

ON PERTURBATION OF α -TIMES INTEGRATED C -SEMIGROUPS

Chung-Cheng Kuo

Abstract. Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and C be a bounded linear injection on a complex Banach space X . We first show that if A generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X , B is a bounded linear operator on $\overline{D(A)}$ such that $BC = CB$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$, then $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also exponentially Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is. We show that the exponential boundedness of $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ can be deleted and α -times integrated C -semigroups can be extended to the context of local α -times integrated C -semigroups when $R(C) \subset \overline{D(A)}$ and $BS_\alpha(\cdot) = S_\alpha(\cdot)B$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ both are added. Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is. We show that $A + B$ generates a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X if A generates a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X and B is a bounded linear operator on X such that either $BC = CB$, $BS_\alpha = S_\alpha B$ on X ; or $BC = CB$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$. Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous, (norm continuous, exponentially bounded or exponentially Lipschitz continuous) if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $B(X)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators from X into itself. For each $\alpha > 0$, $0 < T_0 \leq \infty$ and $C \in B(X)$, a family $S(\cdot) (= \{S(t) | 0 \leq t < T_0\})$ in $B(X)$ is called a local α -times integrated C -semigroup on X if it is strongly continuous, $S(\cdot)C = CS(\cdot)$ and satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad S(t)S(s)x = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\int_0^{t+s} - \int_0^t - \int_0^s \right] (t+s-r)^{\alpha-1} S(r)Cxd r$$

Received October 17, 2008, accepted March 17, 2009.

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47D60, 47D62.

Key words and phrases: α -Times integrated C -semigroup, Generator, Abstract Cauchy problem.

Research partially supported by the National Science Council of R.O.C.

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t, s \leq t+s < T_0$ (see [1-6,8-9,11-15,19-20]); or called a local (0-times integrated) C -semigroup on X if it is strongly continuous, $S(\cdot)C = CS(\cdot)$ and satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad S(t)S(s)x = S(t+s)Cx$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t, s \leq t+s < T_0$ (see [2,17,18]), where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function. Moreover, we say that $S(\cdot)$ is

(i) exponentially bounded if there exist constants $K, \omega \geq 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|S(t)\| \leq Ke^{\omega t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0;$$

(ii) exponentially Lipschitz continuous if there exist constants $K, \omega \geq 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq Khe^{\omega(t+h)} \quad \text{for all } t, h \geq 0;$$

(iii) locally Lipschitz continuous if for each $0 < t_0 < T_0$ there exists a $K_{t_0} > 0$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq K_{t_0}h \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t, h \leq t+h \leq t_0;$$

(iv) nondegenerate if $x = 0$ whenever $S(t)x = 0$ for all $0 \leq t < T_0$. In this case, the (integral) generator of $S(\cdot)$ is a closed linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by $D(A) = \{x | x, y_x \in X \text{ and } S(t)x - \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}Cx = \int_0^t S(r)y_x dr \text{ for all } 0 \leq t < T_0\}$ and $Ax = y_x$ for all $x \in D(A)$. It is known that the following properties hold (see [6, 11, 17]):

$$(1.6) \quad C \text{ is injective and } C^{-1}AC = A;$$

$$(1.7) \quad S(0) = 0 \text{ on } X \text{ if } \alpha > 0, \text{ and } S(0) = C \text{ on } X \text{ if } \alpha = 0;$$

$$(1.8) \quad S(t)x \in D(A) \text{ and } S(t)Ax = AS(t)x \text{ for all } x \in D(A) \text{ and } 0 \leq t < T_0;$$

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^t S(r)x dr \in D(A) \text{ and } A \int_0^t S(r)x dr = S(t)x - \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}Cx$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t < T_0$.

