

NOTES ON CARLITZ'S q -OPERATORS

Jian Cao

Abstract. In this paper, Carlitz's q -operator and the auxiliary ones are applied to prove q -Christoffel-Darboux formulas and some Carlitz type generating functions. In addition, the technique of exponential operator decomposition to deduce q -Mehler's formulas for Rogers-Szegö and Hahn polynomials are shown.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the customary ways to define the Hermite polynomials is by the relation [14, p. 193]

$$(1.1) \quad H_n(x) = (-1)^n \exp(x^2) D^n \exp(-x^2), \quad D = d/dx.$$

Burchall [5] employed the operational formula

$$(1.2) \quad (D - 2x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} H_{n-k}(x) D^k$$

to prove the formula of Nielsen [21, p. 31]

$$(1.3) \quad H_{m+n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} (-2)^k \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} k! H_{m-k}(x) H_{n-k}(x).$$

For more information about the classical Hermite polynomial and its operational formula, please refer to [1, 5, 9, 14, 15, 17, 20, 25].

The Rogers-Szegö polynomials [7, 23]

Received January 11, 2009, accepted April 6, 2009.

Communicated by H. M. Srivastava.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05A30, 11B65, 33D15, 33D45.

Key words and phrases: Carlitz's q -operators, Rogers-Szegö polynomials, q -Mehler's formula, Hahn polynomials, q -Christoffel-Darboux formulas, Exponential operator decomposition.

The author was supported by PCSIRT and Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission.

$$(1.4) \quad h_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k, \quad g_n(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^k = h_n(x|q^{-1}),$$

which are in some respects the analogue of the Hermite polynomial (See [8]), are closely related to the continuous q -Hermite polynomials via [22]

$$(1.5) \quad H_n(\cos \theta|q) = e^{-in\theta} h_n(e^{2i\theta}|q).$$

The Hahn polynomials [3, 11, 24] are defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_n^{(a)}(x|q) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (a; q)_k x^k, \\ \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^k (aq^{1-k}; q)_k. \end{aligned}$$

Carlitz gave a clever q -analogue of Burchall’s method by defining the shifted operator \mathbb{E} and Δ as [8, Eq. (4) and (5)]

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbb{E}^n f(x) = f(xq^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^n = (1 - \mathbb{E})(q - \mathbb{E}) \cdots (q^{n-1} - \mathbb{E}),$$

and obtained the following results

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbb{E}^n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \Delta^r \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} h_{n-r}(x|q) \Delta^r,$$

by means of inverse series relations and the noncommutative q -analogue of binomial theorem (See Lemma 2.1 below).

Using mathematical induction, Carlitz obtained the general formula [8, Eq. (11)]

$$(1.9) \quad \Delta^r h_m(x|q) = \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_{m-r}} q^{\binom{r}{2}} x^r h_{m-r}(x|q),$$

and deduced the following linearization formulas for $h_n(x|q)$:

Proposition 1.1. ([7, Eq. (1.7) and (1.8)]). *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$(1.10) \quad h_m(x|q)h_n(x|q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r x^r h_{m+n-2r}(x|q),$$

$$(1.11) \quad h_{m+n}(x|q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} (-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r x^r h_{m-r}(x|q)h_{n-r}(x|q).$$

In this paper, we define the auxiliary operator of (1.8) as

$$(1.12) \quad (\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^{n-k} \mathbb{E}_x^{-k},$$

and the inverse pairs

$$(1.13) \quad \mathbb{E}^{-k} = \sum_{r=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-k)} \delta^r \quad \text{and} \quad (-\delta)^k = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{r}{2} - \binom{k}{2}} \mathbb{E}^{-r},$$

then we obtain the following result and further deduce the linearization formulas for $g_n(x|q)$ (See Proposition 2.3 below).

Theorem 1.1. For $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1.14) \quad \delta^r g_m(x|q) = q^{-rm} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r x^r g_{m-r}(x|q).$$

In [8], Carlitz gave a clever proof of q -Mehler's formula for $h_n(x|q)$ (See Proposition 3.1 below) by relations among operators \mathbb{E}_x , \mathbb{E}_y and \mathbb{E}_t .

In fact, we can deduce q -Mehler's formula for Rogers-Szegő polynomials by Carlitz's q -operators directly, the thought is decomposition, so the method may be called "exponential operator decomposition". See details in Sections 3 and 6.

