, **28** (2003), 345-350.
4. J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **215** (1976), 241-251.
5. W.-S. Du, On some nonlinear problems induced by an abstract maximal element principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **347** (2008), 391-399.
6. I. Ekeland, Remarques sur les problèmes variationnels, I, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B.*, **275** (1972), 1057-1059.
7. O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Math. Japon.*, **44** (1996), 381-391.
8. M. A. Khamsi, Remarks on Caristi's fixed point theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **71** (2009), 227-231.
9. A. Latif, Generalized Caristi's fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol. 2009, Article ID 170140, 7 pages, doi:10.1155/2009/170140.
10. L.-J. Lin and W.-S. Du, Ekeland's variational principle, minimax theorems and existence of nonconvex equilibria in complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **323** (2006), 360-370.
11. L.-J. Lin and W.-S. Du, Some equivalent formulations of generalized Ekeland's variational principle and their applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **67** (2007) 187-199.

12. L.-J. Lin and W.-S. Du, On maximal element theorems, variants of Ekeland's variational principle and their applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **68** (2008), 1246-1262.
13. T. Suzuki, Generalized distance and existence theorems in complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **253** (2001), 440-458.
14. T. Suzuki, Generalized Caristi's fixed point theorems by Bae and others, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **302** (2005), 502-508.
15. M. Turinici, Zhong's variational principle is equivalent with Ekeland's, *Fixed Point Theory*, **6(1)** (2005), 133-138.
16. W. Takahashi, Existence theorems generalizing fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings, in: *Fixed point theory and Applications*, M. A. Théra, J. B. Baillon (eds), Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol. 252, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991, pp. 397-406.
17. W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis: Fixed point theory and its applications*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, Japan, 2000.

Wei-Shih Du
Department of Mathematics
National Kaohsiung Normal University
Kaohsiung 802, Taiwan
E-mail: wsdu@nknuc.nknu.edu.tw
fixdws@yahoo.com.tw