In general, a local α -times integrated C -semigroup is also called an α -times integrated C -semigroup if $T_0 = \infty$, an α -times integrated C -semigroup may not be exponentially bounded, and the generator of a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup may not be densely defined. Moreover, a local α -times integrated I_X -semigroup on X is also called a local α -times integrated semigroup on X , where I_X

denotes the identity operator on X . Using Hille-Yosida type theorems to obtain some additive perturbation results concerning exponentially bounded n -times integrated C -semigroup (for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) or α -times integrated semigroup (for $\alpha > 0$) have been extensively studied by many authors (see [6, 14, 15, 18, 21] and [10, 17, 19], respectively). Some interesting applications of this topic are also illustrated in [3, 7, 19]. In particular, Xiao and Liang [19, Theorem 1.3.5] show that $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated semigroup on X if A generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated semigroup on X and B is a bounded linear operator on X such that $BA \subset AB$, and Li and Shaw [10] have obtained an additive perturbation theorem which shows that if A generates a nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X and B is a bounded linear operator on X which commutes with $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ and C on X , then $A + B$ generates a nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . These two results are also extended to the context of local α -times integrated C -semigroups here by another method (see Theorems 2.11 and 2.13 below). We also have to investigate some additive perturbation theorems concerning (local) α -times integrated C -semigroups which may be done by using a Hille-Yosida type theorem (see Theorem 2.4 below) concerning exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroups on X as results in [9] for the case $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, in [1] for the case $C = I_X$ and in [19] for the general case $\alpha > 0$. We first extend the perturbation result of Xiao and Liang [19, Theorem 1.3.5]. In Theorem 2.8, we show that if A generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X , B is a bounded linear operator on $\overline{D(A)}$ which commutes with C on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$, then $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is exponentially Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is. We show that the exponential boundedness of $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ in Theorem 2.8 can be deleted and the conclusion of Theorem 2.8 can be extended to the context of local α -times integrated C -semigroups when $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup on X with generator A and $R(C) \subset \overline{D(A)}$ and $BS_\alpha(\cdot) = S_\alpha(\cdot)B$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ both are added (see Theorem 2.9 below). Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is. A simple illustrative example of these results is presented in the final part of this paper.

2. PERTURBATION THEOREMS

From now on, we always assume that $C \in B(X)$ and $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a closed linear operator with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$.

Lemma 2.1. (see [6, 17]). *Let $S(\cdot)$ be a strongly continuous family in $B(X)$ satisfying (1.3). For $\lambda > \omega$ and $x \in X$, we define $R_\lambda x = \lambda^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt$.*

Then (1.1) holds if and only if $\{R_\lambda | \lambda > \omega\}$ is a C -pseudoresolvent. That is, $R_\lambda C - R_\mu C = (\mu - \lambda)R_\lambda R_\mu$ on X for all $\lambda, \mu > \omega$.

Theorem 2.2. (see [6, 17]). Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and $C \in B(X)$ be injective. A strongly continuous family $S(\cdot)$ in $B(X)$ satisfying (1.3) is a nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup on X with generator A if and only if $CS(\cdot) = S(\cdot)C$, $C^{-1}AC = A$, $\lambda - A$ is injective, $R(C) \subset R(\lambda - A)$ and $\lambda^\alpha L_\lambda(\lambda - A) \subset \lambda^\alpha(\lambda - A)L_\lambda = C$ for all $\lambda > \omega$. Here $L_\lambda x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt$ for $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.3. (see [1, Theorem 2.4.1] or [19, Theorem 1.2.1]). Let $0 < \theta \leq 1$, and $r : (\omega, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be an infinitely differentiable function for some $\omega \geq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that

$$\|(\lambda - \omega)^{k+1} r^{(k)}(\lambda) / k!\| \leq K$$

for all $\lambda > \omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where $r^{(k)}(\lambda)$ denotes the k th order derivative of r at λ ;

- (ii) There exist $K_\theta \geq 0$ and $F_\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ such that $F_\theta(0) = 0$, $\|F_\theta(t+h) - F_\theta(t)\| \leq K_\theta h^\theta e^{\omega(t+h)}$ for all $t, h \geq 0$, and $r(\lambda) = \lambda^\theta \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} F_\theta(t) dt$ for all $\lambda > \omega$.