The Christoffel-Darboux formula for Hermite polynomial reads that

Proposition 1.2. ([14, p. 193]).

$$(1.15) \quad \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{H_m(x)H_m(y)}{2^m m!} = \frac{H_{n+1}(x)H_n(y) - H_n(x)H_{n+1}(y)}{2^{n+1}n!(x-y)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We give q -analogue of Christoffel-Darboux formula for Hermite polynomial as follows.

Theorem 1.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n h_k(x|q)h_k(y|q) \frac{y^{n-k}q^k}{(q; q)_k} = \frac{h_{n+1}(x|q)h_n(y|q) - h_n(x|q)h_{n+1}(y|q)}{(x-y)(q; q)_n}.$$

Theorem 1.3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1.17) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n g_k(xq|q)g_k(y|q) \frac{(-y)^{n-k}q^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{n+1}{2}}}{(q; q)_k} = \frac{g_{n+1}(x|q)g_n(y|q) - g_n(x|q)g_{n+1}(y|q)}{(x-y)(q; q)_n}.$$

Corollary 1.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1.18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n h_k(x/q|q)h_k(y|q) \frac{y^{n-k}q^k}{(q; q)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k(y/q|q)h_k(x|q) \frac{x^{n-k}q^k}{(q; q)_k}.$$

Corollary 1.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1.19) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n g_k(xq|q)g_k(y|q) \frac{(-y)^{n-k}q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(q; q)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k(yq|q)g_k(x|q) \frac{(-x)^{n-k}q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(q; q)_k}.$$

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 and the linearization formulas for $g_n(x|q)$. In Section 3, we show how to deduce Mehler’s formula for Rogers-Szegö polynomials by Carlitz’s q -operators. In Section 4, we give a new proof of Carlitz type Mehler’s formulas for Rogers-Szegö polynomials and deduce Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 5, we deduce Mehler’s formula for Hahn polynomials by Carlitz’s q -operators. In Section 6, we give some results related to Carlitz’s q -operators.

2. NOTATIONS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this paper, we follow the notations and terminology in [16] and suppose that $0 < q < 1$. The q -shifted and its compact factorials are defined by

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k)$$

and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n(a_2; q)_n \dots (a_m; q)_n$, respectively, where n is an integer or ∞ . The operator \mathbb{E} acting on the variable x will be denoted by \mathbb{E}_x . LHS (or RHS) means the left (or right) hand side of certain equality, and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

The basic hypergeometric series ${}_r\phi_s$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad {}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_n} z^n \left[(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \right]^{s+1-r},$$

for convergence of the infinite series in (2.1), $|q| < 1$ and $|z| < \infty$ when $r \leq s$, or $|q| < 1$ and $|z| < 1$ when $r = s + 1$, provided that no zeros appear in the denominator.

The q -Chu-Vandermonde formula [16, Eq. (II.6) and (II.7)] reads that

$$(2.2) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \frac{(c/b; q)_n b^n}{(c; q)_n} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b \\ c, \frac{cq^n}{b} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{cq^n}{b} \right] = \frac{(c/b; q)_n}{(c; q)_n}.$$

The noncommutative q -analogue of binomial theorem states that

Lemma 2.1. ([16, p. 28] or [13, Lem. 2.2]). *Let A and B be two noncommutative ideterminates satisfying $BA = qAB$, then we have*

$$(2.3) \quad (A + B)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} A^k B^{n-k}.$$

The linearization formulas for $g_n(x|q)$ are

Proposition 2.3. ([7, Eq. (4.18) and (4.19)]). *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad g_n(x|q)g_m(x|q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r q^{\binom{r}{2} + r(r-m-n)} \\ \times (-x)^r g_{m+n-2r}(x|q),$$

$$(2.5) \quad g_{m+n}(x|q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} q^{r(r-m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r x^r g_{m-r}(x|q)g_{n-r}(x|q).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In view of the fact that

$$(2.6) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b \\ 0 \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = b^n,$$

then applying operator \mathbb{E}_x^{-n} to the second formula in (1.4) and using Lemma 2.1 give