Combining Lemma 2.1 with Lemma 2.3, we can obtain the next Hille-Yosida type theorem concerning exponentially Lipschitz continuous $(\alpha+1)$ -times integrated C -semigroups which has been presented in [19] and in [1] for the case $C = I_X$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\alpha, \omega \geq 0$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and $R(\cdot) = \{R(\lambda) | \lambda > \omega\} \subset B(X)$. Then the following are equivalent :

- (i) $R(\cdot)$ is a C -pseudoresolvent and there exists a $K \geq 0$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{(\lambda - \omega)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} R(\lambda) / \lambda^\alpha \right\| \leq K$$

for all $\lambda > \omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;

- (ii) There exists an $(\alpha + \theta)$ -times integrated C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X such that

$$\|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq K_\theta h^\theta e^{\omega(t+h)}$$

for all $t, h \geq 0$ and for some fixed $K_\theta \geq 0$, and $R(\lambda)x = \lambda^{\alpha+\theta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt$ for all $\lambda > \omega$ and $x \in X$.

Applying Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 we also obtain the following two results. Their proofs are almost the same with those in [19, Theorem 1.3.3] and in [9, Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 6.2] for the case $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and so are omitted.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and A be the generator of a nondegenerate $(\alpha + 1)$ -times integrated C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X satisfying (1.4). Then*

- (i) $R(C) \subset R((\lambda - A)^k)$ and $\frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}}(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx = (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!(\lambda - A)^{-k}Cx$ for all $x \in X$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > \omega$;
- (ii)
$$\left\| \frac{(\lambda - \omega)^k}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}}(\lambda - A)^{-1}C/\lambda^\alpha \right\| \leq K$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > \omega$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $C \in B(X)$ be injective and $C^{-1}AC = A$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) A generates a nondegenerate $(\alpha + 1)$ -times integrated C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X satisfying (1.4);
- (ii) $\lambda - A$ is injective, $R(C) \subset R((\lambda - A)^k)$ and $\left\| \frac{(\lambda - \omega)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}(\lambda - A)^{-1}C/\lambda^\alpha \right\| \leq K$ for all $\lambda > \omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for some fixed $K \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and A be the generator of a nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X satisfying (1.3). Then for $\lambda > \omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$(\lambda - A)^{-k}Cx = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{\alpha}{j} \lambda^{\alpha-j} \frac{(-1)^j}{(k-1-j)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{k-1-j} S(t)x dt$$

for all $x \in X$. Here $\binom{\alpha}{j} = \alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - j + 1)/j!$.

Proof. Since $(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx = \lambda^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda - A)^{-k}Cx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}}(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial \lambda^{k-1}} \lambda^\alpha e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \alpha(\alpha-1) \cdots (\alpha-j+1) \lambda^{\alpha-j} (-1)^{k-1-j} t^{k-1-j} S(t)x dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(k-1-j)!j!} \binom{\alpha}{j} j! \lambda^{\alpha-j} (-1)^{k-1-j} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{k-1-j} S(t) x dt \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{\alpha}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{(k-1-j)!} \lambda^{\alpha-j} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{k-1-j} S(t) x dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.2, we can extend the perturbation theorem of Xiao and Liang in [19, Theorem 1.3.5] concerning exponentially bounded α -times integrated C -semigroups in which B is a bounded linear operator on X and $C = I_X$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and A be the generator of an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that $B \in B(\overline{D(A)})$, $BC = CB$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$. Then $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X given by*

$$(2.1) \quad T_\alpha(t)x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k N_\alpha(t)x \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Here $T^0 f(t) = f(t)$, $(Tf)(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$, $(T^k f)(t) = T(T^{k-1} f)(t)$ and $N_\alpha(t) = e^{tB} S_\alpha(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also exponentially Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.

Proof. For simplicity we may assume that $\|S_\alpha(t)\| \leq K e^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and for some fixed $K, \omega \geq 0$. By induction, we have $\|T^k N_\alpha(t)\| \leq K e^{(\|B\| + \omega)t} / (\|B\| + \omega)^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $t \geq 0$, and so $\|T_\alpha(t)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| \frac{\|B\|^k}{(\|B\| + \omega)^k} K e^{(\|B\| + \omega)t} < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & \|T^k N_\alpha(t)x - T^k N_\alpha(s)x\| \\
&= \|T[T^{k-1} N_\alpha](t)x - T[T^{k-1} N_\alpha](s)x\| \\
&= \left\| \int_s^t T^{k-1} N_\alpha(r) x dr \right\| \\
&\leq \int_s^t K \frac{e^{(\|B\| + \omega)r}}{(\|B\| + \omega)^{k-1}} dr \|x\| \\
&\leq (t-s) K \frac{e^{(\|B\| + \omega)t}}{(\|B\| + \omega)^{k-1}} \|x\|
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X$ and $t \geq s \geq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|N_\alpha(t)x - N_\alpha(s)x\| \\
 &= \|e^{tB}S_\alpha(t)x - e^{sB}S_\alpha(s)x\| \\
 (2.3) \quad &= \|(e^{tB} - e^{sB})S_\alpha(t)x + e^{sB}(S_\alpha(t)x - S_\alpha(s)x)\| \\
 &\leq (t-s)e^{t\|B\|}Ke^{\omega t}\|x\| + e^{t\|B\|}\|S_\alpha(t)x - S_\alpha(s)x\|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq s \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|T_\alpha(t)x - T_\alpha(s)x\| \\
 (2.4) \quad & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} \frac{\|B\|^k}{(\|B\| + \omega)^{k-1}} (t-s)Ke^{(\|B\| + \omega)t}\|x\| \\
 & \quad + (t-s)Ke^{(\|B\| + \omega)t}\|x\| + e^{\|B\|t}\|S_\alpha(t)x - S_\alpha(s)x\|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq s \geq 0$, which implies that $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is a strongly continuous family in $B(X)$, and is also exponentially Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is. Since $S_\alpha(t)X \subset \overline{D(A)}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $CB = BC$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $S_\alpha(\cdot)C = CS_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X , we have $T_\alpha(\cdot)C = CT_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Applying Lemma 2.7, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\lambda - A)^{-k}C\| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{\alpha}{j} |\lambda^{\alpha-j} \frac{K}{(k-1-j)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda-\omega)t} t^{k-1-j} dt \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{\alpha}{j} |\lambda^{\alpha-j} \frac{K}{(\lambda-\omega)^{k-j}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{\alpha}{j} |(\frac{\lambda-\omega}{\lambda})^j K \lambda^\alpha / (\lambda-\omega)^k \\
 &= K_\alpha / (\lambda-\omega)^k
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $K_\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} |(\frac{\lambda-\omega}{\lambda})^j K \lambda^\alpha$. Now let $R_\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k (\lambda - A)^{-k-1}C$ for $\lambda > \|B\| + \omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|B^k (\lambda - A)^{-k-1}C\| &\leq K_\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|B\|^k / (\lambda - \omega)^{k+1} \\
 &= K_\alpha / (\lambda - \omega - \|B\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda > \|B\| + \omega$. Combining this and the closedness of $A+B : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ with the assumption $BA \subset AB$, we have $(\lambda - A - B)R_\lambda = C$ on X for all $\lambda > \|B\| + \omega$, which together with the assumption $CB = BC$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ implies that $R_\lambda(\lambda - A - B) = C$ on $D(A)$ for all $\lambda > \|B\| + \omega$. By hypothesis, we have $C^{-1}(A + B)C = C^{-1}AC + C^{-1}BC = A + B$. Applying Lemma 2.7 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_\lambda x &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{\alpha}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{(k-j)!} \lambda^{\alpha-j} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} t^{k-j} S_\alpha(t) x dt \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} \lambda^{\alpha-j} (-1)^j \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{B^k}{(k-j)!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} t^{k-j} S_\alpha(t) x dt \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} \lambda^{\alpha-j} (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B^{k+j}}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} t^k S_\alpha(t) x dt \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} \lambda^{\alpha-j} (-1)^j B^j \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{Bt} S_\alpha(t) x dt \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} \lambda^\alpha (-1)^j B^j \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T^j N_\alpha(t) x dt \\
 &= \lambda^\alpha \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^j B^j T^j N_\alpha(t) x dt \\
 &= \lambda^\alpha \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T_\alpha(t) x dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda > \|B\| + \omega$. We obtain from Theorem 2.2 that $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated C -semigroup on X with generator $A+B$, and is also exponentially Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.