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x^{-n} g_m(x|q) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} x^j q^{j(j-m-n)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} x^j q^{j(j-m)} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q^{-j} \\ 0 \end{matrix}; q, q \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{x^j q^{j(j-m)} (q; q)_m}{(q; q)_{m-j}} \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-n-j)} \frac{1}{(q; q)_{j-r}} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-m-n)} \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_{m-r}} \sum_{j=r}^m \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ j-r \end{bmatrix} q^{(j-r)(j-m)} x^j \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-m-n)} \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_{m-r}} x^r \sum_{j=0}^{m-r} \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ j \end{bmatrix} q^{j(j-m+r)} x^j \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-n)} q^{-rm} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r x^r g_{m-r}(x|q). \end{aligned}$$

Using the first formula in (1.13) yields

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbb{E}_x^{-n} g_m(x|q) = \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-n)} \delta^r g_m(x|q).$$

Comparing the coefficient of (2.7) and (2.8), we have (1.14). The proof of Theorem is complete. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Using (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14), LHS of (2.5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)^n \{g_m(x|q)\} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^{n-k} \sum_{r=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-k)} \delta^r \{g_m(x|q)\} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-n)} g_{n-r}(x|q) \delta^r \{g_m(x|q)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is RHS of (2.5). The proof is complete.

Using formula (2.5), RHS of (2.4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_r q^{\binom{r}{2} + r(r-m-n)} (-x)^r \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} q^{s(s-m-n+2r)} \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-r \\ s \end{bmatrix} (q; q)_s x^s g_{m-r-s}(x|q) g_{n-r-s}(x|q) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \frac{(q; q)_m (q; q)_n q^{k(k-m-n)} x^k}{(q; q)_{m-k} (q; q)_{n-k} (q; q)_k} g_{m-k}(x|q) g_{n-k}(x|q) \sum_{r+s=k} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (q; q)_k}{(q; q)_r (q; q)_s} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \frac{(q; q)_m (q; q)_n q^{k(k-m-n)} x^k}{(q; q)_{m-k} (q; q)_{n-k} (q; q)_k} g_{m-k}(x|q) g_{n-k}(x|q) \delta_{k,0}, \end{aligned}$$

which is LHS of (2.4), where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta. This achieves the proof. ■

3. q -MEHLER'S FORMULAS FOR ROGERS-SZEGÖ POLYNOMIALS

Carlitz [7] deduced the following q -Mehler's formulas by using the recurrence relations of Rogers-Szegö polynomials.

Proposition 3.1. ([7, Eq. (3.9)].) *For $\max\{|t|, |xt|, |yt|, |xyt|\} < 1$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x|q) h_n(y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xyt^2; q)_{\infty}}{(t, xt, yt, xyt; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Proposition 3.2. ([7, Eq. (3.13)].) *For $|xyt^2/q| < 1$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x|q)g_n(y|q) \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(t, xt, yt, xyt; q)_{\infty}}{(xyt^2/q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Carlitz [10] gave another proofs of them by utilizing the transformation theory and the technique of operator. The authors [18] deduced them by the combinatorial method. Chen and Liu [12, 13] proved them by the method of parameter augmentation. For more information, please refer to [7, 10, 12, 13, 18].

In this section, we deduce Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 directly by the thought of exponential operator decomposition.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. LHS of (3.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^n \{1\} = \frac{1}{((\mathbb{E}_x + x)t, (\mathbb{E}_x + x)yt; q)_{\infty}} \{1\} \\ &= \frac{1}{((\mathbb{E}_x + x)t; q)_{\infty}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(yt)^k}{(q; q)_k} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^k \{1\} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{((\mathbb{E}_x + x)t; q)_{\infty}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(yt, xyt; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(yt; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{(q; q)_k} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(xyt; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(yt; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{s=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ s \end{bmatrix} x^{k-s} \frac{1}{(xytq^s; q)_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(yt, xyt; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^s (xyt; q)_s}{(q; q)_s} \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \frac{(xt)^{k-s}}{(q; q)_{k-s}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the RHS of (3.1). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition 3.2. LHS of (3.2) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)t, (\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)yt; q \right)_{\infty} \{1\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} (\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)^n \{(yt, xyt; q)_{\infty}\} \\ &= (yt; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^{n-k} (xytq^{-k}; q)_{\infty} \\ &= (yt, xyt; q)_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(xytq^{-k}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n q^{k(k-n)} x^{n-k}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (yt, xyt; q)_\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{q^{-\binom{k+1}{2}} (xyt)^k (q/(xyt); q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n+k}{2}} t^{n+k} q^{-nk} x^n}{(q; q)_n} \\
 &= (yt, xyt; q)_\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(xyt^2/q)^k (q/(xyt); q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (xt)^n}{(q; q)_n},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to RHS of (3.2). This achieve the proof. ■