Next we deduce a new perturbation theorem concerning local α -times integrated C -semigroups. In particular, the exponential boundedness of $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ in Theorem 2.8 can be deleted when $R(C) \subset \overline{D(A)}$ and $BS_\alpha(\cdot) = S_\alpha(\cdot)B$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ both are added.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and A be the generator of a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that $R(C) \subset \overline{D(A)}$, B is a bounded linear operator on $\overline{D(A)}$ which commutes with $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ and C on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$. Then $A+B$ generates a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X which is given as in (2.1). Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.*

Proof. Clearly, $j_\alpha(\cdot)I_{\overline{D(A)}}$ is an exponentially bounded α -times integrated semigroup on $\overline{D(A)}$ with generator 0. It follows from Theorem 2.8 that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k M_\alpha(\cdot)$ is an exponentially bounded nondegenerate α -times integrated semigroup on $\overline{D(A)}$ with generator B . Here $M_\alpha(t) = e^{tB} j_\alpha(t) I_{\overline{D(A)}}$ and $j_\alpha(t) = \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Combining this and (1.9) with the assumption $R(C) \subset \overline{D(A)} (= D(B))$, we have

$$BT \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k M_\alpha(t) Cx - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k M_\alpha(t) Cx = -j_\alpha(t) Cx$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t < T_0$. Just as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, it is easy to see from the boundedness of $\{\|S_\alpha(t)\| \mid 0 \leq t \leq t_0\}$ for all $0 < t_0 < T_0$ and the strong continuity of $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ that we have the following inequalities:

$$(2.5) \quad \|T^k N_\alpha(t)\| \leq K_t e^{\|B\|t} \frac{t^k}{k!} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } 0 \leq t < T_0;$$

$$(2.6) \quad \|T_\alpha(t)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| \frac{\|B\|^k t^k}{k!} K_t e^{\|B\|t} < \infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t < T_0;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|T^k N_\alpha(t)x - T^k N_\alpha(s)x\| \leq (t-s) K_t e^{\|B\|t} \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \|x\|$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X$ and $0 \leq s \leq t < T_0$;

$$(2.8) \quad \|N_\alpha(t)x - N_\alpha(s)x\| \leq (t-s) e^{t\|B\|} K_t \|x\| + e^{t\|B\|} \|S_\alpha(t)x - S_\alpha(s)x\|$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq s \leq t < T_0$;

$$(2.9) \quad \|T_\alpha(t)x - T_\alpha(s)x\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| \frac{\|B\|^k t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} (t-s) K_t e^{\|B\|t} \|x\|$$

$$+ (t-s) K_t e^{\|B\|t} \|x\| + e^{\|B\|t} \|S_\alpha(t)x - S_\alpha(s)x\|$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq s \leq t < T_0$.

Here $K_t = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|S_\alpha(r)\|$. In particular, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is a strongly continuous family in $B(X)$, and is also locally Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is. Since $BA \subset AB$ and $TS_\alpha(t)x \in D(A)$ for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t < T_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& ATN_\alpha(t)x \\
&= A \int_0^t e^{sB} S_\alpha(s)x ds \\
(2.10) \quad &= A[e^{tB}TS_\alpha(t)x - B \int_0^t e^{sB}TS_\alpha(s)x ds] \\
&= Ae^{tB}TS_\alpha(t)x - AB \int_0^t e^{sB}TS_\alpha(s)x ds \\
&= e^{tB}ATS_\alpha(t)x - \int_0^t Be^{sB}ATS_\alpha(s)x ds \\
&= e^{tB}[S_\alpha(t)x - j_\alpha(t)Cx] - B \int_0^t e^{sB}[S_\alpha(s) - j_\alpha(t)Cx] ds \\
&= N_\alpha(t)x - M_\alpha(t)Cx - B[TN_\alpha(t)x - TM_\alpha(t)Cx]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t < T_0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ATT_\alpha(t)x \\
&= A \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^{k+1} N_\alpha(t)x \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k AB^k T^{k+1} N_\alpha(t)x \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k AT^{k+1} N_\alpha(t)x \\
(2.11) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k ATN_\alpha(t)x \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k ([N_\alpha(t)x - M_\alpha(t)Cx] - B[TN_\alpha(t)x - TM_\alpha(t)Cx]) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k N_\alpha(t)x - BT \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k N_\alpha(t)x + \\
&\quad BT \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k M_\alpha(t)Cx - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k B^k T^k M_\alpha(t)Cx \\
&= T_\alpha(t)x - BTT_\alpha(t)x - j_\alpha(t)Cx
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t < T_0$ or equivalently, $(A+B)TT_\alpha(t)x = T_\alpha(t)x - j_\alpha(t)Cx$ for all $x \in X$ and $0 \leq t < T_0$. To show that $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup on X with generator $A+B$ it suffices to show that the abstract Cauchy problem $ACP(A+B, 0, 0)$ $u' = (A+B)u$ on $[0, T_0)$ and