4. q -CHRISTOFFEL-DARBOUX FORMULAS

The following Carlitz type generating functions for $h_n(x|q)$ is deduced by

Proposition 4.3. ([11, Eq. (4.1)]). *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^\infty h_{m+n}(x|q) h_n(y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \\
 &= \frac{x^m (xyt^2; q)_\infty}{(t, xt, yt, xyt; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, xt, xyt \\ xyt^2 \end{matrix}; q, \frac{q^m}{x} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\max\{|t|, |xt|, |yt|, |xyt|\} < 1$.

The auxiliary ones is given by

Proposition 4.4. ([6, Eq. (4.3)]). *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|xyt^2/q| < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^\infty g_{m+n}(x|q) g_n(y|q) (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \\
 &= \frac{x^m (t, xt, yt, xyt; q)_\infty}{(xyt^2/q; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q/(xt), q/(xyt) \\ 0, q^2/(xyt^2) \end{matrix}; q, q \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

There are many proofs of above Propositions. Al-Salam and Ismail [2] gave the proof of Proposition 4.3 by using the transformation theory, while Srivastava and Jain [24] obtained it by the technique of generating function. The author [6] utilized the method of parameter augmentation [12, 13] to deduce above two Propositions. For more information, please refer to [2, 6, 11, 12, 13, 24].

In this section, we will use Carlitz's q -operators to derive Propositions 4.3 and 4.4, then we give the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Formula (3.1) can be written as

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty h_n(x|q) h_n(y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(t; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(t; q)_n t^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{x^n}{(xt; q)_\infty} \frac{y^n}{(yt; q)_\infty}.$$

Applying $(\mathbb{E}_x + x)^m$ to both sides of (4.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{m+n}(x|q)h_n(y|q)\frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{(t; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t; q)_n t^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{y^n}{(yt; q)_\infty} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^m \left\{ \frac{x^n}{(xt; q)_\infty} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(t; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t; q)_n t^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{y^n}{(yt; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{s=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix} x^{m-s+n+k} q^{(k+n)s} \\ &= \frac{1}{(t, yt; q)_\infty} \sum_{s=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix} x^{m-s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t; q)_n (xytq^s)^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(xtq^s)^k}{(q; q)_k}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the RHS of (4.1). The proof is complete. ■

Proof of Proposition 4.4. We can rewrite (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} g_n(x|q)g_n(y|q)\frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= (t; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t^2/q)^n (q/t; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} x^n (xt; q)_\infty y^n (yt; q)_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of (4.1), utilizing operator $(\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)^m$ to both sides of (4.4), we obtain the proof of Proposition 4.4. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $m = 1$, formula (4.1) becomes

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n+1}(x|q)h_n(y|q)\frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xyt^2; q)_\infty}{(t, xt, yt, xyt; q)_\infty} \frac{1 + x - xt - xyt}{1 - xyt^2}.$$

Replacing x by y in (4.5), then differencing between them gives

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_{n+1}(x|q)h_n(y|q) - h_n(x|q)h_{n+1}(y|q)}{(x - y)(q; q)_n} t^n = \frac{(xyt^2q; q)_\infty}{(tq, xt, yt, xyt; q)_\infty}.$$

By virtue of formula (3.1), RHS of (4.6) equals

$$\begin{aligned} (4.7) \quad & \frac{(xyt^2q; q)_\infty}{(tq, xt, ytq, xyt; q)_\infty} \frac{1}{1 - yt} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (yt)^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} h_{n-k}(x/q|q)h_{n-k}(y|q)\frac{(tq)^{n-k}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n h_{n-k}(x/q|q)h_{n-k}(y|q)\frac{y^k q^{n-k} t^n}{(q; q)_{n-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of (4.6) and (4.7) gives the proof of Theorem 1.2. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Similar to (4.6), by (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{n+1}(x|q)g_n(y|q) - g_n(x|q)g_{n+1}(y|q)}{(x-y)(q; q)_n} t^n (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \\
 &= \frac{(t/q, xt, yt, xyt; q)_{\infty}}{(xyt^2/q^2; q)_{\infty}} \\
 (4.8) \quad &= \frac{(t/q, xt, yt/q, xyt; q)_{\infty}}{(xyt^2/q^2; q)_{\infty}} \frac{1}{1 - yt/q} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{yt}{q}\right)^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} g_{n-k}(xq|q)g_{n-k}(y|q) \frac{(-t/q)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n g_{n-k}(xq|q)g_{n-k}(y|q) \frac{(-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} y^k t^n}{q^n (q; q)_{n-k}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficient of t on both sides of (4.8) yields the proof of Theorem 1.3. ■