$u(0) = 0$, has only the zero solution in $C^1([0, T_0), X) \cap C([0, T_0), [D(A + B)])$ (see [7, Theorem 2.3] or [11, Theorem 5.1]). Here $[D(A + B)]$ denotes the Banach space $D(A + B) = D(A)$ with norm $|\cdot|_{A+B}$ defined by $|x|_{A+B} = \|x\| + \|(A + B)x\|$ for all $x \in D(A + B)$. Indeed, if u is a solution of $ACP(A + B, 0, 0)$ in $C^1([0, T_0), X) \cap C([0, T_0), [D(A + B)])$. Applying the closedness of $A + B$ and the assumption $u(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha * u &= T(T_\alpha * u)' \\ &= T(T_\alpha * u') \\ &= TT_\alpha * (A + B)u \\ &= T(A + B)T_\alpha * u \\ &= (A + B)T(T_\alpha * u) \\ &= T_\alpha * u - Cj_\alpha * u \end{aligned}$$

on $[0, T_0)$, and so $Cj_\alpha * u = 0$ on $[0, T_0)$. Hence $u = 0$ on $[0, T_0)$. Consequently, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup on X with generator $A + B$, and is also locally Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.

Corollary 2.10. *Let A be the generator of a (nondegenerate) local C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that B is a bounded linear operator on $\overline{D(A)}$ which commutes with $S(\cdot)$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$. Then $A + B$ generates a (nondegenerate) local C -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on X . Moreover, $T(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous or norm continuous if $S(\cdot)$ is.*

By slightly modifying the proof of Theorem 2.9 we also obtain the next perturbation theorem concerning local α -times integrated C -semigroups which has been deduced by Li and Shaw in [10] when $T_0 = \infty$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and A be the generator of a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that B is a bounded linear operator on X which commutes with $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ and C on X . Then $A + B$ generates a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X which is given as in (2.1). Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous (, norm continuous, exponentially bounded or exponentially Lipschitz continuous) if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.*

Proof. Just as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, the assumption $R(C) \subset \overline{D(A)}$ is only used to show that $M_\alpha(\cdot)C$ is well-defined and (2.11) holds but both are automatically satisfied when $B \in B(X)$, and the assumption $BA \subset AB$ is only used to show that (2.10) holds but this is automatically satisfied if it is replaced by

assuming that B is a bounded linear operator which commutes with $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ and C on X . Therefore, the conclusion of this theorem is true.

Corollary 2.12. *Let A be the generator of a (nondegenerate) local C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that B is a bounded linear operator on X which commutes with $S(\cdot)$ on X . Then $A + B$ generates a (nondegenerate) local C -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on X . Moreover, $T(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous, (norm continuous, exponentially bounded or exponentially Lipschitz continuous) if $S(\cdot)$ is.*

Similarly, the conclusion of Theorem 2.8 can be extended to the context of local α -times integrated C -semigroups when B is a bounded linear operator on X .

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, and A be the generator of a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that B is a bounded linear operator on X such that $BC = CB$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$. Then $A + B$ generates a nondegenerate local α -times integrated C -semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X which is given as in (2.1). Moreover, $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous, (norm continuous, exponentially bounded or exponentially Lipschitz continuous) if $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ is.*

Corollary 2.14. *Let A be the generator of a (nondegenerate) local C -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on X . Assume that B is a bounded linear operator on X such that $BC = CB$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and $BA \subset AB$. Then $A + B$ generates a (nondegenerate) local C -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on X . Moreover, $T(\cdot)$ is also locally Lipschitz continuous, (norm continuous, exponentially bounded or exponentially Lipschitz continuous) if $S(\cdot)$ is.*