5. q -MEHLER'S FORMULA FOR HAHN POLYNOMIALS

Al-Salam and Carlitz [3] gave the following two bilinear generating functions by the transformation theory. For more information, please refer to [3, 19].

Proposition 5.1. ([3, Eq. (1.17)]). *If $\max\{|z|, |xz|, |yz|, |xyz|\} < 1$, we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(a)}(x|q)\phi_n^{(b)}(y|q) \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(axz, byz; q)_{\infty}}{(z, xz, yz; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, z \\ axz, byz \end{matrix}; q, xyz \right].$$

Proposition 5.2. ([3, Eq. (1.18)]). *If $\max\{|qaxz|, |qbyz|\} < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q)\psi_n^{(b)}(y|q) \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} z^n}{(q; q)_n} \\
 &= \frac{(qz, qxz, qyz; q)_{\infty}}{(qaxz, qbyz; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{z} \\ \frac{1}{axz}, \frac{1}{byz} \end{matrix}; q, q \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we will deduce Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 directly from q -Mehler's formula for Rogers-Szegő polynomials by Carlitz's q -operators.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We first prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^m \left\{ \frac{x^n}{(xz; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^m \{x^{n+k}\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} x^{m-j} \mathbb{E}_x^j \{x^{n+k}\} \\
 &= x^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(xz)^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^j q^{j(n+k)}}{(q; q)_j} \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} \frac{(ax)^{m-j}}{(q; q)_{m-j}} \\
 &= \frac{x^n (a; q)_n (axz; q)_{\infty}}{(axz; q)_n (a, ax, xz; q)_{\infty}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^m}{(q; q)_m} (\mathbb{E}_y + y)^m \left\{ \frac{y^n}{(yz; q)_{\infty}} \right\} = \frac{y^n (b; q)_n (byz; q)_{\infty}}{(byz; q)_n (a, by, yz; q)_{\infty}}.$$

A little computation shows that [11, Eq. (3.3)]

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} (\mathbb{E}_x + x)^m \{h_n(x|q)\} = \frac{1}{(a, ax; q)_{\infty}} \phi_n^{(a)}(x|q)$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^m}{(q; q)_m} (\mathbb{E}_y + y)^m \{h_n(y|q)\} = \frac{1}{(b, by; q)_{\infty}} \phi_n^{(b)}(y|q).$$

Now, we applying operators

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{(a(\mathbb{E}_x + x); q)_{\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(b(\mathbb{E}_y + y); q)_{\infty}}$$

to both sides of (4.3), then combining (5.3)-(5.6) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.8) \quad & \frac{1}{(a, ax, b, by; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(a)}(x|q) \phi_n^{(b)}(y|q) \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n} \\
 &= \frac{(axz, byz; q)_{\infty}}{(a, ax, xz, b, by, yz, z; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z; q)_n z^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{x^n (a; q)_n y^n (b; q)_n}{(axz; q)_n (byz; q)_n},
 \end{aligned}$$

which equals RHS of (5.1). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition 5.2. From (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} (-1)^m q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x \right)^m \{x^n(xz; q)_{\infty}\} \\
 (5.9) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} z^k}{(q; q)_k} \\
 & \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} (-1)^m q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} q^{j(j-m-n-k)} x^{m-j+n+k} \\
 &= (-1)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}} (ax)^n (1/a; q)_n \frac{(aq, axq, xz; q)_{\infty}}{(axzq^{-n}; q)_{\infty}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.10) \quad & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^m}{(q; q)_m} (-1)^m q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}_y^{-1} + y \right)^m \{y^n(yz; q)_{\infty}\} \\
 &= (-1)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}} (by)^n (1/b; q)_n \frac{(bq, byq, yz; q)_{\infty}}{(byzq^{-n}; q)_{\infty}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It's easily to verify that [11, Eq. (8.5)]

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{(q; q)_m} (-1)^m q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x \right)^m \{g_n(x|q)\} = (aq, axq; q)_{\infty} \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q)$$

and

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^m}{(q; q)_m} (-1)^m q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}_y^{-1} + y \right)^m \{g_n(y|q)\} = (bq, byq; q)_{\infty} \psi_n^{(b)}(y|q).$$

Applying operators $\left(a \left(\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x \right); q \right)$ and $\left(b \left(\mathbb{E}_y^{-1} + y \right); q \right)$ to both sides of (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.13) \quad & (aq, axq, bq, byq; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q) \psi_n^{(b)}(y|q) \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} z^n}{(q; q)_n} \\
 &= \frac{(z, aq, axq, xz, bq, byq, yz; q)_{\infty}}{(axz, byz; q)_{\infty}} \\
 & \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z^2/q)^n (q/z; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} q^{-n^2+n} \frac{(abxy)^n (1/a, 1/b; q)_n}{(axzq^{-n}, byzq^{-n}; q)_n},
 \end{aligned}$$

replacing z by qz gives the proof. ■

6. SOME RESULTS RELATED TO CARLITZ'S q -OPERATORS

The generalized Rogers-Szegő polynomials are defined by

$$(6.1) \quad h_n(x, y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{n-k} y^k \quad \text{and} \quad g_n(x, y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^{n-k} y^k.$$

In this section, using Carlitz's q -operators, we first deduce their q -Mehler's formulas as follows.

Proposition 6.1. For $\max\{|xtu|, |xtv|, |ytu|, |ytv|\} < 1$, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x, y|q) h_n(u, v|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xywvt^2; q)_{\infty}}{(xtu, xtv, ytu, ytv; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Proposition 6.2. For $|xywvt^2/q| < 1$, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x, y|q) g_n(u, v|q) \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xut, xvt, yut, yvt; q)_{\infty}}{(xywvt^2/q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Remark 1. Comparing (1.4) and (6.1), we find that $h_n(x, 1|q) = h_n(x|q)$ and $g_n(x, 1|q) = g_n(x|q)$. So when $y = v = 1$, Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 reduce to Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

In addition, we derive the following q -analogue of binomial theorem.

Proposition 6.3. ([16, p. 20]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(6.4) \quad (xy; q)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (x; q)_k (y; q)_{n-k} y^k.$$

Proof of Proposition 6.1. By formula (1.8) and Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (y\mathbb{E}_x + x)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{n-k} y^k \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix} \Delta^r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=r}^n \begin{bmatrix} n-r \\ k-r \end{bmatrix} x^{n-k} y^k \Delta^r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} y^r \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} \begin{bmatrix} n-r \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{n-k-r} y^k \Delta^r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} y^r h_{n-r}(x, y|q) \Delta^r, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ defined by (1.7), so we have $(y\mathbb{E}_x + x)^n \{1\} = h_n(x, y|q)$. We can verified that

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x, y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(xt, yt; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Using the technique of exponential operator decomposition, LHS of (6.2) equals

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{1}{\left(ut(y\mathbb{E}_x + x), vt(y\mathbb{E}_x + x); q \right) \{1\}} = \frac{1}{(yvt; q)_{\infty}} \frac{1}{\left(ut(y\mathbb{E}_x + x); q \right)_{\infty}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(xvt; q)_{\infty}} \right\},$$

which is RHS of (6.2) after some computation. The proof is complete. ■

Proof of Proposition 6.2. First we can deduce that

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} g_n(x, y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = (xt, yt; q)_{\infty},$$

and $(y\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x)^n \{1\} = g_n(x, y|q)$. Similar to (6.6), LHS of (6.3) is equivalent to

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{1}{\left(ut(y\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x), vt(y\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x); q \right) \{1\}} = (yvt; q)_{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(ut(y\mathbb{E}_x^{-1} + x); q \right)_{\infty}} \{(xvt; q)_{\infty}\},$$

which equals RHS of (6.3) after some computation. The proof is ended. ■

Proof of Proposition 6.3. We consider the following type of Carlitz's q -operator

$$(6.9) \quad \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x + (1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right)^n$$

and find the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x + (1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right) \{1\} &= y(1-x) + 1 - y = 1 - xy, \\ \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x + (1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right)^2 \{1\} &= \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x + (1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right) \{1 - xy\} \\ &= y(1-x)(1 - xyq) + (1-y)(1 - xyq) = (1 - xy)(1 - xyq) = (xy; q)_2. \end{aligned}$$