We end this paper with a simple illustrative example. Let $X = C_b(\mathbb{R})$ (or $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$), and A be the maximal differential operator in X defined by $Au = \sum_{j=0}^k a_j D^j u$ on \mathbb{R} for all $u \in D(A)$, then $Y = UC_b(\mathbb{R})$ (or $C_0(\mathbb{R})$) = $\overline{D(A)}$. Here $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $D^j u(x) = u^{(j)}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is shown in [12] that for each $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, A generates an exponentially bounded, norm continuous α -times integrated semigroup $S_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X which is defined by $(S_\alpha(t)f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\widetilde{\phi_{\alpha,t}} * f)(t)$ for all $f \in X$ and $t \geq 0$ if the polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k a_j (ix)^j$ satisfies $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re}(p(x)) < \infty$. Here $\widetilde{\phi_{\alpha,t}}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform of $\phi_{\alpha,t}$ with $\phi_{\alpha,t}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{p(x)s} ds$. An application of Theorem 2.8 shows that for each $B \in B(Y)$ and $BA \subset AB$, $A + B$ generates an exponentially

bounded, norm continuous α -times integrated semigroup $T_\alpha(\cdot)$ on X which satisfies (2.1).

REFERENCES

1. W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, H. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problem*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2001, p. 96.
2. R. DeLaubenfels, Integrated Semigroups, C -Semigroups and the Abstract Cauchy Problem, *Semigroup Forum*, **41** (1990), 83-95.
3. M. Hieber, Integrated Semigroups and Differential Operators on L^p Spaces, *Math. Ann.*, **291** (1991), 1-16.
4. M. Hieber, Laplace Transforms and α -Times Integrated Semigroups, *Forum Math.*, **3** (1991), 595-612.
5. H. Kellerman and M. Hieber, Integrated Semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **84** (1989), 160-180.
6. C.-C. Kuo and S.-Y. Shaw, On α -Times Integrated C -Semigroups and the Abstract Cauchy Problem, *Studia Math.*, **142** (2000), 201-217.
7. C.-C. Kuo and S.-Y. Shaw, On Strong and Weak Solutions of Abstract Cauchy Problem, *J. Concrete and Applicable Math.*, **2** (2004), 191-212.
8. M. Li and Q. Zheng, α -Times Integrated Semigroups: Local and Global, *Studia Math.*, **154** (2003), 243-252.
9. Y.-C. Li and S.-Y. Shaw, N -Times Integrated C -Semigroups and the Abstract Cauchy Problem, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **1** (1997), 75-102.
10. Y.-C. Li and S.-Y. Shaw, Perturbation of Non-exponentially-Bounded α -Times Integrated C -Semigroups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **55** (2003), 1115-1136.
11. Y.-C. Li and S.-Y. Shaw, *On Local α -Times Integrated C -Semigroups*, Abstract and Applied Anal. Vol. 2007, Article ID34890, 18 pages.
12. M. Mijatović and S. Pilipović, α -Times Integrated Semigroups ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$), *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **210** (1997), 790-803.
13. I. Miyadera, M. Okubo and N. Tanaka, On Integrated Semigroups where are not Exponentially Bounded, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **69** (1993), 199-204.
14. F. Neubrander, Integrated Semigroups and their Applications to the Abstract Cauchy Problem, *Pacific J. Math.*, **135** (1988), 111-155.
15. F. Neubrander, Integrated Semigroups and their Applications to Complete Second Order Cauchy Problems, *Semigroup Forum*, **38** (1989), 233-251.
16. S. Nicasie, The Hille-Yosida and Trotter-Kato, Theorems for Integrated Semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **180** (1993), 303-316.

17. S.-Y. Shaw and C.-C. Kuo, Generation of Local C -Semigroups and Solvability of the Abstract Cauchy Problems, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **9** (2005), 291-311.
18. N. Tanaka, On Perturbation Theorey for Exponentially Bounded C -Semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **41** (1990), 215-236.
19. T.-J. Xiao and J. Liang, *The Cauchy Problem for Higher-Order Abstract Differential Equations*, Lectures Notes in Math. 1701, Springer, 1998.
20. T.-J. Xiao and J. Liang, Approximations of Laplace Transforms and Integrated Semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **172** (2000), 202-220.
21. Q. Zheng, Perturbations and Approximations of Integrated Semigroups, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **9** (1993), 252-260.

Chung-Cheng Kuo
Department of Mathematics,
Fu Jen University,
Taipei 24205,
Taiwan
E-mail: cckuo@math.fju.edu.tw