Generally we have

$$(6.10) \quad \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x + (1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right)^n \{1\} = (xy; q)_n.$$

Similarly, we get

$$(6.11) \quad \left((1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right)^k \{1\} = (y; q)_k \quad \text{and} \quad \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x \right)^k \{1\} = y^k (x; q)_k.$$

From Proposition 2.1, we gain

$$(6.12) \quad \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x + (1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \left(y(1-x)\mathbb{E}_x \right)^k \left((1-y)\mathbb{E}_y \right)^{n-k}.$$

Combining (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12), we conclude the proof. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

1. W. A. Al-Salam, Operational representations for the Laguerre and other polynomials, *Duke Math. J.*, **31** (1964), 127-142.
2. W. A. Al-Salam and M. E. H. Ismail, q -beta integrals and the q -hermite polynomials, *Pacific J. Math.*, **135** (1988), 209-221.
3. W. A. Al-Salam and L. Carlitz, Some orthogonal q -polynomials, *Math. Nachr.*, **30** (1965), 47-61.
4. D. Bowman, q -difference operator, orthogonal polynomials, and symmetric expansions, *Mem. Amer. Soc.*, **159** (2002).
5. J. L. Burchall, A note on the polynomials of Hermite, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, **12** (1941), 9-11.
6. J. Cao, New proofs of generating functions for Rogers-Szegő polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, **207** (2009), 486-492.
7. L. Carlitz, Some polynomials related to theta functions, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, **41** (1956), 359-373.
8. L. Carlitz, Some polynomials related to theta functions, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **24** (1957), 521-527.
9. L. Carlitz, A note on the Laguerre polynomials, *Michigan Math. Jour.*, **7** (1960), 219-223.
10. L. Carlitz, A q -identity, *Monatsh. für Math.*, **67** (1963), 305-310.
11. L. Carlitz, Generating functions for certain q -orthogonal polynomials, *Collectanea Math.*, **23** (1972), 91-104.

12. W. Y. C. Chen and Z.-G. Liu, Parameter augmentation for basic hypergeometric series II, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **80** (1997), 175-195.
13. W. Y. C. Chen and Z.-G. Liu, Parameter augmentation for basic hypergeometric series, I, in: *Mathematical Essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota*, B. E. Sagan and R. P. Stanley (Eds.), Birkäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 111-129.
14. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions II*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1953).
15. G. Dattoli, A. Torre and M. Carpanese, Operational rules and arbitrary order Hermite generating functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **227** (1998), 98-111.
16. G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990.
17. H. W. Gould and A. T. Hopper, Operational formulas connected with two generalizations of Hermite polynomials, *Duke Math. J.*, **29** (1962), 51-64.
18. M. E. H. Ismail, D. Stanton and G. Viennot, The combinatorics of q -Hermite polynomials and the Askey-Wilson integral, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **8** (1987), 379-392.
19. Z.-G. Liu, q -Hermite polynomials and a q -Beta integral, *Northeast Math. J.*, **13** (1997), 361-366.
20. Z.-G. Liu, Some identities of differential operator and Hermite polynomials, *J. Math. Res. Exp.*, **18** (1998), 412-416, (in Chinese).
21. N. Nielsen, Recherches sur les polynomes d'Hermite, *Kgl. Danske Vidensk. Selskat. mat.-fys. Meddelelser (I)*, **6** (1918), 1-78.
22. L. J. Rogers, On the expansion of some infinite products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **24** (1893), 337-352.
23. G. Szegö, Ein Beitrag zur Theorie der Thetafunktionen, *Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Phys. Math. Klasse*, **19** (1926), 242-252.
24. H. M. Srivastava and V. K. Jain, Some multilinear generating functions for q -hermite polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **144** (1989), 147-157.
25. H. M. Srivastava and H. L. manocha, *A treatise on generating functions*, Ellis Horwood, New York, 1984.

Jian Cao
Department of Mathematics,
East China Normal University,
Shanghai 200241,
P. R. China
E-mail: 21caojian@gmail.com
21caojian@163.com